Libraries

Local Studies

THE LONDON BOROUGH

BROMLEY LIBRARIES



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2026

https://archive.org/details/redbookofexchequ0000hube_g9g2

THE

RED BOOK OF THE EXCHEQUER.

PART I.

EDITED BY

HUBERT HALL, F.S.A.,

OF THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE.

PUBLISHED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF HER MAJESTY'S TREASURY, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

LONDON:
PRINTED FOR HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE.
PRINTERS TO THE QUEEN'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from
EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE, East Harding Street, Fleet Street, E.C.; or
JOHN MENZIES & CO., 12, Hanover Street, Edinburgh; and
90, West Nile Street, Glasgow; or
HODGES, FIGGIS, & CO., Limited, 104, Grafton Street, Dublin.

1896.

BROMLEY PUBLIC LIBRARIES
ALN/LSK
CLASS 34709
L 24.1
ACC 01822 0239
01822 0247



TRANSCRIPT OF THE BISHOP OF CHICHESTER'S CHARTER (From the Red Book of the



Carta epi Cicestr.

Omi sue Regi Angl. & Duci Norm. & Aquit. Com Andeg. Rnio Dño suo Hen Dei gra Epo Gato & fidele Seruicm. Dnoscio vñ p̄cepistis diffinde hoc scripto testimo ecclie mee uos enumam.

Walt de Clefton. hr feod. f. milit. & dim.
Rob de Berham. Anketel. Witt. fit Alard. & Gerard tenet vñ feod. milit.
Rad Fichet. Walg. Rie de Erham. & Witts de Lancing. tenet feod. f. milit.
Reinald Pincern. Rie fit Odon. Witt fit Rad tenet feod. f. milit.
Jordan de Flesham. Alured. Witt Parceres. & Gaudar. Witts de Selsma. Witts Falcerellus. Witts fit Gilbard. Henr Palmar. Rad de Deuerel. Rad de Dena. tenet. f. feod. milit.

Epo &c. in dnio suo. Comes autē Augens audiens ista illa Epo & ecclie. testatus in.viij. militos. Epo & Ecclia recuperauerūt in de ista illa quinq; Hidas in dnio. & duo milites tenēt alias quinq; Hidas de Epo p duos feodos. Gato.

Carta Comit de Arundel.

Dns Rex Rie. H. quam conuencione q fuerit inter milites de Honore de Arundel de Seuerau quēda de Gallis. elegit quatuor milites de Honore de meliores & Legaliores & de rquiores. scil Humfr de Gayrett. & Rad fit Brun. & Willm de Fauarches. & Petr de Haron. & fec eos recognoscere seruicm militi de honore. & sup Legalitate & sacramto eoz in nemine audire voluit. Facto autē hec recognitio eoz.

TRANSCRIPT OF THE BISHOP OF CHICHESTER'S CHARTER. (From the Black Book of the Exchequer, fo. 13b.)

H. dei gratia Regi Angl. et Duci Norm. et Aquit. et Com. And. karo domino suo.
Hil. Cic. Epc. Salutem. et fidele servitium. Unde sic in preceptis districte hoc
scripto sessamenta militum Ecclesie mee vobis enumeravi.
Walterus de Clestona habet unum feodum militis et dimid.
Rob. de Recham. Anketil. Willelmus filius Mardi. et [illegible] tenent unum
feodum militis.
Rad. Fichet. [illegible] et Willelmus de [illegible] tenent unum
feodum militis.
Arnoldus pinc. Ric. filius [illegible] Willelmus filius Rad. tenent unum feod. militis.
Ward. de Islesham. Alueredus. Willelmus parcarius. Ric. Mordac. Willelmus
de Selesia. Will. Falcerellus. Will. filius Siwardi. Henr. palmarius
Rad. de Venezell. Rad. de Vena. tenent unum feodum militis. et super
est dimid. virgata.
Oliverus de Wistring. Rob. de Vere. Arnoldus de Hanfelda. tenent unum
feodum militis.
Alfridus de Ferring. Rob. de Orbam. Simon de Perponte. Gerin de Cole-



ORIGINAL CHARTER OF HILARY, BISHOP OF CHICHESTER, [1166].

CONTENTS.

	Page
Preface - - - - - - - - - -	i
Table of the Contents of the Red Book - - - - -	lxv
The Scutages - - - - - - - - -	1
The Barons' Charters - - - - - - - -	286

63377. Wt. 6366.

PREFACE.

I.

THE Red Book of the Exchequer belongs to the class of Entry Books usually termed Precedent Books, but more correctly Registers, or Books of Remembrance. The practice of compiling such works of reference seems to have obtained from a very early date. In the first place, we find a number of official compilations of the very highest value emanating from the clerical staff of the Curia and Exchequer, and designed ostensibly in the interests of the Crown. At one time or another, almost every department of the State has had its Precedent Book, but none can boast of such a collection of historic Registers as that which is still preserved amongst the Records of the Court of Exchequer, including Domesday Book, and a number of kindred Feodaries which are of secondary importance only to the great Anglo-Norman Register itself—the Red Book, the Black Book, *Testa de Nevill*, the Book of Aids, Kirby's Quest, the *Libri Munimentorum*, and many more whose titles are household words to the student of our national Records.

Place of the Red Book amongst official Registers.

The Chancery, which made a speciality of stately rolls, as fairly written and nearly as accessible through the consecutive arrangement of their membranes as any entry book, had seldom occasion to resort to a form of Registration which was indispensable for the financial system of the Exchequer. The Common Law Courts, too, were on the whole content with the abbreviated and ill-arranged, yet wonderfully terse and graphic Records, which, like all "headed" rolls, were not calculated to facilitate a speedy reference to

precedents. Registers there were in plenty, containing law collections with Note Books and Reports, but these were rather the result of private industry than of official zeal, and the statutes and public charters of the realm have chiefly been preserved to us in the Chronicles and Memorials of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries.

Later official Registers.

Passing from the Middle Ages to the Law Courts and departments of the modern State, we find the fashion for compiling Entry Books fitfully observed down to the close of the seventeenth century. The ancient Courts of Law were distinguished by the increasing copiousness of contemporary Records, and the collection of modern precedents was continued by many hands. Statesmen and officials busied themselves with the task of transcribing mediæval Remembrance Books and treatises, and the vast number of such transcripts (the Red Book itself being, perhaps, the most favoured of any exemplar) scattered throughout the chief manuscript collections of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries bears witness to their industry and discernment. Meanwhile a new Court, that of the Augmentations, undertook, and actually carried out within a few years of the middle of the sixteenth century, the compilation of the most complete and serviceable series of Precedent Books ever attempted before or since. The clerks of the Royal Household were engaged from the reign of Edward IV. upon another great series, which is even yet resorted to by the Lord Chamberlain's Department as an official guide to all ceremonies of State. The Admiralty still possessed its famous Black Book, while from the Restoration the War Office had founded a series of Entry Books which has proved invaluable to the student of military antiquities. Lastly, a number of official Precedent Books of a very miscellaneous character had been compiled by the industrious zeal of successive Secretaries of State, from Lord Burghley to Sir Joseph Williamson.

The example of the Crown in the making of official Precedent Books was closely followed by those classes of the subjects who had special need of such appliances for the preservation of their individual or corporate interests. Of these the Church was naturally the earliest, the most assiduous, and the most skilful compiler of the choicest evidences of her possessions. Every monastery possessed its Register or Cartulary, the character and historical value of which are too well known to need any description, while the Registers of several Cathedral Churches and Colleges which have survived are at least equally important. At a necessarily later date another splendid series of Registers begins, such as still exist in the proud possession of many a lay corporation, thanks to the half-forgotten offices of some civic Swereford of the fourteenth century.

Analogy of ecclesiastical Registers,

municipal Registers,

Besides these Entry Books of clerical and lay corporations, a few great bishops and nobles had instituted a very perfect system of registration of their charters and judicial acts, as familiar examples of which may be cited Bishop Kellawe's Register and the Cowcher Books of the Duchy of Lancaster.

and baronial Registers.

It is evident, therefore, that the Red Book of the Exchequer was only one of a great class of Registers, designed with the common intention of preserving certain important documents, as well as of displaying them to the best advantage for the purpose of convenient reference. It is merely due to the feudal survivorship of the Crown that so many of the best-known examples of this class were swept from their shelves in the monastic aumbry or the baronial wardrobe, to keep company with Feodaries and Remembrance Books in the iron-bound chests of the King's Treasury at Westminster.

Motives for their compilation.

We may not only assume a common motive for the compilation of these Registers, but we may go further and specify the common nature of their contents, which

Typical contents.

will be found in typical cases to comprise some or all of the following subjects—Charters, Statutes of the Realm, *Placita*, or other public acts, with private Deeds and Ordinances, Correspondence, Chronicles or Annals, religious, physical or legal Treatises, Topographies, Genealogies or Successions, Surveys and Accounts, Precedents and *Facetiæ*.

The Red Book itself contains some examples of all the above subjects, and we also find that a considerable portion of its contents recurs in independent Registers as a proof of a common origin and purpose.

It is necessary thus at the outset to indicate the probable relationship of the Red Book of the Exchequer with a large and widely-distributed class of muniments in order to realize adequately its generic position amongst Records, which, although generally recognised, has not hitherto been definitely stated.[1] Fortunately, the nature and contents of many of the kindred Registers in official or private custody are so well known that the analysis of the Exchequer MS. given in the Table of Contents will enable the reader to verify at leisure the several points of resemblance which have been alluded to here.

Red, Black, and White Books not to be classified according to their colour.

Another means of classification, which is perhaps more popular, though in reality merely superficial, is to be found in the resemblance of the titles applied to this and other Registers. The Red Book of the Irish Exchequer is still preserved in the Dublin Record Office.[2] There was formerly a Red Book amongst the muniments of the Corporation of London,[3] and one of the ancient Precedent Books of the church of Wells[4]

[1] Since these lines were written, a clear definition of this class of Records has been given by Mr. Scargill-Bird in his valuable Hand-book to the Public Records.

[2] Report on Public Records, Ireland, p. 159.

[3] *Monument. Gildhall*, I. xvii.

[4] Hist. MSS. Comm. Report, 1885, Appx. 3.

bore the same title, and the Red Book of Hergest, containing the text of the Bruts is well known. There was even in the fourteenth century at least one other Red Book in official custody, for we find in a contemporary Record the note that a recent eclipse of the sun has been duly entered in "Rubeo Libro vocato Chronicles."[1] Before the close of the sixteenth century provincial Red Books abounded, as, for instance, the Red Book of Jesus College, Oxford,[2] the Red Book of Bath,[3] the Red Book of Derby,[4] and the lost Red Books of Gloucester[5] and Nottingham.[6] It is scarcely necessary to observe that the colour of the leather binding of such Registers is no indication whatever as to the nature of their contents, precisely similar compilations being indifferently known as Red Books, Black Books, or White Books.[7] Thus, besides the well-known Black Books of the Exchequer at Westminster and Dublin, two other volumes bearing this title were included among the lost Registers of the Guildhall, whose White Book still survives as a thoroughly typical Precedent Book. Similarly, there was a Black Book of St David's, a Black Book of the Church of Holy Trinity at Dublin,[8] the Black Book of Limerick,[9] the Black Book of Lincoln,[10] and the Black and White Books of Wells,[11] the White Books of York and Southwell,[12] and the Table Books of the Cinque Ports.[13]

---

[1] Inq., 34 Edw. III., No. 99.

[2] *Archæologia*, xiv. 218.

[3] *Collectan. Top. et Gen.*, I. 403.

[4] Leofric Missal (Clar. Press).

[5] Hist. MSS. Comn., xii., Appx. 9.

[6] Nottingham Corporation Records, iv. 171, *et seq.*

[7] An exception may perhaps be made in the case of the Black Book of the Proctors in Oxford.

[8] Report on Public Records, Ireland, p. 307.

[9] Facsimil. of Irish MSS., III. xix.

[10] Hist. MSS. Comn., xii. Report, Appx. ix.

[11] Hist. MSS. Comn. 1885, Part 3.

[12] Hist. MSS. Comn., xii., Appx. 9.

[13] Hist. MSS. Comn., iv. 428 and v. 488, 568.

The above examples have been chosen to illustrate the widely different nature of certain Registers bearing a similar title, but it may be further pointed out that the very titles of several of these volumes have become inappropriate with the lapse of centuries. The Red Book of the Exchequer is now rather black than red, and the contemporary Black Book of the same Court has, like the White Book of St. Edmunds,[1] acquired a roseate covering, while the well known verses on a fly-leaf of the Guildhall White Book point to a similar transformation as early as the reign of Elizabeth.[2] Finally, the two famous volumes known as the Liber A. and Liber B., though once red in colour, are now of precisely the same hue as the Red Book of the Exchequer itself.[3]

The Red Book as a Feodary,

The Red Book of the Exchequer in relation to this class is to be regarded as representing the interests of the royal Exchequer. As a Feodary, this Register accurately determined the liabilities of the tenants in respect of military service or Scutage, or indeed any further assessment wherein the knight's fee served as the unit of taxation. From a narrower view, the officials of the Exchequer were personally concerned in the smooth and expeditious collection of these feudal assessments. Moreover, it was always an object with them to show that whoever else might be liable, they at least were not by virtue of their office, for which purpose it was desirable that the exemptions noted in

[1] MS. Harl., 1005.

[2] It is a rather curious coincidence that the famous Register E. of the French Chancery, still preserved, which was compiled in the second quarter of the xiiith century by an official churchman of distinction, was also known as a Red Book, or rather as the "Registrum Rubeum." This also contained lists of knights' fees.

[3] Memorandum that on the 19th Jan., 14 Henry VI., the Treasurer, &c., delivered to the keeper of the Privy Seal, "duos libros corio rubio "coopertos, vocatos Libros de Re-"membrance" (*Liber Memorandorum*, fo. 79 d).

the Ancient Charters and Pipe Rolls should be perpetuated in a handy-book of reference.[1]

as a Cartulary,

As a Cartulary the Red Book of the Exchequer was a most serviceable Register of Surrenders to the Crown, Exchanges, or other Quit-claims, the practical use of which has been positively demonstrated by the labour incurred during the preparation of the Table of Contents to the present Edition, in the identification of the originals or inrolments of the several charters transcribed here. Again, from an official point of view, the terms of many of these instruments involved a very nice adjustment of certain annual charges in the Sheriffs' Accounts—matters which might easily be lost sight of unless the unmatured instruments were "posted," so to speak, in the Office of the Receipt.

as an Entry Book,

As an Entry Book of State Papers, Statutes, and other Public Acts, this book has long been famous for its choice collection of historical documents, and for its authoritative text. Most of these will be found, as might have been expected, to deal with questions of fiscal interest: Papal Bulls on the subject of first-fruits and tenths; correspondence of the Emperor Frederick II., associated in the minds of Exchequer officials with a recent Marriage Aid; correspondence with the French King, involving a question of homage and of the finances of Gascony; conventions with Flanders, based upon supplies of men and money, and negociations with Scotland connected with an historical obligation. The Statutes and Ordinances entered here are chiefly such as relate to the government or reformation of the Exchequer, while even if the more ancient and interesting of the remaining Public Acts do not directly concern the business of this Court, they were such—the laws of Henry I. and

[1] *Dialogus de Scaccario*, i. 11.

the Anglo-Norman Charters of liberties, for example—as invited the studious attention of every official of the Curia, which owed its own origin to the resultant definition of the constitution.

and as a Precedent Book of the Exchequer.

As an Entry Book of Departmental Precedents and *Memoranda*, the Red Book of the Exchequer deserves the highest praise. The Officers of State were sworn upon the oaths entered in its pages down to our own times. Forms of writs, directions for renewing tallies, every kind of information that might prove useful to future generations of chamberlains and clerks, to the just measure of a hundredweight of office wax, was scattered over its fly-leaves, blank folios, and spacious margins, in addition to the classical treatise upon the system of the Court, and the remarkable writs of Protection that were intended to illustrate its doctrine of Exchequer privilege.

Curiosities of the MS.

It was only fair that, with this strict attention to official business, a little worldly gossip should have crept in to lighten the perusal of interminable columns of knights' fees and precedents. This irrelevant matter is, however, very slight: A description of Babylon and a few other excerpts from some monastic register; an account of the coronation of Eleanor of Provence, in which, moreover, the professional subject of Serjeanties was introduced; a few royal genealogies and a calendar of regnal years, useful for official ready-reckoning; and a solitary distich with a moral tendency, while even the dignified Black Book of the same Court could count a hundred poetic essays, besides the relief of various pen-and-ink sketches.

Its authority as a book of Evidence.

It will be evident from the above outline of its contents that the Red Book of the Exchequer was undoubtedly a compilation of the greatest value and utility to the officers of the Court, and it may also be gathered from several contemporary notices that its authority was at least highly respected by the

Courts of Law and frequently invoked by other departments of the State.

The notices in question, which might easily be multiplied, are chiefly of inrolments ordered or found to be made in this book, or of appeals to its authority in cases before the Courts.[1]

It is evident from the recurrence of the entry, "scrutato Libro Rubeo," that this Register had come to be acknowledged as an authority on questions concerning the tenure of lands, in which it might even be exemplified in the same way as Domesday Book itself. Herein, however, an important reservation was made by the King's Justices, to the effect that such Registers might be received "pro evidentiis sed non "pro recordo"[2] and that, as to the Red Book itself, "il n'est mie de Record cy."[3]

The Red Book in official Custody.

From these and certain later notices it would seem that the Red Book of the Exchequer was preserved in the custody of the Treasurer and Barons, or more immediately in that of the King's Remembrancer, as a precedent book of the Court from a very early period, perhaps from the year 1246.[4] In the reign of Henry VI. this Register, with other important Exchequer Records, was ordered by the Crown to be transcribed.[5] This transcript does not appear to have survived, but there is evidence of considerable attention having been bestowed

[1] Ancient Deeds, A. 350, 355, 1875.
Pat., 12 Edw. III., Pt. I., m. 17.
Plac. Parl., 28 Edw. III., Appx., p. 666.
Inq. P. M., 30 Edw. III., No. 46.
Tower Misc. Rolls, No. 268.
Rot. Parl., II. 263 b.
Year Book, 43 Edw. III., p. 21.
Liber Custumarum, II. 2, 471.
L. T. R. Memor. Hil., 9 Ric. II., rot. 1a.
Pat., 8 Ric. II., Pt. I.
Mich. Com., 2 Edw. II., rot. 42a.
Pasch. Com., 34 Edw. I., rot. 33a.

[2] *See* the caution posted at the beginning of the MSS. of the *Testa de Nevill.*

[3] Year Book, 47 Edw. III., p. 21.

[4] *i.e.* the year of Swereford's death. The practice of bequeathing such compilations for departmental use was not uncommon.

[5] MS. Cotton, Vesp. C. 14, fo. 508.

upon the original in the course of the next century in the shape of the addition of most of the forms of oaths and the Tables of Contents, while, as previously mentioned, it was during this same period that numerous transcripts were made of the better known portion of its contents.

From the end of the seventeenth century down to the date of its transfer[1] into the custody of the Master of the Rolls, references to the Red Book of the Exchequer abound in the works of antiquaries and genealogists.[2] We learn further that the Book was still in the custody of the King's Remembrancer, having been always preserved in an iron chest within that office.[3]

II.

Integrity of the existing Red Book.

The subject of the official custody of the MS. raises a question of the gravest importance in connection with its integrity, in view of certain early references to transcripts of documents which are no longer, in their original form, at least, included amongst its contents. A few years ago, Mr. L. Owen Pike, the learned editor of the "Year Books," drew attention to a remarkable instance in which a document, which is stated in two contemporary Records to have been enrolled in the Red Book in the 11th year of Edward III., is only represented in the existing volume by a copy entered out of place in the reign of Henry VI., and for other reasons obviously intended to replace the earlier entry.[4]

Case of an Exchequer Record interpolated.

The discovery in question was the result of Mr. Pike's verification of a reference made by Sir Edward Coke to certain proceedings in the case of a Writ of

[1] 17 May 1870.

[2] *e.g.*, Dugdale, Madox, Le Neve, Hearne, Hunter, Eyton, &c.

[3] Communicated by R. Hankins, Esq., of the Queen's Remembrancer's Office.

[4] Year Book, 14 Edw. III. (Rolls), pp. xx–xxv.

Error in the 14th year of Edward III., entered at fo. 322 d of the Red Book. This entry, however, proves to be a fifteenth century copy of a Record enrolled in the Memoranda of the 11th year of Edward III., reference to which again exhibits the following conclusive note :—"Et memorandum quod breve præscrip-" tum et aliud breve de quo fit mentio superius, sunt " inter Communia de hoc anno xj° ; et cætera contenta " in dicta certificatione annota[n]tur modo specialiori " in Rubeo libro de Scaccario, et in Recordis et processu-" bus habitis coram Baronibus ad placita ibidem."[1]

There can be no doubt as to the date of the substituted entry in the Red Book, since apart from the character of the hand and position at the end of the volume, the expression, "Edwardi tertii nuper regis "Angliæ" is decisive, and there existed moreover a strong motive in the reign of Henry VI. for restoring the missing entry. It must also be regarded as a fatal objection to a theory which has been strongly advanced in defence of the integrity of the MS.[2] that the expression "annotatur" is one of those most frequently used to denote an official inrolment, and that it actually occurs in the cross-reference from the later Red Book entry to the Memoranda.[3] Therefore the phrase was not loosely used, as has been suggested, by way of reference to the *Dialogus*, or the writs of Protection entered in an earlier part of the Red Book. Besides this, the second writ referred to can actually be identified in another part of the Memoranda Roll, and therefore is not the "cætera contenta," as has also been suggested. Clearly the meaning is that the proceedings in the Exchequer, as forming the body of the Record, were entered contemporaneously with an

[1] Q. R. and L. T. R. Memoranda, Hil. Communia, 11 Edw. III.

[2] *Athenæum*, 10 Nov. 1888.

[3] "Consimile Recordum annota-" tur in Memorandis hujus Scac-" carii," &c.

official preamble in the Red Book, in the same way as the "Ordinances of the Exchequer,"[1] and several other Statutes and Records, "more especially" for the information of the Treasurer and Barons.

Second case of the *formulæ* of mediæval oaths omitted.

It is well known that during the last three centuries of the preservation of the MS. in the custody of the Queen's Remembrancer, frequent reference was made to a certain portion of its contents for the purpose of administering various oaths of office according to the prescribed *formulæ*. The greater number of these oaths being entered in a sixteenth century hand on the fly-leaves of the Book, this view of its official use, although perhaps more familiar than any other, has generally been regarded as a matter of purely modern interest. It is, however, an interesting fact that a certain Red Book was from a very early period recognized as the formular for the oaths of allegiance of the magnates of England; and that it was apparently preserved in this connection, amongst the most sacred relics of the King's private Treasury. But this is not all that we thus unexpectedly learn concerning an eventful episode in the life-history of this belated Red Book. We are able to trace its progress with the King from Westminster to Scotland during the last ten years of the reign of the first Edward, carefully packed in one of the Wardrobe chests, between the folds of the State cloths of gold, or at other times lying wedged between the skull of one of the Eleven Martyr Virgins of Cologne and the fingers of Edward the Confessor, in the very *penetralia* of the Treasury of the Chamber.

It was in a Wardrobe Account[2] of the 31st year of Edward I. that the Editor first found a notice of an Exchequer MS. in the above unusual surroundings, the

[1] Table of Contents, Nos. 258–261. These are entered *sub pede sigilli*, as to the significance of which see Cooper on the Records, *s. v.* "Red Book of the Exchequer."

[2] Q. R. Misc. Wardrobe $\frac{32}{25}$.

Account in question being an inventory of the Treasury of the Wardrobe taken at Westminster, in which the contents of the several chests are described, and amongst others those of a "small leather coffer" filled with cloths of gold and samite, amongst which we find "Liber Rubeus qui vocatur textus super quem Mag-"nates Angliæ solebant jurare."[1] The clue to the further discovery that this portion, at least, of the Wardrobe Treasury was not stationary at Westminster was found in the mention of a gold cup given to Edward by his second Queen as a New Year's Gift on the first of January, in the 30th year, and delivered into the Wardrobe at Linlithgow. It was evident, then, that some part of this treasure had recently travelled from Scotland, and a careful examination of the remaining Accounts of the reign revealed the following remarkable circumstances:—

A very minute inventory of the contents of the Wardrobe at Westminster in the early part of the 24th year establishes the fact that no Exchequer MSS. were at that time preserved there.[2] We find, however, that the chest in which this Red Book of Oaths was preserved in the 31st year, had been despatched from Berwick to London on the 17th September of the 24th year in company with the Scotch regalia taken at Edinburgh and a chest, "in quo continetur scripta "magnatum et aliorum Regni Scotiæ de fidelitatibus "suis et homagiis post guerram Scotiæ anno xxiv^to."[3] These chests seem to have remained at Westminster between the 24th and the 28th years, for an inventory, taken there in November of the 27th year, mentions the

[1] That this is an official and not merely a literary title is shown by the description given in these same Accounts to the stone "Super quam reges Scotiæ solebant coronari."

[2] Q. R. Misc. Wardrobe $\frac{3}{8}$.

[3] Q. R. Misc. Wardrobe $\frac{2}{10}$. These were doubtless the original engagements, of which a certain number still survive. They have been printed by Sir F. Palgrave in "Scotch Documents."

"Liber Rubeus super quem magnates solent jurare."[1] Another inventory taken in April of the 28th year states that a portion of the contents of the Wardrobe at Westminster had been "trussed" for a journey to Scotland. The MS. is again mentioned in this account,[2] and it seems almost certain that it followed the Court to Linlithgow, whence it was despatched to Westminster some time after January of the 30th year, and was deposited once more, as we have seen, in the Wardrobe Treasury with other regalia and relics.

This was in November of the 31st year, and it was at some date during the next six months that the famous robbery of the Treasury took place, that is to say, of the Wardrobe Treasury situated in the vaults of the Chapter House.[3] It is possible, therefore, that this Red Book was amongst the contents of the chests rifled by the robbers, and that it was contemptuously tossed aside when the jewelled shrine of the Cross of St. Neots presented itself as a more tempting spoil,[4] for, at least, it does not appear in the inventory of the treasure recovered.[5] Finally we meet with a notice of this Book once more on the road to Scotland, which on this occasion it was not destined to reach. In the inventory of Edward I.'s effects, taken immediately after his death at Burgh-on-the-Sands, we find the same Red Book in company with the heterogeneous volumes which had throughout shared its travels—the Book of Chronicles, the Book of Romance, the works of devotion, the two unknown Registers with silver-gilt plates,[6] together with the

[1] Q. R. Misc. Warbrobe $\frac{3}{15}$.

[2] Q. R. Misc. Wardrobe $\frac{5}{113}$.

[3] Antiquities and Curiosities of the Exchequer, p. 28.

[4] Pike, History of Crime, I. 198–202. T. of R. Misc. $\frac{25}{42}$.

[5] Q. R. Misc. Wardrobe $\frac{33}{10}$.

[6] As throwing some light upon the preservation of some of these seemingly trivial works in the Royal Treasury, an entry in the Issue Roll, Mich., 39 Edw. III. may be quoted, which records the purchase of 1 Bible, 3 books of

Cross of St. Neots, and the panoply of political relics. Even the young Queen's gift of five years back was there, with the inevitable trunk of the cloths of gold.[1]

Two questions naturally arise from a consideration of the above remarkable occurrences. In the first place we may ask was this possibly the Red Book of the Exchequer? It will be observed that the volume mentioned in these accounts was best known in connection with the ceremony of receiving the oaths of fealty of the English barons, and the inference might be drawn that Edward I., whose characteristic diplomacy in his feudal relations with his troublesome neighbours of Scotland and Wales and his own outspoken barons took, like that of Louis XI. of France, the form of exacting oaths of fealty upon certain sacred emblems, carried an official formular about with him for this purpose, in company with the Cross of St. Neots, the Black Rood of Scotland, and the other relics which were undoubtedly used on these occasions.[2] In this case the book would supply the forms of oaths as

---

romance, 1 Juvenal, and 1 Portiforium from the goods of Henry de Tatton, "because it was certified "to the King that the same re- "lated to the solemnity of the "Feasts, and were of great value." The plated books here referred to may possibly have been the same as are stated to have been stripped by order of the Council, and melted down in the reign of Edward VI. (Audit O., Declared Accounts, Bdle. 1533, Roll I.)

[1] The atmosphere of the charnel-house which pervaded the official and diplomatic life of the Court is quite depressing, even to a modern student of the Household Accounts of this reign. During the last ten years especially, the King could not move without a whole assortment of coffers and shrines filled with relics and a museum of choice curiosities, such as a vial of dragon's blood, a griffin's horn, &c. Amidst these melancholy surroundings we are not surprised to find a Wardrobe clerk embellishing his account with the following sorrowful ejaculation.—"Ego dixi in dimi- "dio dierum meorum, Vadam ad "portas Inferi."

[2] Wardrobe Account, 28 Ed. I. (Soc. of Antiquaries), p. xxx. In the Close Roll, 34 Edw. I., m. 3 d., a description is given of the oath taken by James, Seneschal of Scotland, on the Host and on the cross of St. Neotts and the Black Rood of Scotland in the King's presence.

well as the emblems of and verses from the Evangelists, upon which, and not upon the New Testament itself, the oaths were taken; but it is quite clear that neither the *formulæ* in question nor the emblems, which we may suppose to have resembled those depicted in the contemporary Black Book of the Exchequer, are to be found in the modern Red Book of that Court,[1] although existing fragments point to the entry of such oaths as early as the thirteenth century.[2]

There is, again another possible explanation of this incident; that the King was engaged during this period in collecting materials for a statement of his case in respect of the overlordship of Scotland, and that the most authoritative collection of precedents in point was preserved in this Register.[3] It is possible, therefore, that the latter may also be identified with the Red Book of Fees which was delivered on the 20 Jan. 1301, under the Seal of the Exchequer to the Keeper of the Queen's Gold, to be carried to the Parliament at Lincoln.[4] Now it was in this Parliament that the English Baronage issued the famous manifesto in which, after full deliberation and inquiry, they denied the right of the Pope to interfere in the Scotch dispute,

[1] The form of oath taken by the Scotch King and his barons will be found in Palgrave's "Scotch Documents," and in the proceedings printed in the *Fœdera*, I. 762–84. We know further that the chamberlain attended on this and similar occasions (Close Roll, 21 Edw. I., m. 8d.), and this officer was the legal custodian of the Red Book and other Exchequer Records.

[2] In addition to these original *formulæ*, which seem to have been recovered, stitched in, and transcribed at the close of the xvth century, we know that a *formula* for the Chancellor's oath must have been used at the Exchequer, for an interesting direction for administering the same is given in the Q. R. Memor. Roll, Easter, 35 Edw. I., rot. 38.

[3] *See* below, pp. xxviii, xxx.

[4] Q. R. Memor., 29 Edw. I., Rot. 31 d., a reference for which the editor is indebted to the kindness of Mr. John A. C. Vincent. Several Memoranda of this Parliament were preserved in the Exchequer in Bishop Stapleton's time (Kal. fo. 173).

reiterating the several points on which the King had relied ten years previously, based upon the documents which were found enrolled in this book.

That Edward was quite equal to the responsibility of removing a Record from official custody is proved by his action in the case of certain Chancery Rolls at this very date, the chest in which they were contained being broken open by the King's orders, and the Rolls forwarded to Scotland. The Exchequer officials, however, seem to have been allowed the cost of a new lock and key.[1]

The second question that arises in connection with this possible disposition of the Red Book in the King's Wardrobe[2] is, how did the officers of the Exchequer fare whilst deprived of their highly-prized Register? During the greater part of the period the Exchequer was resident at York, having been transferred thither in the 26th year. From the detailed description which exists of the mode of transfer on this and a subsequent occasion in the next reign, we gather that the entire contents of the Treasury, including the Records and Tallies, were conveyed with enormous labour to the northern capital.[3] It is conclusively proved by another Wardrobe account of the 35th year[4] that the Red Book mentioned above was received by the King from Walter de Langton, the Treasurer, and the theory that this MS.

---

[1] Close Roll, 20 Edw. I., m. 13 d.

[2] Although no one who was acquainted with the peculiar functions exercised by the Wardrobe during this reign or with the dispersal of the contents of the central Treasury would be surprised at the above incident, it may be advisable to point to one undoubted instance of an Exchequer Register being lodged in the former department during this period. This was the *Liber Feodorum* or *Testa de Nevill*, and the reference is to the Pells Memoranda Roll, Mich. 30 Edw. I.

[3] Chronicles of Edw. I. and II. (Rolls), I. 286. Cf. Q. R. Memor. Brev. Pasch., 26 Edw. I., and Q. R. Memor. Commun. Hil., 15 Edw. II., which gives the best account of the proceedings of such a transfer.

[4] Q. R. Wardrobe $\frac{2}{3}$.

was either the Red Book of the Exchequer, or the entry book of the *formulæ* of official oaths which it formerly contained, receives further confirmation from the omission of several intended entries, an omission supplied by stitching the originals in their proper places.[1] We are especially struck with the omission of such documents as the "Confirmatio Cartarum" and the "Articuli "super cartas" in the 25th year, and that of the "Statute "of Carlisle" in the 35th year. It is even possible that the fine copies of these documents, still preserved among the originals of the Exchequer Miscellanea are the actual exemplars from which the entries should have been made had the Red Book been available at the time.[2]

Third case of the order of Richard I.'s coronation omitted.

A third instance of palpable omission is furnished by a passage in the History of Matthew Paris. At the conclusion of the description of Richard I.'s coronation in the "Historia Anglorum" the following remarkable note is appended by the author:— "Officia prelatorum et magnatum quæ ab antiquo "jure et consuetudine in regum coronationibus sibi "vindicant et facere debent, in rotulis Scaccarii "poterunt reperiri."[3] This note is partly written over an erasure, but in the *Abbreviatio* by the same author the following note is embodied in the text:—"Et quia exigit plenitudo historiæ officia "quorundam magnatum qui (*sic*) in coronationibus ha- "bent implere, de antiqua consuetudine, lectorem hujus "libelli abbreviati ad historiam transmitto prolixiorem, "quæ in consuetudinibus Scaccarii poterit reperiri."[4] As there exists at the present day no Exchequer roll or custumal in which an account of the services rendered at the Coronation of Richard I. is entered, it

[1] In one case the original thus inserted bears the contemporary note "Scribatur hoc primo in Libro "Rubro."

[2] T. of R. Misc. $\frac{2}{23}\frac{1}{a}$, $\frac{6}{8}\frac{8}{}$, $\frac{6}{8}\frac{8}{}$. *See* Bishop Stapleton's Calendar, fo. 150, in support of this view.

[3] *Hist. Anglor.* (Rolls), II. 8.

[4] Ibid. III., 209.

might be thought that this is a vague and general reference to an earlier tradition or to the later and surviving precedents in connection with the coronation of Eleanor of Provence. There are good reasons, however, for believing that such an account as is here referred to must have once existed for the former event, since Hoveden, Bromton,[1] and other authorities give an abbreviated narrative, which is found in a more detailed form in a transcript of the so-called chronicle of John Beaver, which is inserted, curiously enough, in a Cotton MS. behind the narrative of the coronation of Henry III.'s Queen[2] from the Red Book of the Exchequer. Here, in addition to other technical allusions there is the following passage:—"Comites "autem et barones, sicut eorum solebant patres, servie- "runt." It can scarcely be doubted, therefore, that Matthew Paris's reference was to some Exchequer Precedent Book which no longer exists; but considerable light is thrown upon the relationship of this missing Register by the unmistakeable reference to the source of the same historian's later description of the pageant of 1236. This occurs in a marginal note in the following words:—"Hæc omnia in consuetudinario Scaccarii me- "lius et plenius reperientur." It will be shown presently that this reference at least is to the Red Book of the Exchequer.

III.

Preservation of historical documents,

The result of modern research tends more and more to confirm the old tradition of an official establishment under the earlier Norman Kings, capable of performing

[1] Col. 1158.

[2] MS. Cotton. Vesp. C. xiv. It is curious, too, that the same piece occurs in two other Elizabethan transcripts of the Red Book, Claud. C. iv., and State Papers Misc., No. 40.

the ordinary clerical duties which were definitely assigned to the staff of the Chancery and Exchequer little more than fifty years after the Conqueror's death. Be this as it may, it is certain that many public instruments were issued from and received in the Curia of the Norman Kings, for the texts of these instruments have been preserved by certain chroniclers, as well as in private Registers, and we cannot suppose that the scribes obtained their intelligence from any other source than the Curia itself, facility of access to which is the best known test of the value of every history of the twelfth century at least. One possible means of communication is indicated by the relations which existed between historians, like William of Malmesbury or Henry of Huntingdon, and patrons like Bishops Roger of Salisbury and Alexander of Lincoln. It was also possible, nay, easy, for a favoured historiographer at the court of a literary sovereign to obtain access to documents which may even have been the common property of the learned crowd of courtiers. The supposition is by no means improbable, neither is it very new, but it does not help us to answer two questions of very great importance. The first is; what was the system adopted for the custody of these official documents? The second is; by what agency have they been preserved to us in certain official or private Registers?

used by contemporary historians,

As far back as we can trace the issue of royal laws or royal missives, even back to the remote antiquity of Oriental monarchy, we shall find these two essential conditions of their composition and preservation, a clerical staff and a repository. The first of these conditions varied in character with the nationality or requirements of the kingly state, but the second almost invariably took the form of a sanctuary wherein the royal rolls kept company with the royal treasure.[1]

in the Royal Treasury

[1] Palgrave Kal. and Invent. I. xiv.

The existence of such a treasure-house under the Saxon and Norman Kings can be more easily conjectured than proved, in spite of the casual references in contemporary chronicles, and the tradition of a later age, which assigns its position to the Church of Westminster and the Castle of Winchester alternately. That such archives existed is proved by the fact that specimens have survived, while their very preservation, under all the circumstances, might be held to prove their sufficient custody. We are, however, absolutely devoid of definite information as to the system which may have existed for this purpose.

at Westminster and elsewhere,

It is not insisted on here as a hard and fast rule, that access to every public instrument was obtained by the professional historian only through the medium of the Exchequer. The royal Treasury, situated in the Exchequer Buildings at Westminster, was from the middle of the twelfth century at least the normal repository of the State Archives,[1] but there were also other temporary or extraordinary treasuries which served equally as repositories of Records during the next century. The Camera Regis and the Wardrobe, or indeed the strong-room of any royal castle or church might be utilized for this purpose,[2] yet it is not to this local distribution of the archives, even if it obtained to any extent, that we must look for an explanation of their provincial publication. Copies of the most important documents were frequently communicated for the information of spiritual and lay magnates, and their preservation was thus directly ensured in private Registers. Yet if the existing Domesday Book was indeed compiled in the Conqueror's reign, there must have

[1] Antiquities and Curiosities of the Exchequer, ch. 1. Pipe Rolls, 2 Hen. II., Lond. and Midds. Pipe Rolls, 13 & 14 Hen. II., Combustiones. *Dialogus de Scaccario*, Preface. Ibid. I. 5 and 14.

[2] Eyton, Itinerary, &c. of Henry II. *passim*. Antiq. and Curiosities of Exchequer, pp. 11–14.

been some clerical organization available for the purpose, curial or fiscal,[1] and if William of Malmesbury and Henry of Huntingdon saw and copied State Papers under Henry I. and Stephen, there must equally have been facilities for the work of royal scribes and custodians. As some solution of this problem was imperative, historians have very reasonably assigned these duties to a staff of royal chaplains or clerks, who may as well be supposed to have performed similar duties under William I. as during the new organization of the Curia and Exchequer in the reign of Henry I. They have also assigned as the scene of these clerical labours the Camera of the peripatetic Curia.

or in the *Camera Curiæ*,

According to the above theory it must have been from this Camera, the common ante-chamber of the Curia and Exchequer alike, that the documents communicated to the *protégés* of Roger Bishop of Salisbury and his nephew were issued. In some respects, and especially in order to overcome certain archæological difficulties, it would be preferable to waive this view of the royal Camera, and frankly to suppose that the documents in question were actually lent to the historian, or at least inspected by him out of official custody; but this suggestion is so entirely at variance with our knowledge of the elaborate safeguards and checks employed only in the next generation for the preservation of such records that we must absolutely reject it. The gap must indeed be a wide one, not in time but in point of practice, between the accepted view of William of Malmesbury borrowing the national records from a complacent minister and that of the same minister's nephew and great-nephews seated at work in the Exchequer buildings at Westminster—buildings which were apparently as ruinous through age as the Saxon palace itself—surrounded by a vast collection

[1] Eyton, "Notes on Domesday."

of records and registers compiled absolutely upon the same plan that was destined to endure for centuries, bestowed with the most jealous care in presses and chests secured with iron bands and triplicate locks, under the charge of a large and highly trained staff of clerks whose foremost instruction was that nothing should be taken away without the written order of the Court.[1]

Therefore until further light is thrown upon the shadowy fabric of this Camera, and yet equally without insisting over-much upon the theory invited by the archæology of the Westminster Exchequer, it may be worth while to pursue our inquiry from another starting point.

and in the later Exchequer.

There is no part of our limited information regarding the practice of the Exchequer in the twelfth century more clearly defined than the references which we possess to the practice of registering original documents affecting the state of the King's revenue or the personal interests of the officials. The originals in question have only survived in a few cases, but in many others their contents have been preserved in certain Registers. Domesday Book, the official example of a group of kindred feodaries, is an instance of this practice, almost as early as the Conquest, in respect of one important branch of fiscal procedure.[2] It is scarcely to be believed that the elaborate organization of the Exchequer under Roger of Salisbury, Nigel of Ely, Richard of London, and William of Ely should have been destitute of such appliances for necessary reference. Therefore it really matters very little whether the feodaries, year-rolls, royal writs, charters and diplomatic documents which

[1] *Dialogus*, i. 5.

[2] *Item in alio libro Thesauri Regis tempore Willelmi Regis . . . abreviatio hidarum.* Cotton MS., Claud. C. ix., fo. 185 d., cf. Hen. of Huntingdon, and Heming's Cartulary as to the "autentica Cartula "Regis" coeval with the Domesday Survey, quoted by Mr. Round in Domesday Studies, Vol. II., p. 546, and cf. *Registrum Roffense*, p. 71. *See also* Mr. Birch's "Materials for re-editing Domes-"day Book," Ibid., p. 485 *et seq.*

are known to have been in existence during the reign of Henry I., were preserved in the Camera or in that ante room of the Treasury or Exchequer known as the Receipt (which unlike the Barons' Chamber did *not* follow the Court), so long as it can be shown that the officials themselves were busied with the registration of such documents as appeared important on personal or official grounds. Just as we are apt to forget that feudal inquisitions were so inrolled from the reign of the Conqueror, so we too frequently ignore the positive notices and even the surviving fragments of other inrolments in which historical documents may as easily have found a place as in the unbroken series which dates from the first years of the thirteenth century.[1] The Camera or Receipt of the twelfth century had not much to learn from the monastic *scriptorium*, and may at least be credited with a similar desultory but invaluable practice of registration, of which the Inquisition of Templars' lands and the lesser Black Book of the Exchequer are amongst the earliest surviving examples. It might be objected to this view of a system of purely official registration that the official instinct itself was incompatible with the single-hearted devotion of the royal service of that age; but the whole tone of the *Dialogus* reveals on the contrary a naive adherence to vested interests in the face of the requirements of the royal service.[2] Therefore if the satire which charged the great clerical treasurers with a too strict devotion to the revenue roll in place of the missal be just, it should at least be added that, all anti-clerical and anti-feudal though they were, they read the former by the rubric of the *memoranda* of their official privileges.[3]

They were preserved by famous Exchequer clerks.

The question that we have to answer, and on which the origin and position of the Red Book of the Ex-

[1] *Dialogus*, I. 14, which enumerates lost enrolments, fragments of which may still be identified.

[2] Ibid., I. 8 and 11.

[3] Court Life under the Plantagenets, 238-240, 269.

chequer really depends, is this, whether the science of the Exchequer in which Bishops Roger and Nigel, and even Henry of Winchester, were so fully versed, did in fact perish with them; or whether it formed the basis of the later collections of Richard Fitz-Nigel and Alexander de Swereford. The latter's allusion to the technical works of the four great Treasurers of the twelfth century and first decade of the thirteenth is very slight, but it is confirmed in one instance by the survival of an inestimable treatise. We may remember, too, that Richard Fitz-Nigel himself attributes his knowledge of Exchequer practice to the gleanings of his predecessor's science, while his admiring references to the official skill of the great organizer of the Exchequer, like that of Swereford himself to the repute of William of Ely,[1] with some other indications, tend

[1] It may be to the purpose of the present argument to point out that this Treasurer may have inherited his skill in Exchequer practice, for it it quite possible that he was Richard Fitz-Nigel's brother. The latter was also frequently spoken of as "Elyensis"—from the monastery where, like William, he was probably educated. This theory receives further confirmation from the fact that Richard Fitz-Nigel himself was known to one at least of the scribes of the *Historia Eliensis* as "Franciscus." In the Pipe Roll, 1 John, Essex and Herts, William the Treasurer accounted for Richard's lands, and was pardoned three-fourths of a year's rent in respect of them. The point is of real interest, because considerable confusion has hitherto prevailed as to the identity of the Treasurer under whom Swereford laboured at the Exchequer with such grateful devotion, and who has been frequently confused with William de S. Mariæ Ecclesia, and with William Longchamps, bishop of Ely, himself. William of Ely, the Treasurer, was also a churchman, and the Stall of Leighton was conferred on him during voidance of the See of Lincoln (Pat. 9 John, m. 6). On the other hand, it should be noticed that no such brother of Richard is mentioned by the historian of Ely (*Liebermann, Einleitung*, p. 30, n. 2); but this may be due to a great disparity in the ages of the brothers, since Richard was introduced into the service of the Crown soon after 1158, and William "Anglicus" does not appear as a Baron of the Exchequer before 1184. Richard the Treasurer died in 1198, and William the Treasurer in 1222; yet, as Bishop Nigel survived till 1169, this younger son may well have lived till the latter date.

to strengthen the suspicion that from the reign of Henry I. onwards, documents of historical or official interest were transcribed in semi-official registers by the hands or under the direction of these treasurers themselves, and at least it can be shown that three important documents were thus preserved.

Early documents enrolled

Of the above the first is the convention of 1101, between Henry I. and Robert, Count of Flanders, which is entered in the Small Black Book of the Exchequer. The second is an establishment of the King's House, which apparently refers to the Ducal Court in Normandy about the year 1135.[1] The third, a Return of Norman Knights' Fees, can be dated in the year 1172, but a still earlier Inquest of the Fees of the Bishopric of Bayeux, appended to this return, goes back to the year 1133.[2] Here then we have documents of an official interest dating from the reign of Henry I., which have been transcribed for better preservation in an official Precedent Book, dated in its present form only from the second quarter of the thirteenth century.[3] It will be obvious that any document preserved in the Norman Exchequer, could not have been copied by an English scribe later than the year 1204, and it is a curious fact that the Inquest of 1133 was taken before Robert of Gloucester, the patron of courtly historians, and the contemporary of Alexander, Bishop of Lincoln, to whom the *Expositiones Vocabulorum* were generally attributed in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries.[4]

in semi-official Registers.

At the same time it cannot yet be proved that these interesting twelfth century fragments were once con-

[1] Stapleton, Norman Rolls, I. xx.

[2] Ibid., II. xi.

[3] More than one of the Returns of Knights' Fees in the Exchequer Registers can be dated in the reign of Henry I.

[4] For the possible origin of the *Expositiones Vocabulorum*, *see* Preface to Part III., where the question is discussed at length.

tained in an official Register in the sense that we apply the term to the later contents of the Red Book. We may even consider them as analogous to the collections of laws, charters, and diplomatic correspondence of a still earlier period, preserved in some lost Register connected with the *Quadripartitus*, which itself was possibly inspired through Archbishop Gerard's connection with the Curia during the first decade of the century. These are, in fact, like the original sections of the Red Book, private collections—*libelli*—evoked by the vigorous existence of a central government, and tinged with a strong monarchical bias—precursors of the *Dialogus de Scaccario* and the *Tractatus de legibus Angliæ*. This book was made for Archbishop Gerard, that for Bishop Alexander; this by Richard the Treasurer, that by Alexander the Archdeacon. There was certainly no other way of learning the country's history and policy from documentary evidence than through the Curia, but a Malmesbury monk instructed by the Bishop of Salisbury in the earlier period, and a St. Alban's monk, by the Archdeacon of Salop, in the later period, were in as favourable a position to all appearance as the King's clerk, who was able to turn over the originals with his own hand. The book could be made, and it was made; it could be inspected by future historians, and it was inspected; the only difference is this, that the borrowed text is often seriously corrupt compared with that of the Register, which contained a direct transcript from the original.

Practice of registering certain Exchequer Precedents.

Still, with all these facilities for hasty perusal or leisurely transcription, there was undoubtedly a *residuum* of highly important documents which seem to have been accessible only to the most privileged historian through the Exchequer itself, probably because these instruments were such as directly or indirectly concerned the state of the King's revenue, and for that reason were transmitted directly into the Barons' Cham-

ber. Here, since the distinction of the Exchequer as an independent and jealously guarded department of the Curia, they were not easily accessible, the proof being that several most important documents remained undiscovered down to our own times, while still more would have shared the same fate but for the accidental connection of three great historians with the Exchequer itself.

Instances of historical documents so preserved,

The documents in the nature of State Papers, dated during the second half of the twelfth century, which are entered in the Red Book of the Exchequer, are seven in number, all of the greatest historical interest. The first of these is the Convention of Westminster, 1153, between King Stephen and Henry Fitz-Empress. The only text of this document hitherto noticed is that preserved in the Exchequer Registers, but, as might have been expected from its date and general interest, two other authoritative texts exist in the Chronicle of Bromton, and the Gurney (Macro) MS.[1] In the case of the next two documents in order of date, however, the Conventions of 1163, between Henry II. and the Court of Flanders, no other text than that of the Red and Black Books is known to exist. The fourth document is the famous Convention of Falaise, otherwise the submission and homage made to Henry II. by William the Lion, in 1175. This was transcribed by Diceto and Benedict Abbas[2] the latter of whom only alludes to the next document of this series—the alleged forged letter of William the Lion to the Pope in the matter of the subjection of the Scotch Church to the See of York[3]—

and sometimes used by contemporary historians.

[1] Since these lines were written Mr. Macray has published the text of the Macro MS. in the Hist. MSS. Commission 1891, Appendix ix., p. 119, *see* Liebermann *Quadripartitus*, pp. 66 and 74, for a full account of the MSS. The context of this treaty is also in Diceto (*anno* 1153) and it is partially transcribed in the MS. Claud. D. II., a fact not generally known.

[2] Diceto, I. 396, Ben. Abb., I. 96.

[3] Ben. Abb, I. 111.

but who again transcribes the release of the Scotch homage made by Richard I. in 1189.[1] The remaining document of the series, the writ of Richard I., authorizing tournaments under certain conditions in 1194, was described by Hoveden, who quoted portions of its contents in a very abridged form.[2]

Inference that they used Exchequer Registers.

It is surely something more than a coincidence that Benedict began to write in 1171, and therefore some years after the date of the first three of the above-mentioned documents, that he has been proved with almost absolute certainty to have been none other than Richard Fitz-Nigel, the King's Treasurer and the author of the *Dialogus de Scaccario*, and that Hoveden, who, following in his footsteps, transcribed the same documents, perhaps independently, and continuing his work from the year 1192, noticed the last of these documents on his own account, was also qualified by his official position to obtain access to Exchequer records.[3] The original of one of the above instruments which still survives enables us to ascertain that the historian's version bears traces of a derivation from another source. What this source may have been the remarkable reference made by a slightly later writer will perhaps enable us to surmise. The reference in question is that previously alluded to of Matthew Paris to the "rolls" or "custumal" of the Exchequer, wherein a certain document of the reign of Richard I. is stated to have been entered, which no longer exists in the Red Book or in any other Exchequer MS.[4]

Matthew Paris and the Exchequer clerks.

Here we are fortunately no longer dependent on a comparison of handwriting or a reconstruction of the

---

[1] Ibid., II. 102.

[2] Hov., III. 268.

[3] In the fine MS. of this historian's work, preserved in the Inner Temple, reference is made to a *Liber Curialis*, which, if it be not actually one of the lost portions of the *Tricolumnus*, may have been a similar semi-official Register of Precedents. Hist. MSS. Com. 1888, Appx. 7. P. 229.

[4] p. xviij.

original folios. We know from the historian's own words that he was in the habit of questioning the Exchequer clerks about matters of state,[1] that he had access to Exchequer records and knew their value,[2] and that he was acquainted personally with Alexander de Swereford, whose capabilities as an historical collector he highly valued.[3] Finally we have two distinct references to Swereford's work which prove that he was in possession of such a Register as, we shall presently see, was probably begun by him early in the reign of John, and added to from time to time down to his very death, a Register of which the contents of the two famous Exchequer MSS. and the historical documents noticed in Benedict and Hoveden, and Matthew Paris alike, are but partial and in many respects disappointing survivals.[4]

Other instances of probable enrolment.

It is possible therefore that the Court historians of the latter part of the twelfth century were indebted to this lost work, or to a kindred Register for other documents than those which are still preserved in the Red and Black Books of the Exchequer. For instance, Hoveden and the author of an Itinerary of Richard I. notice the

[1] "Sicut [audivimus] a fide dignis clericis conclavis qui super hoc rotulos revolverant et summas diligenter computaverant." *Chron. Major*, v. 627. Paris implies elsewhere that he was personally familiar with the Receipt of the Exchequer or the Wardrobe.

[2] "Hæc est forma cartæ revera in Thesauro" *Hist. Minor*, II. 296.

[3] "Magistri Alexandri de Sueреford, in historiis periti et exercitati." Pp. xlviii. and lxij. *infra*, and for the whole subject *Mon. Germ.* xxviii. *See also Chron. Major Addit.*, vi. 519, n. 1.

[4] Referring the reader to an authoritative text of the letter of Pope Innocent III. to King John in the year 1215, Matthew Paris writes, "Respice rotulum de Scaccario Magistri Alexandri de Swereford" (*Hist. Anglor.*, II. 162). Again he writes elsewhere, "Incipe in primo rotulo scripto inter rotulos parvos magistri Alexandri de Swereford, clerici de Scaccario," (Ibid., II. 182). Neither of these documents is to be found in the existing Red Book. Cf. the reference in the Fiefs of Normandy, at fo. 151 d of the MS., to *iste rotulus* as the exemplar, a phrase not found in the French Registers. Cf. also in *Registrum Roffense*, p. 71, "vestros rotulos continentes omnia feoda regni."

convention made in the year 1194 for the entertainment (*corredium*) of the Scotch King at a fixed tariff during his visits to England,[1] and the language of this instrument proclaims its official origin in connection with the *Constitutio Domus Regis* of the Exchequer Registers. This document would almost certainly have been entered as a Precedent, and here by a strange chance the original still exists[2] to prove that the historians derived their texts from some other copy. The circumstantial evidence of the Scotch Documents receives an important confirmation from the proceedings during the assertion of the English Supremacy in 1291–92. On this occasion returns were received by the Crown from numerous English monasteries, in the shape of extracts from the Chronicles in their possession bearing on the question at issue. In only two instances, however, were authentic texts forthcoming of any of the instruments above referred to, the Convention of 1175 having been preserved in the Chronicles of Bridlington and Croyland, to which houses it had probably been communicated during the King's progress from York. In both cases the transcript produced was ignored by the Commissioners, who had the Exchequer Register, or even the originals themselves, in view as evidence for this part of their case, although they gladly availed themselves of such documentary evidence as does not now, and probably did not then, exist in the Red Book.[3]

Exchequer Registers not always available to historians.

For the first quarter or more of the thirteenth century, there is the same gap in the series of historical documents which might be derived from an Exchequer source as existed between the years 1141 and 1170. Several historical memoranda of great interest are

[1] Hoveden, III. 245, *Itinerarium Regis Ricardi*, quoted in Scotch Docts., p. 106.

[2] Palgrave, Scotch Docts., No. xxviij. The original in question was apparently one of the documents (negotia tangentia Angliam) captured at Edinburgh, (Ayloffe, 340.)

[3] Palgrave, Scotch Docts., 134.

known to us only from the transcripts preserved in the Red Book, or from the originals in the few cases in which they have survived. Once more we must suppose that Wendover and Walter of Coventry had no facilities of access to this class of documents.[1] With the appearance of Matthew Paris upon the scene in his own person as a courtier, traveller, and privileged historiographer, a great change is witnessed. Between the years 1236 and 1253, there are no less than twenty-three documents, mostly of the greatest historical importance, entered in the Red Book as possessing more or less of fiscal interest, and of these, all but one re-appear in the historian's text. In one instance, indeed, the latter has improved upon the Exchequer version. The reference given by Matthew Paris to the Exchequer custumal for a further description of Queen Eleanor's coronation has already been alluded to; but conversely, while the Exchequer scribe was unable to attend the ceremony of the royal marriage at York in the winter of 1251 (and so has given a very brief memorandum of the event), the historian, who was evidently an eye-witness of the scene, gives a very detailed narrative. Again, the Exchequer Register has an authoritative version of the *Sententia lata* of 1253, which the historian has evidently copied just as he noticed the Exchequer *memorandum* in the case of Eudes Rigaud, and as he would assuredly have noticed the proceedings in the case of the Bishop of Hereford in 1227, had this latter episode fallen within the period of his own observation. Indeed, nothing proves more strongly the existence of a sharp line of demarkation between the period of

[1] The learned editors of *Mon. Germ.*, xxviij. 15, point out that Wendover might have learned news from the Court, which visited St. Alban's in 1212, 1213, and at other times; but in any case access to Exchequer Records could only be obtained at Westminster itself.

compilation and that of original research in the works of these great historians of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, than the omission by Matthew Paris of two documents dated less than a year before the commencement of his new task. These are the first two letters in the long correspondence connected with the quarrel of the Emperor Frederick II. with the Pope entered in the Red Book and otherwise most carefully transcribed by the historian. These two letters, of course, should have been used by Wendover, together with the other documents omitted between 1202 and 1236, but as Wendover had not made use of them, his successor could not or would not correct the omission.

It may, perhaps, be objected to the foregoing conclusion, that there is no proof that the scribe of the Red Book did not derive these State Papers from the history itself. There is, indeed, no proof to the contrary, but still an inherent improbability.[1] We have already seen from Matthew Paris' own statement, that he derived a certain portion of his documentary evidence from an Exchequer Register, which is not the existing Red Book. Moreover, the historian seems to have obtained more than the twenty-three documents included in the Red Book from this same source to which the Red Book scribe was equally indebted—the collections of Alexander de Swereford, based upon and continuing, or possibly even incorporating, the

[1] The connection between St. Alban's and the Exchequer was undoubtedly a very close one, but this must be interpreted according to Dr. Liebermann's views in *Mon. Germ.*, xxviij. 15, 82. At least we know that there was at St. Alban's no early and authentic collection of State Papers before Wendover and Paris began to write, while of the *Gesta Abbatum*, the above authority pointedly remarks that "per tria "sæcula fere nihil nisi fabula præ- "bent." Dr. Luard, however, believed that these State Papers "were no doubt sent to St. Alban's "by the King for preservation," a view which seems quite untenable (Matt. Paris, III. x.)

earlier Exchequer Registers which were inspired by the inimitable science of Bishop Roger and his four great descendants.

Exclusive custody of Records by the later Treasury.

The evidence which points to the existence of a systematic arrangement of the royal archives by the Exchequer officials early in the reign of Henry II., is confirmed by the instances, above mentioned, of the habitual access to originals or enrolments of historical documents preserved here by successive historians, while the undoubted fact of the immediate and exclusive possession of the most important public and diplomatic documents by the department from the close of the thirteenth century onwards, may be held to confirm the practice of an antecedent period. It is scarcely reasonable to suppose that when, in July 1291, a few months only after the date of the occurrence, in accordance with the King's mandate that the record of the proceedings touching the supremacy question before referred to, should be transmitted to the Prior of Lewes for entry in the Chronicle of that house "ad perpetuam "rei memoriam," the record was forthwith despatched from the Exchequer, under writ of the Treasurer, that this was a premature or isolated practice.[1] Indeed, although a little known, and, perhaps, an unexpected fact, it was the King's Treasury and not the Chancery that furnished the nucleus of the modern collection of State Papers; therefore, from the close of the thirteenth century, we should no longer expect to find the text of a certain class of public instruments entered in the Red Book in the pages of contemporary chroniclers, who no longer had access to these jealously guarded archives, and this, in fact, does prove to be the case.[2]

[1] See also the like entry of these proceedings in the Register of Rievaulx (MSS. Inner Temple, No. 508.)

[2] The collection of Exchequer documents contained in the Cotton MS., Cleo. A. xvi., is one of a few possible exceptions; but this at least appears to have been originally an official compilation.

Henceforth the Exchequer Register was transcribed for official uses until it once more became available as a mine of information to the antiquaries of the sixteenth century.

IV.

Life of Alexander de Swereford.

About the time when Richard Fitz-Nigel, the King's Treasurer, was engaged upon his famous treatise, there was born a statesman and a scholar who was destined to do more than any other man has done for the preservation of the mysteries of Exchequer practice. Alexander de Swereford is known to have flourished during the whole of the reign of John and the first thirty years of Henry III. We have no certain information respecting his birth and parentage, but as he certainly died in the year 1246, still executing several important offices, we may reasonably suppose his age to have been under 70 years. We know, still further, that he was employed in important clerical work at the Exchequer early in the reign of John, and we must suppose that he was at least 20 years of age at that sovereign's accession. Perhaps, therefore, we may conjecturally assign the year 1177, or thereabouts, as the date of his birth. Alexander de Swereford was almost certainly a West-countryman, and two counties may be considered as having a claim to the honour of his birth-place. The surname of Swereford is said to be derived from the parish of that name, about six miles distant from Chipping Norton, in Oxfordshire. Here he may well have been born, since at this date such local *cognomina* were not meaningless, and the name still survived in the neighbourhood in the reign of Edward I.[1] The rich monastery of Oseney had large possessions in these parts, and possibly young Alexander may have been indebted to the good Canons for his education, returning

His connection with Oseney

---

[1] *Rotuli Hundredorum*, II. 726.

later to his native village to assume his first cure as vicar, and afterwards as rector, on the presentation of the Abbey.[1] Swereford's connexion with Oseney appears, at one period of his life, to have been a close one, and we find him executing a deed of assignment to the Abbey of the tithes which he held at Chesterton.[2] The church of Swereford, in the diocese of Lincoln, archdeaconry of Oxford, and deanery of Norton, was returned for Pope Nicholas' taxation as worth 6*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* yearly. It appears from the Oseney Cartulary that this church had come recently into the possession of the Abbey by the bequest of John Gray, Bishop of Norwich, for the maintenance of the canons to pray for his soul, and for all the religious dead; this bequest being confirmed by charter of Henry de Oylli, who had granted the same to the Bishop, and whose family were notable benefactors of the Church of St. Mary of Oseney.[3] Now, as the Bishop died in 1214, Alexander de Swereford could not have been presented before this date. He did not live to take part in the great lawsuit of the Abbey about this donation in 1259, or even in the similar case of the lands of Wanborough in 1250, in which his old colleagues at the Exchequer assisted the King and Council. It is very likely, however, that he was able to render the Abbey good service in the several lawsuits which arose during his term of office as Baron of the Exchequer.[4]

---

[1] Cotton MSS., Vitell. E. xv., the Oseney Cartulary which was unfortunately mutilated by the Ashburnham House fire. The Annals printed in the *Annales Monastici* (Rolls Series), from the Cotton MS., Tit. A. ix., proves a very indifferent substitute. A Cartulary, in the vernacular, is preserved in the Public Record Office amongst the Q. R. Miscellaneous Books, No. 26, and is of the greatest value.

[2] Cart. Mon. S. Peter., Glouc. (Rolls), I. 257.

[3] Q. R. Misc. Bks., No. 26. There had been much litigation previously concerning this manor. (Rot. Cur. Reg., 6 Ric. I., m. 3d and 17. Ibid., 1 John, m. 17d.)

[4] Q. R. Misc. Bks., No. 26, *passim*, and p. xliii. *infra*.

On the other hand there are indications of another close connection with the city of Gloucester. In the cartulary of the monastery of St. Peter there is mention of an Emma de Swereford, who granted two shillings rent "in vico fabrorum" to the Abbey of Tewkesbury.[1] This Emma was possibly his mother, for in a case before the King's Court, Alexander son of Emma impleads Matilda de Brom for lands in Gloucestershire.[2] We also learn that Swereford resigned his prebend at Lichfield in favour of his nephew, Simon of Gloucester, in October 1234, while in the deed of assignment above referred to, he styles himself "de-votus clericus" of St. Peter's Church. or with Gloucester,

Therefore he may have been born and educated at Gloucester, or more probably still born at Gloucester, and educated, or at least professed at Oseney, and this theory harmonizes with his diplomatic employment in the Marches, and with his preferment to the Archdeaconry of Salop. Moreover in 1222 he was appointed to administer the estate of Robert of Gloucester, some time Dean of Chichester, and a debtor of the Crown, whose will was proved in the Diocese of Worcester.[3] and with Shropshire.

Such indications as can be gathered from the phonetic forms of the text of the Red Book point to a south-country extraction, but we cannot suppose that Swereford or his scribe was responsible for the existing form of English fragments in this Register.[4] It is a curious fact, however, that Alexander, Archdeacon of Salisbury, the reputed compiler of the *Expositio Vocabulorum*, in this work was, as Bishop of Lincoln, also closely connected with Oseney, and this connection may have led to the confusion which has prevailed as to the

---

[1] Cart. Mon. S. Peter, Glouc. (Rolls), I. 129.

[2] Rot. Cur. Reg., 1 John, m. 11d.

[3] Rot. Fin., 7 Hen. III., m. 10.

[4] Red Book, fo. 30, and p. lxi.

respective claims of the two Alexanders to the authorship of the Glossary.[1] Swereford's connection with Shropshire seems to be based solely on his archidiaconal administration, although he may be supposed to have made a certain residence there, and there exists a grant made to him to take wood in the forest of Mount Gilbert, for the purpose of building a grange.[2]

Finally it may be observed that in no part of his compilation does he show a local familiarity with any of the above counties, and indeed the greater part of his life must have been passed, as will presently appear, in residence at the Exchequer at Westminster, or at St. Paul's, and in the management of his eastern estates.

Swereford at the Receipt of the Exchequer.

In his preface to the collection of Scutages, Swereford states that he was constantly engaged at the Exchequer, under William of Ely, the King's Treasurer, and apparently in the capacity of his clerk.[3] At first, however, we may suppose that his official duties were of a laborious and even menial nature. It would appear that he was originally in the service of one of the Chamberlains, for in the first year of John, the Seneschal of Normandy, received from the King's treasury of England 200 marks by the hands of Elyas, Serjeant of the Treasury, Alexander, clerk of John de Wika, and Robert, son of Terric, Serjeant of Robert Malduit.[4] In the second year, William of Ely was ordered to forward to the King at Gloucester 200*l.*, which was received by the hands of Alexander the

---

[1] Q. R. Misc. Bks., No. 26.

[2] Pat. 26, Hen. III., Pt. II., m. 7. His predecessor, Archdeacon Simon, seems to have occupied certain houses belonging to the bishopric. (Close, 24 Hen. III., m. 7.)

[3] P. 4. *See Dialogus de Scaccario*, I. 3, *et passim*, for the technical meaning of "militare" at the Exchequer.

[4] Rot. de Oblatis, 1 John, m. 20 d.

clerk and two others.[1] Possibly Swereford (if he may be identified with this Alexander) was sent on this mission owing to his knowledge of the country, but he seems to have been otherwise employed during the next few years in "conducting" drafts of treasure from Westminster or Winchester to Normandy for the service of the French War. In the 2nd year he was again sent with treasure to Normandy, and the bailiff of Barbeflet was commanded to provide for the passage of himself and companions.[2] In the 4th year he twice made the passage by the same route, being described in one roll as Alexander the clerk, and in the other as Alexander the clerk of Winchester, a curious instance of the long-continued association of the Treasury with the old Saxon and Norman capital.[3] In the next year, however, on the occasion of his last recorded mission, he is described as "Alexander cleri-"cus Thesaurarii Londoniæ."[4]

It was during this long period of subordinate service in the Receipt of the Exchequer, which must have extended over more than thirty years,[5] that Swereford obtained that extensive knowledge of the Records of the Court, which he turned to such good account in his compilation.

If the character of the hand-writing and internal evidence justify us in assigning the authorship of the small Black Book of the Exchequer to Swereford, and the date to the first decade of the thirteenth century respectively, and if we can admit the theory which will be presently submitted in respect of the identification of the author's hand-writing with certain portions of the Red Book, then we can point to many

---

[1] Liberate, 1 John, m. 2.

[2] Rot. Norm., 2 John, m. 5.

[3] Rot. Norm., 4 John, m. 10, and m. 7.

[4] Ibid., 5 John, m. 2.

[5] He describes himself in 1230 as still "resident" at the Exchequer and we know that he was not appointed Baron until four years later.

existing specimens of his official handiwork, and particularly to certain portions of the earliest surviving Memoranda Rolls.[1] These last, however, as indicated by the treatise of Richard Fitz-Nigel,[2] seem to have been more or less informal notes of current business—being in fact, as they are headed and indorsed, "Ex-"tracta de Memorandis," or "Memorialia," betraying this informality in one case by the cynical motto, indited in a fair official hand—"Alter alterius *h*onera "portate, et sic adimplebitis legem *Scaccarii*."

In the year 1216 Swereford seems to have been acting as chaplain to the Bishop of Coventry, and he obtained leave in this year to go abroad to meet his patron.[3] In the next reign, however, he obtained more active and important employment, being despatched in May of the 5th year of Henry III. on a diplomatic mission to Lewelyn, Prince of North Wales.[4]

As the King's clerk.

In the year 1227 he was present at the Council held at Westminster, in the chapel of St. John, to decide the dispute between the Bishop of Hereford and the citizens of that town whom the Bishop had excommunicated for assessing a common tallage upon his canons. The case is reported in the famous autograph note entered in the Red Book amongst the Precedents for clerkly privileges, in which the writer describes himself as Alexander, Archdeacon of Salop, then the King's clerk.[5] Swereford must have been presented to the Archdeaconry near the beginning of the reign, since he appears in the 5th year with that title as witness to a charter,[6] being already rector of Swereford. In the 12th year of this reign the Archdeacon was sent on the King's business to

[1] L. T. R. Misc. Rolls, Bdle. 5.

[2] *Dialogus*, II. 2.

[3] Hardy, Catalogue, III. 107. It was doubtless through this connection that he was presented to the prebend of Lichfield before referred to.

[4] Close Roll, 5 Hen. III., m. 11.

[5] Red Book, fo. 47 b.

[6] Cotton MS., Tiber. c. 5., fo. 156.

the Court of Rome, in company with William de Cantilupo, receiving 100*l.* for their expenses,[1] of which he was pardoned the sum of two marks not accounted for.[2] He was already a Canon of St. Paul's,[3] holding the somewhat undesirable prebend of the *consumpta per mare* in Walton, and on the 15th January 1232 he was appointed Treasurer of that church.[4] This office he retained until his death in 1246,[5] although it has been generally stated that he resigned it about the year 1240, and was succeeded by Hugh de Pateshulle,[6] an extraordinary mistake, which is clearly due to a confusion between the offices of Treasurer of St. Paul's and of the Exchequer, since there actually exists a writ tested by Alexander, Treasurer of St. Paul's, in 1240, on behalf of Hugh de Pateshulle, the King's Treasurer.[7]

As Treasurer of St. Paul's.

We have several interesting notices of Swereford in connection with the Church of St. Paul. In the year 1236 he was granted full and free administration of that tower in the wall of the city of London, which is next to Ludgate, towards the North, and he was to erect a building there.[8]

This concession was perhaps connected with several deeds of the period relating to tenements between Ludgate and Fleet Bridge.[9] The Register known as the *Liber*

---

[1] *Liberate*, 12 Hen. III. *Archæologia*, xxviij. 261.

[2] Pat. Roll, 15 Hen. III., m. 19. It appears however from this entry that his companion was Chancellor of St. Paul's; this subsequent transaction may therefore possibly refer to a second mission in or before 1230.

[3] His name appears in several deeds as a witness with other officials of the church from the year 1220 onwards. In Mr. Maxwell Lyte's Report on the MSS. of St. Paul's, Hist. MSS. Commn. (1883), pp. 11[b], 23[a], *et seq.*

[4] Pat. Roll, 16 Hen. III., m. 9.

[5] Q. R. and L. T. R. Memoranda, 20 to 30 Hen. III. *passim*. *Liber Pilosus*, fo. 43d.

[6] Le Neve, *Fasti*, II. 352. Hardy Catalogue.

[7] Q. R. Memor., 24 Hen. III., rot. 9b.

[8] Pat. Roll, 19 Hen. III., m. 8.

[9] Hist. MSS. Commn. (1883), Report on MSS. of St. Paul's, Nos. 296, 297, 1360.

Pilosus of St. Paul's makes frequent mention of his name during this period as "Magister Alexander de "Suereford," or as "Alexander Thesaurarius," or "Archidiaconus Salopisbiriæ," for the most part as a witness in his official capacity of several deeds executed by or in favour of the Church, and also, as will be presently seen, in connection with his own private affairs and benefactions.

Grants made to him by the Crown.

During the first twenty years of the reign of Henry III. Swereford certainly enjoyed a considerable share of the royal favour, as evidenced by several grants made to him during this period. We have no knowledge of his regular occupation, but unless the gap that exists in the Red Book between the years 1212 and 1230 is accidental, we may suppose that he was more frequently to be found about the Court than at the Exchequer, though still nominally resident there. In the 12th year he received a grant of 20 marks annually to maintain himself in the King's service until he should be otherwise provided for.[1] In the 15th year he was allowed for fuel, ten oaks in Windsor Forest, which were intended, doubtless, to be brought by water to London,[2] and following this, in the 16th year, he obtained a grant of the custody of the county of Berks during pleasure.[3] In the 19th year he was allowed to purchase three casks of wine out of the ship of Walter le Fleming at London, after the King had taken his prise-wines therefrom.[4] In the 16th year we find Swereford again employed in a diplomatic mission to Wales, or rather to the Marches, in order to settle certain border disputes, with the assistance of the King's officers in those parts.[5] In the 18th year he received a commission as one of the King's Justices

[1] Pat. Roll, 12 Hen. III., m. 7.
[2] Close Roll, 15 Hen. III., m. 10.
[3] Pat. Roll, 16 Hen. III., m. 7.
[4] Close Roll, 19 Hen. III., m. 16.
[5] Ibid., 16 Hen. III., m. 15 d.

to hold an inquiry respecting the prises of wine in London, taken by Robert de Passelewe and Peter de Rivall as pertaining to the Chamberlainship of London, and the Sheriffs of London were commanded to make proclamation for all who had given presents of lands or tenements on that account to certify the said Justices concerning the same.[1]

But although thus comfortably housed, provisioned and fuelled, and in the enjoyment of a handsome salary, together with several dignified and lucrative employments, there was still further promotion in store for the Treasurer of St. Paul's. On the 6th July 1234, he was appointed to sit as Baron at the Exchequer,[2] and on the 21st of October 1234, he received a grant of 40 marks yearly until the King should otherwise provide for him.[3] On the 21st November 1234, the Treasurer and Chamberlains were commanded to pay 40 marks yearly to him as long as he should sit at the King's Exchequer.[4] By the same patent John the Frenchman and John Haunsel were also appointed Barons, but with salaries of 30 marks only.

As Baron of the Exchequer.

At the Exchequer Swereford was essentially in his element, and the records of the Court during the next twelve year bear ample witness of his legal industry.[5] In his new office he was able to smooth the course of justice for more than one old friend or colleague.

On the 7th October 1234, he tested a writ to compel a fraudulent collector to appear and acquit the Abbot of Oseney of monies levied from his lands.[6] In 1241 he decided a controversy between the Canons

Some cases in which he was engaged.

---

[1] Close Roll, 18 Hen. III., m. 13 d.
[2] Ibid., 18 Hen. III., m. 16.
[3] Pat. Roll, 18 Hen. III., m. 2.
[4] Pat. Roll, 19 Hen. III., m. 18.
[5] Q. R. Memoranda and L. T. R. Memoranda, Communia, 20–30 Henry III. *Teste Alexandro Thesaurario Sancti Pauli*, passim.
[6] Q. R. Memor. Mich. Com., 21 Hen. III.

of St. Bartholomew's and a local land-owner respecting lands in the prebend of Portpool.[1] A year or two later he ordered the Dean and Chapter of St. Paul's to have respite for a forest fine, for which they claimed to be acquitted.[2] He also tested a writ of privilege to stay proceedings by the Sheriff of Essex against Hugh de Pateshulle, the Treasurer.[3] He was, moreover, in a position to assert a like privilege in his own case since the same Sheriff was ordered to bring before the Barons, in the Octaves of Michaelmas 1245, the bodies of Henry le Lechin and others named, to answer to Alexander, Treasurer of St. Paul's, for that they had thrown down his dyke in Andebury, and rooted up his trees there, against the peace of the King, as it is said,—but as we know, from the evidence of the Records of this and the next reigns, as a protest against the decay of that *Pax Regis* which lawyers and clerks had once loved to exalt as a defence against feudal encroachments.[4] A few years before this Swereford had repaired on one occasion, as the Rolls tell us, *ad loquendum cum consilio Regis*, about a knotty point of law.[5] Among the more remarkable cases with which he was concerned was that of the parson of Bradfeud, Norfolk, charged with a grievous assault, apparently, on officials of the Exchequer.[6]

In the 27th year of Henry III., Swereford appeared before the Exchequer to pray for relief in a case wherein he alleged himself to have been oppressed by his feudal lord. It appears from his complaint that he held one knight's fee in Bedfordshire, concerning the possession of which a plea depended in the King's Court between Hubert Earl of Kent and William de Beauchamp. On

[1] Newcourt, *Repertorium*, I. 103.
[2] Q. R. Memor. Trin. Com., 26 Hen. III.
[3] Ibid., 22 Hen. III., Rot. 9b.
[4] Ibid., Trin. Com., 29 Hen. III.
[5] Ibid. Mich Com., 29 Hen. III.
[6] Q. R. Memor. Mich. Com., 29 Hen. III.

the occasion of the levy of a scutage for the Gascon war of the previous year, the earl distrained Swereford as tenant to recover the scutage to which the lord of the fee was entitled by virtue of the King's writ. Swereford, however, relied on the terms of the grant of this scutage "per commune consilium Regni," namely, that it should be collected by the Sheriff and not otherwise, and a writ was accordingly addressed, being attested by the prudent archdeacon himself, to the Sheriff of Bedfordshire, enjoining him to restore the chattels seized by the Earl, and not to allow the scutage to be collected by any other than himself.[1]

He enjoys the continued favour of the Crown.

At some date between 1220 and 1230 we find Swereford recorded as the tenant with W. de Ralegh, of a knight's fee in Bix, Oxfordshire, held of the Countess of Oxford.[2] To the last he was a recipient of the royal bounty, for in 1243 the King granted him the reversion of any living in his gift that should be worth 100 marks,[3] and in the next year he was pardoned for certain fines which he had not accounted for.[4] He also obtained the grant of a certain deodand in Shropshire, namely, the horse from which Stephen de Staunton fell and was killed, the coroners being ordered to distrain the widow to deliver possession of the same.[5]

He was also still occasionally employed by the Crown in business of State. Thus he was one of the Commissioners appointed in 1245 for the delicate task of taking and imprisoning certain workmen of the Mint, if they thought it ought to be done—the Sheriffs of London and the Constable of the Tower having taken and imprisoned the same contrary to the liberties of the King's Mint.[6]

[1] Q. R. Memor. Mich. Com., 27 Hen. III.

[2] *Testa*, I. 446 and 471.

[3] Pat. Roll, 27 Hen. III., m. 5.

[4] Close Roll, 28 Hen. III., m. 11.

[5] Ibid., 29 Hen. III., m. 15.

[6] Close Roll, 29 Hen. III., m. 3 d. He was also actively engaged in superintending the returns made in the several inquisitions erroneously known as the "Testa de Nevill," during this period. (*Testa*, I. 281, *et passim*.)

Swereford's private estates and benefactions.

There is one more side of Swereford's life which demands our attention. It has been already noticed that while his earlier territorial interests were connected with the West, those of his later years, owing to his connection with St. Paul's and a certain Exchequer clique, had shifted to the Eastern Counties. Here he seems to have become possessed of considerable private property in Hertfordshire and Essex. He is stated in a contemporary chronicle to have granted "whatever he "possessed at Tewin" to the Canons of St. Bartholomew, London, and this was apparently sufficient for the endowment of four canons to celebrate divine service for his soul in their church.[1] It appears, however, that the Prior and Canons surrendered the same, which comprised lands in Tewin and the advowson of the church there, before his death.[2]

In the *Liber Pilosus* of St. Paul's several folios are devoted to a particular record of certain deeds and legal proceedings connected with the acquisition by Swereford of certain lands in Fobbing with a special heading and a memorandum, "Nota pro cantaria Alexandri Swerford, "Thesaurarii." This entry records the several proceedings in two conveyances, one of 43*s.* rent from a marsh in Fobbing, and the other of 3 marcates in the manor of Talworth, Surrey.[3] In these proceedings, which extended from the 19th to the 28th years of the reign, Swereford was represented by his colleague William de Haverhulle as his attorney, while Hugh de Pateshulle, the King's Treasurer, repaid an earlier obligation by testing the writs in his favour.

Swereford's name also appears as witness in a charter, which was probably executed after Midsummer 1246, being a grant of the manor and advowson of Melbury

---

[1] *Annal. Dunstapl.* (Rolls), III. 171.

[2] Hist. MSS. Commn. (1883), St. Paul's, No. 1452.

[3] *Liber Pilosus*, fos. 42–44.

to the Bishop of London charged with an ancient rent payable at the Exchequer where this deed was executed.[1] Another deed is witnessed by him in the 28th year, being a quit-claim of lands in Essex to William de Haverhulle, the King's Treasurer.[2]

From the above notices it will be seen that Swereford was engaged in the settlement of his affairs some years before his death. We have good reasons for believing that he literally died in harness. His last appearance as a Baron of the Exchequer was on the 14th July 1246.[3] He therefore sat throughout Trinity Term, but sickened probably during the long vacation and died soon after the commencement of the Michaelmas sittings,[4] or according to several independent authorities, between Michaelmas and Christmas, and probably on St. Frideswide's Day, 19 October 1246.[5] He was buried before the altar of St. Chad, in the Church of St. Paul's, which he had built himself and endowed with a chantry of one priest to celebrate daily for his soul, and for the souls of his successors in office, and all the faithful dead.[6] The account given in the St. Paul's Register

His death

[1] Ancient Deeds, A. 218.

[2] Ancient Deeds, A. 734. *See also* the Burton Cartulary, fo. 9, for another deed of this nature, and the case heard in the King's Court between Alexander de Swereford and Humfrey de Bassingburne, and Albreda, his mother, for the right of presentation to the chapel of Hoddesdon. Coram Rege, Roll. Mich., 26 Hen. III., m. 6.

[3] L. T. R. Memor. Trin. Com., 30 Hen. III.

[4] In one of the Rolls of this year there appear a number of notes upon the business of the Court, together with transcripts of royal writs, which may possibly be in his hand-writing.

[5] *Annal. Dunstapl.*, III. 171. Vitell., E. 15, fo. 198.

[6] Newcourt, *Repertorium*, I. 103. *Archæologia*, lij. 169. Le Neve *Fasti* quotes from the *Liber A.*, fo. 10; and this is probably the notice in the *Liber Pilosus*, fo. 42, where the figures are in Arabic, and resemble x or xj. The Editor is indebted to Dr. Sparrow Simpson's courtesy in giving him facilities for inspecting this precious Register, parts of which must have been compiled under Swereford's direction.

of this endowment is so interesting that it may be transcribed here in full:—[1]

and epitaph.

Nota de Capellano Alexandro de Swereford Thesaurario. "Coram altari[2] requiescit corpus Alexandri de Swereford, quondam hujus ecclesiæ Thesaurarii, qui in vita sua illud altare construxit et constituit unum sacerdotem imperpetuum celebraturum pro anima sua et animabus successorum suorum hujus ecclesiæ thesaurariorum et omnium fidelium defunctorum. Et assignavit annuum redditum ad sustentationem dicti sacerdotis, videlicet, quinque marcarum et trium solidorum. De quo quidem redditu sunt accipiendi 43*s.* de quodam marisco de Fobbinges, qui fuit Gilberti Mauduit, et duæ marcæ de manerio de Thalewurtha in Sureya per manum Johannis de Planaz et hæredum suorum. Et præterea accipienda est una marca de eodem manerio per manus eorundem, quæ debet distribui inter canonicos residentes et clericos chori in aniversario die obitus sui. Decedente vero dicto sacerdote, constituitur[3] alius per thesaurarium qui pro tempore fuit."

An obituary notice of him.

Lastly, it is pleasing to meet with a touching tribute to the worthy Baron's memory in the contemporary history of Matthew Paris, who was able to express himself freely on this subject, on the strength of an acquaintance which has been alluded to elsewhere.[4]

This occurs in the historian's obituary for the year 1246, in the following words:—"Item Alexander de "Suereford, thesaurarius Sancti Pauli, Londoniarum, qui "in ecclesia Sancti Pauli honorificè sepultus, in corporis "elegantia, faciei venustate et multarum scientiarum "dotibus similem sibi in Anglia non reliquit."[5] Truly the like of him was not left in England, for with

---

[1] *Liber Pilosus*, fo. 43d, in margin.

[2] A blank in MS.; according to tradition it should be the altar of St. Chad.

[3] *Constituetur* in MS. In *Archæologia* 52, p. 169, the value is returned as 40*s.*

[4] pp. xxx. and lxij.

[5] *Hist. Major*, IV. 587.

this patient scholar, large-hearted churchman, and devoted servant of the Crown, there passed away the last of a long line of literate clerks reaching back, through an unbroken tradition of Exchequer practice, to the opening years of the twelfth century.

The above sketch is a mere outline of the materials which may exist for the life of a typical Exchequer official of the thirteenth century, whose personality has been invested with a peculiar importance through his connection with a famous historical record, and whose reputation has been enhanced by the repeated testimony of many distinguished scholars.[1] But as the facts connected with his official life have hitherto been involved in much obscurity, so it still remains to be seen what was his exact share in the compilation of the Red Book of the Exchequer.

V.

Description of the Exchequer MS.

The Red Book of the Exchequer is a very thick quarto, 13½ inches in length by 9½ inches wide, and 3½ inches deep, weighing 9¾ pounds. It is distinguished, like other Registers of the Queen's Remembrancer's Department, by the over-hanging flaps of the soft leather binding with which the older binding was covered, according to tradition, in the reign of Elizabeth, being secured at the sides with brass studs. The original boards, bound in faded red leather, may still be seen beneath this ample covering, and these may be supposed to bear date not later than the reign of Edward III., when the volume is believed to have been thoroughly repaired.[2]

The parchment folios are 345 in number, of which 25 are fly-leaves at the beginning and end of the book, and most of them are yellow and highly polished through exposure and constant use. The earlier portion of

[1] *e.g.* Le Neve, Madox, Newcourt, Hardy, Liebermann.

[2] Issue Roll, Mich. 44, Edw. III.

the MS. is written in double columns in a hand of the second quarter of the thirteenth century, and is finely rubricated. The later additions, from the middle of the thirteenth century onwards, are written for the most part on the whole width of the folios, and are not rubricated.

The Hargrave MS.

The MS. volume,[1] catalogued as No. 313 of the Hargrave Collection in the British Museum, is a folio bound in black leather, containing a transcript of the earlier portion of the Red Book of the Exchequer, with certain Exchequer matter bound in with it. A comparison of the two MSS. gives us the following results:—

The whole of the earlier portion of the Red Book, beginning at fo. 16 with the "Laws of Henry I." and ending at fo. 233d. with the "Roll of Robert Mantel" (with the exception of the "Scutages" and certain miscellaneous Returns and Precedents entered on fo. 231d. *et seq.*, which the scribe probably found a difficulty in reading, and for which he left space), is transcribed here in a hand of the middle of the thirteenth century.

The "Scutages" have not been transcribed, or more probably the transcript has been lost, its place being supplied by a transcript in a clerical hand of the end of Henry III.'s reign, without the Preface and on different parchment.

A quaternion of the transcript of the Barons' Certificates is also missing, namely, for the whole of Shropshire (except the first part of Fitz-Alan's fief) and for the whole of Herefordshire (except the last two charters and part of Richard de Candos's fief).

With these exceptions, the MS. apparently comprises every entry in the Red Book of the Exchequer

[1] This MS. was described some years ago by Dr. Liebermann in *Neues Archiv der Gesellschaft*, x. 594.

down to the year 1251, but none that were made in and subsequent to the year 1253. Thus the *Sententia lata* appended to the confirmation of Magna Carta in May 1253, is omitted, as likewise is Grosseteste's letter to the Pope in the same year.[1]

The whole of this transcript is in a hand which somewhat resembles that of the earlier portion of the Red Book. It may be regarded as a copy made, not from the Red Book itself, but probably from the same exemplar, and, unlike the Exchequer MS., completed at one time, the several entries being made without any break, and the rubrication and the distinction of the paragraphs being very carelessly and often unintelligently executed.[2]

The above brief analysis of the Hargrave MS. will perhaps serve sufficiently to indicate its probable origin and present value, and as a careful examination failed to disclose any readings or variations of interest, but rather an aggravation of the worst errors of the Exchequer MS., it has not been thought desirable to collate this MS. with the Red Book, except for the purpose of restoring certain defaced or missing passages in the latter, or of identifying certain doubtful readings.

The Cottonian MSS.

Next in importance to the Hargrave MS. is the Cotton MS., Cleo. A. xvi., which seems to have been either a transcript from the Exchequer MS. or an independent compilation of Exchequer Precedents. It contains parallel MSS. of the *Dialogus* and of the Treatise on the Mint at fo. 259 d. of the MS.

A comparison of the Table of Contents of the *Liber Custumarum*, or Guildhall Register (now

[1] On the other hand, several interesting entries are preserved in this MS. which are not found in the existing Red Book, but which probably once existed in the original Register of the Exchequer. (*See* Preface and Appendix to Part II.)

[2] The difficulties which occur in the Inquisitions of Dorset and Somerset, are made still greater in this transcript which usually omits the interesting marginal notes in several parts of the Exchequer MS.

Claud. D. ii.), with that appended to the Preface of this volume, will show that if not derived from a common source as far as the historical documents are concerned, they were at least compiled on the same plan. Some further account of the MS. origins of the *Liber Custumarum* will be given in the Preface to Part III.

Later transcripts of the Red Book of the Exchequer, more or less complete, abound in various Manuscript Collections of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the best known being the Cotton MS., Claudius C. iv., and an Elizabethan transcript in the Public Record Office. None of these transcripts requires any special description.

The Black Book of the Exchequer.

A considerable portion of the contents of the Red Book of the Exchequer is preserved in a still earlier MS., known as the Small Black Book of the Exchequer. This is a small folio, the ancient black leather binding of which is now protected, as above mentioned, by a modern red leather cover. The character of the hand, which is the same throughout the volume, and internal evidence, assign the date of its compilation to the reign of John.[1]

The greater part of its contents consists of a text of the "Barons' Certificates," which is in substance identical with the Red Book text, and which must be regarded as the earliest existing, if not the original text, of a compilation which, by his own statement, was the work of Alexander de Swereford.

The remaining documents included in both volumes are the "Constitutio Domus Regis," the "Conventions with Flanders," the "Treaty of Westminster," 1153, and a copy of the "Boulogne Inquisition," entered in the Red Book under the 13th year of John.

---

[1] Older antiquaries ascribed it, like the very similar Register of Templars' lands, c. 1185, to the reign of Henry II.

The obvious theory that the MS. of the Baron's Certificates in the Red Book is merely a transcript of the MS. known as the Small Black Book of the Exchequer includes the following propositions:— Its relationship to the Red Book: Points of resemblance.

1. That the Red Book scribe was a very faithful and consistent copyist of the the MSS. set before him.

2. That many instances occur in which the scribe has imitated letters or words, and has repeated blanks and other omissions in his exemplar.

3. That he has incorporated in his text many passages that were evidently later additions in the exemplar, regardless of the consequent anachronisms.

4. That this faithful method of transcription, extending even to the imitation of letters and words, and the incorporation of later and anachronistic additions, applies in the fullest sense to this text of the *Cartæ*.

The correctness of the first of these propositions can easily be ascertained by a general examination of this portion of the MS. The application of this and the remaining propositions to the present case can best be judged by the following instances. More than two hundred such instances have been collected, but it will perhaps be sufficient to enumerate only a few in order to economize a very limited space.

It is a peculiarity of the Black Book MS., that the scribe's "F" at times exactly resembles an "R." Similarly, it may easily be mistaken for a "K." Now the scribe of the Red Book writes *Raveirches* for *Faveirches*,[1] and *R. de Lisuris* for *F*[*ulco*] *de Lisuris*.[2] He even writes *Robertus* for *Folbertus*.[3] The "D" of the Black Book is remarkably like an "A." The

---

[1] P. 306.

[2] P. 333. On the strength of this mis-reading, Dugdale has invented a *Richard de Lisuris* as the tenant for this period.

[3] P. 347. This looks as though the exemplar had "*Fobertus*."

Red Book scribe writes "Avus" for "D[omi]nus," regardless of the sense.[1] The former does not dot his "i's," and makes his "r" like an "i." The latter, who always changes "i" into "y," writes *Danmaytin* for *Danmartin.*

The Black Book text contains several senseless or ungrammatical readings, and these are usually repeated in the Red Book. For instance, "quantum" for "quartum"; "alteram feodum"; "hos esse feodos," and many more.

Blanks and omissions in the Black Book are almost invariably reproduced in the Red Book,[2] and if an initial only is given in the one, it is seldom extended in the other. The Black Book, by a unique omission reads *Nigellus de Luvetot* [*j*] *hidam et dimidiam* and the Red Book, by a similarly unique omission, repeats the formula.[3] It is a characteristic of the Exchequer texts, that a numeral adjective in one is usually substituted for a numeral figure in the other, and *vice versâ.* The Black Book has *j feodo* in error for *in feodo,* and the Red Book clinches the mistake by giving *uno feodo.*[4] The Black Book has *Ricardus de Engaine,* the *de* being faintly sub-punctuated; the Red Book inserts the *de* which is certainly superfluous.[5] The former writes *dim*[*idium*] *feod*[*um*], the first letter being written on an erasure, and almost illegible. The Red Book reads the four remaining minims as *iiij feoda.*[6]

Case of the barony of Walter Brito.

But the most convincing proof of the above assertion is this, that in a great many cases, later additions in the Black Book text, have been incorporated in the current text of the Red Book, without the least regard for the consequent anachronisms. Thus in the charter of Walter Brito, the Black Book adds a marginal note

---

[1] P. 339.
[2] *See* p. 208.
[3] P. 371.
[4] P. 231.
[5] P. 329.
[6] P. 313.

in a later hand, as follows :—*Modo tenet baroniam istam Ricardus filius Willelmi Brewer.* In the Pipe Roll, 13 John, we find that Richard Brewer "holds 15 knights which Walter Brito sometime held." The Red Book scribe, however, embodies this marginal note in the text, as though Richard had been the contemporary of the 1166 tenant.[1]

Case of the barony of Richard's Castle.

Again, in the Red Book, the tenant of the Barony of Richard's Castle is given as Robert de Mortimer, in right of his wife the heiress of Hugh de Say. On the strength of this entry, Dugdale has invented a pedigree of Mortimer of Richard's Castle, dating from before 1166. The fact is, that the scribe of the Red Book assimilated a later addition in the Black Book into his text; this addition having been made, it can be proved, between the years 1207 and 1219, since Hugh de Say died in or before the former year, leaving his heiress unmarried,[2] and Robert de Mortimer in or before the latter year.[3]

To the same date, or at least to the close of the reign, may be assigned the later additions in the Black Book, which mention the tenures of Richard Revel, William de Wrotham, Robert Bardulf, and Hubert de Burgh[4]; and many other instances could be given to show that these later additions were made between the dates assigned here.

Points of difference between the MSS.

On the other hand, this very fidelity of the scribe to the text of his exemplar may be adduced as evidence against this theory of the transcript having been made from the MS. of the Black Book, since words and entries which occur in the latter are omitted in the former, which also contains some which are not found in the older MS. Again, entries are transposed in the Red Book, often at a considerable interval, as in the case of the

---

[1] P. 232.
[2] Rot. Fin., 9 John, m. 8.
[3] Rot. Fin., 3 Hen. III., m. 4.
[4] P. 235. For the last-named, *see* Inq. P. M., 3 Edw. I., No. 66.

Archbishop of Canterbury's knights.[1] Moreover, the treatment of the rubrics and the distinction of paragraphs in the Red Book, afford evidence of an independent construction, quite inconsistent with the previous estimate of the method of the scribe, so that we must suppose either that the marked resemblance between the two MSS. is purely accidental, or that there exists some other and hitherto unsuspected relationship between them. This latter theory is so startling, and affects the whole question of the composition of the Red Book of the Exchequer and kindred Registers so intimately, that it will be necessary to consider its development at some length. The theory in question may be stated briefly as follows: that the text of the Baron's Charters in the Red Book was transcribed, not from the Black Book text, but from another MS. by the same hand, written at a later date, and embodying the later additions posted in the original.

Date of the Black Book proved by later additions.

It is almost certain that the Black Book MS. was written during the early part of the reign of John. The hand is distinctly a official one of that period, and we have the following proofs that it was compiled about the seventh year of the reign:—In the first place, the several political charters which immediately follow the Baron's Certificates, can all be dated before the year 1206, and these are followed, after an interval of space, by a few later documents, such as the Proclamation concerning William de Braose, which can be dated 1210. This, and especially the manucaption which follows at fo. 84, has every appearance of being a contemporary entry. Then with another slight break, and in a still later hand, follows the text of the Bologne Inquisition, apparently transcribed in 1211. In the next place we have the following remarkable evidence from the Baron's Certificates themselves:—In the charter of William son of

[1] P. 193.

Richard, an entry occurs, as a later addition, to the effect that William Briwerre holds Chesterfield by the service of one knight.[1] The grant of Chesterfield was made in the sixth year of John, and therefore it follows that the MS. in which this addition was made was written in or before that year.[2] In another addition, at the end of the Bishop of Durham's charter, Richard de Scalis is mentioned as holding lands in Husseberne, which he obtained not later than the year 1207.[3] In the last entry of the Charters, Theobald son of Walter is given as tenant.[4] He was alive in 1205,[5] but was dead apparently in 1207;[6] therefore, as this entry is a later addition, the date should be between these years.

The next circumstance that attracts our attention is, that the additions to this MS. seem to have been made, for the most part, between the years 1206 and 1212. For example:—Baldwin de Betun, Earl of Albemarle, is mentioned as the tenant of Liston, co. Bucks; he died in 1212. Now the scribe of the Red Book is not content with merely copying this and other later additions as they stand, but varies them by allusions which, it is insisted, could only have been made by a contemporary. For example:—The Earl of Albemarle here referred to obtained that title by his marriage with Hawisia, the heiress of William le Gros. He died in 1212, and his wife and her son successively enjoyed the title; but there was a possible claimant in the person of Ranulf de Eston, who derived from a sister of the countess, and whose descendant formally advanced his claim to the Earldom in the reign of Edward I.[7] This Ranulf seems to have lived in the reigns of

Case of the barony of Albemarle.

---

[1] P. 344.
[2] Rot. Chart., 6 John, No. 85. Cart. Antiq., A. A., No. 20.
[3] P. 418.
[4] P. 445.
[5] Rot. Fin., 7 John, m. 16.
[6] Ibid., 9 John, m. 11.
[7] Rot. Parl., 9 Edw. II., m. 9, Close Roll, 6 Edw. I., m. 7.

Richard I. and John,[1] and it is a curious fact that the scribe of the Red Book on more than one occasion pointedly omits the name of Baldwin, and once actually substitutes that of Ranulf.[2] How, it may be asked, is it possible that a scribe who wrote in 1230 should have been aware of a claim which may have been discussed in 1212, but which certainly had no justification during the next sixty years?

Case of the Bishopric of Chichester.

Again, the scribe of the Red Book, in the Charter of the Bishop of Chichester, gives that prelate's name as Nicholas.[3] The Black Book, following the original Charter (one of the two that still survive), correctly writes Hilarius. It is scarcely conceivable that a scribe, writing in the year 1230, in which the Preface to the Scutages is dated, so mechanical and so ignorant of the ordinary chronology of the reign of John, should have remembered the story of Nicholas de Aquila and his wrongs. It was at some date between the years 1208 and 1213, that this worthy Dean of Chichester is alleged to have been elected as Simon de Wells' successor,[4] and therefore it is only possible to suppose that the scribe who wrote this name, gave, by a common clerical error, that of the contemporary bishop-elect instead of that of the prelate of 1166.

Theory of an intermediate exemplar of the Red Book.

If, therefore, we could suppose, in the first place, that another MS. of the Barons' Charters was written at the close of the reign of John; and secondly, that it sufficiently resembled the earlier text to account for the similarities and palpable imitations which have been noticed above, we might readily believe that this MS., and not

---

[1] Plac. Coram Reg. Mich., 2 John, Rot. 8 and 15. *See also* Rot. Cur. Reg., 10 Ric. I., m. 3 d.

[2] P. 318.

[3] P. 198.

[4] Le Neve, *Fasti*, I. 239, *Annal. Dunstapl.* (III.) 31. *Annal. Oseney* (iv.) 54.

the existing Black Book, was the exemplar used by the scribe of the Red Book about the year 1230.[1]

The main part of the earlier portion of the Red Book is in two different hands; both these have been generally ascribed to Swereford himself, chiefly on the ground of a supposed autograph notice in each. One of these occurs in the Preface to the Scutages, which are written in the same hand as the Charters, Inquisitions, and other portions of the Feodary.[2] The second reference occurs in a note indorsed upon the *Dialogus* in the same hand as the Abstracts of the Sheriffs' Accounts, and the curious synopsis of the Scutages and Charters[3]; and here, too, another allusion to an earlier compilation by Swereford is found. In the first place, however, it should be observed, that if the notice in the Scutages be an original one, that contained in the outside leaf of the *Dialogus* is not in the same hand. Moreover, there is nothing to show that it was worded by Swereford himself, and the expression "tunc clericus Regis," rather tends to show that it was written by another hand. This view is confirmed by a third notice in the Synopsis in the same hand as the last, to the effect that a certain total, which could not be

Swereford's supposed autograph notes.

[1] It may be of interest to mention that Mr. J. H. Round arrived at a very similar conclusion after a simultaneous and wholly independent examination of the two texts. His opinion, which he kindly communicated to the present editor, is supported with much learning, and may be summarised as follows:—That the MS. from which the existing Red Book was derived was also the exemplar of the Black Book text of the *Cartæ*; that it was in the form of a roll without rubrics or distinctions of paragraphs; and that the two scribes treated it each according to his own judgment and knowledge, a fact which will account for the remarkable variations which are evident in the rubrics and paragraphs. It will be observed, however, that this conclusion affects only the texts of these Barons' Charters, and not the view of the Red Book at large, as a transcript from an earlier Exchequer Register, which has been advanced here.

[2] Fo. 47, and p. 3 of this edition.

[3] Fo. 46 d. *See also* two other personal notes (which have not hitherto been observed) at fos. 186 and 232 of the MSS.

reconciled with the items in the Pipe Roll, was given on the authority of Alexander the Archdeacon; this occurs on the last page of the Synopsis, where the fees held in Cornwall are set out with the total appended in these words, *Summa horum iiij^{xx} iiij^{or} milites et dimidium et tertia et quarta, per Alexandrum archidiaconum.*[1] Now the reference to this abstract can be identified with the return of Scutages for Cornwall in the 18th year of Henry II., and the reason for this special note is seen to be the discrepancy between the total of the fees enumerated in the Synopsis (which amount to 81, $\frac{1}{3}$, and $\frac{1}{4}$), and that of the exemplar from which the scribe of the Synopsis was copying. This exemplar was not the Pipe Roll itself, which agrees with the Synopsis in every particular, neither was it the Red Book text of the Scutages, in which the figures indeed are as usual erroneous, but do not make 84, but 72; therefore it is obvious that some other compilation of Alexander the Archdeacon is here referred to which no longer exists.[2]

The Synopsis affords further evidence in support of this view from the general character of the text, which differs in many important particulars from that of the earlier portion of the MS.[3] Thus we find under this same county, Cornwall, a note respecting the Knights' Fees of Reginald de Valle Torta and others under the

[1] Fo. 230.

[2] With this should be compared the passage at fo. 144 of the MS. *Willelmus filius Martini, v milites et dimidium; et secundum Librum x milites et dimidium.* This reference, however, is not to any earlier list in the MS., where the tenant figures in the Synopsis and Scutages with the lower assessment. Here again, therefore, a lost Register (which was apparently used as an exemplar) is referred to, for "Liber" in this sense meant a Register, as the well known entries "in Libro" for the *Testa de Nevill* prove.

[3] For instance, the entries respecting the fees of Richard de Reimes and William de Reimes (Essex, 14 Hen. II.), where the Synopsis states correctly the facts which are totally misrepresented or omitted in the Red Book text of the Charters and Scutages.

marginal heading "*Secundum Cartas*," as follows :— "Reginaldus de Valle Torta lj milites secundum quod "Lucas filius Bernardi seneschallus ejus mandavit per "litteras Baronibus de Scaccario in anno vj Regis "Ricardi, et habet Marescallus litteras illas." Similar entries follow for Robert de Cardinan and Geoffrey de Lacellis, but none of this information is to be found either in the Feodary or in the Pipe Roll, and we are left to suppose that it was derived from a lost Register of which the Synopsis was an independent abridgement, and the Scutages and Baron's Charters very concise and rather inaccurate copies.

Finally, we have the evidence afforded by the obvious reproduction in the Hargrave MS. of the peculiarities of this lost exemplar, for although this transcript very closely resembles the texts of both the existing Exchequer MSS., a careful comparison will show that it was not copied directly from either.[1]

Date of the original MS.

It remains to be seen how far the earlier portion of the Red Book may be regarded as a transcript made about the year 1230. In the first place, it is evident that the several articles or treatises in this portion of the work were originally written and bound up as distinct volumes. The text of the *Leges Henrici Primi*, if it is not in a different hand, was not written at the same date as the Charters and Scutages The same remarks apply to the *Dialogus*, the Scutages, Charters, Inquisitions, and Serjeanties. For example, the *Dialogus* was apparently copied before 1227, for a report of the proceedings of the Council in that year is endorsed upon it in another but a contemporary hand, and the Charters also were probably written before 1230, as proved by the

[1] In addition to the variations noted in certain tested passages, the Hargrave MS. contains four important documents of the first half of the 13th century which are not found in the Red Book at all, but which must apparently have existed in the exemplar, from which both MSS. were directly or indirectly derived. (*See* P. lj *supra* and Preface and Appendix to Part II.)

later addition of the Northamptonshire fief of Walter de Preston, who died in or before 1230.[1] Each of these pieces formed, it is suggested, a distinct *Rotulus* or *Libellus*, the terms applied to them by Matthew Paris and by Swereford himself.[2] About the year 1230, these Libelli were probably bound together. At the same time the Preface to the Scutages was written on a blank folio preceding the text, and the *Constitutio Domus Regis* was also inserted on the blank folio at the end of the *Leges*, both these pieces having been written at one time and crowded into a given space.

Conclusion suggested by this evidence.

We may now advance to the following definite conclusion, that in or about the year 1206, Swereford (then entering as we have seen upon a course of more responsible and purely clerical labour at the Exchequer, in the capacity of clerk to William of Ely) compiled the existing Black Book of the Exchequer from the original certificates in the manner described in his Preface to the Scutages. Between the years 1206 and 1212, he "posted" his volume from time to time with those notices of contemporary changes of tenure which have proved such a fruitful source of confusion and error, and in or about the latter year he commenced a new work on a greater scale, which was the custumal known to Matthew Paris, and the probable exemplar of the existing Red Book of the Exchequer. In the latter work we are at once struck with the circumstance that several of the principal collections were evidently compiled about the year 1212. This has been already referred to in the case of the Barons' Charters, and it will be found that

Coincidence of dates.

[1] Rot. Fin., 15 Hen. III,, m. 9.

[2] *See* p. xxx. One more piece of evidence may be introduced here in proof of the assertion that the historical portions of the MS. do not represent Swereford's original or his finished work. The following note occurs in the Cotton MS., Nero D. I., of Mathew Paris's History, "*Secundum assertionem "magistri Alexandri de Suereford "—Rex Offa habuit sub sua di- "tione in principio suæ domina- "tionis tantum novem provincias "quas Angli Sires appellant.*" (Chron. Major., vi. 519 *n.*). We naturally turn to the list of English Shires in that portion of the MS. attributed to Swereford, but no such statement can be found there.

the Inquisitions also conclude abruptly in that year, as do the Scutages. It is true that Swereford refers in his preface to the latter collection, as a work undertaken in the year 1230. As, however, there is no proof that this statement is in the author's own hand, it might be possible that the current date, 1230, was given to this later edition of the Register up to date after an interval of nearly twenty years.

Deficiencies of the existing MS.

Unfortunately it would seem probable that we have to deplore the loss of several important pieces of the original work, for a close inspection of the MS. shows that a considerable number of folios, and even some quaternions, are missing. But apart from these losses, it is difficult to believe that Swereford's original work should have stopped short in the year 1212, to be continued in a desultory fashion after the year 1230, and that the official experiences of the best years of his life should have remained wholly unrecorded, except by the report of the case in 1227 before referred to.[1] It is also significant that this obvious void was partially, but very imperfectly, filled by a later scribe, writing apparently soon after his death. Moreover, we have seen that certain documents were inspected by Matthew Paris during Swereford's life in an Exchequer Register which can scarcely have been the existing Red Book, and it has also been seen that other documents were entered in the latter Register before the middle of the reign of Edward III. which are no longer found there. We know further that in one case, and probably in others, the missing folios have been to some extent replaced by additions made on the fly-leaves and blank margins. These later additions, being from the end of the thirteenth century of a purely official nature, were continued

[1] It is highly probable that we have in the case of the miscellaneous collections entered at fos. 184 *d.*–233 the remains of one of Swereford's "Parvi Rotuli" or official Note-books, for, apart from external evidence, the date of compilation is fixed by the table of regnal years ending thus "Johannes, xviij. annos et." (*See* P. lxj *supra* and Preface and Appendix to Part II.)

down to the end of Edward III.'s reign, when the volume was probably rebound. Lastly, we know that between the reign of Henry VI. and that of Elizabeth still later additions were inserted on the fly leaves at the beginning and end of the book.

Plan of this Edition.

The plan of the present Edition will be easily gathered from an inspection of the following Table of Contents; but it may be convenient at this point to make a short statement as to its actual scope. The work is divided into three Parts, in which the prefatory matter and the Text are both paged continuously throughout, and an Index and Glossary to the whole work will be found at the end of Part III. For a detailed explanation of the several methods employed in the preparation of this Edition, the Reader is referred to the conclusion of the Preface to Part III. With reference to the classification of this Register,[1] it will be found that the larger portion of the Feodary has been printed in Parts I. and II. of this Edition, whilst some pieces have been omitted from want of space, and also because the information supplied might possibly be obtained from other sources. At the same time the texts of early Laws, Charters, and State Papers, with other historical matter entered in the original Register, have been omitted, most of these having been already printed in the *Fœdera* and other standard editions.[2] This disposes of all the "original matter" in the Register, namely, that distinguished by Mr. Hunter from the "superinduced matter," which was added on the fly-leaves at the beginning and end of the book, from the time of Edward I., and which has been printed, with some exceptions, such as the series of Ancient Deeds, in Part III. of this Edition for the first time.

[1] P. vj.

[2] A much needed revision of the existing texts will be a feature of the great work upon which Dr. Liebermann has been so long engaged.

TABLE OF THE CONTENTS

OF THE

RED BOOK OF THE EXCHEQUER.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
1	Inside of cover.	A table of references to some of the most notable entries in this book in a late hand.	—	—
2	Fly-leaf.[1]	Form of writ to the mayor, &c. of Bristol. The same for the city of Exeter. The same to the constable of Dover and warden of the Cinque Ports, and to the mayor, &c. of Rye. 16th cent. Lat.	—	—
3	Fly-leaf.	"De Multuris Episcoporum," being memoranda of the delivery of certain chattels for the king's use by the executors of the under-mentioned deceased prelates before the barons of the Exchequer :—	—	P. 1040–1042.
		William, Bishop of Norwich, 16 Edw. I.	Q.R. Memor., Mich.Com., 16 & 17 Edw. I., rot. 1.	

[1] This and the next folio are unnumbered, and in the nature of flyleaves. They are followed by a blank folio.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
3—*cont.*	Fly-leaf.	Henry de Branceston, Bishop of Salisbury, 16 Edw. I.	Q.R. Memor., Pasch. Com. 15 and 16 Edw. I., rot. 5 d.	
		[Stephen,] Bishop of Chichester, 17 Edw. I.	[Q.R. Memor., Trin. Record, 17 Edw. I., rot. 54.]	
		Walter de Hasulohaghe, Bishop of Bath and Wells, 2 Edw. II.[1]	Q.R. Memor., Hil. Record, 2 Edw. II., rot. 56 d.	
		[William,] Bishop of Winchester, 25 Edw. III.	Originalia, 25 Edw. III., rot. 20.	
		B[oniface,] Archbishop of Canterbury, 55 Hen. III.		
		Richard Clifford, Bishop of London, 9 Hen. V.	Q.R. Memor., Hil. Record, 9 Hen. V., rot. 7.	
		Robert, Bishop of Chichester, 36 Edw. III. 16th cent. Lat.	L.T.R. Memor., Trin. Record, 36 Edw. III., rot. 1 d.	
4	i[2]	The oath of the Marshal of the marshalsea of the Exchequer. 17th cent. Engl.	—	First Report of the Committee on the Public Records (1800), pp. 233–237.[3]

[1] This entry is repeated below in an abbreviated formula, after the notice concerning Boniface, Archbishop of Canterbury, and it is again entered at fo. 211 d. (No. 159).

[2] This and the succeeding 13 folios are numbered 1 to 14 in a modern hand.

[3] Most, if not all, of these oaths appear to be entered in the Red and Black Books of the Exchequer at Dublin. A few of the English versions will be found in the Cotton MSS., Vesp. c. 14, and in other collections. The greater part of the English formulæ appear to be in a hand of Edward VI., and in all cases where the invocation to the saints should occur, it is omitted, and is also struck out where it has occurred in earlier entries.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
5		The oath of the Under Ushers. 17th cent. Engl.	—	First Report, 233–7.
6		The Messengers' oath. 17th cent. Engl.	Cf. Liber Niger Scaccarii, fo. 46.	Ibid.
7		The oath of the Appraisers of the Port of London. 17th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
8	i d.	The Examiner's oath. 17th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
9		The oath of the Chief Usher of the Exchequer. 17th cent. Engl.	Cf. Liber Niger Scaccarii, fos. 85, 86.	Ibid.
10	ii d.[1]	The oath of the Barons (original attached). 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
11	ii d.–iii	Transcript of the above, varying the invocation clause, and inserting the supremacy clause, afterwards cancelled.[2] 16th cent. Engl.	—	—
12	iii d.	The oath of the Clerk of the Pleas in the Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	First Report, 233–7.
13		The oath of the Deputy Chamberlain. 16th cent. Engl.	Cf. Liber Niger Scaccarii, fo. 12 b.	Ibid.
14	iv	The oath of the Foreign Apposer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.

[1] Fo. ii is blank.

[2] Not printed in the Report.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
15	iv	The oath of the Comptroller of the Great Roll. 16th cent. Engl.	—	First Report, 233–7.
16		The oath of the Attorneys in the Office of Pleas in the Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
17	iv d.	The oath of the Surveyor - general of Crown lands, &c. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
18	v[1]	Bull of Boniface VIII. to the Archbishops, Bishops, Abbots, and clergy of England requiring the levy of a tenth of all ecclesiastical property during three years for the relief of the Holy Land. The Lateran, 4 kal. Mar. anno 7.[2] 14th cent. Lat.	—	P. 1048.
19	v d.	The same to Edward [I.], King of England, granting to him a half of the tenth to be levied within England and Ireland during the same period. The Lateran, 4 id. Mar. anno 8.[2] 14th cent. Lat.	—	P. 1052.

[1] The fly-leaves of the original volume seem to begin here.

[2] Several Bulls of nearly the same date and on the same subject are printed in the *Fœdera*, others are entered in the Liber A., fos. 1 to 28, and also in the Q.R. Memoranda, *e.g.* Trin. Communia, 8 Edw. II., but no originals or inrolments of these three instruments can be found; however cf. Potthast, *Regesta Pont.* 25, 131, and Calendar of Papal Registers, Vol. I., P. 599.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
20	vi	Bull of Clement V. to Edward, King of England, confirming the above grant of half the tenth aforesaid. Avignon, 7 kal. Jun. anno 4.[1] 14th cent. Lat.	—	P. 1053.
21	viii[2]	The oath of the Remembrancer of the Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	First Report, 233–7.
22	viii d.	The oath of the Treasurer of the King's Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid, 216 and 233.
23	ix	The oath of the Sub-Treasurer. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid, 233–7.
24		The oath of the Auditors. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
25	ix d.	The oath of the Ingrosser of the Great Roll. 16th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
26	x (*rider*)	Oath of the Mayors (of London and Oxford), attached here apparently instead of on fo. xi, where it is transcribed into this book.[3] 14th cent. French.	—	—

[1] *See* note on previous page.
[2] Fos. vi d., vii, and viii are blank.
[3] Not printed in the Report, cf. *Liber Albus* I., 306.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
27	x	Translation of the above into English. 15th cent.	—	First Report, 233–7.
28	x d.	The oath of the Escheators. 15th cent. Engl.	—	Ibid.
29		The oath of the Chancellor of the King's Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl.	MS. Cott., Tit. B. IV., fo. 18 d.	Ibid.
30	xi	Oath of the Lord Mayor of London.[1] 15th cent. French.	—	*Liber Albus* I., 306.
31		The oath of the Chamberlains. Another copy is entered on fo. 309 d. *See* No. 267. 16th cent. Engl.	—	First Report, 233–7.
32	xi d.	The oath of the Barons.[1] 15th cent. French.	—	—
33		The oath of the Chancellor of the Exchequer. 15th cent. French.	—	First Report, 233–7.
34		A riming couplet in Latin. 15th cent.	—	Report (1837), 172.
35	xii	The oath of the King's Council. 14th cent. French.	—	First Report, 233–7.
36	xii d.	The oath of the Justices. 14th cent. French.	—	Ibid, 216 and 236.
37		The oath of the Mayors and Bailiffs of cities and boroughs at the Exchequer.[1] 14th cent. French.	—	*Liber Albus* I., 306.

[1] Not in the Report.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
38		Memorandum of the scale of fees for the portage of the summonses and writs of the Exchequer.	—	P. 838.
39	xiii	Memorandum respecting the fine of the Abbot of Croyland to recover his lands, whereof he had been disseised, because he was reported to have excommunicated the king's serjeants.	Pipe Roll, 11 John, Norf. & Suff.	P. 822.
		Memorandum respecting the fine of Master Gerard de Benyton for the same cause. 14th cent. Lat.	Ibid.	P. 822.
40	xiii (*rider*)	The oath of the Sheriff and Escheator. In the body of the document it is described as the oath of the sheriffs and bailiffs. 14th cent. French.	Rot. Stat. I., M. 34 d.	First Report, 233–7. *Liber Albus* I. 306 (with considerable variations). *Liber Custumarum*, 1. 295.
41		A transcript of the above. 15th cent. French.[1]	—	—
42	xiii d.	A scale of diets for accountants in the several counties of England allowed at the Exchequer, when it is at Westminster.	—	P. 835.

[1] Not in the Report.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
43	xiv	The same for the same, when the Exchequer is held at York. Edward II. Lat.	Liber Niger Scaccarii I., fo. 17.	P. 836.
44	xiv d.	A scale of days allowed the sheriffs for passing their accounts at the Exchequer. Edward II. Lat.	—	P. 838.
45		Memorandum that the writ to the treasurer and barons for debts to be attermined is enrolled. Contemp. Lat.	[Q. R. Memor., Mich. Communia, 3 Edw. II.[1]]	P. 839.
46		Memorandum that the writ to the same respecting wardships and marriages in the king's hand is likewise enrolled. Contemp. Lat.	[Q. R. Memor., Hil. Commun., 3 Edw. II.]	P. 840.
47		Memorandum that the quintal of wax contains 112lbs., according to an entry in the Great Roll. Edward II. Lat.	Pipe Roll, 31 Edw. I. "Compotus de Nova Custuma."	P. 840.
48	3[2]	Charter of Henry de Pynkenye, by which he grants to Edward the king his manor of Wedone Pynkeny, co. Northampton, with the advowson of the priory in the	Close Roll, 29 Edw. I. M. 2 d.	—

[1] *See also* Q. R. Memor., Commun. Trin., 13 Edw. I.

[2] From this point the book is paged throughout in a hand of the 14th century. The dorse of the preceding folio is numbered 2 in an early hand.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
48—*cont.*		said town, and the service of his free tenants of the manors of Wedone and Wappenham, and the homage and service of his tenants enfeoffed in the said county or elsewhere.[1] Botheville, 4 Sept., 29 Edw. I. Contemp. Lat.		
49	3 d.	Another charter of the same to the same of the manor of Wedone and certain knights' fees there and elsewhere in co. Northampton and in other counties. [Same date.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 29 Edw. I., M. 2 d.	—
50		Grant by William de Cleidone to the same king of his watermill, &c., at Oreford. Delivered into the Exchequer 22 Feb., 34 Edw. [I.][2] Contemp. Lat.	—	—
51	4	Grant by Peter de Gavaston, Earl of Cornwall, to the king, of his manors of Crokham and Lechampstede, co. Berks. London, 19 May, 1 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 1 Edw. II., M. 5 d.	—

[1] The substance of this charter is also recited in the Close Roll, 32 Edw. I., M. 13 d. The originals of this and many of the charters and Bulls which follow are mentioned in Bishop Stapleton's Kalendar. (Palgrave, "Kal. and Invent.," Vol. I.)

[2] No inrolment of this charter can be found.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
52	4	Quit-claim by Philip Balun, of London, to the king of 40*s.* rent arising from a tenement at Le Brokene Wharf, London, sometime in the possession of Roger le Bigot, Earl of Norfolk and Marshal of England, deceased. London, 5 May, 2 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Anct. Deeds, A. 1875.[1]	—
53		Memorandum that the writ of King Henry III. commanding the barons to deliver the Exchequer measures and weights for public examination is enrolled. Edw. II. Lat.	[L. T. R. Memor., Commun., 54 Hen. III.]	P. 973.
54	4 d.	Exchange[2] between Bartholomew de Badelesmere and Margaret his wife and Edward the king of the reversion of the manors of Heghtredbury, Colerne, and Steurte, co. Wilts, in return for the reversion of the castle and manor of Chilham, and the manors of Redelingwelde, Kyngeston, Hatfield, Whitstaple, and lands in Dover, and in Chingles-		—

[1] Cf. Q. R. Memor., Hil. Record., 2 Edw. II., rot. 58.
[2] Cf. Close Roll, 3 Edw. II., M. 9 d. Cf. Pat. Roll, 5 Edw. II., M. 16.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
54—*cont.*		ford, co. Essex, which Alexander de Balliol holds by the courtesy of England of the inheritance of his late wife Isabella, and which should escheat to the king by reason of the felony of John, late Earl of Asceles (Athol) son and heir of the said Isabella. London, 14 July, 5 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.		
55	5	Memorandum that on Monday, the 13th Nov., 1312, was born the eldest son of Edward the king and Philippa his consort, and was named Edward. Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 1066.
56		Memorandum that the deputy chamberlains may make no charge for searching for lost tallies, for examining or renewing them, or for making transcripts of chirographs, or for enrolling writs, &c. by reason of the king's writ to that effect. Edw. II. Lat.	Q. R. Memor., Hil. Com., 7 Edw. I., rot. 3.	P. 973.
57	5 d. and [6].	Memorandum that on the 21st April, 32 Edw. I., Roger le Bygod produced in the		—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
57—*cont.*		Exchequer a charter[1] of King Henry III., dated 27 May, 40th year, granting to Hugh le Bygod, father of the said Roger, the bailiwick of Haia and forest of Scalleby and lands in Levesham, Loketon, Pikeryng, and Newton, which Osbert son of Ralph de Bolebek had surrendered to the said king in his Court at Wetmisnster. Edw. II. Lat.		
58	[6 d.]	Remission and quit-claim of John de Bar of 1,000 marcates of the lands of the king's enemies in Scotland, granted to him by letters of Privy Seal in consideration of 3,000 marks to be received from the Society of the Friscobaldi out of the Custom of wools, &c. London, 10 May, 1306.[2] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 34 Edw. I., M. 13 d.	—
59	6	Quit-claim by Thomas Wale of his wood co. Northampton which is between the trench	Close Roll, 2 Edw. II., M. 6 d.	—

[1] The Charter Roll is missing for this year, but the substance of this Charter is enrolled in the Pipe Roll, 31 Edw. I., *item* Ebor. Cf. Q.R. Memor., Mich., 52 Hen. III., and Pat. Roll, 32 Edw. I., M. 3.

[2] Cf. Q.R. Memor., 30 Edw. I., M. 6. This charter and the concluding portion of the preceding one are entered on an unnumbered folio in the book between folios 5 and 6, and they are succeeded by a blank folio.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
59—*cont.*		called La Bernete and the king's forest of Whittlewode, with other boundaries. London, Wednesday in the feast of St. George,[1] 2 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.		
60		Charter of John de Butetour, knight, lord of the manor of Gosefelde, co. Essex, granting to the king and his heirs 2*s.* 3*d.* out of the said manor, in compensation of a like rent arising from a certain place in Colchester remitted by the king to the warden and friars of the order of Minorites there. Westm., 7 May, 2 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 2 Edw. II., M. 6 d.	—
61	6 d.	Quit claim by Peter de Gaveston, knight, to the king of the county of Gaura, and castles of Talamon, Tantalon, and Mauleon, and other lands and tenements in Gascony granted to him by the said king. Staunford, 4 Aug., 3 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 3 Edw. II., M. 24 d.	—

[1] *Sancti Gregorii* in Close Roll.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
62	6 d.–7	Quit-claim by the same to the same of the castle, manor, and honour of Knaresburgh, with the appurtenances which the king had granted him to hold for term of life by the same service as was formerly rendered by Edmund, Earl of Cornwall. Staunford, 26 July, 3 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 3 Edw. II., M. 24 d., *in cedula*.	—
63	7–8	Quit-claim by the same Peter, and Margaret, his wife, of the manor of Burstwyk, the castle and manor of Skypton in Cravene, the castles and honours of the High Peak and Cokermuth, the castle of Caresbrok, and other lands and tenements in cos. York, Derby, Cumberland, Northampton, and Southampton, granted to them by the king in exchange for the county of Cornwall, the castle of Lydeford, the free chace of Dartmoor, the honour of Knaresburgh, the honour of Walingford, the city of Chichester, and many other honours, manors, towns, lands,	Close Roll, 3 Edw. II., M. 24 d., *in cedula*.	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
63—*cont.*		and tenements in divers counties. Staunford, 5 Aug., 3 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.		
64	8	Charter of Philip, King of France, to Edward, King of England, relinquishing, under certain conditions, his appellant jurisdiction in the province of Gascony during the life of the latter. Paris, June 1286. Edw. II. French.	Liber. B. fo. 4 b.	P. 1055.[1]
65	8 d.	Bond of the abbot and convent of Hide, Winchester, for the indemnification of the crown during voidance of the abbey, in respect of 60 librates granted by the said convent to Master Jordan Morant. Hide, 9 June, 3 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 3 Edw. II., M. 2 d.	—
66		Charter[2] of Robert de Clifford of his lands and tenements in Monmouth, with the town and wood of Hodenak, granted to the king in exchange		—

[1] In the *Fœdera* I., 665, incorrectly.

[2] Cf. Pat. Roll, 4 Edw. II., M. 20.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
66—*cont.*		for the castle of Skipton in Cravene, and 100 librates of land of the king's manor there. London, 10 Aug., 4 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.		
67	9	Charter of Katherine de Audeleye, granting to James de Perrers, knt., and Ela his wife, her daughter, the castle and town of Thlanandevery in Wales, with the commotes of Hirnirn and Pernet, with reversion to the crown in default of their issue. Ledbury, Monday before the feast of St. Nicholas, 6 Edw. II.[1] Contemp. Lat.	—	—
68	9 d.	Fine levied before the justices of the Bench in the octaves of the Purification, 5 Edw. II., between John de Claveringg, plt., and Stephen de Trafford, deft., of the manors of Werkworth and Roubury, co. Northumberland, with reversion to the crown, after the death of the said John. Contemp. Lat.	Feet of Fines, 5 Edw. II., Northld.[2]	—

[1] No inrolment of this charter can be found, but the original is referred to in Bishop Stapleton's Kalendar (fo. 198) under *Wallia*. Cf. Pat., 5 Edw. II., M. 9.
[2] Cf. Close Roll, 6 Edw. II., M. 11.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
69		Another fine between the same parties of the manors of Newbourne and Corbrigg, in the above county, with like reversion. Same place and date. Contemp. Lat.	Feet of Fines, 5 Edw. II., Northld.	—
70	10	Another fine between the aforesaid John, and Hawisia his wife, and the aforesaid Stephen, of the manor of Evre, co. Bucks, with like reversion. Same place and date. Contemp. Lat.	Feet of Fines, 5 Edw. II., Bucks.[1]	—
71		The king's writ to the deputy-treasurer and barons of the Exchequer that none shall be called in question respecting the death of Peter de Gavestone, conformably with a statute made in Parliament. Westm., 16 Oct., 7 Edw. II. Contemp. French.	Q.R. Memor., Mich. Record, 7 Edw. II., rot. 76.	Stats. of the Realm, I. 169.[2]
72	10 d.	Letter of Philip, king of France, testifying the renunciation of appeals and actions which Amaneuus de Lebreto, knt., made	Gascon Roll, 7 Edw. II., M. 14.	P. 1056.

[1] Cf. Close Roll, 6 Edw. II., M. 11.

[2] From the writ entered on the Patent Roll addressed to the sheriff of Devon. The copy in this Book, which is addressed to the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer, has not been collated.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
72—*cont.*		to the king of England in his presence Poissy, 2 July 1313. Contemp. Lat.		
73	10 d.–11.	Letter of the same remitting to the king of England and his (late) father and their ministers and officers all forfeitures of lands or pecuniary fines, &c., which they may have incurred down to the present date. Poissy, 2 July 1313. Contemp. Lat.	Gascon Roll, 7 Edw. II., M. 14.	P. 1058.
74	11 d.	Inrolment of the king's writ to Gilbert de Roubury enjoining him to deliver certain records which relate to the lands of Isabella de Fortibus, sometime Countess of Devon, in the Isle of Wight and Christchurch, co. Southt., into the custody of the barons, together with a note of the delivery of the said records in accordance with this writ. Thunderle, 14 May, 8 Edw. [II.][1] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 1014.

[1] The form of this entry resembles that of the Exchequer Memoranda, but the writ in question is not found there or in the Chancery Rolls. It is possible, therefore, that this may be the original inrolment, designed to serve as an introduction to the proceedings entered below, as it does not occur in the Plac. Parl. 12 Edw. II.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
75	11 d–13.	Examinations of certain persons as to the execution of the charter of the Countess of Albemarle, granting to the king certain lands therein named, and as to the surrender of the same lands. [May], 8 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	Plac. Parl., 12 Ed. II.	P. 1015.[1]
76	13	Charter of Richard de Pyuelesdon of certain lands and tenements in Wales surrendered into the king's hands.[2] Westm., 18 May, 7 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 7 Edw. II., M. 4 d.	—
77		Memorandum that the charters of several kings of England of manors and lands granted to Robert son of Roger and other, the ancestors of John de Clavering are enrolled. Edw. II. Lat.	[Q.R. Memor., Mich. Record, 5 Edw. II.]	—
78	13 b–14.	Grant by Henry the dean and the chapter of St. Mary of Lincoln, in consideration of a certain concession to the said church, of an establishment for a chap-	—	—

[1] Cf. Cooper, Records, II. 476, and Cole, Docts., 21.
[2] Cf. Pat. Roll, 7 Edw. II., Pt. 2., M. 7.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
78—*cont.*		lain in the said church, to pray for the present king, Isabella his consort, Edward their son, and their other children, and for the souls of Edward the late king, and Eleanor his consort. Lincoln, the Vigil of the Purification, 1315. Contemp. Lat.[1]		
79	14 d.	Charter of Isabella de Fortibus, sometime Countess of Albemarle and Lady of the Isle of Wight, whereby she enfeoffs King Edward I. of the said island, together with the manors of Christchurch, co. Southampton, and Lambhyeth, co. Surrey, in consideration of the sum of six thousand marks. Stokwell, near Lambhyeth, Monday before the feast of St. Martin, 1293. Edw. II. Lat.	Liber A., fo. 237.	P. 1020.
80	14 d.–15.	Quit-claim by the same countess of the manor of Honyton, co. Devon. Same place and date.	Liber A., fo. 237 d.	P. 1023.

[1] No original or inrolment of this deed can be found for the date. The counterpart might be preserved in the *Registrum antiquissimum* or some other cartulary in the possession of the Dean and Chapter of Lincoln. The original charter is referred to in Stapleton's Kalendar (fo. 187), with the marginal note "Irrotulatur in Rubeo Libro Scaccarii."

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
81	15	Acquittance of the 6,000 marks specified in the previous charter. Stokwell, Wednesday before the feast of St. Martin, 1293. Edw. II. Lat.	Liber A., fo. 237.	P. 1022.
82		Memorandum that the charter of Alphonso, King of Castille, &c., for the quit-claim made to King Edward I. and his heirs of the whole of Gascony, sealed with a gold seal, is placed in the inner chamber next the Black Hall in the Tower of London. [1 Nov. 1254.][1] Edw. II. Lat.	—	P. 1054.
83	15 d.	Charter of John de Warenne, Earl of Surrey, of all his lands and tenements in the counties of Surrey, Sussex, York, Lincoln, and in Wales, granted to the king. Westminster, Thursday after the feast of SS. Peter and Paul. 9 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 9 Edw. II., M. 2 d.	—
84	16–29 d.	De libertate ecclesiæ totius Angliæ obser-	MS. Harg. No. 313,	Thorpe, Anglo-Saxon Laws and

[1] The charter here referred to is the oldest of the "Three Golden Leagues" of the Treasury. The original is now preserved amongst the Diplomatic Documents in the Public Record Office. It is printed in the *Fœdera* I., 310.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
84—*cont.*		vanda leges Henrici primi filii Conquestoris. 13th cent. Lat.	13th cent., fos. 6–15 a. MS. Cotton Claud. D. II., 14th cent. MS. Corp. Christi, Cantab., 70, 14th cent. MS. Oriel, Oxon., 46, 14th cent.[1]	Institutes, 215–274. Schmid, Gesetze der Angelsachsen (1858), 432–490.
85	29 d.–30	The number of the hides in several shires. Same date. Anglo-Sax. and Lat.[2]	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 15 a. MS. Cott. Claud. D. II. MS. Corp., Cantab., 70. MS. Oriel, Oxon., 46. MS. Harl. 3271.	Gale, *Scriptores* III., 748, 792.[3] Spelman, *Glossar.* "Hide." Earle, Saxon Charters, 458.[4] Kemble, "Saxons in England," I. 81. Birch, *Cart. Sax.* I., 414. *Munimenta Gildhallæ* II., 626.
86	30	The names of the Saxon shires under the West Saxon law, the Danelagh, and the Mercian law. Same date. Anglo-Sax.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 15 a. MS. Cott. Claud. D. II. MS. Corp. 70. MS. Or. 46. MS. Jesus, Oxon., 29.	Report of the Commissioners on the Public Records (1837), 166. Morris, Old English Miscellany, 145. Skene, "Chron. of Picts," 153. *Monumenta Gildhallæ* II., 2, 624.

[1] And numerous later transcripts, as Trin. Coll., Cantab., O.X. 20, Bibl. Publ. Cantab. D.d. vi. 38.

[2] For an excellent description of the MSS. of this and the following piece, *see* Liebermann, *Leges Anglorum*, Pp. 7–10.

[3] Two texts are given by Gale; one from Claud. D. II., the other from the *Liber Rubeus* and the "MS. Cottonianus."

[4] Reprinted from Birch (Journ. of Brit. Arch. Assoc.) (1884), 28.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
86 — *cont.*			MS. Arundel, 220.	Cf. Kemble, "Saxons in England" I., 493.
			MS. Bibl. Publ. Cantab., F.f. 1.	Cf. Gale III., 748.[1]
			Cf. MS. Phillips (Cheltenham), 8078.	
			Cf. MSS. Cotton, Vesp. A. 18, and Claud. B. 7.	
87	30–31	Constitutio Domus Regis; being the Establishment Book of the king's household and chamber, *temp.* Hen. I. Same date. Lat.	Liber Niger (Parvus) Scaccarii, 13th cent.	P. 807.[2]
			MS. Harg. 313, fos. 15 a – 16 a. 13th cent.	
88	31 d.–46 d.	*Dialogus de Scaccario.* Same date. Lat.[3]	Liber Niger Scaccarii, 13th or 14th cent.	
			MS. Harg. 313, fos. 2–5 a, and 16 a – 29 a, 13th cent.	Madox, Hist. of Exchequer (Ed. 1769), II., 349–452.
			MS. Cotton, Cleo. A. XVI., 13th–15th cents.	

[1] For a Latinised form of this list cf. A. of Beverley (Hearne), 97; Gervase of Canterbury (Rolls), II., 417; Higden (Rolls), II., 85; Hen of Hunts. (Rolls), 9; Bart. Cotton (Rolls), 355; Sym. of Durham II., 393; Bromton (Twysden), col. 956; Gale III., 560, etc.

[2] Cf. Hearne, *Liber Niger*, 341.

[3] Twenty-four transcripts of this treatise have been identified by the Editor, making an addition of 8 MSS. to those enumerated in Hardy's Catalogue, but they have been omitted here, as the *Dialogus* is not included in the scope of this edition.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
89	36 d. in margin.	A general writ reciting the tenor of a passage in the above treatise respecting the exemption of the officers of the Exchequer from civil or ecclesiastical processes during the session of the Exchequer. Imperf. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 823.
90		Writ to the Archdeacon of Oxford on behalf of William de Rowell, chamberlain of the Exchequer, that he be not drawn away to answer the plea of Roger, the rector of Whytefeud, in the said Archdeacon's court. Westm., 15 Feb. [1 Edw. I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 824.
91	37, in margin.	Writ to Alexander, Archbishop of York, requiring him not to compel the personal residence of Laurence de Alle[rthorpe], one of the auditors of the Exchequer, in his benefice of Laxton, while he is engaged in the king's service. Westm. [4 May, 49 Edw. III.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 825.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
92		Writ to Roger, parson of Whytefeud, enjoining him to abstain from drawing William de Rowell into a plea, whereof he is exempt, according to the ancient custom of the Exchequer, during its session. Westm., 15 Feb., 1 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 825.
93		Writ[1] to Nicholas Poynz, enjoining him not to compel Adam de Stratton to perform suit of court in the hundred, while he is occupied as a clerk at the Exchequer. Westm., 15 Feb., 1 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 826.
94	37 d. in margin.	Writ to Roger, Bishop of Coventry and Lichfield, enjoining him to revoke the sentence for contumacy pronounced against Ralph de Besages, rector of Waleton and chamberlain of the Exchequer, while he is engaged in the king's service, according to the ancient custom of the Exchequer. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 826.

[1] Cf. Q.R. Memoranda, Mich. Com., 1 & 2 Edw. I. rot. 1, and *Ibid.* Hil. Com., rot. 4 d.

No.	Folio	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
95	38 in margin.	Writ to [Oliver], Bishop of Lincoln, enjoining him not to compel the personal residence of Adam de Stratton, chamberlain of the Exchequer, in his benefice, according to the said ancient custom of the Exchequer. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 827.
96	38 d. in margin.	Writ to the bailliffs of Edmund, Earl of Leicester, for the honour of Leicester in the county of Middlesex, enjoining them to dispense with the suit of William, bishop of Bath and Wells, in the courts of the county and hundred during his residence at the Exchequer. Westm., 27 June, 21 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 828.
97	39 in margin.	Writ to R[alph] Bishop of Norwich, enjoining him to revoke the sentence of contumacy pronounced against Robert de Walmesford, rector of St. Mathew of Ipswich, and a clerk in the Exchequer, by reason of the privilege enjoyed by the said Robert, according to the ancient custom of the Exchequer.	—	P. 828.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
97—*cont.*		Westm., 8 Mart., 19 Edw. I. Contemp. Lat.		
98	46 d. in margin.	Note [by Alexander de Swereford] of the proceedings before the king and certain councillors, in the chapel of St. John, at Westminster, on the eve of St. Margaret 1227, in the case of Hugh Foliot, Bishop of Hereford, who excommunicated divers citizens of Hereford for being concerned in levying a certain tallage. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 29 a.	Report on the Public Records, 1837, p. 169. Madox, Hist. of Exchequer (Ed. 1769) II., 334. P. 1010.
99		Note respecting the scale of payments for the portage of the summonses of the Exchequer. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 837.
100	47–82 [1]	Notifications of Scutages entered in the Pipe Rolls, 2 Henry II. to 13 John, with an explanatory introduction [by Alexander de Swereford]. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 30–48. Pipe Rolls. Chancellors' Rolls.	Pp. 3–185.
101	82 d.	Scale of diets at the Exchequer for the	—	—

[1] Fo. 48 d. is blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
101–*cont.*		several counties of England. *See also* Nos. 42–4. Edw. I. Lat.		
102	83–122	Certifications of knights' fees in the time of Henry II. [1166], made by the prelates and barons in their charters. 13th cent. Lat.[2]	Liber Niger (Parvus) Scaccarii, 13th cent. MS. Harg. 313, fos. 55–77, 13th cent.[3]	Pp. 186–445.[1]
103	122 d.	Writ of Richard I. to Hubert, Archbishop of Canterbury, for the holding of tournaments in England. 22 Aug. [6 Ric. I.][5] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 77 a. MS. Cotton Claud. C. IV.	*Fœdera*, I. 65.[4] Hoveden, III., 268 (abridged).
104		The form of the king's peace to be observed by Tourneyers, in conformity with the above writ. Same date. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 77 a. MS. Cotton. Claud. C. 4.	—
105		Bull of Pope Gregory IX. to Edmund, Archbishop of Canterbury, for due visitation of the bishops suffra-	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 77 a.	*Fœdera*, I. 190.

[1] Cf. Hearne, *Liber Niger*, 49–340.

[2] Single copies for the following religious houses have also been preserved:—Abingdon, Ramsey, Peterborough, Cerne. Of these the first two have been printed in the Rolls Series, and the third by the Camden Society. The Register of Cerne is preserved in Cambridge University Library.

[3] The transcripts used by Hearne and other modern copies of the Exchequer MSS. will be found in the Bodleian MSS., Dodsworth, cxli., Rawl. c. 434, and in Harl. 95; Stowe, 860–2; Add. 4787; Cotton., Faust. C. II., Claud. C. IV.; S. P. Misc. 40.

[4] Printed from the Elizabethan transcript, Claudius C. 4.

[5] Cf. R. de Diceto II., 194.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
105–*cont.*		gans. [Lateran, 4 id. Apr. anno 1.] Contemp. Lat.		
106	123–129 d.[1]	Notifications of the serjeanties in the several counties of England. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 77 a–79 a. Q.R. Misc. Bdle. 894 (Orig. Returns). Testa de Nevill, *passim*.[2]	Pp. 455–468.
107	131 d.	Charter of Henry II. to the citizens of London. Westm. [1154–61]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. fo. 79 a. MS. Cotton. Claud. D. 2. MSS. Gildhall. (Li. Alb. and Li. Cust.) MSS. Cotton. Vesp. D. 16. Nero A. 6. Vesp. B. 15. MSS. Corp. 70, 476. MS. Oriel 46. MSS. Sloane, 84, 754. MS. Harl. 2058. Cod. Twysden. Cod. Selden. MS. Harg. 153.	*Monumenta Gildhallæ* I., 130 (abstract) II. 31. Birch, Hist. Chart 5.

[1] Fos. 127, 127 d, 130, 130 d. and 131 are blank.

[2] Later transcripts for this and the other principal pieces composing the Feodary in the Red Book are preserved in MS. Cotton. Claud. C. 4. and State Papers Misc. 40.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
107–*cont.*			MS. Soc. Antiq. Lond. 105. Pat. 2 Edw. IV., Pt. 5, M. 1.[1]	
108	131 d.	Memorandum that Odo, Archbishop of Rouen, came before the king at Westminster, Saturday the morrow of the feast of St. George, 33 Henry III., and made his fealty, &c. Contemp. Lat. *See also* No. 114.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 77 a. Close Roll, 33 Hen. III., M. 10.	P. 1065.
109		Memorandum of a charter dated 20 Jan., 39 Henry III., confirming the ancient liberties and free customs of the barons of the Exchequer. Contemp. Lat.	Pat. Roll., 39 Hen. III., M. 14.	P. 822.
110	132–149.[2]	Inquisitions made in the time of King John throughout the whole of England, namely, in the 12th and 13th years of his reign in every county, of the services of knights and others who hold of the king in chief. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 80–91. Q.R. Misc. Bdle. 894 (Orig. Returns). Testa de Nevill, *passim*. Liber Niger Scacc. fo. 84 d. (partial copy).	Pp. 469–574.

[1] Cf. Liebermann, *Leges Anglorum*, pp. 71 sqq. Other transcripts have been identified by the Editor, and a whole series of confirmations exists amongst the Public Records down to Charles II.

[2] Fo. 143 d. is blank. A supplementary list relating to Essex and some other counties is inserted in the form of a rider at fo. 133 d.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
111	149 d.	Memorandum of a decision by the King's Justices in the 9th year of Henry III., as to the price of a goshawk in her first plumage, and as to the price of one that was mewed. Edw. I. Lat.	[L.T.R. Memor., 9 Hen. III., last roll *in dorso*.] [1]	P. 840.
112	150 to 159.[2]	Inquisitions of the Honours at any time escheated, made in the 13th year of King John, [and] of the knights' services for the same. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 91–96 a. Q.R. Misc. Bdle. 894 (Orig. Returns). Testa de Nevill, *passim*.	Pp. 575–621.
113	160 to 162.	Normandy: Enfeoffments of the knights who owe military services to the Duke of Normandy, and with how many knights each one is bound to render service to him.[3] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 98–99. MS. Vatican.[4] MSS. Arch. Nat. Paris. Reg. JJ. 8.[5] „ JJ. 7.[6] „ JJ. 23.[7] „ JJ. 9 b.[8]	P. 624. Du Chesne, *Scriptores*, p. 1,045. Ducarel, Anglo-Norman Antiquities, Appx. II. (incorrectly). Bouquet, XXIII., 693–698. Delisle, Facsimile of Register A.

[1] This entry cannot be found in the Roll, which is considerably mutilated.

[2] Fo. 159 d. is blank.

[3] There are also abstracts of this document in the MSS. of the College of Arms, and Lincoln's Inn, and in MS. Harl. 7,022.

[4] Register A of Delisle.

[5] Register B of Delisle, Anc. Bibl. Nat. Cart. 172.

[6] Register C of Delisle, Anc. Bibl. Nat. Cart. 172.

[7] Register D of Delisle, Anc. Bibl. Nat. 9852A.

[8] Apparently the Transcript mentioned by Stapleton. The above are the present official references, which have been most kindly supplied by M. Couderc, of the MS. Department of the Bibliothèque Nationale.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
113–*cont.*			Public Record Office Transcripts, Series II., Vol. 140 B.	D'Anisy, Chartes du Calvados II., 425. Cf. R. de Torigneio (Rolls) IV. 349.
114	160, in margin.	Memorandum that Odo, archbishop of Rouen, rendered fealty to King Henry III. (A copy of the previous entry. No. 108.) Contemp. Lat.	—	—
115	162 d.	"Carta Regis Willelmi Conquisitoris de quibusdam statutis," &c. The Statutes of William the Conqueror.[1] n.d. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 99, 99 a. MS. Cotton. Claud. D. II.	*Fœdera*, I. 1. Thorpe, Anct. Laws, p. 211. Schmid, Gesetze der Angelsachsen, p. 354.
116	163	"Carta ejusdem Regis Willelmi de appellatis pro aliquo maleficio Franco vel Anglico." William I.'s Ordinance for the preservation of the peace between English and French. n.d. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 99 a. Text. Roff. MS. Holkham, 228. MS. Cotton. Tit. A. xxvii. MS. Reg. 11, B. 2. MS. Cotton. Claud. D. II., fo. 41. MS. Gurney (Macro). Cod. Bromton.	*Fœdera*, I. 2. Thorpe, Anct. Laws, p. 210. Schmid, Gesetze der Angelsachsen, p. 353. W. Malmesbury (Rolls), 297.

[1] This text is the enlarged and spurious version, which must be sharply distinguished from the original version of the *Textus Roffensis* and other 12th and 13th century texts, including those taken out of the *Quadripartitus*. *See* Liebermann, *Quadripartitus*, p. 145, and *Leges Anglorum*, p. 32.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
116–*cont.*			MS. Cotton. Vitell. A. 13, Claud. C. 9. MS. Reg. 13, A. 18.	
117	163 d.	"Carta Regis Henrici primi filii Regis Willielmi de libertatibus concessis Anglis, in sua coronatione, et habuit quilibet comitatus Angliæ talem." Henry I.'s Charter of Liberties. Westm. [1 Hen. I.] 13th cent. Lat. *See also* No. 84.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 99a–100. Textus Roffensis. Florence of Worcester. Richard of Hexham. Registrum Glascuense. Wendover. Matt. Paris.[1] MS. Rawlinson, C. 641. MS. Cotton. Tit. A. xxvii. MS. Gurney (Macro). MS. Holkham, 228. Cod. Brom ton.[2] MSS. Harl. 458 & 746. MS. Corp., Cantabr. 70. MS. Oriel, Oxon, 46. MS. Cotton. Claud. D. II., fo. 41.	Stats. of the Realm, I. 1.

[1] This and the preceding MSS. are single and in some cases local copies. They will be found in the printed editions.

[2] This and the three preceding MSS. are derived from the *Quadripartitus*.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
118	163 d.	"Carta ejusdem de moneta falsa et cambiatoribus." Westm., In the Nativity. [1101–4]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 100. MS. Cotton. Claud. D. II., fo. 41.	*Fœdera*, I. 12. *Monumenta Gildhallæ*, II. 648.
119	164	"Carta ejusdem ubi comitatus teneri debent, et ubi placita de divisis terrarum." Charter of Henry I. for the better holding of courts. Reading [1109–11.] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 100. MS. Gurney (Macro). MS. Holkham, 228. MSS. Cotton. Tit. A. xxvii.[1] Claud. D. II., fo. 41.	*Fœdera*, I. 12. *Monumenta Gildhallæ*, II. 641, XIIth Report Hist. MSS. Commn., ix. 119.
120	164–164 d.	"Forma concordiæ inter Regem Stephanum et Ducem Henricum cartis confirmatæ." Westm., 1153. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 100–100 a. MS. Gurney (Macro). MS. Cotton Claud. D. II., fo. 41.[2] Cod. Bromton.	*Fœdera*, I. 18. XIIth Report Hist. MSS. Commn., Appx. ix., p. 219.
121	164 d.–165 d.	Convention between Henry II. and Henry his son and Theodoric, Count of Flanders, and Philip, son of Theodoric.[3] Dover, 14 kal. Apr. [1163.]	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 100 a–101. Liber Niger Parvus Scaccarii, fos. 13 b–20 b.	*Fœdera*, I. 22. Hearne, *Liber Niger*, 23.
122	165 d.–166	"Carta recognitionis servitiorum quæ Comes	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 101.	*Fœdera*, I. 23. Hearne, p. 34.

[1] This and the two preceding MSS. are derived from the *Quadripartitus*.
[2] Imperfect.
[3] The original heading in the margin is illegible.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
122–*cont.*		Flandriæ et Castellani facere debent Regi Angliæ." [Same date.] 13th cent. Lat.	Liber Niger parvus Scaccarii, fos. 20 b–21.	
123	166–166 d.	"Carta [Willelmi] Regis Scotiæ de homagio facto Regi Angliæ de terra Scotiæ et super multis aliis." [1175.][1] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 101–101 a. Great Roll of Scotland. Liber Niger Parvus Scaccarii, fo. 7 d.	*Fœdera*, I. 30. Calendar of Docts., Scotland, I. 139. [*Abstract. Engl.*] Hearne, *L. N.*, p. 36. Benedict Abbas, I. 96. Hoveden, II. 80. Diceto, I. 396. Walter Coventr., I. 248. Scotch Docts. and Records, p. 63.[2] Haddan & Stubbs, Councils, II. 237.
124	166 d.	"Carta Regis Ricardi per quam adquietavit Regi Scotiæ homagium suum et castra expressa contra priores conventiones." Canterbury 1 Ric. I. [1189, Dec. 5.] 13th cent. Lat.	MSS. Harg. 313, fo. 101 a. Original in Scotch Documents. Portfolio I., No. 19.	*Fœdera*, I. 50. Calendar of Docts., Scotland, I. 196. [*Abstract. Engl.*] Benedict Abbas, II. 102. Hoveden, III. 25.[3] Walter Coventr., I. 385.
125	167–168	Letter of German archbishop of Constantinople to Pope Gregory IX., concerning the	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 101 a. Vatican MSS.	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 448. Matt. Paris. *Hist. Minor*, II. 296.

[1] Later transcripts of this charter exist in Cotton MSS. Cal. B. 5, Claud. C. 4, Tit. F. 13, and Ashmol. No. 789.

[2] In this text, printed by Sir Francis Palgrave, the versions preserved in the Registers of Burton, Croyland, and Bridlington, are given with some other notices. The extract from the "Burton Chronicle," however, is not found in the only surviving MS. (Cotton Vesp. E. iii.) of the "Annals of Burton," in which there is no original matter before the beginning of the 13th century.

[3] Diceto (II., 72) also knew this charter, though he does not give the text. There is also a notice in Fordun, p. 725, and a later transcript in the Cotton MS. Tit. F. 13.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
125–*cont.*		relations of the Greek and Latin churches. [1232.] 13th cent. Lat.		Mansi, *Concil.* XXII. 47.
126	168–169	The same to the Roman cardinals on the same subject. [1232.] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 102–102 a.	Matt.Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 455. Matt. Paris. *Hist. Minor*, II. 300.
127	169–170	Letter of Pope Gregory IX. in answer to the above. Rieti [7 kal. Aug.] anno 6 [1232]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 102 a–103 a.	Matt.Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 460. Matt. Paris. *Hist. Minor*, II. 303. Mansi, *Concil.* XXIII. 55. Potthast, *Regesta Pont.* 8981.
128	170–170 d.	The same to the same in further answer to the above. Lateran, 16 kal. Jun. anno 7 [1233]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 103 a.	Matt.Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 466. Mansi, *Concil.* XXIII. 59. Potthast, *Regesta Pont.* 9198.
129	171	Letter of the Emperor Frederic II. to [Louis IX.] King of France, announcing his intended marriage with the sister of the King of England. Fano, 25 Apr., the 8th year of the Indiction [1235]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 103 a–104.	Huillard-Bréholles, *Hist. Dipl.*, IV. i. 539. Böhmer (Ficker), *Reg. imper.* V. 2087.
130		Bull of Pope Gregory IX. to the King of France, on the sub-	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 104.	Huillard-Bréholles, *Hist. Dipl.*, IV. i. 536.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
130–*cont.*		ject of the above intended marriage. Perugia, 16 kal. Maij. anno 9 [1235]. 13th cent. Lat.		Potthast, *Reg. Pont.* 9879.
131	171–171 d.	"Gregorius papa mandat ut Rex Henricus qui est minoris ætatis permittatur ad disponendum de regno et regni negotiis, eo quod virtutes ejus supplent ætatem."—A series of 4 letters addressed by the pope to the earls and barons of England; to the bishop of Winchester, and Hubert de Burgh, the justices, and W. Briwere; to the earl of Chester; and to the bishop elect of Chichester, vice-chancellor. Lateran, id. April, anno 1. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 104.	*Fœdera*, I. 190 (in part). Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major* (*Additamenta*), VI. 69 (abridged).
132	172–174 d.	"Conquestio Frederici Imperatoris de injuriis ei illatis per Papam."—A series of four letters addressed by the Emperor to his brother-in-law the king of England.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 101–106.	—
		(i.) Dated Viterbo, 16th March, 13th of the Indiction [1239].	—	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 631. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 182.
		(ii.) Dated In depopulatione Ascoli, 18th July, 13th of the Indiction [1240].	Close Roll, 42–7 Hen. III., M. 14–15.	*Fœdera*, I. 236. Böhmer, *Reg. imper.* 3129.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
132–*cont.*		(iii.) Dated "In obsidione Faventiæ," 13th Sept., 14th of the Indiction [1240].	Close Roll, 42–7 Hen. III., M. 14.	*Fœdera*, I. 238. Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, IV. 65. P. de Vineis, *Epist.* I. 34, 221. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 197.
		(iv.) Dated Faenza, 18th May, 14th of the Indiction [1240].[1]	Close Roll, 42–7 Hen. III., M. 14.	*Fœdera*, I. 241. Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, IV. 126. P. de Vineis, *Epist.* I. 9, 120. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 215.
133	174 d.–176.	"Carta conventionis inter regem Angliæ et [Alexandrum] regem Scociæ de comitatibus Norhumberlandiæ, Cumbrelandiæ, Westmorlandiæ, et de quibusdam terris." [1237.] Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 106–106 a. Pat., 21 Hen. III., M. 2 d. Chapter Ho., Box 152, No. 41 (original).	*Fœdera*, I. 233 (incorrectly). Calendar of Docts., Scotland, I. 1358. [*Abstract. Engl.*]
134	176	"Pro domino Eboracensi Archiepiscopo contra Episcopos Scotiæ." —Letter of W[illiam I.,] king of Scotland, to Pope A[lexander III.,] concerning the subjection of the church of Scotland to the Archbishop of York. [1176.][2] Hen. III. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 106 a.	Calendar of Docts., Scotland, I. 147. Benedict Abbas, I. 3 (notice only). Haddan & Stubbs, Councils, II. 244. [*Abstract. Engl.*]

[1] These letters, together with the remainder of the Imperial correspondence entered in this Book, are also printed in *Monumenta Germaniæ*, XXVIII., and Winkelmann, *Acta Imperii* II., 49, sqq. *See also* Dr. Liebermann's account of the Exchequer Text in *Neues Archiv. der Gesellschaft* (1885), X. 594.

[2] Apparently the only early copy of this alleged forged document. *See* Hailes' Annals, A.D. 1176, and the account of the MSS. by Haddan & Stubbs.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
135	176 b–177 b	Letter of the Emperor Frederick II. to his brother-in-law Henry III., king of England, on the subject of his quarrel with the pope. Salerno, 15th April, 4th of the Indiction [1246]. Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 106 a–107.	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, IV. 570. P. de Vineis, II. 10, 273. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 282.
136	177 d.	Letter of Walter de Ocra, the emperor's clerk, giving an account of the capture and destruction of Carpaccio. n.d. [1246.] Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 107.	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, IV. 575. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 284.
137	177 d.–179	Letter of the Emperor Frederick II. to the prelates, earls, barons and all others of the realm of England on the subject of his quarrel with the pope. Turin, prid. Aug., 3rd of the Indiction [1245]. Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 107–107 a.	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, IV. 538. P. de Vineis, I. 3, 98. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 276.
138		The same to all the kings and princes of Christendom on the same subject. n.d. [1246.] Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 107 a–108.	Matt. Paris. *Hist. Major*, IV. 475. *Mon. Germ.*, XXVIII. 269.
139	179 d.–180	"Littera Roberti quondam Lincoliensis Episcopi ad judices delegatos [mandantes] auc-	—	Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, V. 389. Matt. Paris. *Hist. Anglor.*, III. 140.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
139–*cont.*		toritate sibi tradita conferre prebendam [de] Bramtone cuidam Romano [Fredcrico], nepoti domini Papæ [Innocentii IV]." [1253.] 13th cent. Lat.		*Epistolæ Roberti Grosseteste*, 432. *Mon. Franciscana*, I. 382. Annal. Burton. (Rolls), 311.
140	180	Memorandum that the sum of the fifteenth, assessed throughout England in the 8th year of King Henry the Third, was 86,758 marks and 2 pence. That the sum of the fortieth in the 17th year was 24,712 marks 7 shillings and 5 pence; and the sum of the carucage 3,000 marks. That the sum of the thirtieth in the 21st year was 33,891 marks 2 shillings and 1 penny. Hen. III. Lat. *See also* No. 205.	—	P. 1064.
141	180–180 d.	Letters patent of William Marshal, Earl of Pembroke, and all the great men of Ireland, protesting against the pope's intervention in England to the prejudice of the king and of the English church. n.d. [? 1212.] 13th cent. Lat.	—	Calendar of Docts., Ireland, 1212, No. 448.[1] [*Abstract. Engl.*]

[1] From the transcript of the Red Book, Vol. V., fo. 180.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
142	180 d.	Submission of Walter de Lascy, brother of Hugh de Lascy, to the king in his court at Dublin, on the vigil of SS. Peter and Paul, 12 [John]. 13th cent. Lat.	—	Calendar of Docts., Ireland, 1210, No. 402. [*Abstract. Engl.*]
143	181–182	"Statuta Regis Henrici Tertii"—The provisions made at Westminster by the common counsel, and consent of the king and his great men, in the quinzaine of St. Michael, 1259. Contemp. Lat.	L. T. R. Misc. $\frac{5\,8}{1\,8}$, M. 5 d	Statutes of the Realm, I. 8.[1]
144	182 b *rider.*	Writ to the knights and all other tenants of the liberty of the bishopric of Durham, that the custody thereof is committed to Robert [de Clifford],[2] and enjoining them to be attentive to the same. *Imperf.* Westm., 17 July, 30 [Edw. I.].	Pat. Roll, 30 Edw. I., M. 16.	P. 1026.
		The same to A[nthony], bishop of Durham, to levy certain amerciaments, fines, and issues, which had become due whilst the	—	—

[1] Not collated with this Book.
[2] *Hiatus* in MS. supplied from the Patent Roll.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
144–*cont.*		liberty was in the king's hands. Jeddeworth, 23 Aug. 32 [Edw. I.]. A note that the record of the liberty of Durham, taken into the king's hand, is to be entered first of all in the Red Book. Contemp. Lat.		
145	182 c. (*rider*)	Letters patent reciting the proceedings taken before the king and his council at Durham in three weeks of Easter, in the 31st year, in respect of the custody of the liberty of the bishopric of Durham during the vacancy of the see, and restoring to the bishop and his successors all their ancient liberties, saving the dignity of the Crown. Perth, 8 July, 31 [Edw. I.]. Contemp. Lat [1]	Pat. Roll, 31 Edw. I., M. 19.	P. 1027.
146	183–184	"Carta de libertatibus concessis magnatibus Angliæ." Undated. A copy of Magna Carta as confirmed 9 Henry III. Hen. III. Lat.	MS. Harg., fos. 108–109.	Statutes of the Realm, I. 22.
147	184	Memorandum that on the third day before the		Statutes of the Realm, I. 6.

[1] *See* Pp. cccIxxiv. and 1070.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
147–*cont.*		ides of May 1253, in the King's great hall at Westminster, in the presence and with the assent of the king, his brother [Richard of Cornwall], and other nobles and prelates, sentence of excommunication was pronounced by Boniface, Archbishop of Canterbury, against those who should transgress the Charter of Liberties, and the Charter of the Forest, in form following.[1] Contemp. Lat.		*Fœdera*, I. 289. Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, V. 376. Mun Gildhall., II. i. 42.
148	184 d.–194	Abstracts of the sheriffs farms, &c. from the Pipe Rolls, 1 to 14 Henry II., with explanatory notes. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 109–124. Pipe Rolls. Chancellor's Rolls.	P. 648.
149	194 d.–195	"Kancia — Constabularii de feodo super wardam Dovoræ de diversis baroniis anno xlvj° Regis Henrici Tertii, per Robertum Waleraund." Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 706.
150	195 d.	"Northumbrelande — Feoda pertinentia ad wardam Novi Castri super Tinam." Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 712.

[1] This is the famous *Sententia lata* of which an infinite number of copies exist amongst early legal collections.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
151	195 d.	"Compotus de Cornagiis Northumbrelandiæ redditus ad Scaccarium anno regis Henrici xlix° per Robertum de Insula vicecomitem." Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 713.
152	196	"Quinque Portus et eorum menbra cum servitiis Regi debitis de Portubus predictis annuatim, cum necesse fuerit."[1] Edw. I. Lat.	MS. Ashmol., 770.	P. 714.
153	196 d.	"Berks—Warda debita castro de Windesores per singulas quadragenas anni." 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 716.
154	196 d.–197	"Kancia—Feoda quæ debentur ad custodiam castri Dovoræ." 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 717.
155	197 d.	"Kancia — Certificatio portus Dovoræ, et quid et quantum domino Regi debetur, et quid ab eodem alienatur, et quomodo." 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 722.
156	197 d.	"Kancia—Isti sunt qui habent transitum sine tello apud Dovoram	—	P. 723.

[1] A similar table is to be found in MSS. Corpus Coll., Cantabr., in French; cf. also the lost Register of the Cinque Ports and Chapter House Book A $\frac{2}{17}$. The Ashmolean transcript is from a Tower Record which was doubtless the original of the entry in this book.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
156–*cont.*		cum tota eorum familia, &c." 13th cent. Lat.		
157		Provisio domini Henrici Regis filii Regis Johannis et magnatum suorum facta apud Windesores anno regni sui xl° (de die excressente in anno bisextili qualiter computabitur). 13th cent. Lat.	Close Roll, 40 Hen. III., M. 12 d.[1]	Stats. of Realm, I. 7.
158	198–211	Abstracts of the sheriffs' farms, &c. from the Pipe Rolls, 19 to 24. Henry II.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 109–124.	—
159	211 d.	Memorandum that a ring, palfrey, and cup of the Bishop of Bath were delivered at the Exchequer to the king's use. Hillary T. 2 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat. *See also* No. 3.	Q.R. Memor. Hil. Recorda, 2 Edw. II., rot. 56d.	—
160		Memorandum that the writ under the Great Seal directed to the treasurer and barons for applying a remedy to the usurpations and appropriations committed against the king by divers persons in several forests of England after the arrentations made	[L. T. R. Memor. Brevia Baronibus directa. Hil., 11 Edw. II.]	P. 840.

[1] This provision was also transcribed in the *Liber Horn*, fo. 175.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
160–*cont.*		therein by those who were assigned to arrent the same is inrolled. 11 [Edw. II.]. Contemp. Lat.		
161		Memorandum that the writ under the Great Seal directed to the treasurer and barons to do justice to all wishing to sue in the Exchequer notwithstanding any mandates under the Great Seal or the Privy Seal is inrolled. Mich. 11 [Edw. II.]	[L. T. R. Memor. Brevia Baronibus directa. Mich., 11 Edw. II.]	P. 840.
162		Memorandum that the writ under the Privy Seal directed to John de Sandale, late treasurer, that he should not make assignment to any without the king's special mandate, in which mention is made of the aforesaid writ of Privy Seal, is inrolled. 12 [Edw. II.].	[L. T. R. Memor. Bria. Baron. directa. Mich., 12 Edw. II.]	P. 840.
163		Memorandum that the writ under the Great Seal respecting clipped and counterfeited money to be defaced and conveyed to the Exchange of London, and to be re-coined there is inrolled. 12 [Edw. II.]. Contemp. Lat.	[L. T. R. Memor. Brevia Baronibus directa. Hil., 12 Edw. II.]	P. 841.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
164	211 d.	Memorandum that the writ under the Great Seal for gifts to be recalled, and lands, wardships, marriages, and escheats granted by the king to be resumed into his hands is inrolled. 11 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	[L. T. R. Memor. Recorda Hil., 11 Edw. II.]	P. 841.
165		Memorandum that similar writs to the above effect are inrolled. Edw. II. Lat.	[L. T. R. Memor. Commun. Pasch., 9 Edw. II., rot. 5. Originalia, 9 Edw. II., rot. 5 & 6. L. T. R. Memor. Communia, 8 Edw. II.]	P. 841.
166		Memorandum that the Bull and letter patent of brother Aubert de Nigro Castro, chief preceptor of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem, of Philip de Granana, and Leonard de Tibertis, of the acquittance made to the king of the goods of the Templars, and also certain instruments touching the said business, will be found inrolled. Contemp. Lat.	[Q. R. Memor. Record. Hil., 7 Edw. II.]	P. 841.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
167	212	Memorandum that on the 19th day of September in the 30th year of King Edward the Third was the battle of Peyters, in which was taken John, King of France, and Philip, his son, by Edward, eldest son of the King of England. Also, that the said King Edward died 21 June in the 51st year of his reign in England, A.D. 1377. Also, that the said Edward, eldest son of the same king, died 8 June in the same year. Also that King Richard the Second, son of the last-named, was crowned 16 July in the same year. Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 841.
168	214–214 d.[1]	Abstracts of the sheriffs' farms. 1 to 3 Ric. I. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 109–124.	—
169	214 d.	Northampton.—Return of knight's fees for the honour of Stoke, which Robert de Gines holds. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 727.
170	215 d.–216[2]	Privileges granted to the barons of the Exchequer and others	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 124–124 a.	P. 814.

[1] Fos. 212 d., 213, and 213 d. are blank.
[2] Fo. 215 is blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
170–*cont.*		by the King's writ as notified in the Pipe Rolls. 5 to 15 Hen. II. 13th cent. Lat.		
171	216 d.	"Nomina Anglica usitata in cartis antiquorum Regum Angliæ, et adhuc apposita in cartis modernorum, exposita ab Alexandro Archidiacono Salesburiæ secundum quod continentur[1] in legibus Inæ Regis, Alvredi Alyestani, Alyelredi et Edwardi et Canuti Regum. Anglo-Sax. and French. 14th cent.	MS. Reg. 14, C. II.	P. 1032. Hoveden (Rolls), II. 242. Higden (Rolls), II. 90. Bromton (Twysden) Col. Rudborne (Angl. Sacr., I. 260).
			MS. Norwich.	Bart. Cotton (Rolls), 439.
			MSS. Gildhall. Li. Memor. Li. Horn.	*Mon. Gildh.* (Rolls), III., 453.
			MS. Rawl. B. 356.	
			MS. St. Paul's. *Liber Pilosus.*	Hist. MSS. Com., IX. Rep., Appx. X., 60.
			Exch. T. of R. Misc. $\frac{58}{18}$.	—
			MSS. Arund. 221, 310.	—
			MS. Holkham 158.	—
			MS. Gage.[2]	—
			MS. Rotheley.	Archæologia XLVII. 128.
			MS. Registr. Priv. de Font.	Memor. of Fountains (Surtees), II. 17.
			MS. Reg. 9 A. II.	—

[1] *Sic* in MS.

[2] Now in the possession of H.H. Prince Frederick Dhulip Singh.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
171–*cont.*			MS. Reg. 11 A. VIII.	—
			MS. Add. 6,159.	—
			MS. Rawl. B. 495.	Cart.St.Mary,Dublin (Rolls), I. 375.
			MSS. Lansd. 476, 575.	—
			MSS. Harg. 336, 434.	—
			MSS. Cotton Cleop. C. VII., Galb. E. IV., Vitell. C. IX., Faust A. IV., Nero, A. XII., Vesp. D. XVI., Jul. D. VII., Claud. E. VII.	Hickes, *Thesaurus*, III. 284.
				Reliq. Antiq., p. 33.
				Wülcker Altenglisches Lesebuch, I. 120, 178.
			MSS. Harl. 489, 493, 658, 667, 692, 748, 813, 858, 1005, 1033, 2057, 2079, 6166.	—
			MSS. Camb. Univ. Lib. D.d. VII. 6; G.g. V. 7; K.k. VJ. 44; M.m. V. 19; M.m. IX. 38.	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
171–*cont.*			MS. Lambeth 179. MS. Oriel, Oxon., 46. MS. Corp., Camb., 70. MS. Ashmol. 1276.	
172	217 d.	Memorandum of an aid granted by the barons and knights, 19 Hen. III., to marry Isabella, the King's sister, to Frederick, the Roman Emperor, namely, of every shield 2 marks.[1]	—	Pp. 1064–5.
		Also of an aid granted in the 29th year of the same King to marry his eldest daughter [Margaret], namely, of every shield 20*s.*[1]	—	
		Also of an aid granted in the 38th year of the same King to make his eldest son [Edward] a knight, the shield being assessed at 40*s.*[1]	—	
		Also of an aid granted Thursday, 1 June, 18 Edw. I., to marry the King's eldest daughter, namely, of every shield 40*s.*[2]	—	
173	218–230	Synopsis of the knights' fees assessed in divers counties in the reigns of Henry II. and Ri-	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 125–131 a.	P. 729.

[1] Cf. Pipe Rolls of the several counties of England and the *Testa de Nevill.*
[2] Cf. L. T. R. Memor. Com. Trin., 18 Edw. I.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
173–*cont.*		chard I. [apparentiy compiled by Alexander de Swereford]. Hen. III. Lat.		
174	230 d.	Knights' Fees of the Archbishopric of Canterbury, about the year 1212. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 724.
175	230 d.–231	Exchequer precedents, collected out of the Pipe Rolls, chiefly of Richard I. and John. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, 132 a.	P. 746.
176	231	An extract from a Book of Rochester showing the assessments of the bishoprics of England in respect of Peter's Pence. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 750.
177		An extract from the same book respecting the Assize of Bread, temp. Richard I. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 750.
178		"Decimæ separabiles datæ ecclesiæ Roffensi de dominicis extrinsecis."[1] 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 751.
179		Extracts from a book of Feversham Abbey setting forth the descent of the House of Blois from William I.[2] 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 752.

[1] Cf. *Textus Roffensis passim.* A very similar list will be found in the *Registrum Roffense* (*Ed.* Thorpe), pp. 44, 46, 116, 117.

[2] For an apparent mention of this Book, *see* Palgrave's Documents and Records, Scotland. I., p. 92.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
180	231	A legend in the same book concerning the same family. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 753.
181	231 d.	Extracts from the Pipe Rolls for divers counties, 1 Ric. I., setting forth certain amerciaments levied upon sheriffs and other accountants for delay in answering their summonses at the Exchequer, and concerning the amerciament of [Hugh], Bishop of Durham, for a plea concerning an advowson held by him in a Spiritual Court.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 132 a. Pipe Roll, 1 Ric. I., Norf. and Suff.	—
182		Like extracts from the Pipe Roll, 2 Ric. I.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 132a.	—
183		"Cambium."—The relative value of the silver obtained from several foreign countries, and other matters for the guidance of the King's exchanger or purveyor. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 132 a. Cotton MS. Cleo. A. 16.	P. 979.
184		Form of writ to the sheriff that he shall not permit such a bishop or his ministers to levy from his tenants a greater sum in respect of the aid for making the King's eldest son a knight than that which he	—	P. 842.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
184–*cont.*		has rendered himself to the King for the same aid. 13th cent. Lat.		
185	231 d.	Memorandum of a fine of half a mark paid by Geoffrey de Lucy, 20 Hen. III., to inspect the foot of a certain chirograph. 13th cent. Lat.	Pipe Roll, 20 Hen. III., Essex.	P. 842.
186		An extract from Domesday Book concerning the manors of Wilesdune and Draitone, co. Middlesex.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 132 a.	—
187	232	The case of Bartholomew de Cheym, who held lands in Surrey by the serjeantry of providing a cook in the King's kitchen on the day of his coronation. 20 Hen. III. Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 132 a.	P. 754.
188	232	Account of the coronation of Eleanor, daughter of Hugh, Count of Provence, Queen of Henry III., at Westminster, in the same year, with the claims of service then made and exercised. [Jan. 20, 1236.] Contemp. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 132 a–133 a. Cott. MS. Vesp. C. 14.[1] S.P. Misc. No. 40. Q.R. Wardrobe and Household ½.[2]	P. 755. Matt. Paris. *Chron. Major*, III. 337 (abridged).
189	232	Note of the marriage of Margaret, daughter of Henry III., and Alexander [II.], King of	MS. Harg. fo. 133 a.	P. 760. Matthew Paris. *Chron. Major*, V. 267–70 (fuller).

[1] An English translation of the 16th cent.
[2] Transcript, circa 1509, for the Coronation of Henry VIII.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
189–*cont.*		Scotland, at York, the morrow of the Nativity, 1251.		Fordun, *Scoti-chronicon*, p. 762.
190	232 d.	The names of the cantreds of Wales. Hen. III. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 133 d.	P. 760.
			Cf. MS. Hergt. 34.	Cymmrodor. ix. pt. 2.
			MS. Hergt.	*Ed.* Rhys and Evans, II. 407.
			MS. Cotton, Dom. VIII.	Leland, Itinerary, V. 168.
			Liber Landavensis.	*Ed.* Evans, p. 247.
			MS. Ball. Coll. Oxon., 353 (trspt.).	
191		The names of the English kings from Ina to the death of Hen. III.[1] 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 133 a.	P. 762.
192		An extract from Domesday Book, Berks, respecting the custom of military service in England. 13th cent. Lat.[2]	—	P. 763.
193		An extract from the same book concerning Babylon.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 133 a.	P. 764.
194	232 d.	Quæstiones curiæ.—Constitutional questions arising in certain cases of wardship and succession. Hen. III. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 133 a.	Pp. 764–8.

[1] *See* p. 3 of this edition for a similar list. These are probably derived, through Hoveden, from Florence of Worcester, 849. *See* p. cclxii.

[2] This entry is followed by two other short entries on the same folio, which are now utterly illegible, except that the first refers to the year 1253.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
195	232 d. –233	Memorandum of precedents found in the Pipe Rolls of John and Henry III. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fos. 134–134 a	Pp. 768–71.
196	233	"Incrementa comitatuum omnium Angliæ anno Regis Henrici filij Regis Johannis xxv° coram Stephano de Segrave."	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 134 a.	P. 771.
197	233	"Recepta a rege Johanne de Episcopatibus, Abbatiis, et aliis clericis Angliæ tempore Interdicti pro Stephano, Archiepiscopo Cantuariensi." [1208–13]. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 134 a.	P. 772.
198	233 d.	"Rotulus quondam Roberti Mantel de firma comitatuum Essexæ et Hertfordsiræ," etc. 13th cent. Lat.	MS. Harg. 313, fo. 134 a–135.	P. 774.
199	234–236 d.	*Inspeximus* of Magna Carta by Stephen, Archbishop of Canterbury, and other prelates, with Pandulf, the Pope's legate, as an assurance of its validity. [1215.] Edw. II. Lat.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 9.
200	237–237 d.	Transcript of the King's letter directed to Robert, Count of Flanders, whereby he conveys to the said count, that the Scotch and their adherents	—	Rot. Scotiæ, I. 193 a.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
200–*cont.*		and favourers are excommunicated by the Pope, and exhorts him not to have communication with them himself or by his subjects. York, 25 Mar., 12 [Edw. II.]. Contemp. Lat.		
201	241–241 d.[1]	Dates from which the regnal years of the kings of England are computed from Henry III. to James I., with a note of some of the chief events of the reign of the first-named King. Contemp. Lat.[2]	—	P. 1066.
202	242	Report of a decision by the King and council as to the right of presentation to the church of Eton. 52 Hen. III. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 1011.
203	242	Writ to [Richard] Bishop of Lincoln in the matter of the prior of St. John of Jerusalem against Thomas, son of Lambert de Moltone, for three-fourths of the presentation to the	—	P. 1012.

[1] Fos. 238 to 240 d. are blank, and the Calendar begins on fo. 241 d. A folio is apparently missing somewhere, and the pagination from fo. 220 onwards has been altered.

[2] *See* Proceedings of the Commission on the Public Records (1833), p. 443.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
203–*cont.*		church of Kirketon in Holland. Westm., 18 Nov. 3 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.		
204	242	Provision made 52 Henry III. for assigning certain terms for the hearing of common pleas in the Exchequer, in order to avoid the inconvenience lately experienced in auditing the sheriffs' accounts. Edw. I. Lat.[1]	—	P. 842.
205	242	Note of the sums assessed in the 8th, 17th, 21st, and 30th years of Hen. III. Edw. I. Lat. *See* No. 140.	—	—
206	242 d.	Provisions of the Jewry 53 Henry III. delivered into the Exchequer by Sir Walter de Merton. Edw. I. Lat.	Pat. Roll, 53 Hen. III., M. 25 d. Close Roll, 53 Hen. III., M. 10 d.	P. 978.
207	242 d.	The form to be observed in the order of the writing of the Great Roll embodied in a writ, 12 Feb., 54 Henry III., to the treasurer and barons. Edw. I. Lat.	Pat. Roll, 54 Hen. III., M. 21.	P. 842.

[1] No inrolment exists in the Memoranda of the Exchequer for the date.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
208	243–244 d.	The provisions made at Marleberg in the presence of King Henry and Richard, King of Germany, and Sir Edward, eldest son of the said King, and the lord Octobon, then legate in England. Octaves of St. Martin, 1267. Edw. I. Lat.	T. of R. Misc. $\frac{58}{18}$.	Statutes of the Realm, I. 19.
209	244 d.	Writ to the treasurer and barons, enjoining them to secure the tenants of the King's palace of Westminster in all their ancient rights and liberties according to the tenor of the statute in that case provided. Westm., 12 June, 7 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 829.
210	245	The King's writ to William Attelberge, commissary of the Archdeacon of Chester, enjoining him to stay all proceedings at the suit of William de Wymundeham against Simon de Pateshull, a debtor of the crown, until next Michaelmas. Westm., 22 June, 3 Edw. I.	—	P. 830.
211	245	Quit-claim of John de Litegreynes of certain lands in Mitford, and	—	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
211–*cont.*		the advowson of the church there to the King and his heirs. Westm., 18 Apr. 4 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.[1]		
212	245–245 d.	"Forma novæ monetæ" —being provisions or proposals for establishing a standard of currency; with the names of the officers of the Exchange and Mint, and the form of a proclamation against clipping, &c. [Edw. I.] French and Latin.	Rot. de Moneta, 7 & 8 Edw. I. in MS. Harl. 660 (trspt.). Cf. Chapter House Mint Box Chanc. file.	P. 980.
213	246	Remembrance of charges and allowances for the operations of the Mint. 10 Feb., 12 Edw. I. Contemp. French.	—	P. 983.
214	246	An official definition of the duties and perquisites of the officers of the Exchange. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 983.
215	246 d.	*Statutum de Religiosis*, without the salutation and attesting clause. [1279.] Contemp. Lat.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 51.[2]
216	246 d.	Concord made in the king's court at Westminster in the Quin-	Close Roll, 8 Edw. I., M. 11 d.	—

[1] No inrolment of this charter can be found.
[2] Not collated with this Book.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
216–*cont.*		zaine of St. Martin, 8 Edw. I., before the King's justices there, between our lord the King, plt., and Theobald le Butiller, deforct., of the advowson of the church of Kyrkham, which the said Theobald quitclaimed to the King and his heirs, in consideration of one Soar goshawk. Contemp. Lat.	Liber A., fo. 198 d.	
217	247	"De Moneta" — A further collection of precedents respecting the Mint, comprising the indentures of master William de Turnemire, as master of the King's Mint in England, and of Fache, the merchant, as chief assayer, purveyor, and weigher of the same. Friday in the feast of the Conception, 8 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 985.
218	247 d.	Fine made in the King's court at Westminster, on the morrow of All Souls, 15 Edw. I., before the King's justices there, between our lord the King, plt., and Robert, abbot of the church of St. John of Colchester,	Feet of Fines, Surrey, 15 Edw. I.	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
218–*cont.*		deforciant, of the advowson of the church of Ledrede (Leatherhead), which the said abbot quit-claimed to the King and his heirs, in consideration of 100 marks of silver. Contemp. Lat.		
219	247 d.	Charter of Ralph Pipard, knt., of all his castles, towns, manors, lands and tenements, in Ireland, granted to the King, together with the services of his son John and his wife Matilda, in respect of the manor of Clouncurri, and the reversion of the said manor and all other reversions. Westminster, Wednesday after the feast of St. Martin, 30 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	Q. R. Memor. 31 Edw. I., Rot. 33.	Calendar of Docts., Ireland, 1302. No. 149. (Abstract.)
220	248 d.[1]	Recognition of Isabella de Fortibus, Countess of Albemarle, for acquittance to Adam de Strattone, clerk, and his heirs, against the King and his heirs, of 13*l.* yearly out of the farm of Stratton, payable at the Exchequer, for which the said Countess charges all her lands and tene-	—	P. 1024.

[1] Fo. 248 is blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
220–*cont.*		ments in the counties of Southampton, Devon, York, Herts, and Oxon. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.		
221	248 d.	Memorandum that on Wednesday the feast of St. Edward the Confessor, 8 Edw. [I.] there came to the Exchequer John de Vesci and others, and proclaimed on the King's part, that Adam de Strattone was restored to the office of Chamberlain, lately resumed by reason of certain trespasses committed by him. Whereupon the said Adam nominated his brother, Henry de Strattone, as his deputy in the Exchequer of Receipt. Contemp. Lat.	Q. R. Memoranda Commun. Mich., 7 Edw. I., rot. 2.	P. 1025.
222	248 d.	"De Moneta" — The case of the Mint of the Abbot of St. Edmunds before the King in council. Edw. I. French.	—	P. 987.
223	249	Quit-claim by Matthew, Bishop of Killaloe, of the manor of Rostre, to the King and his heirs in exchange for 3 carucates and 84½ acres of land of the King's manor of Newcastle-under-Lyme. n.d. [1267–1282.] Lat.	—	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
224	249	Charter[1] of Gilbert Peche, knt., of the manor of Westclive near Dover, with the advowson and services thereto belonging, granted to King Edward and Eleanor his consort and their heirs, in consideration of 1000 marcs sterling. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.		—
225	249 d.	Acquittance of John de Eston for 100 librates of land granted to him by the King in discharge of any title claimed by him in the lands and tenements of Avelina de Fortibus, Countess of Albemarle, in England and Normandy, including the manor of Appeltrewyk in Craven, in recompense of which the King has allowed the said John 50 marcs. n.d[2] Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 1024.
226	249 d.	Charter of Hugh de Plessetis of the manor of Hedindone with the appurtenances granted to the King and his heirs. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	Close Roll, 9 Edw. I., M. 5 d.	—

[1] Cf. Originalia, 12 Edw. I., rot. 3.
[2] Cf. Close Roll, 6 Edw. I., M. 2 d., and Liber A. fo. 192 d.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
227	249 d.	Recognition of Dionisia de Monte Canusio in the King's Court, before the barons and justices in the Exchequer, for acquittance to the King and Eleanor his consort and their heirs of all the services due to the said Dionisia from the manor of Quenenden, granted to the said King and Queen by William de Monte Canusio, who held the same of her, against Robert son of Walter, of whom she holds herself. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	—
228	250	Quit-claim by John, Bishop of Winchester, of the manor of Sweyneston, in the Isle of Wight, with the advowsons of certain churches there, in consideration of a quit-claim of the manor of Menes, and divers advowsons and lands and tenements, for which the King had impleaded the said Bishop in his Court. Winchester, Wednesday in Easter week, 12 Edw. [I.]	Q. R. Memor. Commun. Pasch., 12 Edw. I., rot. 6.[1]	—
229	250	Surrender of the manor of Sweyneston and	—	—

[1] Cf. Originalia, 12 Edw. I., rot. 8, *in cedula*.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
229–*cont.*		the appurtenances by the above bishop to the King and his heirs. Same place and date.		
230	250	Confirmation of the above grant by the prior and chapter of St. Swithin, Winchester. Same place and date. Contemp. Lat.	—	—
231	250 d.	Quit-claim by Christiana de Mariscis, of the manor of Curtone in Kynaleyhan, co. Weseford, with the appurtenances granted to King Edward and Alianora his consort in consideration of 100 marcs. [13] Edw. I. Lat.[1]	—	—
232	250 d.	Quit-claim by John de Cancellis, of the manor of Lifton, co. Devon, with the appurtenances, to the King and his heirs. Westm., Friday before SS. Simon and Jude, 13 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.	—	—
233	250 d.	Acknowledgment by the same of the above grant, with a further warranty against all	—	—

[1] This charter, which is omitted in the Calendar of Docts., Ireland, appears to be referred to in the Liberate Roll, 13 Edw. I., M. 8. Cf. Liber A, fo. 416 and 416 l.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
233–*cont.*		incumbrancers as well Christians as Jews. Same place and date. Contemp. Lat.[1]		
234	251	The King's writ to the justices itinerant for Essex for a separation to be made in the estreats of the justices of debts appertaining to the King from those of other men. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 844.
235	251	Charter of Christiana de Marisco of all her knights' fees and advowsons, lands and tenements in Ireland granted to King Edward and Alianora his consort. [14] Edw. I. Lat.	—	Calendar of Docts., Ireland, No. 1800, and No. 279.[2]
236	251	Quit-claim by Sir Anselm de Eyfe, knt., to the king of 100 solidates of yearly rent in the King's manor of Banstede, which he holds of the gift of John de Burgo. n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	—
237	251 d.	The Statute of Bigamists (preamble and Article I. only). [ ] Contemp. Lat.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 42.

[1] No inrolments of these charters can be found.

[2] It would seem that the same charter has been calendared twice, once in the year 1280 from the Red Book, and again in 1286 as from the Close Roll, 14 Edw. II., M. 2 d., where, however, it cannot be identified.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
238	252 d.[1]	Quit-claim of Robert, Abbot of Robertsbridge, to the King and his heirs of one "walla cum ferlanda et dicdelve," according to the custom of the marsh, within certain boundaries, in consideration of 10*l.* sterling. Westm., 21 Nov., 18 Edw. I. Contemp. Lat.[2]	—	—
239	252 b.	Memorandum,[3] that on Tuesday, the morrow of St. Denys, 18 Edw. I., A.D. 1290, all the Jews departed from London, under the King's protection, to convey themselves beyond seas. Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 1065.
240	252 d. (*rider*)	Ordinance respecting the establishment of the Exchequer at Dublin, made by the Treasurer and Barons at Westminster. 30 Apr., [21] Edw. I. Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 974.
241	252 d. (*rider*)	Memorandum, that on Monday next, after the Feast of St. Michael, 21 [Edw. I.],	—	P. 976.

[1] Fo. 252 is blank.
[2] No inrolment of this charter can be found.
[3] Cf. Pat. Roll, 18 Edw. 1., M. 12. Cf. Close Roll, 18 Edw. I., M. 6.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
241–*cont.*		Alexander, of London, clerk, received by the hands of Sir William de Estdene, treasurer of Ireland, the ornaments herein named which belonged to Stephen, late Archbishop of Tuam.[1] Contemp. Lat.		
242	253 (*rider*)	Memorandum of the delivery to the treasurer of Ireland, by the treasurer and barons of the Exchequer of England, of various rolls and other writings. 30 Apr., 20 Edw. I.[2] Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 976.
243	253	The case of the wardship of the heirs and lands of Geoffrey de Lucy, in respect of the manor of Cattes-hull, co. Surrey, the inheritance of Juliana de Brok, wife of the said Geoffrey's ancestor, alleged to be held of the King by Ranulph de Brok, by the serjeantery of the ushership of the King's chamber, and not by tenure "in capite." n.d. Edw. I. Lat.	—	P. 1013.

[1] Some portions of this and the preceding entry are now illegible owing to an application of gall.

[2] Not in the Calendar of Docts., Ireland.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
244	254–254 d.	Ordinance by the King and council for the regulation of the Exchange and Mint. Cancelled, with a note that the ordinance in question was emended by the King and council, and is so entered on a subsequent page. Westm. 29 March, 28 Edw. 1. Contemp. French. *See* No. 248.	Q.R.Memor. Commun. Pasch., 28 Edw. I., rot. 27.	—
245	255 d. –256.[1]	Pleas before the King himself and his council in his Parliament held at York after the Feast of St. Michael, 21 Edw. [I.], between John, King of Scotland, and Magdulph, Earl of Fife. Contemp. Lat.	—	Rot. Parl., I. 112. Cf. Rot. Scotiæ, pp. 17, 18, 20.
246	256 d.	Ordinance for the new custom of wools, woolfells, and leather granted to the King by all the great persons of the realm, and at the prayer of the commons and merchants of all England. 3 Edw. I. Edw. I. French.	Originalia, 3 Edw. I., rot. 15. Pat., 3 Edw. I., M. 1. T. of R. Placita Parliamentaria, 35 Edw. I., M. 2 d.	Parl. Writs, I. 2.
247	256 d. –257	Charter of liberties granted by the King to the wine merchants of the Duchy of Aqui-	Gascon Roll, 17 Edw. III., M. 1.	P. 1060.

[1] Fo. 255 is blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
247–*cont.*		taine, rendering to the King and his heirs two shillings for every cask of wine landed by them within the realm of England. Westm., 30 Aug., 30 Edw. [I.] Contemp. Lat.		
248	259–259 d.[1]	"De Moneta" — The amended ordinance of the King and council of 29 Mar., 28 Edw. I., fixing the Exchange and Mint in several places, with certain regulations for the same. Contemp. Lat. *See* No. 244.	Q. R. Memor. Commun. Easter, 28 Edw. I.[2]	P. 987.
249	Fos. 259 d. –265	*Tractatus Novæ Monetæ.*—A dissertation upon the Mint and Exchange, apparently in the latter part of the reign of Edward III. 14th cent. Lat.	Cotton MSS., Cleopatra, A. 16 (15th cent.).	Pp. 991–1010.
250	265 d.	Memorandum that during the vacancy of the see of Durham by the death of Bishop Richard le Pouere the custody of the manor of Seton next Hertepole was in the King's hands; with a further note respecting the consequences of this precedent. 13th cent. Lat.	—	P. 1029.

[1] Fos. 257 d., 258, and 258 d. are blank.
[2] Cf. Pat. 28 Edw. I., m. 20, *in ced.*

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
251	266 & 266 d.	The Statute of Rhuddlan—Writ to the Treasurer and Barons for the *Corpora Comitatuum* to be removed out of the Pipe Rolls, and to be entered in a separate roll, with other minute directions on the same subject. Rothlan, 23 Mar., 12 [Edw. I.]. Edw. II. Lat.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 69.
252	267 d.–268 d.[1]	Memorandum that John de Sandale, Chancellor of England, has brought here now into the Exchequer, namely, on the 20th April, 8 Edw. II., 34 Bulls of divers Popes, which he says he found among the memoranda of Master John Fraunceys, sometime the King's clerk, a schedule whereof follows. Contemp. Lat.	—	P. 1042.
253	269	Memorandum that Adam, Bishop of Hereford, brought here to the Exchequer, 20 Feb., 1 Edw. III., a certain Bull of John XXII., at that time Pope, sealed	Papal Bulls, Public Record Office.	P. 1047.

[1] Fo. 267 is blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
253–*cont.*		with a leaden seal, and dated 6 kal. June, A.P. 4. Contemp. Lat.		
254	269 d. –273	Pleas before the King's council at Westminster in a month of Michaelmas, 34 Edw. I. The case of the lands of Tyndale, formerly the possessions of John de Balliol, late King of Scotland, with the record of the case of the manors of Penreth and Soureby, cos. Cumberland and Westmoreland, Mich. 21 Edw. I., likewise formerly the possessions of the said late King, and the further proceedings in both cases taken in a Parliament at Carlisle in the octaves of St. Hilary, 35 Edw. I. Edw. II. French and Lat.	T. of R. Placita Parliamentaria, 35 Edw. I.	Rot. Parl., I. 112 and 114 (in part only). Cole's Documents, pp. 129–38.
255	273 d. –274	The Bull whereby Pope Innocent III. confirms the letters of King John for the grant of freedom of elections in all churches of England, as well cathedral as conventual; which said let-	Papal Bulls, Public Record Office.	*Fœdera*, I. 127.[1] Potthast, *Reg. Pont.* 4963.

[1] From a transcript, and not from the original.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
255–*cont.*		ters are inserted in the Bull. Lateran, 3 kal. Apr. anno 18. [1215.] Edw. II. Lat.		
256	274 d. –275	The Statute of York—Writ to the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer, 1 Dec., 12 Edw. II., for certain statutes established in the Parliament at York to be observed, the tenor of which statute follows. Contemp. Lat. and French.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 117.[1]
257	275 d. –276	Writ to the same for the ancient summonses *sub compendio* to be innovated in order that the amerciaments incurred by the subjects in the 20th year of Edward I., and the issues of forfeitures may not be exacted by pretext of the said ancient summonses. York, 2 Feb., 12 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	L. T. R. Memor. Brevia Hil., 12 Edw. II., rot. 85 d. Close Roll, 12 Edw. II., M. 14.	*Fœdera*, II. 386.
258	276 d.	The Statute established at Lincoln in the ninth year of King Edward the Second. Contemp. French.	—	Statutes of the Realm, I. 174.[1]

[1] Not collated with this Book.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
259	277–277 d.	Process of the manner of allowing divers liberties of the Magnates of the realm in the accounts of the sheriffs, made and entered in the form of the King's writ to the treasurer and barons, dated at Westminster 17 Feb., 14 Edw. II., and the resolutions thereupon. Contemp. Lat.	Q.R. Memor. Pasch. Recorda, 14 Edw. II.,[1] rot. 112 d.	P. 970.
260	278	Letters Patent of King Edward I., granting to the converted [Jews] of London 202*l.* 0*s.* 4*d.*, to be received yearly at the Exchequer, for the sustenance of the keeper of the house aforesaid, of two chaplains and one clerk, during their lives, with abatement of a proportionate sum on the death of each one of them. Waverle, 16 Feb. 20 Edw. I. Edw. II. Lat.	Pat., 20 Edw. I., M. 19. L. T. R. Memor. Commun. Pasch., 20 Edw. I., rot. 14 d.	—
261	279–286 d.[2]	The articles ordained and provided for the regulation of the King's Exchequer, 16 Edw. II., by virtue	Q.R. Memor. Brevia Trin., 16 Edw. II.,[3] rot. 37 d.	Pp. 848–907.

[1] *See also* L.T.R. Memor. Commun. Trin., 18 Edw. I., and Hil., 20 Edw. I., and Pipe Roll, Norfolk, 20 Edw. I.

[2] Fo. 278 d. is blank.

[3] The writ only inrolled. A translation of the Ordinances is in MS. Ashmol, 1147.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
261–*cont.*		of the King's writ to the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer inclosing a roll of the said ordinances. Contemp. Lat. and French.		
262	287–290	The ordinance for the regulation of the King's Exchequer, 17 Edward II., by virtue of the King's writ to the same, enclosing the said ordinance. Contemp. Lat. and French.	Tower Misc. Rolls, Bdle. 15, No. 23. Q. R. Memor. Brevia Pasch., 17 Edw. II.,[1] rot. 5.	Pp. 908–929.
263	290–297	The ordinances for the same purpose, 19 Edward II., by virtue of a like writ enclosing the same. Contemp. Lat. and French.	[Q.R. Memor. Brevia Trin., 19 Edw. II.[1]]	Pp. 930–969.
264	297 d.	Ordinance made by virtue of the King's writ, dated 20 April, 29 Edw. III., for accounts no longer to be rendered by attorney in the case of the sheriffs and other great accountants. Contemp. Lat. and French.	L. T. R. Memor. Hil. Brevia, 29 Edw. III.,[1] rot. 34 d.	P. 845.
265	297 d.–298	The tenor of the King's writ under the Great Seal in the matter of the ordinance for restoring to the followers of Thomas, late	Q.R. Memor., Brevia Hil., 1 Edw. III., rot. 67 d.	P. 1030.

[1] The writ only.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
265–*cont.*		Earl of Lancaster, their lands and offices. Westm., 1 Mar., 1 Edw. III. Contemp. Lat.		
266	298 d.	Memorandum concerning the appointment of a supplementary baron to officiate in the Exchequer. 15th cent. Lat.	Close Roll, 48 Hen. III., M. 10.	P. 846.
267	309 d.[1]	The oath of the Chamberlain of the Exchequer. 16th cent. Engl. *See* No. 31.	—	—
268	314[2]	Charter of John de Warenne, Earl of Surrey, of the castle and town of Reygate, and other castles, towns, and manors in the counties of Surrey and Sussex, and in Wales, granted to the King and his heirs. Westm., 14 May, 19 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 3 d.	—
269	314–314 d.	Quit-claim by the same to the King of his castles, manors, towns, lands, and tenements, co. York, and also of the manors and towns of Staunford and Grantham, co. Lincoln. Westm., 7 May, 19 Edw. [II.] Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 3 d.	—

[1] Fos. 299 to 309 are blank.

[2] Fos. 310 to 313 d. are blank.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
270	314 d. –315	Charter of Edmund, Earl of Arundel, whereby he binds himself to assign to the King an equal value out of his lands and tenements until the King shall have possession of the castles of Conyngesburgh and Sandale, and other lands and tenements, co. York, which should revert to him on the death of John de Warenne, Earl of Surrey, to whom he had granted the same, but in which a certain Matilda de Peireford claims to have a life interest if she survive the said Earl of Surrey. Westminster, 19 May, 19 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 3 d.	—
271	315 d.	Quit-claim by Adam de Brom, clerk, to King Edward II. of a certain messuage and tenements in the parish of St. Mary in Oxford, and of a messuage in the suburbs of the same town called "la Perillouse-halle." St. Edmund's, Wednesday in the feast of the Circumcision, 19 Edw. II. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 7 d.	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
272	315 d.	Grant by the prior and convent of Eye to Edward the King of the advowsons of the churches of Thornedon and Melles, co. Suffolk. Eye, in Chapter, 12 Jan. 1325. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 15 d.	—
273	316	Grant by Hugh de Louthre, knight, to Edward II. of his castle and the moiety of the town of Staworth with the appurtenances. Kenelworth, vigil of St. George, 1326. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 8 d.	—
274	316	[1] The same for the same, appointing an attorney for delivery of seisin of the above premises. Kenylworth, the morrow of St. George, 1326. Contemp. Lat.	—	—
275	316 d.	Grant by Joan de Dryby, lady of Tateshale, to Edward II. of her property in the "Tolbothe" of the town of Lenne, and the customs of the water there, and all her tene-	Close Roll, 19 Edw. II., M. 8 d.	—

[1] Only the former of these two deeds appears to be inrolled. There is a note in the Close Roll, to the effect that Hugh came afterwards into the Chancery at Westminster, and acknowledged this deed there.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
275–*cont.*		ments in the same town and suburbs. [19] Edw. II. Lat.		
276	316 d.	[1] The same for the same, appointing an attorney for delivery of seisin of the above premises. Palm Sunday, 1325. Contemp. Lat.	—	—
277	316 d.–317	Certificate by the mayor, &c., of Lenne that the said dame Joan's attorney, Eustace Bozoun, came to the Tolbothe there on the 8th of April and delivered seisin of the above property and tenements to Master John Howard and John de Harsyke, assigned by the King's letters patent to receive the same. Lenne, 12 Apr., 19 Edw. II. Contemp. French.	—	—
278	317 d.	Deed of assignment by William de la Pole the elder, knight, to Edward the King of 1,000 marcs yearly rent out of all his	L. T. R. Memor. Commun. Hil., 41 Edw. III., rot. 11.	—

[1] This deed is not inrolled on the Close Roll. There is a note that it remained in the custody of the Keeper of the Rolls of the Chancery, together probably with the document that follows.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
278–*cont.*		lands and tenements during the life of Katherine his wife. Westm., 1 Mar., 29 Edw. [III.] Edw. III. French.	Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 14.	
279	317 d.	Deed of the same to same of 200*l.* of like rent in fee simple. Same date. Edw. III. French.	Originalia, 29 Edw. III, rot. 14. Pipe Roll, 32 Edw. III., Ebor.[1]	—
280	317 d. –318 d.	Further indenture between the same parties respecting the above charges in the event of the widowhood of Dame Katherine de la Pole. Westm., 4 Mar., 29 Edw. [III.] Edw. III. French.	Ancient Deeds, A. 355. Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 14.	—
281	318 d. –319	Release by William de la Pole the elder to the same King of all debts and surplusages due to him on his accounts. Westm., 20 Oct., 29 Edw. [III.] Edw. III. French.	Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 15.	—
282	319	Release by the same to the same of the manors of Grynge-	Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 15.	—

[1] Cf. L. T. R. Memor. Pasch. Record, 30 Edw. III., rot. 6.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
282-*cont.*		leye and Wheteleye, co. Notts. Same date. Edw. III. French.		
283	319–319 d.	Release by the same to the same of his title to the manor of Brustwyk in Holdernesse and other manors and towns. Same date. Edw. III. French.	Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 15.	—
284	319 d.	Release by Thomas and Edward, the sons of William de la Pole, to the same king, of their title in the manor of Kayngham in Holdernesse. Same date. Edw. III. French.	Ancient Deeds, A. 350. Close Roll, 29 Edw. III., M. 26 d. Originalia, 29 Edw. III., rot. 15.	—
285	320	Release by Edmund de la Pole to the same of all his title in the above manor in consideration of the release of the former assignment of 200 *m.* rent made to the King by William de la Pole. Westm., 23 Oct., 30 Edw. [III.] Edw. III. French.	Close Roll, 32 Edw. III., M. 7.	—
286	320 d.	Release by Katherine, relict of William de la Pole the elder, to the same, of all her dower and other interest in the manor of Brustwyk in Holdernesse, and other manors and towns in	Close Roll, 40 Edw. III., M. 1 d. Originalia, 40 Edw. III., rot. 23.	—

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
286–*cont.*		co. York, as well as in the manors of Gryngele and Whete-leye, co. Notts. Kingston-on-Hull, Monday after the feast of the Virgin Mary. 40 Edw. III. Contemp. Lat.		
287	321	Release by Hugh de Calmlegh, knight, to Richard the King of all his claims for service in the wars during the late reign, except the payments due to him for the custody of the town of Brest and the profits of the islands of Guernsey, &c., and the annuity of 200 marcs granted to him at the Exchequer. London, 20 May, 3 Ric. II. Contemp. Lat.[1]	—	—
288	321 d.	Release by John Symme of Wrytelmersshe, co. Kent, to Richard the King of certain land and wood inclosed by the late King in his park of Eltham. Tuesday before the feast of St. Nicholas, 12 Ric. II. Contemp. Lat.	—	—

[1] No inrolments of this and the two following charters have been found.

No.	Folio.	Description.	Parallel MSS.	Where printed.
289	321 d.	Release by John Ricardes of the same place to the same king of land and wood likewise imparked. Same date. Contemp. Lat.	—	—
290	322	Grant by the abbot and convent of Chertsey to Henry the King of the manor of Petrisham and advowson of the church of Ewelle, co. Surrey, reserving a yearly pension of 20*s.*, payable out of the said church. Chertsey, in the Chapter, 23 Mar., 3 Hen. V. Contemp. Lat.	Close Roll, 3 Hen. V., M. 21 d.	—
291	[322d.]	Transcript of a certain record inrolled in the Memoranda of the Exchequer concerning the privileges of the officers of that court. York, 16 Feb. [11 Edw. III.] 15th cent. Lat.	Q. R. Memor., and L. T. R. Memor. Hil. Commun., 11 Edw. III.	P. 831. Year Book (Rolls), 14 Edw. III., p. xxii.
292	Fly[1] leaves.	A further table of references to some of the most notable contents of this book, in a late hand. 16th cent. Lat.	—	—

[1] The pagination ends on fo. 322, the last mentioned entry being succeeded by three blank folios.

LIBER RUBEUS DE SCACCARIO.

63377. Wt. 6366.

LIBER RUBEUS DE SCACCARIO.

DE PRIMO SCUTAGIO REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.[1]

Fo. 47. Anno Domini MCCXXX., anno videlicet xv° regni Regis Henrici, filii Regis Johannis—qui fuit frater insuperabilis imperii Regis Ricardi, qui fuit filius Regis Henrici, cujus mater Matildis Imperatrix, cujus mater Matildis Regina Anglorum, cujus mater Margarita Regina Scotorum, cujus pater Eadwardus, cujus pater Eadmundus ferreum latus, cujus pater Ædelred, cujus pater Eadgarus pacificus, cujus pater Eadmundus, cujus pater Eadwardus senior, cujus pater nobilis Alvredus, qui fuit filius Eadwlfi Regis, qui fuit filius Egbrichti, cujus pater Alcmundus, cujus pater Effa, cujus pater Eppa, cujus pater Ingels, cujus frater fuit famosissimus Rex Ine, nomine, quorum pater Keonred, qui fuit filius Ceowald, qui fuit Cutha, qui fuit Cuthwinum,[2] qui fuit Chelulin, qui fuit Chenric, qui fuit Creoda, qui fuit Ceordic, qui fuit Elesa, qui fuit Ecla, qui fuit Genuis. Iste fuit caput gentis suæ a quo et tota illa gens nomen accepit. Hujus pater fuit Wig, cujus pater fuit Frewine, cujus pater fuit Freodegar, cujus pater Brand cujus pater Bealdaes, cujus pater Woden, qui fuit Fredewald, qui fuit Freolof, qui fuit Fredewlf, qui fuit Fringoldwlf, qui fuit Geta, qui fuit Geatwa, qui fuit Beu, qui fuit Sceldwa, qui fuit Heremod, qui fuit Itermod, qui fuit Bathka, qui fuit Wala, qui fuit Beadwid, A.D. 1230.

[1] This heading is taken from the table of contents at the beginning and end of the book.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1230. qui fuit Sem, cujus pater Noe, qui fuit Lamech, qui fuit Mathussale, qui fuit Enoch, qui fuit Jareth, qui fuit Malaleel, qui fuit Cainan, qui fuit Enos, qui fuit Seth, qui fuit Adam, filii Dei vivi—sub Huberto de Burgo comite Kanciæ, Angliæ justiciario; Thesaurario Scaccarii W[altero] Kaerleon[ensi][1] Episcopo; Regis prædicti Cancellario Radulpho Cicestrensi Episcopo; residens ego Alexander Archidiaconus Salopesbiriæ apud Westmonasterium in Regis Scaccario, antiquorum regum Angliæ rotulos revolvens annales, ad hoc solicitius animum direxi, ut per regna Angliæ debita Regi servitia militaria quatenus potui plenissime percunctarer; cum neque Nigellus, quondam Elyensis episcopus, Regis Henrici Primi Thesaurarius, vir quidem in scientia Scaccarii plenius instructus, nec ejusdem successor officii, Ricardus Londoniensis Episcopus, licet in sui libelli tractatu superius multa de negotiis Scaccarii degereret, nec vir valde peritus in eisdem, Willelmus Elyensis, sub cujus regimine temporibus Regis Johannis, multociens ibidem militavi,[2] super hiis certum aliquid diffinirent; illud commune verbum in ore singulorum tunc temporis divulgatum fatuum reputans et mirabile, quod in regni conquisitione Dux Normannorum, Rex Willelmus, servitia xxxij^{m} militum infeodavit; cum nec super hoc posteris suis regibus Anglorum rotulos reliquerit, nec annalia sua temporibus meis a quibusdam visa sunt; rotulo quidem Wintoniæ, sive Domusdey, vel Libro Hidarum, excepto, quo quidem hidas totius Angliæ earundemque tenentes anno regni sui xiiij^{o}[3] per totius regni comitatus recensens satis compendiose conclusit. Ignorasse quidem hæc servitia militaria Regis ejusdem postmodum successores subsequentium argumento non immerito potuit dubitare. Quia cum Rex Henricus filius

Dialogus de Scaccario.

[1] Rectius *Carleolensi.*

[2] Cf. Dialogus de Scaccario, I. 3.

[3] *xxiij^{o}* in MS., but the first *x* is partially erased. In any case this does not agree with the accepted date, 1086.

Imperatricis, Duci Saxoniæ filiam suam Matildem, A.D. 1230.
nuptui traderet, a quolibet sui regni milite marcam unam in subsidium nuptiarum exegit, publico[1] præcipiens edicto quod quilibet prelatus et baro quot milites de eo tenerent in capite publicis[2] suis instrumentis significarent; quæ quidem instrumenta per singulos comitatus distincta sub præfato Willelmo Elyensi in unum recollegi volumen. Nomina quidem cartas suas mittentium, numerum etiam feodorum, et pecuniam prætaxatam solutam, reperies inferius in rotulo regni Regis ejusdem xiiij sub illo titulo,[3] *De Auxilio ad maritandam primogenitam filius Regis.* Nomina quidem illorum qui cartas tunc tempore non miserunt reperies inferius in rotulo regni sui xviij°, sub titulo[3] illo *De hiis qui cartas non miserunt.* Ut igitur quatenus hujus rei potuit investigari veritas, singulis innotesceret; incipiens a tempore regis Henrici filii imperatricis scutagia singula successorum suorum temporibus assisa, usque ad annum xv^mum^ regis Henrici, filii regis Johannis,[4] huic meo libello inferius descripsi. Temporibus enim regis Henrici primi, licet ejusdem paucos inspeximus annales, nec inspexi vel audivi fuisse scutagia assisa. Diligens igitur investigator et hujus libelli mei lector, singulis inspectis inferius scutagiis advertat, qui, qualia et quot debeant servicia militaria; qui quidem serjantarias; defectusque unius annalis suppleat ex alio, attendens quod militantes in exercitu non solverunt scutagia tempore quo militabant. Immo milites remanentes, redimentes suam scutagii sui remanentiam. Potuitque contingere multociens, et contigit, quod qui hoc anno remanerent ab exercitu alio quidem anno militarent, et e converso. Solverentque hoc anno scutagia, qui non alio anno solverent, et e converso. Suppleat igitur defectum meum quicunque

---

[1] *Puplico* in MS.
[2] *Pupplicis* in MS.
[3] *Tytulo* in MS.
[4] The latest in this MS. is for the 13 John.

A.D. 1230. voluerit et ignoscat[1] si pauciora debito Regi servitio perstrinxerim,[2] cum in hoc solo mea fuerit intentio ut ex rotulis veritatem elicerem et non relatu reproborum.

A.D. 1156. PRIMUM SCUTAGIUM REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

Fo. 47 d. Primum omnium scutagiorum prout rumor ex rotulis ad me devenit assisum fuisse regno Regis Henrici filii Imperatricis secundo, ut inferius in suo reperies annali. Fuitque scutagium pro exercitu Walliæ[3] super prelatos tantum qui ad militaria servitia tenentur assisum, videlicet, pro quolibet feodo militis xx solidos.

A.D. 1158–9. QUO ROTULO SECUNDUM EJUS SCUTAGIUM ET PROPTER QUOD ASSISUM REPERIES.

Secundum ejus scutagium assisum pro eodem exercitu Walliæ[3] reperies in rotulo anni quinti Regis ejusdem inferius. Fuitque assisum ad duas marcas pro quolibet feodo, non solum super prelatos, verum tam super ipsos quam super milites suos, secundum numerum feodorum, qui tenuerunt[4] de Rege in capite, necnon et super residuos milites singulorum comitatuum in communi. Intitulaturque illud scutagium *De Dono* ea quidem, ut credo, ratione quod non solum prelati qui tenentur ad servitia militaria sed etiam alii, Abbates, utpote, de Bello et de Salopesbiria et alii tunc temporis dederunt auxilium. Et nota quod dona prelatorum in summa pecuniæ convenienti feodis quæ tenent de Rege in capite,[5] ita quod ij marcæ computentur pro feodo uno; dona tamen militum cujuslibet prelati sufficiunt

---

[1] *ingnoscat* in MS.
[2] *perstrinxserim* in MS.
[3] *See* Preface.
[4] *Sic* in MS. A marginal gloss temp. Edward I. reads *de feodis q[uæ] tenentur in R[egno] in capite.*
[5] *Sufficiunt* is probably to be understood here.

in ea summa quod pro quolibet feodo possent computari ij marcæ ut, verbi gratia, Abbas Sancti Augustini Cantuariæ, qui tenet de Rege xv feoda militum, reddit ibi compotum cxlvj librarum et j marcæ de dono; milites ejusdem de xx libris de dono; quæ summa manifeste convenit de numero feodorum, computatis ad feodum ij marcis. Ceteris vero militibus comitatus reddentibus compotum in summa communi, quod totum reperies inferius in annali v^to^, credo quidem omnes prelatos in communi aliquid de eo tempore dedisse Regi, distributionesque inter se fecisse quod habere potestis ex inæquali summa quampluribus imposita.[1] Fuisse quidem scutagium ex hoc arguo, licet donum intitulatum, quod vicecomites[2] Wigorniæ et Warwikæ reddunt ibi compotum de scutagio militum Episcopi Wigornensis et comitis Warwikæ; propter quod inter alia scutagia istud donum pro scutagio apposui. A.D. 1158–9.

Quo rotulo tertium ejusdem scutagium et propter quod assisum reperies. A.D. 1160–1.

Tertium ejusdem Regis scutagium reperies inferius assisum in ejusdem annali anni vij^mi^. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas pro exercitu Tholosæ, scilicet, quando idem Rex Henricus obsedit Tholosam,[1] et fuit commune scutagium impositum tam prelatis quam cæteris baronibus, ut patet ex rotulo vij^o^.

Quo rotulo quartum ejusdem Regis scutagium et propter quod assisum reperies. A.D. 1161–2.

Quartum ejusdem Regis scutagium fuit impositum pro eodem exercitu, non solum prelatis sed universis militibus, anno ejusdem viij^o^. Fuit autem assisum ad marcam unam pro quolibet feodo, ut patet ex rotulo viij^o^.

---

[1] *See* Preface.

[2] Written over an erasure in MS.

A.D. 1166–8.

Quo rotulo auxilium ad maritandam primogenitam filiam Regis Duci Saxoniæ reperies.

Quinta quidem exactio, non nomine scutagii, sed nomine auxilii ad maritandam filiam Regis, fuit imposita prelatis et militibus universis anno regni Regis ejusdem xiij°. Fuitque ad marcam unam a singulis feodis assisum auxilium. Solutum quidem et annotatum in anno xiiij°, ut patet ex rotulo xiiij°.[1] Apposui quidem istud auxilium in numero scutagiorum, quoniam cognita[2] summa marcarum cognoscitur[3] per consequens et numerus militum.

A.D. 1171–2.

Quo rotulo sextum ejusdem scutagium et propter quod assisum reperies.

Sextum quidem ejusdem scutagium fuit assisum anno regni sui xvij°. Solutum quidem et annotatum in rotulo xviij°. Fuitque tam prelatis quam cæteris baronibus impositum ad xx solidos pro quolibet feodo, prout patet inferius in rotulo xviij°, et pro exercitu Hiberniæ; quo quidem rotulo supplentur nomina illorum qui cartas non miserunt anno xiij°, prout superius tactum est.[1]

A.D. 1186–7.

Quo rotulo septimum ejusdem scutagium et propter quod assisum reperies.

Septimum quidem ejusdem Regis scutagium fuit impositum tam prelatis quam cæteris baronibus anno regni Regis ejusdem xxxij°; solutum quidem et annotatum anno xxxiij°. Fuitque assisum ad xx solidos pro quolibet feodo, et pro exercitu Galweyæ. Et nota quod quandocumque assidentur scutagia, licet eodem anno solvantur, annotantur tamen in annali anni sequentis.[1]

---

[1] *See* Preface.

[2] *Congnita* in MS.

[3] *Congnoscitur* in MS.

Hujus Regis temporibus non invenies plura scutagia assisa, licet in xj ejus anno forsitan inferius inveneris auxilium pro servientibus inveniendis in exercitu Walliæ. Quod quidem auxilium in numero scutagiorum nolui apponere, quoniam probata summa auxilii propter hoc non probatur numerus militum vel serjantorum.[1] A.D. 1164–5.

Diem autem clausit Rex extremum post rotulum anni regni sui xxx^mum iiij^tum completum, propter quod intitulatur[2] in eodem, *Rotulus regni Regis Henrici xxx^us iiij^us et ejus ultimus.* Successit eidem Rex illustris Rex Ricardus, ejusdem filius, cujus scutagia suis temporibus assisa inferius reperies annotata. A.D. 1189.

Introitus ad scutagia Regis Ricardi.

A.D. 1190–1. Fo. 48.

Primum invicti Regis Ricardi reperies scutagium inferius annotatum rotulo Regis ejusdem secundo, tam super prelatos quam reliquos barones assisum. Fuitque scutagium Walliæ impositum ad x solidos pro quolibet feodo militis.

Quo rotulo secundum ejus scutagium et propter quod assisum reperies.

A.D. 1194–5.

Secundum ejusdem Regis scutagium reperies inferius annotatum in rotulo Regis ejusdem sexto,[3] communiter prelatis et baronibus impositum. Fuitque assisum ad xx solidos pro quolibet feodo militis, ad redemptionem ejusdem Regis illustris; qui cum reversus a Jerosolimis, subjugatis sibi regionibus et terris et civitatibus diversis, effugatis exinde Sarracenis, prout in *Gestis* ejusdem plenius continetur, clam per Alemaniam in regnum suum volens reverti, occupatis jam terris suis et invasis a Rege Francorum Philippo in Normannia, captus Gesta Regis Ricardi.

---

[1] *See* Preface.

[2] *intytulatur* in MS.

[3] *Scutagium ad redemptionem domini Regis.*—T. R.

A.D. 1194–5. est a Limpolldo Duce Austriæ, detentusque apud Wene anno Domini M°C°XC°II°. Redemptus postmodum ab Anglis pro c^m^ libris sterlingorum.

A.D. 1196–7. QUO ROTULO TERTIUM ET QUARTUM EJUSDEM SCUTAGIUM ET PROPTER QUOD ASSISA REPERIES.

Tertium ejusdem et quartum[1] scutagium reperies inferius annotata in rotulo Regis ejusdem viij°, assisa quidem anno vij° et viij°, pro exercitu Normanniæ. Fuitque utrumque assisum ad xx solidos pro feodo militis. Inveniesque illa duo sub uno contextu comprehensa, quia nomina militum qui defuerunt in primo sup-
A.D. 1199. plentur ex secundo. Complevit autem idem Rex decimum ratiocinii sui rotulum, sicque diem clausit extremum; sepultus apud Fontem Ebroldi, cujus animæ propitietur Deus.

INTROITUS AD SCUTAGIA ASSISA TEMPORE REGIS JOHANNIS.

Regis Johannis, fratris illustris Regis Ricardi tem-
A.D. 1199–1200. poribus xj reperies assisa scutagia. Primum quidem ejusdem scutagium reperies assisum rotulo Regis ejusdem primo. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas pro quolibet feodo militis, impositum tam prelatis quam cæteris baronibus et intitulatum[2] *De primo scutagio post Coronationem Regis.*[3]

A.D. 1201–2. QUO ROTULO SECUNDUM REGIS HUJUS SCUTAGIUM ET PROPTER QUOD ASSISUM REPERIES.

Secundum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem tertio. Fuitque assisum

---

[1] These are entitled the second and third scutages in the Rolls; the first being the scutage of Wales, and the scutage for the King's ransom bearing no number.

[2] *intytulatum* in MS.

[3] *De primo scutagio post primam Coronationem Regis Johannis.*—C. R.

ad ij marcas pro quolibet feodo militis pro exercitu Normanniæ, prelatis cæterisque baronibus impositum. Unde mixtim reperies in eodem annotatum *De finibus et scutagio militum ne transfretent.*[1] A.D. 1201–2.

QUO ROTULO TERTIUM REGIS EJUSDEM SCUTAGIUM ET PROPTER QUOD ASSISUM REPERIES. A.D. 1202–3.

Tertium ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem iiij°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum pro consimili exercitu Normanniæ.

QUO ROTULO QUARTUM EJUSDEM SCUTAGIUM ET PROPTER QUOD ASSISUM REPERIES. A.D. 1203–4.

Quartum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem v°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas, prelatis et cæteris [baronibus][2] impositum pro consimili exercitu Normanniæ.

QUO ROTULO[3] . . . . A.D. 1204–5.

Quintum ejusdem scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem vj°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas,[4] prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum pro consimili exercitu Normanniæ.

Sextum ejusdem scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem vij°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum pro consimili exercitu Normanniæ. A.D. 1205–6.

Septimum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem viij°. Fuitque assisum ad xx solidos, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum pro consimili exercitu Normanniæ. A.D. 1206–7.

---

[1] *De finibus et scutagiis militum* or *De finibus militum ne transfretent.*—T. R.

[2] Omitted in MS.

[3] *Sic* in MS.

[4] *Ad ij marcas et dimidiam.*—T. R.

A.D. 1210–1. Octavum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem xij°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas pro passagio Regis in Hiberniam, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum.

A.D. 1211–2. Nonum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem xiij°. Fuitque assisum ad ij marcas, pro exercitu Walliæ, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum.

Decimum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo eodem xiij°. Fuitque assisum ad xx solidos, pro exercitu Scotiæ, prelatis et cæteris baronibus impositum.

A.D. 1214–5. Undecimum ejusdem Regis scutagium annotatum reperies in rotulo Regis ejusdem xvj°. Fuitque assisum ad iij marcas pro exercitu Pictaviæ. Hoc scutagium nec prelatis nec baronibus potuit imponi eo tempore. Propter illud enim divertentes se fere omnes barones a fidelitate Regis ejusdem, introducto in Angliam Ludovico primogenito Regis Francorum Philippi, capta Londonia submissisque sibi aliis civitatibus, eidem se A.D. 1216. subjecerunt. Sicque Rex Johannes vitam finiens in gwerra, regni sui anno xviij° apud Castrum de Neuwerke diem clausit extremum; sepultus apud Wigorniam in ecclesia civitatis ejusdem cathedrali.

LIBER RUBEUS DE SCACCARIO.

DE FEODIS MILITUM COMITATUUM ANGLIÆ.[1]

ANNUS SECUNDUS REGIS HENRICI FILII IMPERATRICIS.

Fo. 49.

ANNO SECUNDO REGIS HENRICI FUIT ASSISUM SCUTAGIUM WALLIÆ, ET FUIT AD XX SOLIDOS, ET TANTUM SUPER PRELATOS ANGLIÆ. A.D. 1156.

KENT.

Abbas Sancti Augustini, xv*l.*—xv milites.

SUTHSEXA.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Capellaria de Boseham, vij*l.* x*s.*—vij milites et dimidium.

WIRECESTRESIRA.

Episcopus Wigornensis, xl*l.*, cum perdonis ibidem annotatis. Sed idem Episcopus calumniatur quod non debet nisi l milites—xl milites.[2]

Abbas de Evesham, c*s.*—v milites.

---

[1] This heading is taken from the table of contents at the beginning and end of the book.

[2] This entry is very obscure. In the Roll the Bishop pays 40*l.* 10*s.* into the Treasury, and 19*l.* 10*s.* are pardoned him. Presumably therefore he was Quit, but the Roll leaves this blank. In the "Abstracts of the Pipe Rolls," fo. 186 of the MS., the acquittance is given (probably from the Chancellor's Roll, now lost) with the note, *Sed Episcopus, ut dicit, non habet nisi l milites. Summa militum integra lx; calumpniatur x,* thus clearly explaining the incident.

A.D. 1156. Abbas Persoræ, lx*s.*, sed dicit quod non debet nisi ij milites—iij milites.

Abbas Westmonasterii, lxxv*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*, cum perdonis ibidem annotatis, sed calumpniatur lx milites, et dicit quod non debet illos—lxxv milites.

Suhamtesira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, cum perdonis ibidem annotatis, lx*l.*—lx milites.

Abbas de Hida, xx*l.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis—xx milites.

Wiltesira.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xxxij*l.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis—xxxij milites.

Abbas Malmesbiriæ, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, c*s.*—v milites.

Surreia.

Abbas Certeseyæ, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Abbas Westmonasterii, vi*s.* viij*d.*—tertiam partem militis.

Huntindonesira.

Abbas Rameseyæ, iiij*l.*—iiij milites.

Londres. Middelsexa.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Hertfordsira.

Abbas Sancti Albani, vj*l.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis —vj milites.

Norhamtesira.

Abbas de Burgo, lx*l.*—lx milites.

BERKSIRA. A.D. 1156.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xxx*l.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis —xxx milites.

LINCOLNESIRA.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, lx*l.*—lx milites.

EBORACSIRA.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, vij*l.*—vij milites.
Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*l.*—x milites.

STAFFORDSIRA

Episcopus Cestrensis, xv*l.*—xv milites.

SUMERSETE.

Episcopus Battoniensis, xx*l.*—xx milites.
Abbas Glastoniæ, lx*l.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis—lx milites.
Abbas Muchelneiæ, xx*s.*—j militem.

DORSETE.

Abbas de Cerne, lx*s.*—iij milites.
Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, x*l.*—x milites.
Abbas Middeltoniæ, xl*s.*—ij milites.
Abbas Sireburniæ, xl*s.*—ij milites.
Abbas de Abbodesbiria. xx*s.*—j militem.

DEVONESIRA.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xvij*l.* x*s.*, cum perdonis ibi annotatis—xvij milites et dimidium.
Abbas Tavistokiæ, x*l.* x*s.*—x milites et dimidium.

A.D. 1156.

GLOUCESTRESIRA.

Abbas Winchecumbæ, xl*s.*—ij milites.

A.D. 1158-9.

HERFORD IN WALLIA.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xv*l.*—xv milites.

ANNUS QUINTUS REGIS EJUSDEM HIC INCIPIT

KENT.

Abbas Sancti Augustini cxlvj*l.* j*m.* de dono.
Milites ejusdem, xx*l.*
Milites Comitatus, l*l.*

SUTHSEXA

Abbas de Bello, xl*l.* de dono.
Milites Comitatus, ix*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

SALOPSIRA.

Abbas Salopiæ, c*s.* de dono.
Milites Comitatus, xxxviij*l.*

LINCOLNESIRA.

Episcopus, d*m.* de dono.
Milites ejus, iiij^{xx}*l.*
Milites Comitatus, cclx*m.*

ESSEXA.

Episcopus Londoniensis, cc*m.* de dono.
Milites ejus, xl*m.*
Milites Comitatus, iiij^{xx}*m.*
Vicecomes, xxx*m.* de militibus Roberti de Helion.

HERTFORDSIRA. A.D. 1158-9.

Abbas Sancti Albani, *cm.* de dono.
Milites ejusdem, xij*m.*
Milites Comitatus, xxij*m.*

NORFOLC. SUFFOLC.

Episcopus, cc*m.* de dono. Fo. 49 d.
Milites ejusdem, liij*l.* j*m.* de dono.
Abbas Sancti Edmundi, cxxxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*
Milites ejusdem, liij*l.* j*m.*
Abbas de Hulmo, xx*l.* de dono.
Milites ejusdem, iiij*l.*
Milites Comitatus, xlviij*l.* j*m.*
Vicecomes, xxiij*m.* de militibus Petri de Vallibus.

NORHUMBRELANDE.

Vicecomes, iiij^{xx}xvj*l.* de dono militum et theynorum.

NORHAMTESIRA.

Abbas de Burgo, *cm.* de dono.
Milites ejusdem, iiij^{xx}*l.* Et in perdonis Waltero de Chesney, ij*m.*; Stephano Turonensi, v*s.* iiij*d.*
Vicecomes, xlij*m.* de dono militum Comitatus. In perdonis Michaeli Belet, viij*m.*; Roberto filio Swein, xl*s.*

BUKINGHAM. BEDEFORD.

Vicecomes, *cm.* de dono militum. In perdonis Cancellario, de terra Stephani, ij*m.*; Gervasio filio Hugonis, ij*m.*; Willelmo Cade, vj*m.*; Osberto Martel, xl*s.*; Ricardo Gobion, ij*m.*; Monialibus de Chikesand, x*s.*

A.D. 1158–9.

Sumersete.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, d*m.* de dono.

Milites ejusdem, xl*m.* In perdonis Roberto Pukerel, ij*m.* vs. iiij*d.*; Willelmo Hose et fratri suo, xxxvij*s.* v*d.* In dominico Regis quod fuit Roberti filii Martini, xvj*s.*

Vicecomes, cxv*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de militibus Comitatus. In perdonis Willelmo de Sancto Claro, xx*l.*; Nicholao filio Herding, iij*m.* in Devonia.

Abbas Glastoniæ liij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* In perdonis Roberto de Gindesores, j*m.*; Roberto Puk[er]el, ij*m.*; Roberto filio Martini, x*m.* Et xvj*l.* remanent super terram Willelmi Malet.

Wirecestresira.

Vicecomes, cc*m.* de dono Episcopi.

Idem, iiij^{xx}*l.* de scutagio militum ejusdem Episcopi, scilicet, de lx militibus. In thesauro totum liberavit usque ad xiiij*l.* j*m.* cum perdonis, sed Episcopus calumpniatur x milites.

Willelmus de Kaerdif, ij*m.* de scutagio.

Vicecomes, xl*l.* de dono Abbatis de Evesham.

Idem de vj*l.* j*m.* de scutagio militum ejusdem.

Idem de c*s.* de dono Abbatis Persoræ.

Warwika.

Willelmus Giffard reddit compotum de scutagio militum Comitis Warwikæ, scilicet, de lxiij militibus. In perdonis Comitissæ de Warwika, xx*m.*; Galfrido Abbati, ij*m.*; Galfrido Marmion, vij*s.* vj*d.*; et eidem, ij*m.* et dimidiam; Galfrido Salvagio, j*m.*; Galfrido Marmion, [ ][1] Willelmo de Chesney, vj*m.*; Willelmo de Hastinges, vj*m.*; Waltero Comin, ij*m.*; Simone Coco, ij*m.*; Monachis Pipewelle, xx*v.s.*; Clerico de Bileslega, xl*d.*

[1] *Sic* in MS.; j*m.*—T. R.

Gloucestresira.

A.D. 1158–9.

Vicecomes, iiij^{xx}*l.* de dono Abbatis Gloucestriæ.
Idem, c*s.* de dono Abbatis Winchelcombæ.
Idem, iiij*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.
Idem, l$\bar{m}$. de dono militum Comitatus.

Staffordsira.

Vicecomes, c*m.* de dono Episcopi Cestrensis.
Idem, xxx*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Eboracsira.

Vicecomes, d*m.* de dono Archiepiscopi.
Idem, ix*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de dono militum ejusdem.
Idem, ciiij^{xx}*l.* iiij [1] j*m.* de dono militum Comitatus. In perdonis in Pevereltorp, xv*s.*; Ricardo Lovetot, viij*l.* j*m.*; Filio Ranulfi Vicecomitis, iiij*m.*; Nigello de Lovetot, iiij*m.*
Idem, de cccxxxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de dono Episcopi Dunholmensis.
Idem, de xx*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Oxonefordsira.

Vicecomes de xxx*l.* j*m.* de dono militum Comitatus.
Idem, xl*l.* de dono Abbatis Abbendoniæ.
Idem, xl*l.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Wiltesira.

Vicecomes, xx*m.* de dono Abbatissæ Wiltoniæ.
Idem, x*m.* de dono militum ejus.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *c et quater xx et iiij*l. *et xiij*s. *et iiij*d.—T. R.

A.D. 1158–9.

Devonesira.

Vicecomes, c*l.* de dono Episcopi Exoniensis.

Idem, xxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de dono militum ejus. In perdonis Johanni Marescallo, iiij*m.*; Radulfo filio Stephani, ij*m.*

Dorsete.

Vicecomes, x*m.* de dono militum Comitatus.

Idem, x*m.* de dono Abbatis Middeltoniæ.

Idem, iiij*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Idem, c*s.* de dono Abbatis Abbodesbiriæ.

Idem, ij*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Idem, iiij*m.* de dono militum Abbatis Sireburniæ.

Idem, vj*m.* de dono militum Abbatis Cerne.

Fo. 50.

Sudhantona.

Vicecomes, cccxxxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de dono Episcopi Wintoniensis.

Idem, iiij^{xx}*l.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Idem, c*l.* de dono Abbatis de Hida.

Idem, xl*m.* de dono militum ejusdem.

Idem, x*m.* de Abbatissa Romesyæ.

Idem, x*m.* de dono Abbatissæ Wintoniæ.

Idem, xl*m.* de dono militum Willelmi de Romara. In perdonis Ricardo de Campville, viij*m.*; Wakelino de Dun, j*m.*

Herford in Wallia.

Vicecomes, iiij^{xx}xiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de dono militum Comitatus. In perdonis Cancellario ij*m.*; Ricardo Talebot, iiij*m.*; Henrico filio Geroldi ij*m.*; Willelmo filio Badewini, xl*s.*; Comiti Reginaldo iiij*m.*; Militibus Templi, iiij*s.*; Fratribus Hospitalis Jerusalem, ij*s.* viij*d.*

Notingham et Derby.

A.D. 1158-9.

Vicecomes, clxiiij*m.* de dono militum de Notinghamsira. In perdonis Hugoni de Hanslape, viij*m.*; Radulfo de Belmes, ij*m.*; Roberto de Tenarchebray, ij*m.*; Willelmo fratri Reg[is], vj*m.*; Hugoni Priori, vj*m.*; Galfrido Abbati, iiij*m.*; Roberto filio Vicecomitis, vij*m.*; Simoni filio Ricardi, ij*m.*; Waltero Bec, ij*m.*; Philippo de Kimbe, j*m.*; David de Romenel, ij*m.*; Fratribus Hospitalis, ij*m.*; Monachis de Gerondone, j*m.*

Terra Comitis de Ferrariis.

Terra Comitis de Ferrariis computatur post Surreiam. Ibi Robertus de Pirario reddit compotum de cvj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.* de dono militum Comitis de Ferrariis.

Cantebrige.

Vicecomes, xx*l.* de dono militum Comitatus.
Idem, xx*m.* de dono Abbatis Torneyæ.

Huntingdonesira.

Vicecomes, xl*l.* de dono Abbatis Rameseyæ.
Idem reddit compotum de dono militum ejusdem. In thesauro, iiij*l.* x*s.* In perdonis Hugoni de Bello Campo, xvj*s.* viij*d.* Et summa, viij*m.* Quietus est.[1]
Idem, xx*m.* de dono militum Nigelli de Lovetot.

Surreia.

Vicecomes, xl*l.* de dono Abbatis Certeseyæ.
Idem, iiij*l.* de dono militum ejusdem.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *summa viij*m.*; et quietus est.* — H. and T. R.

A.D. 1158–9. Idem, v*m.* de dono militum Comitatus.
Canonici Mertonæ,[1] xv*s.*

Nota quod in hoc comitatu non inveniuntur alia dona vel scutagia aliqua.

A.D. 1160–1. Fo. 50 d.

ANNUS VII^us^ REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

Kent.

Archiepiscopus, iiij^xx^*l.* per Willelmum filium Aldelini —lx milites.
Abbas de Sancto Augustino, xx*l.*—xv milites.
Daniel de Crevequer, xl*m.*—xx milites.
Robertus filius Guillelmi, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Radulfi, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Heredes Godwini, xl*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Essexa. Hertford.

Vicecomes [reddit compotum] de cvj*l.* de militibus

Norfolcia. Suthfolcia.

Episcopus Norwicensis, liij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*—xl milites.
Abbas Sancti Edmundi, liij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*—xl milites.
Abbas de Hulmo, iiij*l.*—iij milites.
Godefridus de Bures, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Ricardus de Snescesham, ij*m.*—j militem.
Alanus de Snescesham, ij*m.*—j militem.
Willelmus Blundus, vij*m.*—iij [milites] et dimidium.
Ricardus Mala Musca, ij*m.*—j militem.
Galfridus de Snecesham, xx*s.*—iij partes [militis].
Ricardus filius Cicilliæ, ij*m.*—j militem.

---

[1] This entry is added in a later hand. It is not found on the Pipe Roll or in the Hargrave MS.

Wiltesira.

A.D. 1160–1.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis—lxiiij*m.*—xxxij milites.
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, iiij*l.*—iij milites.
Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, x*m.*—v milites.
Elias Giffard, xxv*m.*—xij [milites] et dimidium.
Robertus filius Herberti, v*m.*—ij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus de Dantesie, ij*m.*—j militem.
Ricardus de Grenestede, ij*m.*—j militem.
Ricardus Esturmi, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Mara, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Herberti, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Jordanus de Sanford vetulus, [ij] *m.*[1]
Walterus Mobert, ij*m.*—j militem.
Galfridus de Pourtone, ij*m.*—j militem.
Galfridus Esturmi, j*m.*—dimidium militem.

Bukingham. Bedeford.

Hamo filius Meinfelin, xx*l.*—xv milites.
Willelmus de Sirintone, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Hunfridus Visus Lupi, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Gilbertus de Bolebec, ij*m.*—j militem.
Ricardus filius Nigelli, xl*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.
Honor de Wardone, xxij*m.*—xj milites.

Berkesira.

Abbas de Abbendonia, xl*l.*—xxx milites.
Willelmus Achard, x*m.*—v milites.
Willelmus de Windesores, xl*m.*—xx milites.
Willelmus de Siffregast, ij*m.*—j militem.

Suthsexa.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Normannus de Normanville et Robertus Peverel, ij*m.*—j militem.

[1] Blank in MS.; supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1160–1.

Lucas Pincerna, vj*m.*—iij milites.

Willelmus Flemeng, x*m.*—v milites.

Robertus de Precariis dimidiam marcam—quartam [partem].[1]

Capellaria de Boseham, x*l.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Lincolnesira.

Episcopus Lincolniensis.[2]

Hereford in Wallia.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, x*l.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Osbertus filius Hugonis, xx*l.*—xv milites.

Ricardus de Cormeiles, x*m.*—v milites.

Radulfus de Salceto, xl*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Adam de Port, x*l.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Ricardus de Champdos, x*l.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Roberti, j*m.*—dimidium militem.

Hugo Forestarius, j*m.*—dimidium militem.

Robertus de Bascherville, j*m.*; sed dedit vadium ad disrationandum quod non tenet dimidium militem nec per servitium militare.

Gloucestresira.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xx*l.*—xv milites.

Abbas Winchelcumbæ, ij*m.*—j militem.

Rogerus de Berkelai, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.

Advocatus de Betune, x*m.*—v milites.[3]

Robertus de Estropes, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.

Turstanus filius Simonis, ij*m.*—j militem.

Radulfus de Hastinges de Etone, vj*m.*—iij milites.

---

[1] Rectius *Praeriis.* This entry is not found in the Pipe Roll of the 7th year, but the same sum is accounted for in the 8th year.

[2] *Sic* in MS. *Episcopus Lincolniensis reddit compotum de quater xxl. de militibus suis.*—T. R.

[3] *See* Preface. The original entry in the Pipe Roll is mutilated.

OXONEFORDSIRA. A.D. 1160–1.

Manasser Arsic, xx*m.*—x milites.
Reginaldus de Sancto Valerico c*m.*—l milites.

DEVONESIRA. Fo. 51.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xxxv*m.*—xvij [milites] et dimidium.
Robertus filius Galfridi, ij*m.*—j militem.
Robertus de Pirro, ij*m.*—j militem.
Reginaldus de Valle Torta, ij*m.*—j militem.
Willelmus de Orvalle v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Abbas de Tavistokia, xx*l.*—xv. milites.

NOTINGEHAM. DEREBY.

Honor Piperelli, lviij*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.* Et in perdonis Petro de Goldintone, vj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.*; Hugoni Priori iiij*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.*; Roberto filio Ranulfi, iiij*l.*; Galfrido Abbati, iiij*m.*; Waltero Bec, ij*m.*; Radulfo Medico, ij*m.*; Monachis de Gerendone, j*m.*; Fratribus Hospitalis, iiij*m.*; Davidi Marscallo, ij*m.*; Ph[ilippo] de Cumbe, ij*m.*
Radulfus de Bello Fago, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Radulfus Hanselin, xx*l.*—xv milites.
Robertus de Cauz, xx*l.*—xv milites.

NORHUMBERLANDE.

Comes Gospatrik, xij*m.*—vj milites.
Willelmus de Merlay, x*l.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

NORHAMTESIRA.

Abbas de Burgo, xx*l.*—xv milites.
Robertus Foliot, xvj*l.*—xij milites.
Alanus de Stafford dimidiam marcam.—j quartam.

A.D. 1160–1.

Robertus filius Albr[ici], j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Robertus de Chokes, xij*l.*—ix milites.
Fulco de Lisuris, x*m.*—v milites.

Eboracsira.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xiiij*m.*—vij milites.
Episcopus Dunholmensis, xx*m.*—x milites.
Honor de Tikehulle, iiij^{xx}*l.* Et in perdonis Ricardo de Lovetot, vij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; Alexandro filio Toky, iiij*m.*; Roberto filio Ranulfi, iiij*m.*; Herveio Marscallo, ij*m.*; Monachis Rempfordiæ iiij*s.* vij*d.*

Staffordsira.

Robertus de Staffordia, xj*l.*—viij milites et iiij^{tam} [partem].

Surreye.

Abbas Certesiæ, iiij*l.*—iij milites.
Hugo de Munteberge, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Sampsonis, j*m.*—dimidium militem.

Cantebrige. Huntingdonesira.

Abbas Rameseiæ, vij*m.*—iij [milites] et dimidium.
Nigellus de Lovetot, xx*m.*—x milites.
Stephanus de Scalariis, xxviij*m.*—xiiij milites.
Adam de Sumery, ij*m.*—j militem.
Galfridus de Waterville, x*m.*—v milites.

Warwika. Leycestria.

Prior Coventriæ, xx*m.*—x milites.

Dorsete.

Abbas Middeltoniæ, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Abbas de Cerne, vj*m.*—iij milites.

A.D. 1160–1.

Abbas de Abbodesbiria, ij*m.*—j militem.
Abbatissa de Sancto Edwardo, xiiij*m.*—vij milites.
Robertus de Glastonia, x*m.*—v milites.
Abbas Sireburniæ, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Bernardus Poleyn, ij*m.*—j militem.
Robertus filius Reinfridi, ij*m.*—j [militem] et dimidium.
Rogerus de Arundel, x*l.*—xxx milites.
Willelmus Bolet, ij*m.*—j militem.
Hugo de Estbery, ij*m.*—j milites.

Somersete.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xxvj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.*—xx milites.
Johannes de Erlega, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Nicholaus filius Herding, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Hawis [de Romara],[1] v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Rogerus Brito, xx*l.*—xv milites.
Petrus de Bechintone, iiij*m.*—ij milites.
Ricardus filius Guillelmi, xxxij*m.*—xvj milites.
Johannes filius Hamonis, xiiij*m.*—vij milites.
Robertus de Bello Campo, xxxiiij*m.*—xvij milites.
Ricardus de Monte Acuto, xx*m.*—x milites.
Wandrille de Corcellis, xx*m.*—x milites.
Rogerus filius Hugonis, ij*m.*—j militem.
Galfridus de Mondeville, iij*m.*—j [militem] et dimidium.
Rogerus de Baalun, xxij*m.*—xj milites.

Wirecestresira.

Fo. 51 d.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xx*l.*, item v*m.*—xvij [milites] et dimidium.

---

[1] Blank in MS.; supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1160–1.

Abbas Evesham, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Abbas de Persora, ij*m.*—j militem.
Johannes Marscallus, xx*s.*—iij partes [militis].

SUTHAMTESIRA.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, lxxv*l.* xv*s.* iij*d.*
Abbas de Hida, xl*m.*—xx milites.
Robertus de Ponte Arche, x*m.*—v milites.
Galfridus filius Petri, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Johannes de Gisors, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Hamo de Cornebec,[1] v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.
Rogerus Aliz, ij*m.*—j militem.
Hugo de Hala, ij*m.*—j militem.
Robertus de Praeres, ij*m.*—j militem.
Willelmus filius Rogeri, j*m.*—dimidium militem.
Mathæus de Potaria, v*m.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.

A.D. 1161–2.

ANNUS VIII^us^ REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

KENT.

Abbas Sancti Augustini, x*l.*
Daniel de Crevequor, viij*l.*

EBORACSIRA.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis.[2]
Ranulfus filius Galteri, j*m*; et in perdono Henrico de Oyli, j*m.*
Episcopus Dunholmensis, j*m.*
Willelmus Fossard, xij*l.*
Willelmus de Percy, xj*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

---

[1] Rectius *Thomas de Turnebuces.*—T. R.

[2] *Sic* in MS. *Idem Vicecomes reddit compotum de scutagio Archiepiscopi Eboracensis. In thesauro lviij*s. *et viij*d.—T. R. The sum is not brought to account in the Roll, but in the Abstract at fo. 191 d. of the MS. it is given as *lxviij*s. *viij*d.

Robertus de Ros, ij*m.* A.D.
Alexander filius Geroldi, xl*s.* 1161-2.
Willelmus Paganellus, vij*l.*
Robertus de Gant, v*m.*
Bernardus de Bailol, xx*l.*

WIRECESTRESIRA.

Johannes Marscallus, xx*s.*

GLOUCESTRESIRA.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xx*l.*

NORTHFOLC. SUTHFOLC.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xxvj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.*
Abbas de Hulmo, iij*m.*
Willelmus de Wurmegay, x*l.*
Hubertus de Rya, xx*l.*
Willelmus Blundus, vij*l.*
Albericus de Danmartin, j*m.*
Manasserus de Danmartin, j*m.*
Ricardus de Kenetwelle, xx*s.*
Radulfus filius Ribaud dimidiam marcam.
Coletherde[1] dimidiam marcam.

ESSEXA. HERTFORD.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xx*l.*
Abbas Sancti Albani, iiij*l.*
Comes Albericus, xxxj*m.* Quia, in thesauro, xvj*l.* vj*s.* viij*d*; in perdonis Pagano Vicecomiti, iiij*m.*; et Ricardo de Campville, xxxiij*s.* iiij*d.*[2]
Comes Galfridus, xxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* Quia, in thesauro, xxij*l.* j*m.*; et in perdono Johanni Marscallo, j*m.*
Willelmus filius Roberti, vj*l.* et j*m.* Fo. 5[2].
Girard de Limosie, xxv*m.*

---

[1] Rectius *Colecherche.*

[2] The Abstract at fo. 190 d. of the MS. gives the number of knights, in addition to the sums paid in these counties, in a marginal note.

A.D. 1161–2.

Ricardus de Reimes, ij*m.* et dimidiam.
Gralent de Tany, ij*m.*
Albanus de Heyrun, j*m.*
Episcopatus Londoniæ, xiij*l.* xl*d.*;[1] fuit in manu Regis. Quia, in perdonis Radulpho Medico, xiiij*s.* ij*d.*; et Willelmo Hasteng, iij*s.* vij*d.*

WARWIKA. LEYCESTRESIRA.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xxxiiij*s.* viij*d.*
Prior Coventriæ, x*m.* Quia, in perdonis Willelmo de Hastinges, ij*m.* et dimidiam; et Willelmo de Bello Campo dimidiam marcam; et in thesauro, vij*m.*
Rogerus de Munbray, lxxiij*s.* iiij*d.* Item, inferius, j*m.*
Ricardus de Riveres, dimidiam marcam.
Henricus de Moiun, j*m.*
Willelmo filio[2] Alani, j*m.*
Hascuil Musard, v*m.*
Comes Arundel, xx*s.*
Honor de Blia, in Leycestria, dimidiam marcam.
Robertus de Gant, x*s.*
Willelmus le Meschin, dimidiam marcam.
Philippus de San[der]villa, j*m.*
Hugo Wac, j*m.*
Galfridus Ridel, iiij*l.* iiij*s.* vij*d.*
Robertus de Ros, dimidiam marcam.
Willelmus de Albeyni Brito, vj*l.*
Comes Gloucestriæ, j*m.*
Osbertus de Coleshulle, ij*m.*
Comes Cestriæ, in respectu per breve Regis.
Ricardus de Campville, in perdono per breve Regis.
Girard de Limesye, ij*m.*

DEVENESIRA.

Abbas de Tavistokia, xv*m.*
Rogerus de Valle Torta, j*m.*

[1] The sum accounted for on the Pipe Roll is 13*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*, *i.e.* xiij*l.* iiij^{xx}*d.*

[2] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1161–2.

Willelmus filius Reginaldi, j*m.*
Robertus filius Martin, v*m.*
Comes Ricardus de Ripariis, xlvj*l.*
Rogerus de Novant, xxx*m.*, cum perdonis Roberto de Sanctæ Mariæ ecclesia, iij*m.*; et Willelmo de Reygni, viij*m.*

Norhamtesira.

Abbas de Burgo, xl*l.* Quia in thesauro, xxxviij*l.*; et in perdonis Henrico de Oyli, j*m.*; Willelmo Avenel, j*m.*; et Willelmo de Hastinges, j*m.*
Ricardus Engayne, xv*s.* vj*d.*
Willelmus de Albeny Brito, xxxvj*s.* vj*d.*
Robertus de Chokes, vij*m.*; de quibus ij*m.* in Bukinghamsira.
Robertus filius Albrici, dimidiam marcam.
Radulfus de Bello Fago, xx*s.*
Willelmus Avenel, j*m.*
Rogerus de Munbray, j*m.*
Robertus Foliot, xlvj*s.* viij*d.*

Berksira.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xx*l.* Quia in thesauro, xvj*l.*; in perdonis Johanni Marscallo, dimidiam marcam; Reginaldo de Sancto Valerico, j*m*; et debet xl*s.*

Wiltesira.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xxj*l.* vj*s.* Quia in thesauro, xviij*l.*; in perdonis Radulfo filio Stephani j*m.*; Johanni de Oxoneford, dimidiam marcam; et debet xlv*s.*
Humfridus de Boun, xx*l.*
Robertus filius Herberti, dimidiam marcam.
Mathæus Croc, ij*m.*
Elias Giffard, vj*l.*
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, xl*s.*

A.D. 1161–2.

Galfridus Hese, j*m.*
Herbertus filius Herberti, j*m.*
Girard Giffard, j*m.*

LINCOLNESIRA.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, xl*l.* v*s.* Quia in thesauro, xxxj*l.*; in perdonis Comiti Leycestriæ j*m.*; Sayero de Quincy, dimidiam marcam; Reginaldo de Sancto Walerico, ij*m.*; Willelmo filio Hamonis, ij*m.*; Henrico de Oyli, j*m.*; Philippo de Kime, ij*m.*; Gile de Neville, v*m.*; Monachis de Thame, v*s.*

Thomas filius Guillelmi, dimidiam marcam.
Walterus de Aencort, xxvj*l.*, iij*s.*, iij*d.*
Fo. 52 d. Hugo de Baiocis, xx*m.*
Reginaldus de Crevequer, viij*m.* et dimidiam.
Willelmus de Romare.[1]
Thomas de Arescy, xx*m.*
Lambertus de Scoteigny, v*m.*

NORHUMBRELANDE.

Jacobus de Buillun, xl*s.*
Robertus de Carro, j*m.*
Robertus de Wigornia, j*m.*
Hugo filius Rogeri, j*m.*
Walterus de Bolebec, v*m.*
Walterus filius Alvredi et Godefridus Baiard, viij*s.* xj*d.*
Willelmus de Amundeville, j*m.*
Odinell de Dunfranville, ij*m.*
Walterus filius Yvonis, j*m.*
Jordanus de Bussi, ij*m.*
Walterus filius Willelmi, iij*m.*
Ricardus Bertram, iij*m.*
Willelmus de Teise, j*m.*
Radulfus de Cauz, j*m.*
Willelmus de Merlay, iiij*m.*
Stephanus de Bolimer, v*m.*

[1] *In perdono per breve Regis Ricardo de Canville.*—T. R.

SOMERSETTE. A.D. 1161–2.

Robertus de Bello Campo, vj*l.* de militibus de dominico suo. Et, inferius in rotulo, vij*l.*, xx*d.* Summa—xiij*l.* xx*d.*

Ricardus filius Guillelmi, lxv*s.*

Ricardus dil Estre, xxv*s.*

Willelmus de Sancto Claro, iiij*l.* xj*s.* viij*d.*

Galfridus de Mondeville, j*m.*

Nicholaus filius Herding, xxviij*s.* iiij*d.*

Henricus Lovel, v*m.*

Rogerus de Arundel, xx*l.*

Ricardus de Monte Acuto, iiij*l.* xl*d.*

Henricus de Cultura, j*m.*

Wandrille de Curcellis, cum perdonis, ix*l.* j*m.* xvj*d.*

Alexander de Alno, j*m.*

Robertus filius Martin, v*m.*

Rogerus filius Hugonis, vj*s.*

Willelmus de Moyun, xxij*l.*

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xiij*l.*, vj*s.*, vij*d.*

Abbas Glastoniæ, xvj*l.* j*m.* Quia in thesauro, x*l.* j*m.*; et in perdonis Willelmo Malet, viij*l.*; Johanni filio Hamonis, j*m.*; Willelmo filio Johannis, j*m.*; Alvredo de Lincolnia, v*m.*; et debet, v*m.*[1]

DORSETE.

Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, vij*m.*

Abbas de Cerne, ij*m.*

Abbas de Middeltonia, ij*m.*

Abbas de Sireburne, ij*m.*

Abbas de Abbodesbery, j*m.*

NOTINGHAM. DEREBY.

Honor Piperelli, xxix*l.* Quia in thesauro, xx*l.*; in perdonis Willelmo fratri Regis, xl*s.*; fratri Hugoni

[1] The items of this entry agree with the Pipe Roll, but it is obvious that the total 16*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* should have been 26*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.*

A.D. 1161–2. Priori xl*s.*; Roberto filio Vicecomitis, xl*s.*; Hospitali, j*m.*; Waltero Bec, j*m.*; Philippo de Kime, dimidiam marcam; Abbati de Gerondone, iiij*s.* v*d.*; Hospitali, ij*s.* iij*d.*; David Marescallo, j*m.*[1]

Honor de Tykehulle, xl*l.* Quia in thesauro, xxiiij*l.*; et in perdonis Roberto Vicecomite, ij*m.*; Alexandro filio Tokœ, ij*m.*; Herveio Marescallo, j*m.*; Roberto de Jorz, dimidiam marcam; in vasto, dimidiam marcam. Et debet, xij*l.*

Radulfus Hanselin, xxv*m.*

Robertus de Cauz, xv*m.*

Suthsexa.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, ij*m.*

Capellaria de Boseham, c*s.*

Robertus Peverel, j*m.*

Robertus de Praeres, dimidiam marcam.

Suthamtesira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, cum perdonis, xl*l.*

Abbas de Hida, xx*m.*

Willelmus de Romare, xx*m.*

Surreia.

Abbas Certeseyæ, iij*m.*

Fo. 53.

Bukingham. Bedeford.

Honor de Wardone, xj*m.*

Ricardus filius Nigelli, j*m.*

Willelmus de Bussay, v*m.*

Willelmus de Sirintone, ij*m.*

Walterus de Wahulle, cum perdonis, xx*s.*

Osbertus Martel, xxx*l.*

Robertus de Albeyni, xvj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.*

---

[1] The sum total appears to be incorrectly added, though the items agree with the Roll.

Hamo filius Meinfelin, x*l.* A.D. 1161–2.

Simon de Bello Campo, xxxvj*l.* Quia in thesauro, xxx*l.* xvij*s.* viij*d.*; et in perdonis Hugoni de Bello Campo, xxxj*s.*; Canonicis de Mertone, xxxij*s.*; Monialibus de Chikesand, xxv*s.*; Monachis de Wardone, x*s.* iiij*d.*; Fratribus Hospitalis, iij*s.*

Comes Cestriæ [ ], de scutagio.[1]

CANTEBRIGE. HUNTINGDONESIRA.

Abbas de Ramesye, iiij*m.*
Stephanus de Scalariis, ix*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*
Comes Conanus, iiij*m.*
Alb[er]y Picot, j*m.*
Henricus de Scalariis, xxix*s.*
Comes Galfridus, j*m.*
Ascelin de Walterville, v*m.*
Robertus Foliot, v*m.*
Alexander filius Geraldi, xx*s.*
Willelmus de Say, ij*m.*
Nigellus de Lovetot, vj*l.* x*d.*

In viij° Rotulo in aliis comitatibus non sunt scutagia.

INCIPIT ANNUS XIIII^US REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

A.D. 1167–8. Fo. 53 d.

KENT.

Hugo de Dovra, ix*l.* dimidiam marcam de veteri; et de novo, j*m.*

Willelmus filius Hentæ, xlvj*s.* viij*d.*; et de novo, ij*s.* viij[*d.*].

Willelmus de Ros, iiij*l.* j*m.*

Walterus de Meine, xxiij*l.* j*m.*; et de novo, xx*s.*

[1] *Sic* in MS. and in T. R., accounted for by the fact that this scutage was "in respectu" by the King's writ.

A.D. 1167–8.

Walkelin Mamignot, xviij*l.*; et de novo, j*m.*

Willelmus de Abrincis, xviij*l.* et j*m.*; et de novo, ij*m.* et dimidium.

Daniel de Crevequer, xj*l.* j*m.*

Archiepiscopi scutagium infra annotatum est post Dorsette.[1]

LUNDRES. MIDDELSEXA.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xx*m.* pro militibus quos recognoscit; et xj*l.* xvij*s.* ix*d.* pro illis quos non recognoscit.

SURREIA.

Abbas Certeseiæ, iij*m.* pro illis quos recognoscit; et j*m.* pro illis quem non recognoscit.

BEDEFORD.

Robertus de Albeygni, xvj*l.* j*m.*

Simon de Bello Campo, xxx*l.* x*s.* viij*d.*; et de novo viij*m.*

Walterus de Wahulle, xix*l.* Quia in thesauro, xviij*l.*; et in dominio Regis de Ravenestorp, xx*s.*

Honor Piperelli de Dovra in hoc comitatu, videlicet, de Roberto de Surive, dimidiam marcam; de Rogero filio Wimundi, ij*s.* viij*d.*; de Hugone filio Alani, xl*d.*

BUKINGHAMSIRA.

Willelmus Maudut, Camerarius, xxiij*s.* iiij*d.*; et de novo, xxxvj*s.* viij*d.*

Hugo de Kaagnes, viij*s.* x*d.*

Osbertus Martel, xxv*s.* de feodo in Edulnesbrige.

Reginaldus de Curtonai, xx*m.*, per Galterum de Bolebier.

Willelmus de Bolebec, j*m.*

Hamo filius Meinfelin, x*l.*

Honor de Beeveer, j*m.* in Chedindone.[2]

Willelmus de Windesores, xij*l.* ij*s.* ij*d.*

---

[1] Marginal note in a contemporary hand.

[2] Partly written over an erasure.

A.D. 1167–8.

Willelmus de Sirintone, ij*m.*

Ricardus filius Nigelli, xx*s.*

Willelmus de Pinkeingni, ix*l.*; sed amodo in Norhamtesira.

Johannes de Bidun, lxiij*s.* iiij*d.*

Honor Comitis Giffardi, lvij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; et de novo, xx*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Norfolc. Suffolc.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xl*m.* de hiis quos recognoscit; et c et xvj*s.* viij*d.* de viij^{to} militibus et dimidio et quarta [parte militis] quos non recognoscit.

Comes de Clare, iiij^{xx} et xiiij*l.* xj*s.* x*d.* pro cxxxj militibus et duabus partibus [militis], iij^{a}, iiij^{a}, viij^{a}, ix^{a}, x^{a} et duabus tricesimis [partibus] de feodo suo; et de ix militibus et quarta parte [militis] de feodo uxoris suæ Comitissæ de Clare; et de ciiij*s.*[1] iiij*d.* de novo feffamento.

Galfridus, Archidiaconus Cantuariæ, j*m.* de Mor.

Manasser de Danmartin, j*m.*

Willelmus de Albeyni pincerna, lxxvj*m.*

Hubertus de Ria, xxxv*m.*

Walterus Bec, j*m.*

Willelmus Blundus, vij*m.*

Odo de Danmartin, j*m.*

Willelmus de Norwico, j*m.*

Rogerus de Kenetwelle, x*m.*; sed in dominico Regis de Bekenhulle, j*m.*

Willelmus de Colecestria, dimidiam marcam.

Radulfus filius Ribaud, dimidiam marcam.

Lucas de Debbeham, j*m.*

Abbas Sancti Edmundi, xl*m.*; et xij*m.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Abbas de Hulmo, lij*s.*

Honor Comitis Conani in hiis comitatibus, vj*l.* ix*s.* j*d.* per Vicecomitem.

[1] *ciij*s.—T. R.

A.D. 1167–8. Honor de Wermegay, ix*l.* x*s.*; de novo, xl*d.* per Reginaldum de Garenne.

Comes Hugo, iiij^{xx}iij*l.* x*s.* pro vj^{xx} et v militibus et
Fo. 54. quarta parte [militis]; et de novo, xxxvij*m.* et dimidiam.

Honor de Eye, lx*l.* et dimidiam marcam pro iiij^{xx}x militibus et dimidio.

Essexa. Hertfordsira.

Abbas Sancti Albani, iiij*l.*

Gilbert de Montfichet, xxxj*l.* x*s.*; de novo, ix*s.* iiij*d.*

Gralent de Tany, c*s.*

Comes Albericus, xx*l.* xx*d.*

Henricus filius Geroldi, xxxv*l.* v*s.* viij*d.* de feodo Eudonis Dapiferi, et iiij*l.* xviij*s.* viij*d.* de novo.

Robertus de Helion, ix*m.*; sed in dominico Regis de Bunstede, dimidiam marcam.

Galfridus de Valoniis, ij*m.*

Robertus de Valoniis, xx*l.* iiij*s.* v*d.*

Mathæus de Gerardville, j*m.*

Albanus de Heyron, j*m.*

Robertus filius Walteri, xlij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; de novo, xliij*s.* iiij*d.*

Comes Willelmus, iiij^{xx}xviij*m.* iiij*s.* v*d.*, secundum cartam fratris sui.

Honor Piperelli de Londonia, xxvij*l.* xv*s.* vj*d.*, de xlj militibus [et] dimidio, et vj^{a} parte [militis] in baillia sua, per veredictum Vicecomitis.

Ricardus de Reimes, x*m.*; sed in dominico Regis de Dunham, j*m.* Et calumpniatur quod Picot de Tany habet ij [milites] per Regem; Simon de Cantilupo, ij; Comes Albericus, dimidium [militem]; Philippus Parage, dimidium.

Willelmus de Reimes;[1] sed calumniatur.

---

[1] Blank in MS. *Willelmus de Raimis debet viij*m. *xxx, de veteri feffamento, et iij*s. *and iiij*d. *de novo. Sed calumpniatur quod Rex ipse et Comes Hugo et Comes Albericus et Simon de Cantelu tenent feoda.*—T. R.

NORHAMTESIRA. A.D. 1167–8.

Abbas de Burgo, lx*m.*
Reginaldus filius Ursy, xl*s.* et xxvij*d.*
Robertus de Chokes, xv*m.*
Fulco de Lisures, j*m.*
Galfridus Ridel, xv*m.*
Robertus filius Alvredi Camerarii, dimidiam marcam.
Robertus Foliot, ix*l.* xl*d.*
Heyham, escaeta, ij*m.* et dimidiam.
Olneye, escaeta, xxiij*s.* iiij*d.*

WARWIKA. LEYCESTRESIRA.

Prior Coventriæ, x*m.*
Willelmus de Albeny Brito, xxxiij*m.*; de novo, iiij*m.* et dimidiam.
Robertus Marmion, vij*l.* xij*s.* viij*d.*; de novo, lxx*s.*
Fulco filius Garin, j*m.*
Comes de Warewika, lxviij*l.* iiij*s.* v*d.* pro cij militibus et tertia parte [militis]; et de novo, ij*m.*

LINCOLNESIRA.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, xl*l.*; et xxviij*l.* de illis quos non recognoscit.
Mauricius de Croun, xv*l.*; de novo, lx*s.*
Hugo Baiocensis, xj*l.* v*s.* x*d.*; de novo, lvj*s.*
Hugo Wac, vj*l.* xv*s.*; de novo, xl*d.*
Ricardus de Haya, xvj*m.*; de novo, iiij*m.*
Walterus de Aencort, xxxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; de novo, v*m.*
Thomas de Arecy, xx*m.*; de novo, x*s.*
Robertus filius Hugonis, xvj*l.* j*m.*
Willelmus Martel, xl*d.*
Simon de Cancy, v*m.*[1]
Lambertus de Scoteygni, x*m.*; de novo, x*s.*
Elias de Amundeville, iiij milites.

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1167–8. Comes Simon, xlv*l.* xiij*s.* ix*d.*; de novo, ix*l.*[1] x*s.* v*d.*

Willelmus de Romare, xxxix*l.* x*s.*; sed W. Comes de Romara relaxavit illi, per testimonium cartæ, ix milites et dimidium.

Eboracsira.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis xx*m.*; et de illis quos non recognoscit xxiij*m.* [et] dimidiam.

Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*m.*; et xl*l.* xij*s.* ij*d.* pro lx militibus duabus tertiis [partibus militis] et j quarta, quos non recognoscit.

Rogerus de Muntbray, lviij*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*

Willelmus de Vescy, xvij*l.* xiij*s.*; de novo, xxviij*s.* vij*d.*

Guido de Laval, xx*m.*

Fo. 54 d. Ranulfus filius Galteri, xliij*s.* v*d.*

Everard de Ros, cxij*s.* j*d.*

Comes Albemarlæ, xx*m.*

Comes Conanus, l*m.*

Adam de Brus, xv*m.*

Jocelin de Lovein, xv*m.* et dimidiam.

Honor de Tykehulle, xl*l.* x*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Willelmus Fossard, xxj*l.*

Willelmus de Percy, xxx*m.*

Ampridus de Cancy, v*m.*

Stephanus filius Herberti Camerarii, xv*s.* vij*d.*

Alexander filius Geroldi, xiij*l.*; de quibus cv*s.* viij*d.* de novo.

Willelmus Trussebut, x*m.*

Robertus de Brus, v*m.*

Willelmus de Filgeriis, j*m.*

Robertus de Stoteville, cviij*s.* iij*d.*

Willelmus Paganellus, xvj*m.*; de novo, j*m.*

Willelmus de Beumeis, iij*m.* et dimidiam; de novo, ij*s.* viij*d.*

---

[1] *ix l.* repeated in MS.

Henricus de Lascy, xlij*l.* xs.; de quibus xiiij*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.* sunt de novo feffamento. A.D. 1167–8.

Robertus de Gant, xj*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Wenroz Pome,[1] xl*d.* de feodo de Wartre.

Paganus, j*m.* de eodem feodo.

Adam Dagun, xl*d.* de eodem.

Willelmus filius Anketil, ij*s.* ij*d.* de eodem.

Willelmus Selveyn et Guido de Ver, j*m.* de eodem.

Herbertus de Vallibus, j*m.* de eodem.

Willelmus de Wivill dimidiam marcam de eodem.

Radulfus de Boleber, xl*d.* de eodem.

Honor de Tykehulle, liij*l.* xiij*s.*

Wigornsira.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, liij milites et vj^{am} [partem] militis. Quia in thesauro, xxxij*l.* xvij*s.* iiij*d.*; in terris quas Rex habet, iiij*m.*; et quietus est.

Abbas de Evesham, iiij*m.*

Abbas de Persore [ij*m.*].[2]

Herford in Wallia.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xv*m.*; sed Johannes Comin, custos [reddit compotum] de iiij militibus et dimidio præter illos quos [Episcopus] recognoscit; qui remanent super Hugonem de Lascy et Margaretam de Bohunt qui non recognoscunt servitia.

Ricardus de Cormeles, ix*m.*

Robertus de Ewias, xix*m.*

Robertus de Chamdos, xiij*m.* et xxij*d.*

Hugo de Lascy, lj*m.* et xl*d.*

Baderon de Munemue, xv*m.*

---

[1] *Henricus filius Paviæ.*—T. R.

[2] Blank in MS.; supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1167–8. Super castrum Ricardi carta nulla.[1]

Osbertus filius Hugonis, xxiij*m.*
Hugo Forestarius, xx*s.*
Willelmus filius Reginaldi, j*m.*
Adam de Port, xv*l.* ij*s.*

STAFFORDSIRA.

Episcopus Coventrensis, x*l.*
Robertus de Stafford, lx*m.*
Gervasius Paganellus, l*m.*
Bertram de Verdon, j*m.*
Abbas de Burtone, c*s.*

GLOUCESTRESIRA.

Abbas Gloucestriæ de promissione, sed non numeratur quid ;[2] sed in rotulo præcedenti dicitur :—*Abbas Gloucestriæ debet xxxviij l. ij s. vj d. de veteri scutagio Walliæ. In perdono per breve, totum.*
Abbas Winchecumbæ, ij*m.*
Margareta de Bohun, xvij*m.*
Robertus de Scropes, xl*s.*
Robertus filius Herding, v*m.*
Paganus de Monte Dublel, xij*m.* et dimidiam.
Radulfus de Sudlega, xv*s.* iiij*d.*
Rogerus de Berkelay, c*s.*
Henricus de Novo Mercato, xj*l.* xiij*s.* ij*d.*
Comes Gloucestriæ, cclxj*m.* et dimidiam.
Honor Willelmi filii Alani, lxx*l.* viij*s.* xj*d.*

DEVONESIRA.

Fo. 55. Episcopus Exoniensis, x*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* ; et xj*l.* xvj*s.* ij*d.* de illis quos non recognoscit.
Oliver de Tracy, xvij*l.* x*s.*
Garinus de Halla, iiij*l.* xviij*s.* viij*d.* ; et xxxix*s.* iiij*d.* de novo.

---

[1] In a later hand.

[2] *Abbas Gloucestriæ reddit compotum de xl*m. *de eodem auxilio (Matildis filiæ Regis).*—T. R.

Comes Reginaldus, ccxv*m.* iij*s.* v*d.* de militibus in Cornubia et Devonia; et lix*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de hiis quos tenet de feodo Comitis Ricardi. A.D. 1167–8.

Robertus filius Regis, lix*l.* xvij*s.* j[*d.*]; et de novo, j*m.*

Willelmus de Breose, xxviij*m.*

Oliver de Tracy, xviij*l.* j*m.*; et de novo, ij*m.*

Willelmus Peverell, xj*s.* j*d.*; sed in dominico Regis de Watfeld et Covertone, iiij*s.* v*d.*

Henricus de Pomeray, xxj*l.* v*s.* vj*d.*

Radulfus de Valle Torta, j*m.*

Walterus Abbas, xvj*m.*; de novo, xx*s.*

Willelmus de Tracy, xvij*l.* x*s.*

Willelmus filius Roberti, xix*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; de novo, j*m.*

Radulfus Sanz Aver, j*m.*

Robertus filius Galfridi, j*m.*

Willelmus filius Reginaldi, j*m.*

Rogerus de Nonant, xxxvij*l.* iiij*s.* x*d.* de honore[1] de Totenesse; de novo, xij*l.* xv*s.* j*d.* de eodem honore.

Dorsette. Sumersete.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx*m.*

Abbas Glastoniæ, xxvj*l.* j*m.* de hiis quos recognoscit; de aliis, lx*s.*

Abbas Muchelneiæ, j*m.*

Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, vij*m.* et xlv*s.* vj*d.* pro iij^{bus} [partibus], quarta [parte], et vj^{a} [parte] quos non recognoscit.

Abbas de Abbodesbiria, j*m.*

Abbas de Cerne, ij*m.*; et viij*m.* de veteri, quos non recognoscit.

Abbas Sireburniæ, xxix*s.* iiij*d.*

Robertus de Bello Campo, vij*l.* xx*d.* pro xvij militibus, videlicet, de unoquoque viij*s.* iiij*d.* de feodo Comitis Moretoniæ.

Willelmus Bret, vj*l.* v*s.* pro xv. militibus de eodem feodo, consimiliter.

---

[1] *de honore* repeated in MS.

A.D. 1167–8.

Willelmus filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.

Drogo de Monte Acuto, x*m.*

Robertus filius Radulfi, j*m.*

Alvredus de Lincolnia, xvj*l.* xv*s.* xj*d.* pro xxiiij militibus et iij^{bus} [partibus], quintis [partibus], et xvj^{a} parte. De novo, iiij [milites] et dimidius, iij^{a} [pars], iiij^{a}, v^{a}, xxiiij^{a}.

Radulfus de Kahaignes, iij*m.*; et xliij*s.* et iiij*d.* de tribus [militibus] et iiij^{a} [parte].

Willelmus de Cortenay, xvj*l.* x*d.* de honore patris sui et lvj*s.* pro iiij. militibus et v^{a} [parte] de novo.

Nicholaus Harding, xxvj*s.* x*d.* pro j milite et dimidio de feodo de Monte Acuto, et j alio integro de feodo debito.

Henricus Lovel, vij*l.* j*m.*; et vj milites præterea et dimidium de feodo de Monte Acuto; et j militem de novo per Vicecomitem.

Hugo de Corcellis, j*m.*

Ricardus de Atrio, xxxv*s.* j*d.* pro iiij militibus et tertia parte de feodo de Monte Acuto qui exigendus est[1] de Willelmo de Windesores, et xl denarios de novo.

Gerbertus de Percy, xx*l.*; et j[*m.*] de novo.

Bernard Polein, viij*s.* viij*d.* de j feodo de Monte Acuto.

Willelmus le Meschin, xj*l.* per Vicecomitem.

Philippus de Columbariis, x*m.*; de novo, j*m.*

Willelmus filius Willelmi, iij*m.*

Willelmus de Erlega, j*m.*

Willelmus de Moyun, xlj*m.*; de novo, ij*m.*

Willelmus Malet, xv*l.* xij*s.* x*d.*; et dimidiam marcam de feodo de Kent.

Galfridus de Mondeville, j*m.*

Galfridus Martel, iiij*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.* de feodo de Monte Acuto; et j*m.* et dimidiam de eodem feodo de novo.

Willelmus Belet, j*m.*

Willelmus filius Johannis, xiiij*m.* x*s.*; de novo, j*m.*

Ricardus filius Willelmi, iiij*l.* xl*d.* de feodo de Monte Acuto.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

Archiepiscopatus Cantuariæ [lvj*l.* xs.][1]. Quia in thesauro xlvj*l.* xs.; in perdonis Reginaldo de Cortonai, j*m.* de feodo Walteri de Bolebeke; Thomæ filio Bernardi, ij*m.*; Willelmo de Breose, j*m.*; Willelmo Malet, Dapifero, xxiiij*s.* v*d.*; Ricardo de Lucy, j*m.* In dominico Regis in honore Constabulariæ scilicet in Saltwode, ij*m.* In defectu feodorum quæ perierunt in marisco de Romenel, videlicet, David de Romenel et Roberto de Sancto Leodegario, xl*s.* A.D. 1167–8. Fo. 55 d.

Wiltesira.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xxj*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* Et in Imemere quam Ricardus Ruffus tenet per Regem, j*m.*

Godefridus de Squidimor, v*m.*, qui ideo redduntur quia Robertus de Ewias noluit recipere homagium suum.

Hunpridus de Bohun, xxx*m.* [et] dimidiam; de novo, ix*m.* et dimidiam.

Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, v*m.*

Gerard Giffard, j*m.*

Herbertus filius Herberti, j*m.*

Petrus de Mara, ij*m.*

Walterus Waleran, xxv*m.*

Ricardus de Grenestede, j*m.*

Garinus de Lisures, j*m.*

Hunpridus de Sancto Vigore, dimidiam *m.*

Elyas Giffard, ix*m.*

Galfridus Hese, j*m.*

Berkesira.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xx*l.* et xl*s.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Willelmus Achard, xl*s.*

Willelmus de Sifregast, j*m.*

Herbertus filius Herberti, ij*m.*; de novo, dimidiam marcam.

Hugo de Boclande, j*m.*; de novo, xx*s.*

---

[1] Blank in MS.; supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1167–8.

Norhumbrelande.

Willelmus de Vescy, lx*m.*
Ernald de Morville, j*m.*
Robertus de Caron, j*m.*
Rogerus Bertram, vj*m.* [et] dimidiam.
Johannes filius Wodard, xl*s.*
Gilbertus de Boillun, iij*m.*
Bernard de Baillol, xx*l.*
Galterus filius Willelmi, xl*s.*
Robertus de Bradefer, j*m.*
Rogerus filius Ricardi, j*m.*
Willelmus filius Siward, j*m.*
Ricardus Bertram, iiij*s.* vj*d.*
Willelmus filius Alvredi, iiij*s.* vj*d.*
Gilbertus de Laval, ij*m.*
Walterus de Bolebek, iiij*m.* [et] dimidiam; de novo, xlviij*s.* pro iij [militibus] et duabus tertiis [partibus].
Philippus de Homez, ij*m.*
Willelmus de Mundeville, j*m.*
Radulfus de Wigornia, j*m.*; et xxiij*d.* de j tertia, et viij[a] [parte] de novo.
Rogerus de Merlay, iiij*m.*
Stephanus de Bolimer, iiij*m.*; et ij*m.* et dimidiam de novo pro j [milite] et dimidio et iiij[a] [parte].
Godefridus Bayard, iiij*s.* vj*d.*
Hugo de Helingtone, xx*s.*
Radulfus de Gaugi, xx*s.*
Odinel de Dunfranville, ij*m.*

Sudhamtesira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, xl*l.*; et xvj*m.* de aliis quos non recognoscit.
Johannes de Port, lv*m.*; de novo, ij*m.* per Vicecomitem.

Robertus de Ponte Arche, j*m.* A.D. 1167–8.
Willelmus filius Aldelin, Marscallus, xvj*s.* viij*d.*
Abbas de Hida, xx*m.*

Sudsexa.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*m.*; et lxxiiij*m.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Comes de Augo, xliiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Radulfus de Dunan, iiij*l.* pro Weppeham,[1] per Vicecomitem.

Radulfus de Tonay, ij*m.*; sed Comes Arundellus illos [clamat] per eundem Vicecomitem

Robertus de Aquila, xiiij*l.* xj*s.* viij*d.*; pro unoquoque, viij*s.* iiij*d.*

Radulfus de Belmeis, iiij*l.*; sed Comes Arundel illos clamat. Fo. 56.

Walterus de Dunstanville, iij*m.*; sed Comes idem clamat.

Robertus Piperellus et Norman de Normanville, j*m.*

Robertus de Precariis, dimidiam marcam.

Godwinus filius Savarici, j*m.*; sed Comes clamat et Savaricus negat.

Johannes Tresgoz et Philippus, iij*m.* et dimidiam; sed dicunt quod est super demanda Comitis.

Oxoneford.

Manasser Arsic, xiij*l.* x*s.*

Henricus de Oyli, xxj*l.* xj*s.* j*d.*; et xx*s.* viij*d.* de novo.

Ricardus de Campville, j*m.*

Radulfus filius Wigani, xl*d.*

Oliver de Linguire, j*m.*

Phelippus de Hamtone, j*m.*

Wakelin Hareng, j*m.*

Abbas de Eynesham, c*s.* de promissione.

---

[1] Rectius *Meppeham.*

A.D. 1167–8.

CANTEBRIGE.

Episcopus Elyensis, xl*m.*; de quibus in dominico Regis de Debbeham, ij*m.* Et Chalvelega, j*m.* Et in feodo Lucæ de Terlinge, j*m.*; et x*l.* xiij*s.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Albricus Picot, j*m.*

Henricus de Scalariis, xv*m.* et dimidiam.

Willelmus de Scalariis, xv*m.*

Hamo Peccatum, xij*l.* xiij*s.* v*d.*

HUNTINGDONSIRA.

Abbas Ramesiæ, iiij*m.*

Willelmus de Say, xxxiiij*s.*

Nigellus de Luvetot, x*m.*

Robertus de Lisures, j*m.*

Warnerus Engaine, dimidiam marcam.

Hugo de Dovra, v*m.* de honore de Bronne.

Comes Conanus, xj*l* vj*s.* viij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

SALOPESIRA.

Honor Gerardi de Turnay, lxv*s.* iiij*d.* per Vicecomitem. Vicecomes non reddit compotum de feodis aliorum quia est in respectum per Barones donec Rex præcipiat.

A.D. 1171–2. Fo. 56 d.

INCIPIT ANNUS XVIII^US^ REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

KENT.

Johannes de Dovra, xiiij*l.*; de novo, xx*s.*

Walterus de Maene, xxix*l.*; de novo, xxx*s.*

Willelmus de Ros, vij*l.*

Willelmus filius Hente, lxx*s.*; de novo, iiij*s.*

Wakelin Mamignot, xxvij*l.*; de novo, xx*s.* A.D. 1171-2.

Honor Piperelli in baillia sua, per Vicecomitem, viij*l.* x*s.*

Archiepiscopatus Cantuariæ, lx*l.*, per Johannem Maudut, de militibus quos recognoscit[1] se debere Regi, et xix*l.* de militibus quos non recognoscit præter superiores lx, quia Archiepiscopatus est in manu Regis. De quibus, in perdonis Ricardo de Lucy, xx*s.*; et Thomæ filio Radulfi, xx*s.*

Surreia.

Abbas Certeseiæ, lx*s.* de illis quos recognoscit, et xx*s.* de illis quos non recognoscit.

Londonia. Middelsexa.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xx*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit, et xvij*l.* xvij*s.* viij[*d.*] de aliis quos non recognoscit.

Herforde in Wallia.

Episcopatus Herefordiensis, xv*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit per manum Johannis Com[in] Custodis; et lxx*s.* de aliis quos non recognoscit, quia Episcopatus est in manu Regis.

De aliis militibus in hoc comitatu nichil.

Norfolcia. Suffolcia.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xl*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit, et ix*l.* xv*s.* de militibus quos non recognoscit.

Rogerus de Warenne, xiiij*l.* v*s.*; de novo, v*s.* de honore de Wrmegai.

Hubert de Rie, xxxv*l.*, per eundem Rogerum.

Willelmus de Norwico, xx*s.*

Albericus de Danmartin, xx*s.*

Manasser de Danmartin, xx*s.*

Rogerus de Kenetwelle, x*l.*

Abbatia de Hulmo, lxxviij*s.*, per Wiemarum capellanum.

---

[1] *recongnoscit* in MS. *passim.*

A.D. 1171–2.

Willelmus de Colecherche, xs.

Walterus de Bek, vs.

Abbas Sancti Edmundi, xl*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit, et xij*l.* et xs. de militibus quos non recognoscit.

Lucas de Debeham, xls.

Honor Comitis Conani, clxxvj*l.* xijs. j*d.*, per Ranulfum de Glanville sed non reddit inde compotum quia nondum potuit scire numerum militum ejusdem honoris. Computatur post Hereforde in Wallia.

Honor de Eie, iiij^{xx}x*l.* xs. Computatur post Rotelande.

Norhamtesira.

Abbas de Burgo, lx*l.* pro militibus quos recognoscit, et lxxvs. pro aliis quos non recognoscit.

Robertus filius Alberici, xs.

Reginaldus filius Ursi, lxxiij*s.* iiij*d.*

Robertus Foliot, xiij*l.* xvs.; et in perdono Michaeli Belet pincernæ, iiij*l.*

Idem Robertus, lxvs. de novo.

Robertus de Chokes, xv*l.*; et in dominico Regis de Gronesberge, xxs.

Milicia de Heyham, lvs., per Vicecomitem.

Olneye, xxxvs., per eundem Vicecomitem.

Alanus la Zuche, xxs., per Vicecomitem.

Notingham. Dereby.

Radulfus Haunselin, xxv*l.*

Willelmus de Heriz, iiij*l.*

Honor Piperelli, lx*l.* xs. de hiis quos Vicecomes invenire potuit.

Berkesira.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xxx*l.*

Willelmus Achard, lxs.; sed calumpniatur quietam per Regem usque ad unum militem.

Fo. 57. Hugo de Boclande, xxs.

Willelmus de Siffrewast, xxs.

OXONEFORDSIRA. A.D. 1171–2

Manasser Arsic, xx*l.* vs., per Johannem Soleyngni.

Ricardus de Caumpville, xx*s.*

Oliver de Linguiure, xx*s.*

Radulfus filiuś Wigayn, xx*s.*

Phelippus de Hamtone, xx*s.*

Bernardus de Sancto Valerico, *l.*, per Hugonem de Sancto Germano.

Walkelin Hareng, xx*s.*

Thomas Basset.[1]

WIRECESTRESIRA.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, *l.* de quibus in terris quas Rex tenet de feodo suo, lx*s.*

Idem, viij*l.* de militibus quos non recognoscit.

Abbas de Evesham, iiij*l.* x*s.*

Abbas de Persore, xl*s.*

ESSEXA. HERTFORDSIRA.

Abbas de Sancto Albano, vj*l.*

Willelmus de Rames, viij*l.*

Robertus de Helion, x*l.*; de quibus in dominico Regis de Bumstede, x*s.*

Idem de novo feffamento, iij*s.*

Mathæus de Gerardville, xx*s.*

Albanus de Heyrun, xx*s.*

Ricardus de Reymes, x*l.*; sed calumpniatur quod prædicti tenent feoda viij militum.

Honor Piperelli, xlj*l.* xiij*s.* iiij*d.* per veredictum Vicecomitis in baillia sua, de quibus in dominico Regis, terra, scilicet, Galfridi de Tresgoz, j militem.

Honor Comitis Boloniæ nec terra Comitis Eustachii

[1] *Sic* in MS. and T. R.

A.D. 1171–2. nec Henrici de Essexa non reddunt compotum hoc anno de scutagio quia reddiderunt ipsi Regi per breve Regis.

Honor de Berkhamstede, xiiij*l.* ij*s.* iij*d.* de parvis feodis.

Bukingham. Bedeford.

Willelmus de Windesores, xviij*l.* iij*s.* iiij*d.*; et de novo, xxxv*s.* viij*d.*

Willelmus de Sirintone, xl*s.*

Willelmus Maudut, xxv*s.*

Gillebert de Bolebec, xx*s.*

Ricardus filius Nigelli, xxx*s.*

Hugo de Kahaignes, j*m.*

Gilbertus de Pinkeygni, xv*s.*

Simon de Bello Campo, xlv*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*

Idem, viij*l.* de novo.

Osbertus Martel, lv*s.* x*d.*, per Vicecomitem, scilicet, de tenentibus de eodem sic, videlicet:—de Simone filio Eliæ, xx*s.*; de Willelmo de Baseville, j*m.*; de Mauricio de Foresta, x*s.*; de Ricardo de Campania, x*s.*; de Roberto cum Barba, ij*s.* vj*d.*

Hompridus Visus Lupi, x*s.*

Agnes de Bereville, dimidiam marcam.

Robertus de Surrinde, x*m.*

Hugo filius Alani, v*s.*

Rogerus filius Wimundi, iij*s.*

Hamon de Giron, xl*s.*

Honor de Walingeforde, c*l.* v*s.*, per Thomam Basset.

Honor Comitis Giffardi, lvj*l.*; et de novo, xxx*s.* Qui ideo reddi debet quia honor est in manu Regis.

Everwiksira.

Willelmus Fossard, xxxj*l.* x*s.*

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xx*l.* Et xxxiij*l.* x*s* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Ampridus de Canci, c*s.*

Robertus de Stoteville, viij*l.*; de novo, ij*s.* vj*d.* A.D. 1171–2.
Willelmus de Bolimer, lxj*s.* iiij[*d.*]; de novo, iiij*s.*
Willelmus Paganell, xv*l.*; de novo, xx*s.*
Stephanus filius Herberti, xxiiij*s.* iiij*d.*; de novo, xx*s.*
Henricus de Lascy, xliij*l.* xv*s.*; de novo, xxx*l.* xvj*d.*
Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*l.*; et lx*l.* xviij*s.* iiij*d.* de militibus quos non recognoscit.
Ranulfus filius Walteri, lxvj*s.* viij[*d.*]. Fo. 57 d.
Honor de Wartre, iiij*l.* viij*s.* iiij*d.*, per Vicecomitem.
Robertus de Brus, c*s.*
Comes Albemarlæ, xx*l.*
Willelmus Trussebut, x*l.*
Jocelinus frater Reginæ, vj*l.*
Willelmus de Filgeriis, xx*s.*
Adam de Brus, xv*l.*
Rogerus de Stoteville, xxxvij*s.* vj*d.* de militibus quos habet de feodo Adæ de Brus.
Willelmus Paganellus de Alta Ripa, lxx*s.*
Guido de Valle, xx*l.*
Honor de Tykehulle, lx*l.* v*s.*, per veredictum Johannis Constabularii Cestriæ.
In hoc comitatu nichil amplius.

Lancastria.

Honor Lancastriæ, lxviij*l.* xvj*s.* v*d.* de militibus quos invenire potuit Vicecomes Rogerus de Herleberge.

Norhumberelande.

Rogerus filius Ricardi, xx*s.*
Radulfus de Wircestria, xx*s.*; de novo, xvij*s.* vj*d.*
Ernoldus de Morewic, xx*s.*
Willelmus filius Siward, xx*s.*
Rogerus de Merlay, iiij*l.*
Robertus Karon, xx*s.*
Ricardus Bertram, lx*s.*
Thomas filius Stephani de Bolimer, c*s.*
Rogerus Beretram, vj*l.* x*s.*

A.D. 1171–2.

Robertus de Develstone, dimidiam marcam.

Johannes filius Odardi, lx*s.*

Gilbertus de Laval, xl*s.*

Godefridus Baiard, dimidiam marcam.

Walterus filius Willelmi, lx*s.*; de novo, xvj*s.* viij*d.*

Walterus de Bolebek, iiij*l.* x*s.*; de novo, lxx*s.*

Nicholaus de Greinville, xxx*s.*, per Hugonem de Holintone.

Mabilia de Greinville, xxx*s.* de eodem feodo.

Willelmus de Vescy, xxiiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*; de novo, xlij*s.* x*d.*

Phelippus de Homez, xl*s.*, per Rogerum de Stoteville.

Odinell de Dumpramville, xl*s.*

Willelmus de Amundeville, xx*s.*

Adam de Tyndale, xx*s.*

Bernardus de Baillol non reddit compotum de hoc scutagio.

Robertus de Vallibus xl*s.* Post Carleol in fine Rotuli.

Dorsete et Sumersete.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx*l.*; fuit in manu Regis. Post Wiltesiram.

Abbatia Glastoniæ, xl*l.*, per Archidiaconum Pictaviæ; et iiij*l.* x*s.* de illis quos non recognoscit, quia Abbatia est in manu Regis.

Abbas Muchelneyæ, xx*s.*

Abbas de Cerne, xl*s.*, et viij*l.* pro illis quos non recognoscit.

Abbas de Abbodesbiria, xx*s.*

Abbas de Middeltonia, xl*s.*

Abbas de Sireburnia, xliiij*s.*; de novo, xiij*s.* iiij*d.*

Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, vij*l.*; et lxviij*s.* iiij*d.* de illis quos non recognoscit.

Phelippus de Columbariis, x*l.*; de novo, xx*s.*

Willelmus filius Willelmi, lx*s.*

Walterus Brito, ix*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.* de xv militibus de Monte Acuto.

Hugo de Corcellis, xx*s.* A.D. 1171–2.
Willelmus [filius] Gaufr[idi], x*s.*
Henricus de Cultura, xx*s.*
Alexander de Alno, xx*s.*
Phelippus filius Roberti, xx*s.*
Galfridus de Mondeville, xx*s.*
Galfridus Martel, iiij*l.* v*s.* de vj militibus de feodo de Monte Acuto; et dimidio de alio feodo. Idem debet xx*s.* de veteri feffamento, sed calumpniatur quietantiam per servitium pincernariæ.
Willelmus Belet, xx*s.*
Bernard Puleyn, xij*s.* vj*d.* de feodo Montis Acuti.
Willelmus filius Johannis de Harpetre, xiiij*l.* xv*s.*; de novo, xx*s.*
Henricus filius Nicholai, xxxviij*s.* ix[*d.*] pro uno integro [milite] et dimidio de feodo Montis Acuti. Fo. 58.
Walterus de Esseleye, lxviij*s.* ix*d.* de parvis feodis.
Ricardus de Raleye, xx*s.*
Robertus de Briues, xij*s.* vj*d.* pro uno de parvis feodis.
Reginaldus filius Willelmi, xx*s.*

Sudhamtesira.

Adam de Port filius Johannis de Port, lv*l.*; de novo, xl*s.*
Robertus de Ponte Arche, xx*s.*
Episcopus Wintoniensis, lx*l.*, per Archidiaconum Pictaviæ; et xxiiij*l.* x*s.* de illis quos non recognoscit, quia Episcopatus est in manu Regis.

Lincolnesira.

Ricardus de Haya, xx*l.*
Hugo de Baiocis, xvj*l.* xvij*s.*; de novo, iiij*l.* iij*s.*
Willelmus Martel, v*s.*
Thomas de Arecy, xx*l.*; de novo, xv*s.*
Simon de Cancy, c*s.*

A.D 1171–2.

Lambertus de Scoteygni, x*l.*; de novo, xv*s.*

Simon de Crevequer, xiij*l.* x*s.*

Otuel de Insula, xx*s.*

Episcopus Lincolniensis, lx*l.*, per Archidiaconum Pictaviæ; et xliiij*l.* de illis quos non recognoscit, quia Episcopatus est in manu Regis.

Devonesira.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xvij*l.* x*s.*; et xviij*l.* ij*s.* iiij*d.* de militibus quos non recognoscit se debere Regi.

Abbas de Tavistoke, xvj*l.*; de novo, xxx*s.*

Willelmus de Tracy, xxvj*l.* v*s.*

Willelmus Peverel, x*s.* de feodo quod est in manu sua.

Radulfus Sanz Aver, xx*s.*

Robertus filius Galfridi, xx*s.*

Willelmus filius Reginaldi, xx*s.*

Radulfus de Valle Torta, xx*s.*

Ricardus Brito, x*s.*

Comes Reginaldus, ccxv*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.* de militibus suis in Cornubia et Devonia.

Staffordsira.

Episcopus Cestrensis, xv*l.*; de quibus Rogerus de Sanphorde, xx*s.*

Bertram de Verdone, xx*s.*

Salopsira.

In hoc comitatu nullum invenitur hoc anno scu tagium.

Warwika. Leycestresira.

Prior Coventriæ, x*l.*

Comes Leycestriæ, cxlj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.*, per veredictum Dapiferi, de parvis feodis de Moretonia.

Honor de Beuvoir, xxxiij*l.*; de novo, iiij*l.*, quia honor est in manu Regis.

CANTEBRIGE. HUNTINGDONESIRA. A.D. 1171–2.

Abbas de Ramesie, iiij*l.*

Albricus Picot, xx*s.*

Henricus de Scalariis, xv*l.* x*s.*

Willelmus de Scalariis, xv*l.*

Robertus de Gimeges, xx*s.*

Warnerus Engaygne, x*s.*

Honor de Brunne, c*s.*

Episcopus Elyensis, xl*l.* de hiis quos recognoscit; de quibus in dominico Regis de Calevelega, xx*s.*, et in feodo Lucæ de Terlinges quod Rex habet, xx*s.*

Idem, xxxiij*l.* x*s.* de militibus quos non recognoscit; Fo. 58 d. qui ideo redduntur quia Episcopatus est in manu Regis. De quibus in dominico Regis de Papesworde sunt xx*s.*; et in Herdwice, v*s.*

GLOUCESTRESIRA.

Abbas Winchecumbiæ, xl*s.*

Rogerus de Berclay, vij*l.* x*s.*

Robertus de Escrope, xl*s.*

Radulfus de Sudlega, lxiij*s.*, scilicet, de tribus partibus et duabus quintis [partibus] de veteri, et duobus [militibus] de novo.

Henricus de Novo Mercato, xvij*l.* xj*s.* iiij*d.*; et de novo, xxiiij*s.*

Patricius de Chaorcis, xix*l.*; de novo, dimidiam marcam.

WILTESIRA.

Episcopus Sarrisberiensis, xxxij*l.*; et xj*l.* ij*s.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.

Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, c*s.*

Ricardus de Grenestede, xx*s.*

Willelmus de Londonia, xx*s.*

Warnerus de Lisures, xx*s.*

Godefridus de Squidmor, iiij*l.*; de novo xx*s.*

Gerardus Giffard, xx*s.*

A.D. 1171–2.

Omfridus de Sancto Vigore, x*s.*
Elias Giffard, ix*l.*
Willelmus filius Comitis Patricii, lvj*l.* xvj*s.*; de novo, xl*s.*
Henricus filius Herberti, xx*s.*
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, lx*s.*

SUDSEXA.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*l.*, per manum Archidiaconi Cicestriæ, et viij*l.* de illis quos non recognoscit, quia Episcopatus est in manu Regis.

Comes de Augo, lxvj*l.* x*s.*, per Comitem Arundelli.

Robertus de Praeres, x*s.*

Roulond de Dinan, xl*s.*, sicut Vicecomes dicit, in hoc comitatu.

Radulfus de Tony, xl*s.* similiter per Vicecomitem in hoc comitatu.

Comes Warennæ, lx*l.*

Jocelinus frater Reginæ, xiiij*l.*

A.D. 1186–7.

ANNUS XXXIIIUS REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI.

Fo. 59.

ANNO XXXIIIO REGIS HENRICI FUIT ASSISUM SCUTAGIUM GALWELÆ; ET FUIT ASSISUM AD XX. SOLIDOS.

KENT.

Walterus de Meduana, xv*l.*
Willelmus de Ros, vij*l.*
Abbas Sancti Augustini, xv*l.*
Eugenia Picot, x*s.*
Honor de Kemesinges, xxix*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Feodum Eudonis Dapiferi, videlicet, Scolland[us] et Willelmus de Barmelinges, xl*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Honor Piperelli de Dovra, xiiij*l.* xix*s.*, secundum quod Vicecomes potuit invenire.
Agnes de Monte Kanisii, xv*l.*
Ernulfus Biset, xxx*s.*

Surreia.

A.D. 1186–7.

Abbas Certesie, lx*s.* de militibus quos recognoscit.

Wirecestresira.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, xlix*l.*; de quibus in terris datis quas Rex tenet de feodo Episcopatus, lx*s.*, et in feodo Comitis Gloucestriæ quod Rex tenet, xx*s.*

Abbas de Evesham, iiij*l.* x*s.*

Abbas de Persore, xv*s.*

Abbas Westmonasterii, xv*l.*; de quibus in dominico Regis de Stokes quod Rex tenet de feodo Alberici, xx*s.*

Libere tenentes de Stokes, xxx*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Rogerus de Tony, cx*s.*, per Vicecomitem, de militibus in baillia sua.

Bukingham et Bedeforde.

Willelmus de Windesores, xx*l.*

Hamon filius Meynfelin, xv*l.*

Johannes de Bidon, cx*s.*, per Willelmum de Bello Campo.

Jolent Visus Lupi, vj*s.* de parte sua de Abintone.

Agnes de Bereville, vj*s.* viij*d.*

Robertus de Sancto Remigio, xx*s.*

Oxonefordsira.

Oliver de Linguire, xx*s.*

Ricardus de Oyli, x*s.*

Ranulfus de Pratellis, xvj*s.*

Osbertus de Heddendone, xl*d.*

Radulfus Murdak, xl*s.*

Walterus de Aencurt, xx*s.*

A.D. 1186–7.

Norfolcia et Suffolcia.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xl*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit.

Abbas Sancti Eadmundi, xl*l.*

Abbas de Hulmo, lxxviij*s.*

Hubertus Blundus, vij*l.*

Rogerus de Kenetwelle, x*l.*

Stephanus de Kahaygnes, xx*s.*

Reginaldus de Leyham, xl*s.*

Walkelin Visus Lupi, xx*s.*

Willelmus Talemasche, xx*s.*

Eustachius de Braham, xx*s.*

Engeram de Vilers, xx*s.*

Wiganus de Grimstede, v*s.*

Willelmus Peverellus filius Mathæi, c*s.*

Odo de Danmartin, xx*s.*

Comes Albericus de Danmartin, xx*s.*; et in perdono, xx*s.*

Devenesira.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xv*l.* x*s.* de militibus quos recognoscit, et xviij*l.* ij*s.* iiij*d.* de aliis quos non recognoscit.

Abbas de Tavistoke, xvj*l.*

Galfridus del Estre, xx*s.*

Henricus de la Pomeraye, xxxj*l.* xviij*s.* iiij*d.*

Robertus filius Willelmi, xx*s.*

Alicia de Nonant, c*s.*, per Vicecomitem; de libere tenentibus de Dertintone, quæ seisita est in manu Regis eo quod dicta Alicia nupsit sine licentia Regis; et xx*s.* de dote ipsius de novo feffamento seisita.

Willelmus filius Martin, lx*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Willelmus Torel, xxv*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Fo. 59 d. Willelmus de Breose, xxviij*l.* de honore de Berdestaple.

STAFFORDSIRA. A.D. 1186–7.

Episcopus Cestrensis, xv*l.*

Bertram de Verdone, xx*s.* pro uno milite.

CORNUBIA.

Walterus Hay, xij*l.* x*s.* pro xx militibus, pro quolibet, xij*s.* vj*d.*

Nicholaus filius Galfridi, vj*s.* v*d.* pro militibus suis de minutis feodis.

Willelmus de Boterellis, vij*l.* x*s.* pro xij militibus de minutis feodis.

Alanus Blohunt, iiij*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.* pro vij militibus.

Gervasius filius Willelmi, lxij*s.* vj*d.* pro v militibus.

Willelmus filius Comitis, l*s.* pro iiij militibus.

Willelmus filius Ricardi, lxij*s.* vj*d.* pro v militibus.

Radulfus de Rupe, xlj*s.* viij*d.* pro iij [militibus] et tertia parte j militis.

Willelmus Oliver, xviij*s.* ix*d.* de uno milite et dimidio, per Vicecomitem.

Henricus de Tredaleb',[1] xviij*s.* ix*d.* pro uno milite et dimidio, per Vicecomitem.

Engulfus, xij*s.* vj*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

Ricardus filius Yvonis, vj*s.* iij*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

Johannes de Soleygni, iij*s.* j*d.*

Stephanus Flandrensis, iiij*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.* pro vij militibus.

Alanus de Dunstanville, xij.[2]

Rogerus Anglicus, xij*s.* vj*d.*

LINCOLNESIRA.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, lx*l.* de veteri feffamento de militibus quos recognoscit.

Oliverus de Aencurt, xxxv*l.*

---

[1] *Tredach.*—T. R.

[2] *Sic* in MS. *xijs. vj*d.—T. R.

A.D. 1186–7.

Martinus Martel, v*s.*
Philippus filius Roberti filius Hugonis, xxv*l.*
Willelmus de Bereville, xl*d.*

Cantebrige. Huntingdone.

Episcopus Elyensis, xl*l.* de illis quos recognoscit; de quibus, in dominico Regis de Chalvelega, xx*s.*; in feodo Lucæ de Terlinges quod Rex habet, xx*s.*

Willelmus de Scalariis, xv*l.*

Ricardus de Lovetot, x*l.*, per Vicecomitem.

Abbas Ramesiæ, iiij*l.*

Robertus de Lisures, xx*l.*, per Robertum de Gimeges.

Ricardus filius Garini Engaygne, x*s.*; sed calumpniatur quod Meurik filius Rogeri de Powis habet medietatem feodi per Regem et inde debet respondere.

Ricardus de Lovetot, c*s.*, præter x*l.*; unde reddit compotum supra.

Eboracsira.

Archiepiscopatus Eboracensis, xx*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit, et xxvij*l.* ix*s.* viij*d.* de aliis quos non recognoscit, per Laurentium Archidiaconum Bedefordiæ et Magistrum Rogerum Arundel, qui ideo redduntur, quia Archiepiscopatus est in manu Regis.

Honor Albredæ de Insula de Sectrintone, xx*l.*, per Vicecomitem, de quibus x*s.* sunt in dominico honoris Willelmi de Vescy, et lij*s.* iiij*d.* de militibus quos non recognoscit, quia honor est in manu Regis.

Willelmus Paganellus de Alta Ripa, ij*l.*, per Vicecomitem.

Robertus Walensis, x*s.*

Galfridus Trussebut, xx*s.*

Norhamtesira.

Abbas de Burgo, lx milites de illis quos recognoscit, de quibus in dominico Regis de honore Piperelli, x*s.*; Vicecomes hujus anni, xx*s.*

Willelmus filius Roberti, x*s.* A.D. 1186–7.

Robertus de Chokes, xv*l.*; de quibus in perdonis Willelmo de Betune, l*s.*; Sayero de Quency, xx*s.*

Robertus de Cortenay, lx*s.*

Olneye, escaeta, xxxv*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Heyham, escaeta, lvij*s.* viij*d.*

Alicia de Bello Fago, x*s.*, per Willelmum Mauduit.

Dorsete et Sumersete.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx*l.* Fo. 60.

Abbas Glastoniæ, xl*l.* de illis quos recognoscit, et iiij*l.* x*s.* de aliis quos non recognoscit, per Radulfum filium Stephani.

Honor Willelmi de Curcy, Dapiferi, xlv*l.* x*s.*, per custodem tam de illo honore quam de honore Willelmi de Meschin, tam de novo quam veteri. De quibus in perdonis Simoni filio Simonis, viij*l.*; Johelendo de Neville, x*s.*; Henrico de Cramaville, xx*s.*

Philippus de Columbers, x*l.*, per Matilldem de Champdos.

Willelmus filius Willelmi, lx*s.*, per Hugonem de Cressy.

Walterus Brito, ix*l.* vij*s.* vjd., per Vicecomitem.

Hugo de Corcellis, xx*s.*

Willelmus filius Galfridi, x*s.*

Ricardus de Atrio, l*s.*, per Vicecomitem, de feodo Montis Acuti.

Henricus de Cultura, xx*s.*

Alexander de Alnou, xx*s.*

Philippus filius Roberti, xx*s.*

Galfridus de Mondeville, xx*s.*

Abbas Muchelneiæ, xx*s.*

Abbas de Abbedesbiria, xx*s.*

Abbas de Cerne, xl*s.*

Willelmus Martel, xl*s.*, sed calumpniatur quietantiam per Pincernariam.

Robertus Belet, xx*s.*

A.D. 1186–7. Willelmus de Chahaygnes, ix*l.* pro ix militibus, sed recognitum est quod sunt de parvis feodis.

Abbas Sireburniæ, xliij*s.*

Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, lx*s.* præter illos in Wiltesira.

Willelmus filius Martini, cxvij*s.* viij*d.*, per recognitionem suam.

Robertus Malherbe et Brianus de Magene et Willelmus Osturel, xx*s.*

Alanus Boterel, x*s.*

Robertus de Akeford, xij*s.* vj*d.* de feodo Montis Acuti.

Adam de Port de Wallia, xv*s.* de j feodo, per Vicecomitem.

Notingham et Dereby.

Rogerus de Buron, x*l.*

Hasculfus Musard, xij[*l.*], per Vicecomitem; et de novo, lj*s.* iij*d.*

Willelmus de Chaorciis, xx*s.*

Henricus de Stoteville, xv*l.* de feodo quod est inter ipsum et Hubertum filium Radulfi.

Honor Piperelli, lx*l.* xvj*s.*, per Vicecomitem; de quibus in dominico Regis de Empinge[ham], xx*s.*; et in Bolesovra, l*s.*; et in Basselawe, xx*s.*; et in Stapelforde, xx*s.*; et in feodo de Moretonia in manu Regis, xx*s.*; et in perdonis P[etro] de Goldintone, c*s.*; et Waltero Bek, xx*s.*

Honor Willelmi de Lovetot de Halumsira, xx*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Wiltesira.

Episcopatus Sarrisbiriæ, xxxij*l.* de recognitis, et viij*l.* x*s.* de non recognitis; sed per custodes Episcopatus.

Humfridus de Bohun, xxx*l.* x*s.* de veteri [feffamento]; et ix*l.* x*s.* de novo, per Margaretam de Bohun quæ habet custodiam.

Abbatissa de Wiltonia, *cs.* A.D. 1186–7.
Walterus de Grimeste, xx*s.*
Willelmus de Londonia, xx*s.*
Willelmus de Lisures, xx*s.*
Robertus Giffard, xx*s.*
Humpfridus de Sancto Vigore, x*s.*
Elias Giffard, ix*s.*
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, lx*s.*
Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, iiij*l.*
Cumbe et Colerme Comitis Reginaldi, vj*l.* xv*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Walterus de Clifford, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu.
Robertus Mauduit, xx*s.* de feodo j militis in Wermunstre.

NORHUMBRELANDE.

Robertus de Develestone, dimidiam marcam.
Godefridus Baiard, dimidiam marcam.

SUDSEXA.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*l.* de hiis quos recognoscit.
Honor Arundelli, iiij^{xx}iij*l.* x*s.*, per Vicecomitem. In perdonis Willelmo de Sancto Johanne, xij*l.*; Willelmo de Homez, Constabulario, xl*s.* Willelmo filio Alani, Fo. 60 d. xx*s.*; Willelmo de Alta Ripa, liij*s.*; Radulfo Sanz Aver, xxx*s.*; Radulfo de Erderne, xxx*s.*
Gilbertus de Aquila, xxj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.*, videlicet, de unoquoque [feodo], xij*s.* vj*d.*
Comes de Augo, lxvj*l.* x*s.*
Willelmus de Braosa, xx*l.*
Willelmus filius Ricardi de Kahaygnes, cxij*s.* vj*d.*; scilicet, de unoquoque [feodo], xij*s.* vj*d.*

WARWIKA ET LEYCESTRESIRA.

Prior Coventriæ, x*l.*
Emme de Dummart, xx*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Rogerus de Brayles, ij*s.* x*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

A.D. 1186–7.

Essexa et Hertfordsira.

Ricardus de Rames, x*l.*

Robertus de Helion, x*l.* de veteri, per Vicecomitem; et xxxij*s.* x*d.* de novo feffamento, quia honor est in manu Regis.

Abbas Sancti Albani, vj*l.*

Hugo filius Stephani, xx*s.*

Walterus de Windesores, xxx*s.* de feodo quod tenet de Ricardo de Monte Fichet, qui ideo redduntur quia sunt in manu Regis.

Radulfus Brito, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Honor de Berkhamstede, xiiij*l.* ij*s.* iij*d.*, per veredictum Ricardi Ruffi custodis, scilicet, de quolibet feodo, xij*s.* vj*d.*

Honor Piperelli Londoniæ, tam de veteri quam novo [feffamento], quia honor est in manu Regis, lvij*l.* iij*s.* iiij*d.*, per Vicecomitem; de quibus in perdonis Thomæ Bardulf, xx*s.*; Radulfo de Beseville, xl*s.*

Willelmus de Escalariis, in Mundene, xj*s.* viij*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

Honor Constabulariæ, lvj*l.* xij*s.*, per custodem; de quibus in dominico Regis de Benstede et parva Wiluntone, xxx*s.*

Hereford in Wallia.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xv*l.*, de quibus Hugo de Lascy, xl*s.*

Robertus de Ewias, xix*l.*

Hugo de Lascy, lj*l.* v*s.* de veteri et xj*l.* ij*s.* de novo [feffamento], per Radulfum de Arundel, quia honor est in manu Regis. De quibus in perdonis Ranulfo de Glanville [et] Willelmo de Salceto, iiij*l.*; Henrico de Solariis, xx*s.*; decem militibus qui faciunt gwardam in Strawordin, x*l.*

Walterus de Cormeiles, vj*l.*

GLOUCESTRESIRA. A.D. 1186–7.

Abbas Winchelcumbiæ,[1] xl*s.*
Margareta de Bohun, xvij*l.*
Mauricius de Berkelay, c*s.*
Nicholaus frater ejus, x*s.*
Willelmus Comes, xxx*s.*

Honor de Strigoil, præter Walenses, lxv*l.* x*s.* De quibus; in perdonis Evæ, Comitissæ de Strogoil, x*l.*; Simoni filio Simonis, iiij*l.*; Abbati de Waltam, xl*s.*; Canonicis de Peritone, xx*s.*, per Radulfum Blohet.

Honor Gloucestriæ, cccxxvij*l.* iij*s.* tam de veteri quam novo [feffamento], per Hugonem Bardulfum, qui ideo redduntur quia honor est in manu Regis. De quibus in perdonis Ricardo de Kaerdif, xxxvj*s.* viij*d.*; Johanni filio Lucæ Pincernæ, xx*s.*; Hamelino de Torintone, xx*s.*; Henrico de Ponte Audomari, xx*s.*; Almarico Dispensatori, c*s.*

BERKESIRA.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xxx*l.*
Robertus de Siffrewast, xx*s.*
Holnad de Siffrewast, xx*s.*
Johannes Marscallus, xv*s.*, per Ricardum filium Rogeri.
Alvredus Belet, iiij*s.*
Toroldus de Pesemere, x*s.*
Willelmus de Erlega, xxvj*s.* viij*d.*, per Vicecomitem.
Hugo de Stotebroke, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Marsilia Vis de Lou, vj*s.*, per Vicecomitem.
Elias de Hintone, xvj*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

SUDHAMTESIRA. Fo. 61.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, lx*l.*
Robertus de Ponte Arche, xx*s.*
Abbas de Hida, xx*l.*

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1186–7.

Mathæus Croke, xx*s.*
Willelmus de Faleisa, xx*s.*
Ada Cotele, x*s.*
Thomas de Coluncis, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem pro Nigello Anglico.

Fo. 61 d.

EX ROTULO HONORUM ANNO REGIS HENRICI XXXIII°.

Honor Eustachii de Vescy et Rogeri de Mortuo Mari.

Custos terræ Eustachii de Vescy, xxiiij*l.* vij*s.* viij*d.*, et de novo, cx*s.* liij*d.*,[1] quia honor est in manu Regis. De quibus in perdonis Hugoni de Morwich, xx*s.*; in feodo Radulfi filii Maini quod est in manu Regis, xx*s.*; Henrico de Valoniis, xx*s.*; Roberto de Caugy, x*s.*; Willelmo Toneir, xx*s.*

Idem de cx*s.* de v militibus et dimidio de feodo Rogeri de Mortuo Mari quorum servitium datum fuit in maritagium prædecessori Willelmi et nondum pervenit ad tertium heredem.

Idem de l*s.* de relev[i]o dimidii militis Willelmi Mautalent, de feodo ejusdem Willelmi de Vescy.

Custos, lx*s.* de militibus ejusdem honoris.

Honor Comitis Gloucestriæ.

Custos terræ Comitis Gloucestriæ non respondet in aliqua summa; sed, ibidem, Henricus de Umfrancville, xlv*l.* de relevio ix militum de feodo Comitis Rogeri de Maysi, xlv*l.* de relevio ix militum de eodem feodo.[2]

Honor Lancastriæ.

Custos honoris Lancastriæ de lxviij*l.* xij*d.* de hiis quos invenire potuit. Et in perdonis[3] Abbati de Gres-

---

[1] *Sic* in MS., but the figures have been altered, c*s.* & x*s.* & iij*d.*—T. R.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

[3] *Et in feodo.*—T.R.

lega, xij*l.*; Rogero de Monte Begonis, vj*l.*; extra comitatum; Rogero Esturmi, xx*s.*; Ranulfo de Glanville, xx*s.*[1] A.D. 1186-7

Item, Rogero Esturmi, xx*s.* pro feodo j militis quod Rex illi perdonavit pro piscaria de Oreford quæ fuit de feodo illius ibidem.

Thomas de Goldeburgo, ij*m.* et dimidium de relevio tertiæ partis [militis].

Honor Willelmi Paganelli.

Custos terræ Willelmi Paignel, cxj*s.* de scutagio militum suorum.

Honor de Walingeford.

Custos honoris de Walingeford, *cl.* xv*s.* de militibus. Et in perdonis Gilberto Basset, vij*l.*; Almarico filio Radulfi, iiij*l.*; Miloni de Dantesie, xx*s.*; Ranulfo de Glanville et Gilberto Pippard, vj*l.*; Alano Basset, xl*s.*; Roberto de Witefeld, v*s.*; Willelmo Paganello, iiij*l.*; Roberto de Taydene, lx*s.*; Mathæo de Bruera, x*s.*; Monialibus de Godestowe, dimidiam marcam; in dominico Regis de Chaugrave, lx*s.*; Rolent de Auvers, xx*s.*; in Thenford, xx*s.*; in Rincote, xx[*s.*]; in Geithamtone, xx*s.*

Honor Comitis Giffardi.

Custos terræ Comitis Giffardi, iiij^{xx}vj*l.*, unde in Wottone, Chiltone, Messendene sunt iiij*l.*

Honor Comitis Cestriæ.

Custos terræ Comitis Cestriæ, cxvij*l.* xix*s.* vij*d.*, tam de illis quos recognoscit se debere Regi quam illis quos

[1] xx*s.* repeated in MS.

A.D. 1186–7. habet de superplus, præter illos qui sunt in Cestresira et in Wallia, et præter illos qui fuerunt in exercitu Galweyæ.

Idem de xiij*l.* x*s.* de honore de Felteford qui pertinet ad honorem Cestriæ.

De reliquis honoribus nichil.

A.D. 1190–1. Fo. 62.

ANNO SECUNDO REGIS RICARDI FUIT SCUTAGIUM WALLIÆ ASSISUM AD X*s.*

Kent.

Comitissa Hereford quæ fuit uxor Walteri de Meduana, xiiij*l.* x*s.* de scutagio militum de veteri feffamento—xxix milites.

Simon de Abrincis, x*l.* xv*s.* de eodem de veteri [feffamento]—xxj [milites] et dimidium.

Heredes Willelmi filii Hent, xxxv*s.*—iij [milites] et dimidium.

Heredes Walkelini Mamignot, xiij*l.* x*s.*—xxvij milites.

Robertus de Crevequer, vij*l.*—xiiij milites.[2]

Heredes Willelmi de Ros, lxx*s.*—vij milites.

Surreia.

Abbas Certesiæ, xxx*s.*—iij milites.

Oxoneford.

Alexander Arsic, x*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.*—xx milites et quartam.

Gerardus de Campville, x*s.*—j militem.

Oliver de Linguire, x*s.*—j militem.

Stephanus de Hamton, x*s.*—j militem.

Radulfus filius Galfridi, x*s.* de feodo quod fuit Walkelini Hareng—j militem.

---

[2] *xiij* in MS., altered to xiiij in a later hand.

BUKINGHAM. BEDEFORD. A.D. 1190–1.

Walterus de Windesores et Willelmus de Windesores, ix*l.* xx*d.*—xviij milites et vj[a] pars.[1]

Willelmus Mauduit, Camerarius, xvij*s.* vj*d.*—j militem et tres partes.

Albericus de Ver, c*s.* de feodo quod fuit Walteri de Bolebeke—xx milites.[1]

Ricardus de Scirintone, xx*s.*—ij milites.

Herbertus de Bolebeke, x*s.*—j militem.

Ricardus filius Nigelli, xv*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Hugo de Kahaygnes, dimidiam marcam—iiij[or] partes[2] militis.

Hamo filius Hamonis filii Meinfelini, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Henricus de Pingkeygni, vj*l.* xvj*s.*[1]—xiij milites et dimidium.

Milo de Bello Campo, xv*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Hugo de Bello Campo, xx*s.*—ij milites.

Gervasius Paganellus, lxxix*s.* ij*d.*

Henricus de Novo Mercato, x*s.*—j militem.

Comes Albericus, iiij[or]*s.* ix*d.*

Agnes de Montchanesi, xiij*s.*

Robertus filius Rogeri, ij*s.*

Robertus de Pingkeigni, xv*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Advocatus de Betun, vij*s.* vj*d.*—iij partes.

Johannes Visus Lupi, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Johannes de Cranford, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Johannes filius Hugonis, xv*d.*

STAFFORDSIRA.

Episcopus Coventrensis, vij*l.* x*s.*, de quibus xix*s.* ij*d.* sunt de diversis Comitatibus Moreton[iæ]—xv milites.

Gervasius Paganellus, xxv*l.*—l milites.

Robertus de Stafford, xxx*l.*—lx milites.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

[2] *Sic* in MS.; *De ij partibus militis.*—T.R.

A.D. 1190–1.

Norhumberlande.

Vicecomes de xx*l.* xxij*d.* de scutagio militum Norhumberlande.

Wigornsira.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, xxxiiij*l.* xiij*s.*

Abbas de Evesham, xlv*s.*—iiij milites et dimidium.

Abbas de Persora, xx*s.*—ij milites.

Abbas Westmonasterii, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Willelmus de Bello Campo, lxx*s.* de militibus, quos tenet de Rege in diversis comitatibus—vij milites.

Norhamtescira.

Abbas de Burgo, xxx*l.* de militibus qui non fuerunt in exercitu—lx milites.

Robertus filius Alberici, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Ricardus Basset, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Willelmus de Bectone, vij*l.* x*s.* de feodo de Chokes—xv milites.

Sudsexa.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, xl*s.*—iiij milites.

Comes Arundel, xlij*l.* v*s.*—iiij^{xx}iiij milites et dimidium.

Gilbertus de Aquila, xj*l.* j*m.*, scilicet, dimidiam marcam pro milite.

Henricus de Augo, xxxiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Willelmus de Breosa.[1]

Henricus de Percy.

Capellaria de Boseham.

Willelmus de Sancto Johanne.

Robertus Peverellus.

Willelmus filius Ricardi de Chahaygnes.

Fo. 62 d.

Sudhamtescira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, xxx*l.*—lx milites.

Adam de Port, xxvij*l.* x*s.*—lv milites.

[1] *Sic* in MS. and T. R.

Robertus de Ponte Arche, x*s.*—j militem. A.D.
Willelmus filius Aldelin, xij*s.* vj*d.* 1190–1.
Abbas de Hida, x*l.*—xx milites.

GLOUCESTRESIRA.

Margareta de Bohun, viij*l.* x*s.*—xvij milites.
Mauricius de Berkelay, l*s.*—v milites.
Rogerus de Berkelay, lxxv*s.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.
Ricardus de Srupis, xxx*s.*—iij milites.
Patricius de Chaurcis, vj*l.* v*s.*—xij [milites] et dimidium.
Henricus de Novo Mercato, viij*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*
Radulfus de Sudlega, xj*s.* vj*d.*
Abbas Winchelcumbæ, xx*s.*
Alardus Flemeng, x*s.*—j militem.
Radulphus filius Stephani, x*s.*—j militem.
Johannes de Hastinges, l*s.*—v milites.

BERKESIRE.

Willelmus Achard, x*s.*—j militem.
Halnod de Siffrewast, x*s.*—j militem.
Abbas Abbendoniæ, xv*l.*—xxx milites.
Willelmus de Rotlande, x*s.*—j militem.
Herbertus filius Herberti, xx*s.*—ij milites.
Toroldus de Pesemere, v*s.*—dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Oyli, x*s.*—j militem.

WILTESCIRA.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xvj*l.*—xxxij milites.
Hunpridus de Bohun, xv*l.* v*s.*—xxx [milites] et dimidium.
Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, l*s.*—v milites.
Walterus Waleran, x*l.*—xx milites.
Walterus de Grenestede, x*s.*—j militem.
Robertus Giffard, x*s.*—j militem.
Hunpridus de Sancto Vigore, v[*s.*]—dimidium militem.

A.D. 1190–1.

Herbertus filius Herberti, x*s.*—j militem.
Elias Giffard, iiij*l.* x*s.*—ix milites.
Robertus de Mara, xx*s.*—ij milites.
Comes Sarrisbiriæ, xxviij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, xxx*s.*—iij milites.
Thomas de Cosselega, x*s.*—j militem.
Galfridus filius Azonis, ij*s.*
Robertus de Ponte Arche, xij*d.*
Galfridus Hese, x*s.*—j militem.

Herford in Wallia.

Episcopus Herefordiensis, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.
Walterus de Cormeilles, c*s.*—x milites.
Baderun de Munemue, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.
Robertus de Ewias, ix*l.* x*s.*—xix milites.
Osbertus filius Hugonis, xj*l.* x*s.*—xxiij milites.
Robertus de Chamdos, vj*l.* xj*s.* viij*d.*
Walterus de Lascy, xxv*l.* xij*s.* vj*d.*
Reginaldus de Baalun, x*s.*—j militem.
Feoda Adæ de Port de Kinetone, xj*l.* vj*s.* vj*d.*

Warwic. Leycestresira.

Episcopus Coventrensis, c*s.* de militibus Prioris, quia Prioratus est in manu sua.
Robertus Marmion, cxiiij*s.* vj*d.*
Comes Warwikæ, lj*l.* ij*s.* iiij*d.*
Willelmus de Albeygni, xvj*l.* x*s.*—xxxiij milites.
Fulco filius Garini, x*s.*—j militem.

Cantebrige. Huntindonesira.

Episcopus Elyensis, xx*l.*—xl. milites.
Robertus Picot, x*s.*—j militem.
Hugo de Scalariis, viij*l.*—xvj milites.
Willelmus de Scalariis, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Gilbertus Peccatum, ix*l.* x*s.* x*d.* A.D. 1190–1.

Feoda Comitis Britanniæ in hoc comitatu, viij*l.* xl[*d.*] —xvj milites et tertiam.

Galfridus filius Petri, xxv*s.* vj*d.*

Ricardus de Lovetot, c*s.*—x milites.

Abbas Rameseyæ, xl*s.*—iiij milites.

Robertus de Gimeges, x*s.*—j militem.

Ricardus filius Garneri Engaygne, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Feoda Hugonis de Dovra, de militibus honoris de Brunne, l*s.* in hoc comitatu—v milites.

LINCOLNESIRA.[1] Fo. 63.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, xxx*l.*—lx milites.

Ricardus de Haia, viij*l.*—xvj milites.

Willelmus de Roumara, xxix*l.* xij*s.* vj*d.*—lvij [2] milites et quartam.

Guido de Crohun, xj*l.* v*s.*—xxij [milites] et dimidium.

Walterus de Aencurt, xvij*l.* x*s.*—xxxv milites.

Hugo Baiocensis, viij*l.* ix*s.*

Willelmus Martel, ij*s.* vj*d.*—quartam [partem] militis.

Baldewinus Wac, c*s.* iij*d.*

Robertus de Gaunt, xxxiiij*l.* v*s.* iiij*d.*

Thomas de Arescy, x*l.*—xx milites.

Agnes de Percy, xx*s.*, per Simonem de Kime—ij milites.

Simon de Cancy, l*s.*—v milites.

Lambert de Scoteygni, c*s.*—x milites.

Philippus filius Roberti filius Hugonis, xij*l.* x*s.*—xxv milites.

Robertus de Insula, xv*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Honor Comitis Britanniæ, viij*l.* viij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu.

---

[1] The scutages for this county are entered on the Pipe Roll of the 2nd year.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1190–1.

Feodum Widonis de Valle, xix*s.* ix*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

Feodum Willelmi Martel, x*s.*; de quibus Alexander de Gueslein, x*s.*, et Robertus de Wiketoft, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Radulfus de Albeygni, xiij*s.*, de quo Robertus de Basingeb[ery],[1] per Vicecomitem.

Stephanus Camerarius, xxvij*s.* vj*d.*, per Vicecomitem.

Norfolc. Suffolc.

Comes de Clara, lxx*l.* xvij*s.* xj*d.*

Willelmus de Warenne, vij*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.* de honore de Wermengy.

Feodum Willelmi Blundi, lxx*s.*, per G[alfridum] filium Petri.

Willelmus de Danmartin, x*s.*—j militem.

Albericus de Danmartin, x*s.*—j militem.

Rogerus de Kenetwelle, c*s.*—x milites.

Comes Willelmus de Albeniaco, Pincerna, xxxviij*l.*—lxxvj milites.

Feoda Huberti de Rya, xvij*l.* x*s.*, per Robertum de Tresgoz—xxxv milites.

Abbas de Hulmo, xxix*s.*

Willelmus de Colkirke et Rogerus de Sancto Dion[ysio] v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Feodum Walteri Beke, x*s.*—dimidium militem.[2]

Episcopus Norwicensis, xx*l.*—xl milites.

Abbas Sancti Edmundi, xx*l.*—xl milites.

Rogerus Bigod, lxij*l.* xij*s.* vj*d.*

Odo de Danmartin, x*s.*—j militem.

Radulfus filius Ribaldi, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

Feoda Gilberti Peccatum, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu,[2] secundum quod Vicecomes invenire potuit—iiij milites.

Radulfus de Meisnil Garin, l*s.*—v milites.

Willelmus Peverel, xxx*s.*—iij milites.

---

[1] *Basingeh[am]*.—T. R.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

Willelmus de Tresgoz, xv*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium. A.D. 1190–1.
Brianus filius Radulfi, xv*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.
Reginaldus de Lenham, xx*s.*—ij milites.

Eboracsira.

Nigellus de Munbray, xliiij*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu —iiij^{xx}viij milites et quartam [partem].

Willelmus Fossard, xv*l.* xv*s.*—xxxj [milites] et dimidium.

Electus Eboracensis, x*l.*—xx milites.

Willelmus de Stoteville, iiij*l.* pro se, et c*s.* de militibus Nigelli de Munbray quos habet in custodia.

Willelmus de Percy, xv*l.*—xxx milites.

Willelmus Paganellus, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Ampray de Cancy, l*s.*—v milites.

Bertram de Bolimer, xxx*s.* viij*d.*, per Galfridum de Neville qui est heres[ejus].

Eustachius de Vescy, xij*l.* iij*s.* iiij*d.*.

Stephanus filius Herberti, xj*s.* viij*d.*

Robertus de Lascy, xxj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.*

Guido de Laval, x*l.*—xx milites.

Willelmus des Forz, vj*l.* de honore de Sciptonē—xij milites.

Idem, x*l.* de feodo Albæ Marlæ.

Robertus de Gant, vj*l.* v*s.*—xij [milites] et dimidium.

Ranulfus filius Walteri, ij*m.* et dimidiam [marcam].

Robertus de Ros, lx*s.* x*d.*

Episcopus Dunholmensis, c*s.*—x milites. Fo. 63 d.

Com[itissa] Britanniæ, xxv*l.* in hoc comitatu—l milites.

Adam de Brus, vij*l.* x*s.*—xv milites.

Robertus Trussebut, c*s.*—x milites.

Robertus de Brus, l*s.*—v milites.

Feoda Jocelini de Lovayn, lv*s.*—v milites et dimidium.

Feodum Willelmi de Fulgeriis, x*s.*—j militem.

Feoda Fulkonis Paingnel, xx*s.*, sicut Vicecomes potuit invenire—ij milites.

Feodum Roberti Walensis, v*s.*—dimidium militem.

A.D. 1190–1.

Essexa. Hertefordsira.[1]

Ricardus de Muntfichet, xxxiij*l.* xij*s.* vj*d.* de veteri.

Hasculfus de Tany, lxxv*s.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Feodum Roberti de Helion, c*s.* De quo in thesauro, x*s.*; et in perdonis Henrico filio Geroldi, v*s.*; Henrico de Illega qui abiit cum Rege Jerusalem,[2] xxx*s.*; in dominico Regis de Bumstode, v*s.*; Radulfo de Berners et Saiero de Stoble, v*s.*; et Vicecomiti, vj*s.* v*d.* de parte ejusdem honoris qui est in manu Regis.

Walterus filius Roberti, xxxj*l.* xv*s.*—lxiij [milites] et dimidium.

Comes Albericus, xv*l.* xv*d.*

Henricus filius Geroldi Camerarii, xxvj*l.* ix*s.* v*d.*

Ricardus de Reymes, c*s.*, sed calumpniatur quod Willelmus filius Roberti de Stuteville habet in dominico dimidium militem in Dedham; et Picot de Tany, ij milites; Rogerus Bigod, dimidium; Rogerus de Reimes, dimidium.

Comes Essexæ, xlix*l.* iij*s.* iiij*d.*

Philippus de Valoniis, xx*s.*—ij milites.

Godefridus de Lucy, lxv*s.*—vj [milites] et dimidium.

Durandus de Osteilli, xv*l.* iij*s.* iiij*d.*

Abbas Sancti Albani, lx*s.*—vj milites.

Willelmus Malet de Gerardville, x*s.*—j militem.

Osbertus de Mara, x*s.*—j militem.

Honor Piperelli de Londonia, lj milites et vj[a] pars, per Vicecomitem, in diversis comitatibus; de quibus in perdonis Thomæ Bardulfo, x*s.*; Eudoni filio Ernisii, xx*s.*; Roberto de Mortuo Mari, x*s.*; Willelmo Peverello, l*s.*; Alano de Valoniis, xx*s.*; Radulfo de Beseville, xx*s.*; Monachis Straffordiæ, v*s.*, per libertatem cartæ; Canonicis de Meltone, iij*d.*, per libertatem cartæ Regis; Canonicis de Moritonia, v*s.*, in Devonia, per breve Regis.

[1] The returns of the scutages for these counties are entered with most elaborate details in the Pipe Rolls of the 2nd and 3rd years.

[2] Several other crusaders mentioned in the Rolls are omitted here.

Hugo filius Stephani, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem—j militem. A.D. 1190–1.
Ailwardus Camerarius, v*s.*, per Vicecomitem—dimidium militem.

LONDRES. MIDDELSEXA.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xj*l.* xvij*s.* ix*d.* de hiis quos recognoscit.

ANNO VI° REGIS RICARDI, AD REDEMPTIONEM EJUS, SCUTAGIUM AD XX*s.*

A.D. 1194–5. Fo. 64.

ANNO VI° REGIS RICARDI FUIT SCUTAGIUM UNIVERSALE ASSISUM AD XX*s.*, NULLO EXEMPTO.

KENT.

Honor Roberti de Dovra, xiiij*l.*—xiiij milites.
Honor Walteri de Meduana, xxix*l.*—xxix milites.
Simon de Abrincis, xxj*l.* x*s.*—xxj [milites] et dimidium.
Abbas Sancti Augustini, xij*l.* v*s.* in hoc comitatu—xiij [milites] et iiij^{am} [partem].
Warinus filius Geroldi, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.
Hugo Bardulf, xx*s.* de j feodo in Hou—j militem.

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Alexander Arsic, Ranulfus de Auberville, Robertus de Crevequor, Comes de Clare, Hugo de Neville, Robertus de [Turnham],[1] Henricus de Ver, Jolland de Neville, Thomas filius Bernardi, Anselinus Biset, Willelmus de Monte Kanisii.

DORSETE. SUMERSETE.

Henricus de Tilly, xiiij*l.* x*s.*—xiiij [milites] et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].
Abbas Glastoniæ, xl*l.*—xl milites.

---

[1] Blank in MS.; supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1194–5.

Nicholaus de Meriet, xxxviij*s.* ix*d.* de feodo j militis.
Willelmus filius Willelmi, lx*s.*—iij milites.
Johannes de Erleiga, xx*s.*—j militem.
Johannes de Monte Acuto, ix*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.*
Radulfus filius Willelmi, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Ricardus dil Estre, liiij*s.* ij*d.* de iiij^{or} militibus.
Willelmus de Monte Acuto, vj*l.* xx*d.* de feodo Montis Acuti.
Vicecomes, xx*s.* de Henrico de Cultura.—j [militem].[1]
Idem, xx*s.* de Fulcone de Aulnou—j militem.
Idem, xx*s.* de Philippo filio Roberti—j militem.
Idem, xx*s.* de Willelmo de Mondeville—j militem.
Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Abbatis de Abbodesbiria—j militem.
Idem, xl*s.* de Abbate de Cerne—ij milites.
Idem, xx*s.* pro Roberto Belet—j militem.
Idem, xij*s.* vj*d.* de Willelmo Polein.
Idem, vj*s.* ij*d.* de Willelmo filio Johannis de Harpetre.
Idem, xliij*s.* de Abbatia de Sirburnia.
Idem, vij*l.* de Abbatia Sancti Edwardi—vij milites.
Idem, vij*l.* v*s.* de Ricardo filio Guillelmi, de feodo Montis Acuti.
Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx*s.*—j militem.
Abbatia Muchelney, xx*s.*—j militem.
Honor de Poerstoke, xxx*l.*—xxx milites.

Norfolc. Suffolc.

Willelmus de Warenne, xiij*l.* v*s.*—xiij milites et quartam [partem].
Feoda Willelmi Blundi, vij*l.*—vij milites.
Willelmus de Danmartin, xx*s.*—j militem.
Rogerus de Kenetwelle, x*l.*—x milites.
Abbas de Hulmo, lxxviij*s.*
Willelmus de Colcherke, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Walterus de Bek, xx*s.*—j militem.

[1] *Marcam* in MS.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xl*l.*—xl milites. A.D. 1194-5.
Abbas Sancti Edmundi xl*l.*—xl milites.
Comes Rogerus, cxxv*l.*—cxxv milites et v^{tam} [partem].
Odo de Danmartin, xx*s.*—j militem.
Radulfus filius Roberti Ribaud, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Gunnore de Valoniis, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus—j militem.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores.

Garinus filius Geroldi, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Johannes de Rocheford, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Simon de Abrincis, iiij*l.* xv*s.*—iiij milites et dimidium et v^{am} [partem].
Walterus le Ver, xx*s.*—j militem.
Willelmus Essicheveille, xx*s.*—j militem.
Honor de Eye, iiij^{xx}x*l.* x*s.*, per Robertum de Insula. In perdono Ranulfo de Auberville, xxx*s.*
Willelmus filius Oliveri, xx*s.*—j militem.

Isti habuerunt quietantiam per brevia.

Comes de Clare.[1]
Robertus filius Rogeri.
Comes de Arundel.
Episcopus Elyensis.

Norhamtescira.

Abbas de Burgo, lx*l.*—lx milites.
Hugo de Lisures, xx*s.*—j militem.
Walterus de Draytone, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Robertus de Curtenay, lx*s.*—iij milites.
Ricardus Basset, xv*l.*—xv milites.
Ricardus Foliot, xiij*l.* xv*s.*—xiij milites et dimidium et v^{am} [partem].
Honor Roberti de Chokes, xv*l.*—xv milites.

[1] No figures are given in MS. or T. R.

A.D. 1194–5. In perdonis Roberto de Quency, xl*s.* de scutagio suo de Bukkeby; et Willelmo de Maulion, xl*s.* de scutagio suo de Wallavestone.

Johannes de Bydon, xxv*s.* de scutagio in hoc comitatu —j militem et quartam [partem].

Fo. 64 d. Vicecomes [reddit compotum] de xx*s.* de Eustachio de Watford; et de viij*l.* ix*s.* iiij*d.* de honore Peverelli de Notingham; et de xx*s.* de Willelmo de Homes; et de xxxj*s.* ij*d.* de scutagio honoris de feod[is] de Moretonia; et de x*s.* de Roberto Marmion; et de viij*s.* de Priore Coventriæ; et de xxx*s.* de scutagio Comitis Albrici; et de xx*s.* de Burnardo Baillol; et de x*s.* de Matildi Giffarde; et de xx*s.* de Waltero de Comville; et de xxv*s.* de Hugone de Baion; et de xxx*s.* de Roberto de Tresgoz; et de xx*s.* de Roberto de Munbray; et de v*j s.* ij*d.* de honore de Berkamstede; et de xx*s.* de honore Gloucestriæ; et de xx*s.* de Comite de Arundello.

Cantebrige et Huntindonesira.

Gilbertus Peche, xix*l.* xx*d.*

Idem, Gilbertus, ij*m.* et dimidiam de honore de Brunne.

Robertus Picot, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Scalariis, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Abbas de Ramese, iiij*l.*—iiij milites.

Hugo de Scalariis, xv*l.* et dimidiam marcam—xv milites et tertiam [1] [partem].

Ricardus filius Walteri Engayne, dimidiam marcam—j tertiam.[1]

Rogerus de Laveto, x*l.*—x milites.

Honor Willelmi de Say, lj*s.*

Robertus de Gimes, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Albreda Trussebut, ij*m.* et dimidiam.

Leonia de Stoteville, ij*m.* et dimidiam de parte sua de honore de Brunne.

---

[1] *Tertia* in MS.

NOTINGHAM. DEREBY. A.D. 1194–5.

Rogerus de Buron, x*l.*—x milites.

Robertus de Cauz, xij*l.* x*s.*—xij milites et dimidium.

Radulfus Musard, xij*l.*—xij milites.

Radulfus Hanselin, xxv*l.*, de quibus super dominium, vij*l.* x*s.*

Hubert filius Radulfi, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Willelmus de Chaorcis, xx*s.*—j militem.

Comes de Ferrariis, lxviij*l.* x*s.*—lxviij et dimidium.

Honor Pipperelli, lx*l.* x*s.*—lx et dimidium.

Rogerus de Munbray, l*s.* in hiis comitatibus—ij milites et dimidium.

Honor de Gant, c*s.* in hiis comitatibus—v milites.

Honor Richemundiæ, xxxij*s.* in hiis comitatibus.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Honor de Tikeville,[1] xl*l.* xv*s.*, de quibus viij*l.* sunt super Constabulariam Cestriæ in hoc honore; et c*s.* super Rogerum de Lovetot; et cv*s.* super Willelmum de Lovetot.

OXONEFORD.

Alexander Arsic, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Gerardus de Kamville, xx*s.*—j militem.

Rogerus de Linguiure, xx*s.*—j militem.

Henricus de Oyli, xxij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*—xxij milites et iij^{am} partem.

Radulfus filius Wigani, v*s.*—quartam partem [militis].

Phelippus de Hamtona, xx*s.*—j militem.

Feodum Wakelini Harenge, xx*s.*—j militem.

DE HIIS QUI NON HABENT CAPITALES HONORES.

Vicecomes [reddit compotum] de x*s.* de Ricardo de Oyli; de xx*s.* de Ricardo filio Nigelli; de dimidia marca de Roberto de Haroucurt; de ij*s.* viij*d.* de Osberto de Hedendone; et de x*s.* de Willelmo de Hames; et de

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1194-5. xiij*s.* de Henrico de Lascy; et de x*s.* de Guidone de Lanval; et de xx*s.* viij*d.* de Hervi Bagod; et de x*s.* de Rogero de Cestria; et de x*s.* de Sewallo filio Fulcheri; et de xx*s.* de Rogero de Linguiure; et de xx*s.* de Waltero de Verdone; et de xx*s.* de Chiselhamtone, Gervasii Paganelli; et de x*s.* de Berford Olaf; et de xxxv*s.* viij*d.* de feodo Radulfi Musard; et de xviij*s.* ij*d.* de Waltero de Alerintone; et de x*s.* de Rolandrut; et de v*s.* de Lillingestane; et de dimidia marca de feodo Wakelini Hareng.

Lincolnesira.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, lx*l.*—lx milites.

Gerardus de Ca[m]pville, xvj*l.* de feodo Ricardi de Haia—xvj milites.

Willelmus de Romare, lix*l.* xv*s.*

Hugo de Baioc, xvj*l.* xviij*s.*

Baldewinus Wac, x*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.*

Fo. 65. Simon de Campez, c*s.*—v milites.

Lambert de Scoteingny, x*l.*—x milites.

Walterus de Neville, xij*l.*—xij milites.

Comitissa Britanniæ.[1]

Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*l.*—x milites.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, ciiij*s.* viij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Robertus filius Harding, xxx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem et dimidium.

Ricardus de Pomo, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Robertus Camerarius, xxij*s.*

Guido de Laval, xxxiij*s.* iiij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Ricardus de Maringes, v*s.*—quartam partem.

Johannes Malherbe, xx*s.*—j militem.

Adam Paganellus, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Isti habuerunt quietantiam per brevia.

Willelmus de Longo Campo, Oliver de Aencurt, Willelmus de Estuteville, Thomas de Arescy, Phelippus

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *reddit compotum in Ewerwicsira.*—T. R.

filius Roberti, Comes Cestriæ, Alexander Arsic, Eustachius de Vescy, Comes de Ferrariis, Agnes de Percy, Hamo filius Hamonis, Robertus de Baulers, Rogerus de Mortumer, Willelmus de Albeygni, Comes de Clare, Robertus Marmiun, Petrus de Pratellis, Robertus de Gresle, Jacobus de Hulmo, Willelmus de Fortibus, Hugo de Verly. A.D. 1194–5.

Cumberlande.

Ranulfus de Vallibus, xl*s.*—ij milites.
Willelmus de Brus, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Lancastria.

Honor Lancastriæ, lxxij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*
Robertus de Gresle, xij*l.*—xij milites.
Henricus Falconarius, xx*s.*—j militem.
Ranulfus de Albeville, xx*s.*—j militem.

Wigornsira.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, xlix*l.* vj*s.*, de quibus in terris quas Rex tenet, lx*s.*

Abbas de Evesham, iiij*l.* x*s.*—iiij milites et dimidium.

Abbas de Persora, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Radulfus de Sumery, iiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*—iiij [milites] et tertiam.

De Willelmo de Bello Campo, vij*l.* de toto scutagio quod promisit domino Regi.

Norhumberlande.

Rogerus de Merlay, xx*m.*, ut remaneat ab exercitu Normannorum.

Robertus de Muscans, xx*l.* pro eodem.

Radulfus de Caugy, lx*m.* pro fine relevii sui.

A.D. 1194–5. Et notandum quod scutagium de Norhumberlande ideo redditur in una summa, quia totum fuit in manu Episcopi Dunholmensis, a quo receptum fuit scutagium, et ideo non distinguitur per Barones.

Vicecomes, de lxxvij*l.* *jm.* de scutagio militum Norhumbrelandæ.

Herford in Wallia.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Walterus de Cormeilles, ix*l.*—ix milites.

Johannes de Munemue, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Robertus de Ewias, xix*l.*—xix milites.

Robertus de Chamdos, xiij*l.* xl*d.*

Hugo de Say, xxiij*l.*—xxiij milites.

Johannes de Kilpaek, xxx*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Walterus de Lascy, lj*l.* v*s.*—li [milites] et quartam [partem].

Reginaldus de Baalun, xx*s.*—j militem.

Feodum Adæ de Port, xxij*l.* xiij*s.*

Michael et Robertus de Manewe, iiij*s.* de scutagio, per Vicecomitem.

Mathæus de Gamages, xl*s.* de eodem, per Vicecomitem.

Johannes de Mahurdin, v*s.* ij*d.* in hoc comitatu, per Vicecomitem.

Salopsira.

Willelmus filius Alani, xxvij*l.* x*s.*

Feoda Herberti de Chasteillun, c*s.*, per Radulphum de Erderne.

Guido Extraneus, xxx*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Johannes Extraneus, xxx*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Hugo de Picheford, xx*s.*—j militem.

Fo. 65 d. Walterus de Witefeld, xx*s.*—j militem.

Walterus de Dunstanville, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Robertus Corbet, iiij*l.* in hoc comitatu, et xx*s.* de scutagio—j militis. A.D. 1194–5.

Hugo Pantulfus, lx*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.[1]

Feodum quod fuit Gerardi de Tornay, lx*s.* et vj*d.*

Eboracsira.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Willelmus de Munbray, iiij^{xx}viij*l.* v*s.*—iiij^{xx}viij milites et iiij^{am}.

Comitissa de Warewyke, xv*l.* de parte sua de feodo Willelmi de Percy.

Willelmus Paganellus, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Amprey de Caucy, c*s.*, per Hugonem Murdac—v milites.

Hubertus filius Stephani, Camer[arii], xxiij*s.* iiij*d.*

Guido de Laval, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Rogerus [constabularius] de Cestria successor Henrici de Lascy, xliij*l.* xv*s.*—xliij milites et tres partes.

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Willelmus Fossard, de xxxj*l.* x*s.*—xxxj [milites] et dimidium.

Willelmus de Stoteville, de viij*l.*—viij milites.

Agnes de Percy, de xv*l.*—xv milites.

Eustachius de Vescy, de xxiiij*l.* dimidiam marcam.

Comes Albæ Marlæ, de xiij*l.* de feodo Alexandri filii Geroldi.

Robertus de Gant, de xij*l.* x*s.*—xij milites et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Ranulfi, de v*m.*

Duæ partes feodi de Wartre quas Hamo filius Hamonis et Robertus de Boullers habent, viij*s.* xj*d.*

Comes Albæ Marlæ, xx*l.* in Heudernesse et xv*l.* de feodo Adæ de Brus.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1194–5. Robertus de Brus, c*s.* de feodo ejusdem Adæ, et x*m.* de feodis Hamonis filii Hamonis et Roberti de Bullers et Roberti de Trussebut.

Feodum Jocelini de Lovein, cx*s.*

Summa—c et lxxij*l.* xv*s.* vij*d.*

Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*l.*

Andræas de Feugeres, xx*s.*

Robertus de Ros, vj*l.* xviij*s.*

Constancia Comitissa Britanniæ, cxl*l.* de feodis cxl militum quos Thomas de Burgo, Senescallus ejusdem Comitissæ, coram baronibus recognovit pertinere ad honorem Comitis Britanniæ in Anglia.

Devonia.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xv*l.* x*s.*—xv milites et dimidium.

Henricus de Ferrariis, iiij^{xx}xij*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*

Abbas de Tavistokia, xvj*l.*—xvj milites.

Willelmus de Tracy, xxv*l.* x*s.*, per Hugonem de Cort[enai].

Honor de Bentone, vij*l.* viij*s.*, per W[illelmum] Briggere.

Ricardus Peverel, xv*s.*, per Vicecomitem.

Galfridus del Estre, xx*s.*, per eundem—j militem.

Petrus Burdone, xx*s.*—j militem.

Henricus de la Pomeray, xxj*l.* xviij*s.* iiij*d.*

Henricus de Novo Mercato, x*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Comes Willelmus de Riveres, xv*l.* de scutagio militum suorum quos recognoscit; et xlv*l.* de dono suo de militibus suis quos non recognoscit, quos dat hac vice Regi in transfretatione sua.

Henricus de Tylly, xiiij*li.* xv*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Willelmus de Monte Acuto, iiij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Isti habuerunt quietantiam per brevia.

Olyver de Tracy, Johannes de Torintone, Henricus de Novant, Willelmus filius Martini, Rogerus de Valle Torta.

CORNUBIA. A.D. 1194–5.

Vicecomes, cxxxiiij*l.* xj*s.* viij*d.* de ccxv militibus et tertia parte de honore Comitis Reginaldi in Cornubia et Devonia qui reddunt scutagium ad feoda Moretoniæ. In perdonis per breve Regis Reginaldo de Valle torta, xxxvj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.* de lix militibus prædicti feodi; et Roberto de Cardinan, xliiij*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.* de lxxj militibus de eodem feodo; et Roberto filio Baldewini, xij*s.* vj*d.* de eodem feodo scilicet [de] uno [milite].

LONDONIA. MIDDELSEXA. Fo. 66.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xx*l.*—xx milites.

WILTESCIRA.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xxij*l.*[1]—xxxij milites.
Henricus de Bolun, xxx*l.* x*s.*—xxx milites et dimidium.
Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, c*s.*—v milites.
Walterus Waleram, xxv*l.*—xxv milites.
Walterus de Grimstede, xx*s.*—j militem.
Garnerus de Lisures, xx*s.*—j militem.
Robertus Giffard, xx*s.*—j militem.
Hunfridus de Sancto Vigore, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Elias Giffard, ix*l.*—ix milites.
Robertus de Mara et Willelmus de Rokella, xl*s.*—ij milites.
Henricus Hese, xx*s.*—j militem.

DE HIIS QUI NON HABENT CAPITALES HONORES IN HOC COMITATU.

Vicecomes, lx*s.* de scutagio Abbatiæ de Malmesbery—iij milites.
Galfridus filius Petri, xx*s.* in Ciriel—j militem.
Idem Vicecomes, xx*s.* de Elya Croc—j militem.

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *xxxij* l.—T. R.

A.D. 1194–5.

Idem, xx*s.* de Thoma de Horslega—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de Simone Wak—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de feodo Willelmi Blundi de Norwich, in Lavintone—j militem.

Comes Sarrisbiriæ habet quietantiam per brevia.

Bukingham. Bedeford.

Simon de Bello Campo, xlv*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*

Hugo de Cahaignes, j*m.* in hiis comitatibus—duas partes [militis].

Walterus de Wahille, xxvij*l.*—xxvij milites.

Petrus Sorme, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Rogeri, iiij*s.*

Hugo filius Alani, ij*s.* vj*d.*

Willelmus de Schirintone, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Herbertus de Bolebec, xx*s.*—j militem.

Ricardus filius Nigelli, xxx*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Henricus de Pinkeingny, xiij*l.* x*s.*—xiij [milites] et dimidium.

Johannes de Bidon, vj*l.* x*s.*—vj [milites] et dimidium.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores in hiis comitatibus.

Vicecomes, ix*l.* xij*d.* de honore Gervasii Paganelli.

Idem, xj*s.* de scutagio Advocati de Betone.

Idem, x*s.* de Henrico de Novo Mercato—dimidium militem.

Idem, xv*s.* de Hamone de Gironde—ij milites.

Idem, xxiij*s.* de Johanne de Boylli.

Idem, iiij*l.* xv*j*s. de honore Piperelli.

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Comes de Clare, Willelmus Marscallus, Abricus de Ver, Willelmus Mauduit Camerarius, Walterus de Windesores, Willelmus de Windesores, Hamo filius Hamonis,

Willelmus de Albeny, Robertus de Albeny, Comes David, Comes Albemarlæ, Comes Cestriæ, Com[itissa] Warwikæ, Hugo Wak, Gilbertus Basset, Galfridus de Say, Ranulfus de Auberville, Willelmus de Belmes, Baldewinus de Betum, Robertus de Quency, Petrus de Prewes, Walterus de Traylli, Thomas filius Bernardi, Oliver de Aencurt, Willelmus de Breose, Willelmus filius Radulfi de Kahaignes. A.D. 1194–5.

SUDHAMTESCIRA.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, lx*l.*—lx milites.

Robertus de Ponte Arche, xx*s.*—j militem.

Abbas de Hida, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Adam de Port, lv*l.*—lv milites.

Willelmus filius Aldelini, xxv*s.*

Vicecomes, iiij*l.* iiij*d.* de scutagio Willelmi de Roumare in hoc comitatu.

Rogerus Torel, xx*s.*—j militem.

Robertus de Walterville, xx*s.*—j militem.

Thomas de Sancto Valerico, xx*s.*—j militem.

Robertus de Ewias, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Robertus de Maysi, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Willelmus de Solers, xx*s.*—j militem.

Rogerus de Alberuns, xx*s.*—j militem.

Michael de Lovers, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Herbertus filius Herberti, xxv*s.*—j militem et tertiam.

Willelmus de Chaugrave, xl*d.*—vj^{am} partem [militis].

Alanus de Chiltelega, ij*s.*

Willelmus de Homez, xx*s.*—j militem.

Radulfus de Cambray, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Soneworde, xv*s.*—iij partes [militis].

Rogerus Pantolf, iiij*s.*

Henricus de Novo Mercato, xx*s.*—j militem.

SUDSEXA.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*l.*—iiij milites.

Gilbertus de Aquila, xxj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.* de parvis feodis, scilicet, de quolibet milite, xij*s.* vj*d.*

A.D. 1194–5. Fo. 66 d.

Vicecomes, *cs.* et *jm.* de Capellaria de Boseham.

Willelmus filius Ricardi de Kahaingnes, xxxvij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Norman de Normanville, iiij*s.* ij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Rogerus de Tony, xl*s.*

Hawis de la Guirche, xl*s.* de feodo de Wepeham.

Henricus de Percy, xxij*l.* x*s.*

Robertus Peverel, viij*s.* iiij*d.* de duabus partibus j feodi Morettoniæ.

Willelmus de Belmes, vj*l.*—vj milites.

Gloucestresira.

Margareta de Bohun, xvij*l.*—xvij milites.

Robertus filius Maur[icii], *cs.*—v milites.

Rogerus de Berkelay, vij*l.* x*s.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Ricardus de Escropes, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Patriciis[1] de Chaorciis, xij*l.*—xij milites.

Henricus de Novo Mercato, xvij*l.* xj*s.* iiij*d.*

Honor Gloucestriæ, cclxj*l.* x*s.*—cclxj [milites] et dimidium.

Otuel de Sudlega, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Abbas Winchelcumbiæ,[2] xl*s.*—ij milites.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores in hoc comitatu.

Radulfus filius Stephani, xx*s.*—j militem.

Robertus de Chamdos, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Radulfus de Cornhulle, xviij*s* in hoc comitatu.

Walterus de Esselega, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Berkescira.

Willelmus Achard, xx*s.*—j militem.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xxx*l.*—xxx milites.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

Holnad de Sifrewast, xx*s.*—j militem. A.D. 1194–5.

Toroldus de Pesemere, x*s.*, qui ideo redduntur quia [Ricardus][1] de Pesemere dominus suus noluit recipere homagium suum.

DE HIIS QUI NON HABENT CAPITALES HONORES IN HOC COMITATU.

Vicecomes, xx*s.* de Hugone de Sotebroc.

Idem, iij*s.* pro Johanne Belet.

Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Ricardi de Siffrewast—j militem.

Idem, x*s.* pro Johanne Visus Lupi—dimidium militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de Henrico de Novo Mercato—j militem.

Idem, vij*l.* xiij*s.* de Radulfo de Sumeri.

Idem, xx*s.* pro Johanne Maltravers—j militem.

Idem, xv*l.* de scutagio Willelmi de Vernon—xv milites.

Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Walteri de Clifforde—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Adæ de Port de Wallia—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Abbatis de Hida—j militem.

Idem, xl*s.* de scutagio Radulfi Musard—ij milites.

Idem, v*s.* de scutagio Henrici de Oyli—quartam partem [militis].

Idem, v*s.* de scutagio Gerardi de Campville—quartam partem [militis].

Idem, xxv*s.* de scutagio Thomæ de Sancto Valerico—j militem et quartam [partem].

Idem, xx*s.* de scutagio Walteri de Sandville, de honore de Skiptone—j militem.

Idem, x*s.* de Bartholomæo de Andeville, de honore de Brune—dimidium militem.

Idem, x*s.* de Radulfo de Belesmes—dimidium militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de Henrico de Grinenters—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de Johanne de Erlega—j militem.

---

[1] *Sic*[*ut*] in MS.; *Ricardus*.—T. R.

A.D. 1194–5. Idem, x*s.* de Thoma de Erlega, de honore Willelmi Longespee—dimidium militem.

Idem, dimidiam marcam de Hervi de Denkesworde—tertiam partem [militis].

Petrus filius Herberti, xv*s.* in hoc comitatu—iij partes [militis].

Henricus de Bohun, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Ricardus de Scropes, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Willelmus de Boclande, Willelmus de Roumara, Willelmus de Windesores, Ricardus de Oyli, Comes de Ferrariis, Hugo de Say, Johannes de Hastinges, Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, Comes Cestriæ, Henricus de Pinkeigny.

Essexa. Hertford.

Willelmus de Reymes, viij*l.*—viij milites.

Willelmus de Helion, x*l.*—x milites.

Comes Albericus, xxx*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.*

Garinus filius Geroldi, liij*l.* xviij*s.* vij*d.* de feodo Eudonis Dapiferi.

Ricardus de Reimes, x*l.*—x milites.

Galfridus filius Petri, iiij^{xx}xviij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Gunnore de Valoniis, xxx*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Gilbertus de Tany, vij*l.* x*s.*—vij milites et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Roberti, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Henricus de Valoniis, xl*s.*, per Vicecomitem—ij milites.

Fo. 67. Abbas Sancti Albani, vj*s.*, per eundem.

Osbertus de Mara, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores in hiis comitatibus.

Idem Vicecomes, l*s.* de Roberto de Hastinges in hiis comitatibus—ij milites et dimidium.

Idem, xx*s.* de Willelmo de Claville—j militem.

A.D. 1194-5.

Idem, xxx*s.* de Willelmo de Sancto Claro—j [militem] et dimidium.

Idem, v*s.* de Simone Peche — quartam partem [militis].

Idem, l*s.* de Rogero Tallebot—ij [milites] et dimidium.

Idem, vij*s.* vj*d.* de Willelmo filio Ranulfi.

Idem, xl*s.* de Eustachio de Baillol—ij milites.

Idem, xx*s.* de Radulfo de Cornhulle—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de Roberto Monacho—j militem.

Idem, x*s.* de Ernulfo de Mondeville — dimidium militem.

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Ricardus de Montfichet, Walterus filius Roberti, Willelmus Malet, Comes de Ferrariis, Comes Warenniæ,[1] Comes Leycestriæ, Willelmus Marscallus, Comes de Clara, Galfridus de Say, Henricus de Ver, Derekin de Acra, Willelmus de Albeygni, Johannes filius Bernardi, Willelmus de Monte Kanysii, Comes Sarrisbiriæ, Comes Albemarlæ, Adam de Port, Hugo de Neville, Johannes de Gernunville, Th[omas] de Kaumpville, Eustachius de Greinville, Werry de Marinis.

Ex Rotulo Escaetarum per Willelmum de Sanctæ Mariæ Ecclesia, custodem escaetarum Angliæ.

Honor de Walingeford, *cl.* v*s.*; de quibus, in perdonis Willelmo Paganello, iiij*l.*; Gilberto Basset, viij*l.*; Alano Basset, iiij*l.*; Thomæ Basset, iiij*l.*

Escaetæ de Wiltescira.

Honor Walteri de Dunstanville, xxj*l.* iiij*s.*, per eundem, in hoc comitatu.

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1194–5.

Escaietæ Cornubiæ.

Honor Ricardi de Lucy in Cornubia, xij*l.* x*s.* de unoquoque milite, xij*s.* vj*d.*, scilicet, de j feodo Ricardo de Bereges, et de j feodo et dimidio de Henrico de Trekaz, et de dimidio [feodo] in Ebbeford, et de j et tertia in Tregenho et Bentone, et de j in Thihedy et de dimidio de Hugone de Hengebury.

Escaetæ Sudsexæ.

Honor de Arundel, iiij^{xx}iiij*l.* x*s.*

A.D. 1196–7. Fo. 67 d.

ANNUS VIII^{us} REGIS RICARDI SCUTAGIUM NORMANNIÆ AD XX*s.*

Kent.

Honor Roberti de Dovra, xiiij*l.*—xiiij milites.

Honor Walteri de Meduana, xxix*l.*, per Ciciliam Comitissam Herfordiæ, et Willelmum de Monte Kanisio, xxix milites.

Simon de Abrincis, xxj*l.* x*s.*—xxj [milites] et dimidium.

Abbas Sancti Augustini, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Heredes Willelmi de Abrincis, lxx*s.* de terra quæ fuit Willelmi filii Hente—lx [milites] et dimidium.

Willelmus de Ros, vij*l.*, per Alexandrem Arsic, custodem heredis.

Comes Gloucestriæ, x*l.* in hoc comitatu—x milites, sicut Vicecomes dicit.

Robertus de Crevequor, xiiij*l.*; de quibus ij^{o} sunt in manu Regis, ut dicit, Hugo Pincerna j, et Willelmus de Bormelinges, j—xiiij milites.

Honor Pipperelli de Dovra, viij*l.* x*s.* in hoc comitatu —viij [milites] et dimidium. A.D. 1196–7.

Petrus de Pratellis, [ ] de scutagio suo.[1]

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Alexander Arsic, Hugo Bardulfus, Garinus filius Geroldi, Jollandus de Neville, Comes Moretoniæ, Comes de Pertico, Galfridus de Say, Comes de Augo, Radulfus Tesson, Johannes de Pratellis, Adam de Port.

IN TERTIO [SCUTAGIO] ANNOTANTUR SUBSCRIPTI DE HONORE PIPERELLI DE DOVRA.

Hamo de Gyronde—iij milites.
Robertus de Boclande—quartam [partem militis].
Hugo Juvenis—j militem.
Hamo de Chileham—dimidium militem.
Nicholaus filius Baldewini—dimidium militem.
Johannes de Herste—j militem.
Robertus Clericus—dimidium militem.
Johannes filius Elyæ—dimidium militem.

Summa vij [milites] et quartam [partem].

LONDONIA. MIDDELSEXA.

Episcopus Londoniensis, xx*l.* Idem in tertio—xx milites.

ESSEXA. HERTFORD.

Willelmus de Raymes, viij*l.*—viij milites.
Willelmus de Helion, x*l.*—x milites.
Ricardus de Raimes, x*l.*—x milites.
Gunnore de Valoniis, xxx*l.*—xxx milites.
Gilbertus de Tame, vij*l.* x*s.*—vij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus filius Roberti, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Henricus de Valoniis, lx*s.* in hiis comitatibus—iij milites.

[1] *Sic* in MS. and T. R.

A.D. 1196-7.

Abbas Sancti Albani, vj*l.* in hiis comitatibus—vj milites.

Osbertus de Mara, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Robertus de Hastinges, l*s.* in hiis comitatibus—ij milites et dimidium.

Willelmus de Coleville, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Sancto Claro, xxx*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Simon Peche, v*s.*—quartam partem [militis].

Rogerus Tallebot, l*s.*—ij [milites] et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Ranulfi, vij*s.* vj*d.*

Eustachius de Baillol, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Radulfus de Cornhulle, xl*s.* in hiis comitatibus—ij milites.

Robertus Monachus, xx*s.*—j militem.

Ernulfus de Mondeville, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Albericus de Ver, G[alfridus] filius Petri, Garinus filius Geroldi, Ricardus de Montefichet, Robertus filius Galteri, Comes de Ferrariis, Galfridus de Say, Hugo de Neville, Comes Arundelliæ, Hugo Bardulf, Radulfus Gernun, Comes de Pertico.

Isti adjiciuntur solventes in tertio [scutagio].

Robertus filius Walteri, xliij*l.* x*s.*—xliij milites et dimidium.

Albericus de Ver, xxx*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.*

Henricus filius Gar[ini] filius Ger[oldi], liij*l.*—liij milites.

Galfridus filius Petri, iiij^{xx}xviij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Isti in tertio qui non in secundo.

Vicecomes reddit compotum, de xx*s.* de scutagio Mathæi de Gerardville—j militem.

Idem, xx*s.* de feodo Albani Hairon—j militem. A.D. 1196–7.

Idem, xlj*l.* et j*m.* de parte militum honoris Piperelli de Londonia qui sunt in baillia sua—xlj milites et duas partes.

Sudhantescira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, xl*l.*—xl milites.

Robertus de Ponte Arche, xx*s.*—j militem.

Abbas de Hida, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Adam de Port, lv*l.*—lv milites.

Willelmus filius Aldelini, xxv*s.*—j [militem] et quartam.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores.

Thomas de Sancto Valerico, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Solariis, xx*s.*—j militem.

Fo. 68. Michael de Lovers, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Alanus de Chetlega, ij*s.*

Radulfus de Tambray,[1] x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Rogerus Pantulfus, iiij*s.*

Robertus de Maysi, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Rogerus de Albernun, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Willelmus de Chaugrave, xx*d.*—vj^{am} partem.

Willelmus de Homez, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Soneworde, xv*s.*—iij partes.

Isti sunt quieti per brevia.

Herbertus filius Herberti, Robertus de Ewias, Henricus de Novo Mercato, Willelmus de Roumare.

De illis qui finem fecerunt pro passagio.

Ricardus de Dummere [pro] j milite.

Uxor Galfridi filii Azonis pro j milite.

---

[1] Rectius *Cambray*.

A.D. 1196-7.

ISTI QUIETI SUPRA SOLVERUNT IN TERTIO.

Willelmus de Roumare, iiij*l.* iiij*d.* in hoc comitatu.
Robertus de Ewias, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.
Herbertus filius Herberti, xxv*s.*—j militem et quartam.
Henricus de Novo Mercato, xx*s.*—j militem.

OXONEFORD.

Gerard de Campville, xx*s.*—j militem.
Robertus de Linguiure, xx*s.*—j militem.
Henricus de Oyli, xxxij*l.*—xxxij milites.
Radulfus filius Wigani, v*s.*—iiij^{am} partem [militis].
Stephanus filius Philippi de Hamtone, xx*s.*—j militem.
Heredes Walkelin Hareng, xx*s.*—j militem.

DE HIIS QUI NON HABENT CAPITALES HONORES.

Ricardus de Oyli, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Osbertus de Heddendone, ij*s.* viij*d.*
Rogerus de Linguiure, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Walterus de Vernun, xx*s.*—j militem.
Walterus de Alcrinto[n], xviij*s.* ij*d.*
Lillingstane, v*s.*—quartam partem [militis].
Ricardus filius Nigelli, xx*s.*—j militem.
Rogerus de Cestria, x*s.*—dimidium militem.
Henricus de Lascy, xiij*s.*
Radulfus de Sumeri, xx*s.* in Chiselhamtone—j militem.
Rolondrich, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem—dimidium militem.

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Alexander Arsic, Willelmus de Homez, Hervicus Bagot, Guido de Laval, Radulfus Musard, Willelmus de Stoteville, Robertus de Haroucurt, Willelmus de Vernun, Comes, ut in [tertio].

Et nota quod Robertus de Haroucurt debet ij parte[s] quia ibi con[tinetur] Robertus de Haroucurt debet dimidiam marcam de primo scutagio quia recognovit per breve quod attulit de habenda quietantia quod debet respondere de ij partibus feodi j militis. A.D. 1196–7.

Idem in tertio scutagio.

Dorset. Sumerset.

Abbsa Glastoniæ, xl*l.*—xl milites.

Nicholaus Meriet, xxxviij*s.* ix*d.* de j feodo et alio feodo et dimidio Montis Acuti.

Willelmus filius Willelmi, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Johannes de Monte Acuto, ix*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.*

Radulfus filius Willelmi, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Henricus de Cultura—j militem.

Fulco de Alnou, xx*s.*—j militem.

Phelippus filius Roberti, xx*s.*—j militem.

Galfridus de Mondeville, xx*s.*, per Vicecomitem—j militem.

Abbatia Abbodesbiry, xl*s.*, per eundem—j militem.

Abbatia de Cerne, xl*s.*, per eundem—ij milites.

Willelmus Polein, xij*s.* vj*d.*, per eundem.

Willelmus filius Johannis de Harpetre, vj*s.* iij*d.*

Abbatia Sancti Edwardi, vij*l.*

Robertus Belet, xx*s.* Item, xv*l.*[1]

Abbas Scireburniæ, xliij*s.*

Ricardus filius Willelmi, vij*l.* v*s.* de feodo Montis Acuti—vij milites et iiij^{am}.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx*l.*—xx milites.

Abbatia Muchelneiæ, xx*s.*—j militem.

Philippus de Columbariis, x*l.*—x milites.

Willelmus de Kahaignes, qui tunc fuit Vicecomes, x*l.* xij*s.* vj*d.* de xvj feodis de feodo Moretoniæ. Idem, lx*s.*[2]

[1] *De medietate honoris de Pourstoke.*—T. R.

[2] *De scutagio.*—T. R.

A.D. 1196–7.

Henricus Lovel, xj*l.* x*s.* Idem, iiij*l.* xv*d.* de feodo Montis Acuti.

Wandrille[1] de Curceles, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Moyun, xlj*l.*, per Vicecomitem—xlj milites.

Willelmus Martel, iiij*l.* xviij*s.* ix*d.* de feodo Montis Acuti.

Thomas filius Briani, Willelmus Austrucarius, Robertus Malherbe, xx*s.* de j feodo in hiis comitatibus.

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Walterus de Esselega, Alvredus de Lincolnia, Henricus de Tylli, Ricardus del Estre, Willelmus de Monte Acuto, Willelmus filius Johannis de Harpetre, Robertus de Pola, Galfridus filius Geroldi, Willelmus Malet. Fo. 68d.

IDEM IN TERTIO SCUTAGIO, SED IBIDEM.[2]

Alvredus de Lincolnia, xxv*l.* xl*d.*

Ricardus del Estre, liiij*s.* ij*d.*

Willelmus de Monte Acuto, x*l.*—x milites.

Robertus Belet, xv*l.* de medietate honoris de Poerstoke.

ISTI APPONUNTUR IN TERTIO SCUTAGIO QUI NON APPONUNTUR IN SECUNDO; ET ETIAM DE HIIS QUI FINEM FECERUNT PRO PASSAGIO.[3]

Ricardus Cotele pro j milite.

Henricus Tortes Mains pro j milite.

---

[1] Rectius *Wandregisilus.*

[2] This heading, which is not rubricated in the MS., is somewhat obscure, but the meaning appears to be that whilst the above names are entered again under the third scutage, the four following ones are mentioned for the first time in the returns of that scutage.

[3] The meaning of this heading is, as shown by a direction on the Roll, that the fines "ne transfretarent" have been entered separately from the two scutages, although containing payments which should properly have been made in respect of the third scutage.

A.D. 1196–7.

Thomas de Lovertone pro j milite.
Adam de Cosintone pro j milite.
Mater Willelmi de Aure pro j milite.
Galfridus Foliot pro j milite.
Radulfus filius Bernardi pro j milite.
Henricus de Novo Mercato pro j milite.
Robertus le Bigod pro dimidio milite.
Uxor[1] Galfridi filii Azonis pro j milite.
Walterus de Doneheved pro j milite.
Thomas de Grenville pro j milite et dimidio.
Willelmus de Sancto Leodegario pro j milite.
Radulfus filius Willelmi pro v [militibus] et dimidio.
Simon le Bret pro ij militibus.
Willelmus de Broctone pro j milite.
Rogerus de Arundel pro iij militibus.
Johannes de Campo Florido pro j milite.
Rogerus de Bedmaltone pro iij militibus.

Rotelande.

Walkelin de Ferrariis, xxx*s.* in hoc comitatu — j militem et dimidium.

Henricus de Ermenters, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.

Comes Warwikæ, c*s.* in hoc comitatu—v milites.

Ricardus Abbas, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Baldewinus Wac, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Willelmus de Homez, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Radulfus de Sumery, dimidiam marcam in hoc comitatu—tertiam partem [militis].

Petrus de Lohereng, dimidiam marcam in hoc comitatu —iij^{am} partem [militis].

Gloucestresira.

Margareta de Bohon xvij*l.*—xvij milites.

Robertus filius Mauricii, c*s.*—v milites.

---

[1] *Agnes quæ fuit uxor.*—T. R.

A.D. 1196-7.

Robertus de Berkelay, vij*l.* x*s.*—vij [milites] et dimidium.

Ricardus de Scropis, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Patricius de Chaorcis, xij*l.* x*s.*—xij [milites] et dimidium.

Henricus de Novo Mercato, xvij*l.* xj*s.* iiij*d.*

Otvel de Sudlega, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Abbas Winchecumbiæ, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Radulfus filius Stephani, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Rogerus de Chamdos, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.

Radulfus de Cormeiles, xviij*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Walterus de Esselega, x*s.* in hoc comitatu—dimidium militem.

Idem, in tertio [scutagio], sed inter illos qui habuerunt quietantiam per brevia apponuntur isti:

Alardus Flandrensis et Almaricus Dispensator.

Norhamtesira.

Abbas de Burgo, lx*l.*—lx milites.

Hugo de Lisures, xx*s.*—j militem.

Walterus de Draintone, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Robertus de Cortenay, lx*s.*—iij milites.

Ricardus Basset, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Ricardus Foliot, xiij*l.* xv*s.*—xiij milites et iij partes.

Honor de Chokes, xv*l.*, per Vicecomitem; de quibus in perdonis Roberto de Quency, xl*s.* in Bukeby, per breve Regis.

Willelmus de Dustone, xx*s.* de feodo de Dustone.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores.

Johannes de Bidon, xxv*s.* in hoc comitatu, per Vicecomitem—j militem et quintam [partem].

Eustachius de Watford, xx*s.*, per eundem—j militem.

Honor Piperelli, cv*s.* in hoc comitatu, per Vicecomitem—v milites et quintam.

Feoda de Morteis, viij*l.* xxij*d.*, per Vicecomitem. A.D. 1196–7.

Robertus Marmion, x*s.*, per Vicecomitem—dimidium militem.

Robertus de Munbray, xx*s.*, per eundem—j militem.

Feodum de Wiklega, xviij*s.*

Eustachius de Baillol, xx*s.*, per Vicecomitem—j militem.

Walterus de Prestone, dimidium feodum in Grettone et xx*v.l.* de feodi firma, sicut continetur in Rotulo vj^to^ Regis Johannis in Norhamtesira.[1]

Salopsira.

Fo. 69. Willelmus filius Alani, xxvij*l.* x*s.*—xxvij [milites] et dimidium.

Radulfus de Erderne, c*s.*—v milites.

Willelmus de Botereins, ix*l.* de honore Galfridi de Ver—ix milites; et c*s.* de scutagio Eudonis Dapiferi—v milites.

Robertus de Boterellis, lx*s.* in hoc comitatu—iij milites.

Guido Extraneus, xxx*s.*—j [militem] et dimidium.

Hugo de Picheford, xx*s.*—j militem.

Walterus de Witefeld, xx*s.*—j militem.

Walterus de Dunstanville, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Hugo Pantulfus, lx*s.* in hoc comitatu—iij milites.

Robertus Corbet, c*s.* in hoc comitatu—v milites.

Feoda quæ fuerunt Girardi de Tornay, lx*s.* vj*d.*

Idem per omnia in tertio.

Notingham. Dereby.

Rogerus Burdun, x*l.*, per Vicecomitem—x milites.

Radulfus Hanselin, xxv*l.*—xxv milites.

Radulfus Musard, xij*l.*—xij milites.

---

[1] This last entry is inserted in a hand of Edward I.

A.D. 1196-7.

Hubertus filius Radulfi.[1]

Willelmus de Chaorcis, xx*s.*—j militem.

Honor Piperelli, lx*l.* x*s.*; de quibus in perdonis Henrico de Gray, c*s.* pro v feodis.

Rogerus de Moubray, l*s.* in hiis comitatibus—ij [milites] et dimidium.

Comes de Ferrariis, lxviij*l.* x*s.*—lxviij milites et dimidium.

Honor de Gant, c*s.* in hiis comitatibus—v milites.

Honor de Richemunt, xxxij*s.*

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus—j militem.

ISTI HABUERUNT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Hugo Bardulfus, Oliverus de Aencurt, Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, Henricus de Stoteville.

Honor de Tykehulle, lx*l.* x*s.* de scutagio.

CANTEBRIGE. HUNTINDONESIRA.

Gilbertus Peche, xix*l.* xx*d.*

Honor de Brunne, ij*m.* et dimidiam, per Vicecomitem.

Robertus Picot, xxx*s.*—j militem et dimidium.

Willelmus de Scalariis, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Abbas Rameseyæ, iiij*l.*—iiij milites.

Hugo de Scalariis, xv*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Ricardus filius Walteri Engaine, dimidiam marcam—tertiam partem [militis].

Rogerus de Lovetot, x*l.*—x milites.

G[alfridus] filius Petri, lj*s.* de honore Galfridi de Say.

Robertus de Gimeges, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus—j militem.

Albreda Trussebut, ij*m.* et dimidiam.

Leonia de Stoteville, ij*m.* et dimidiam de parte sua de honore de Brunne.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *Habet quietantiam de scutagio suo per finem quem fecit cum Rege sicut continetur in Rotulo precedenti.*—T. R.

BERKESIRA. A.D. 1196–7.

Abbas Abbendoniæ, xxx*l.*—xxx milites.

Willelmus Achard, xx*s.*—j militem.

Halnad de Sifregast, xx*s.*—j militem.

Thoroldus de Pesemere, x*s.*, qui ideo reddit quia dominus suus noluit recipere homagium suum—dimidium militem.

DE HIIS QUI NON HABENT CÀPITALES HONORES.

Hugo de Sotenbroc, xx*s.*—j militem.

Johannes Belet, xxiiij*s.*—j [militem] et quintam.

Johannes Visus Lupi, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Henricus de Novo Mercato., xx*s.*—j militem.

Walterus de Clifford, xx*s.*—j militem.

Abbas de Hida, xx*s.*—j militem.

Henricus de Cilly, v*s.*—quartam partem.

Thomas de Sancto Valerico, xxv*s.*—j [militem] et quartam partem.

Bartholomæus de Andeville, x*s.* de honore de Brunne—dimidium militem.

Radulfus de Belmeis, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Henricus de Ermenters, xx*s.*—j militem.

Hervi de Dokesworde, dimidiam marcam—tertiam [partem militis].

Ricardus de Scropes, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Willelmus de Windesores, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij milites.

Hugo de Say, x*s.* in hoc comitatu—dimidium militem.

Johannes Mautravers, xx*s.*—j militem.

Comes de Warwika, xlv*s.* in hoc comitatu—ij [milites] et quartam.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, x*s.* in hoc comitatu—dimidium militem.

Willelmus Longespeye, xs. in hoc comitatu—dimidium militem.

A.D. 1196–7. Ricardus de Orescoil, x*s.* in hoc comitatu—dimidium militem.

Ricardus de Sifregast, xx*s.*—j militem.

Willelmus de Vernun, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Adam de Port, xx*s.*—j militem.

Gerard de Caumpville, v*s.*—quartam partem.

Fo. 69d. Philippus de Sand[e]ville, xx*s.* de honore de Sciptone —j militem.

Ricardus de Oyli, x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Johannes de Hastinges, xx*s.*—j militem.

Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, lxx*s.*—iij [milites] et dimidium.

Henricus de Pinkeygni, lxx*s.*—iij [milites] et dimidium.

Isti sunt quieti per breve.

Herbertus filius Herberti, Henricus de Bohun, Willelmus de Roumara, Radulfus Musard, Comes Cestriæ, Willelmus de Boclande, Comes de Ferrariis, Radulfus de Somery.

Wigornia.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, xlix*l.* vj*s.*

Abbas Eveshamiæ, iiij*l.* x*s.*—iiij milites et dimidium.

Abbas Persoræ, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Abbas Westmonasterii, xv*l.*—xv milites.

Radulfus de Somery, iiij*l.* vj*s.* iiij*d.*

Willelmus de Bello Campo, vij*l.*—vij milites.

De militibus Episcopatus, scilicet, de Ricardo le Poer dimidium militem—dimidium [militem].[1]

Adam de Crumbe, j militem et dimidium—j [militem] et dimidium.[1]

Johannes de Estone—dimidium [militem].

Henricus de Novo Mercato, j militem.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

BUKINGHAMSIRA. BEDEFORDSIRA. A.D. 1196-7.

Hugo de Kahaingnes, *jm.*

Petrus Surriva,[1] x*s.*—dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Rogeri, iiij*s.*—quintam partem.

Hugo filius Alani, ij*s.* vj*d.*—viij^{am} partem.

Willelmus de Scirintone, xl*s.*—ij milites.

Herbertus de Bolebek, xx*s.*—j militem.

Henricus de Pinkeyni, xiij*l.* x*s.*—xiij milites et dimidium.

Johannes de Bidon, vj*l.* x*s.*—x milites et dimidium.

Radulfus de Sumery, ix*l.* xij*d.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

Advocatus de Betune, xj*s.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

Henricus de Novo Mercato, x*s.* in h[iis] comitat[ibus]—dimidium militem.

Henricus de Gironde, xl*s.* in h[iis] comitat[ibus]—ij milites.

Robertus Malduit, xxxv*s.* in hoc comitatu[1]—j militem et tres partes.

Walterus de Windesores, ix*l.* xx*d.* de medietate honoris qui fuit Willelmi de Windesores.

Albericus de Ver, x*l.*—x milites.

ISTI HABUERUNT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Simon de Bellocampo, Hamo filius Hamonis, Willelmus de Windesores, Comes Albemarlæ, Oliver de Aencurt, Willelmus Martel, Johannes de Builli, Comes Gloucestriæ, Comes Moretoniæ, Hugo de Bello Campo, Galfridus de Say, G[alfridus] filius Petri, Thomas Basset, Robertus de Kaioto, Johannes de Pratellis, Walterus de Hertewelle de j milite honoris Piperelli, Hugo de Haversham de j milite ejusdem honoris.

WARWIK. LEYCESTRESIRA.

Prior Coventriæ, x*l.*—x milites.

Comes Warwikæ, *cl.* et xlvj*s.* viij*d.*

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1196–7. Fulco filius Warini, xx*s.*—j militem.

Emma et Alicia de Donmartin, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus —j militem.

Dohun Bardulf, xliij*s.* in hiis comitatibus.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, v*s.* in hiis comitatibus—iiij^{am} partem.

Willelmus Grassus, dimidiam marcam in hiis comitatibus—tertiam partem.

Robertus de Haroucurt, xxv*s.* in hiis comitatibus.

Osbertus de Clintone, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus—j militem.

Radulfus de Sumery, v*s.* in hiis comitatibus—iiij^{am} partem.

Abbas Eveshamiæ, xxiij*s.* x*d.* in hiis comitatibus.

Johannes de Lymesye, xl*s.*, per H[ugonem] Bardulf —ij milites.

Willelmus de Muntbray, xxviij*s.* in hiis comitatibus.

Eutropius Hasteng, xx*s.*, per Radulfum Musard.

Willelmus de Albeyni, xxxiij*l.*

Idem in iij° [scutagio]; sed ibi Episcopus Coventrensis, xxv*l.* de fine ne transfretet et pro habendo scutagio suo de xxv militibus, scilicet, de xv militibus de scutagio Episcopatus; et de x de Prioratu Coventriæ; et pl[enam] habent quietantiam qui supra annotantur et non alii.

Lincolnesira.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, lx*l.*—lx milites.

Girardus de Campville, xvj*l.* de feodo Ricardi de Haia—xvj milites.

Hugo de Baiocis, xvj*l.* xviij*s.*

Baldewinus Wak, x*l.* ij*s.* vj*d.*

Lambert de Scoteygni, x*l.*—x milites.

Episcopus Dunholmensis, x*l.*—x milites.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, ciiij*s.* viij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Fo. 70. Robertus filius Roberti filii Herding, xxx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem et dimidium.

Ricardus de Pek, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem. A.D. 1196–7.

Robertus Camerarius, xxxij*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Wido de Laval, ij*m.* et dimidiam [marcam] in hoc comitatu.

Adam Paganellus, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Ricardus de Marinis, v*s.* in hoc comitatu—quartem partem [militis].

Johannes Malherbe, xx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem.

Comes de Ferrariis, xxx*s.* in hoc comitatu—j militem et dimidium.

Robertus de Boulers, lxx*s.* in hoc comitatu—iij [milites] et dimidium.

Petrus de Pratellis.[1]

Robertus de Gresle.[1]

Comes Albæ Marlæ.[1]

Hugo de Verly.[1]

Rogerus de Mortuo Mari.[1]

Isti habuerunt quietantiam per brevia.

Simon de Cancy, Walterus de Neville, Willelmus de Longo Campo, Oliver de Aencurt, Willelmus de Stoteville, Thomas de Arescy, Phelippus filius Roberti, Comes Cestriæ, Alexander Arsic, Hamon filius Hamonis, Willelmus de Roumare.[2]

Isti habuerunt quietantiam in tertio [scutagio].

Agnes de Percy, Comes Arundelliæ, Constabularius Cestriæ, Fulco Paganellus, Willelmus de Muntbray, Garin filius Geroldi, Comitissa Warwikæ, Robertus Marmiun, Robertus Gresle, Petrus de Pratellis.

Et dicitur in tertio Hervi Bagod debet x milites in hoc comitatu; Hugo de Baiocis finem fecit pro habendo scutagio suo de xx militibus.

---

[1] No figures are given in MS. or T. R.

[2] Herveius Bagod is omitted here.

A.D. 1196–7.

Cornubia.

Honor Comitis Reginaldi in Cornubia et Devonia, cxxxiiij*l.* xj*s.* viij*d.* de ccxv militibus et tertia parte [militis], feodorum militum suorum qui reddunt ad feodum Moretoniæ; de quibus, in perdonis Roberto de Cardinan, xliiij*l.* vij*s.* vj*d.* pro lxxj militibus de prædicto feodo; et Rogero de Valle Torta, lix milites de prædictis feodis; Roberto filio Baldewini, j militem; et in terra de Tyhidi, j militem; et in terra de Tredorac, j militem; Galfrido de Lascellis, ix milites; Henrico de la Pomeraye, j militem; Henrico filio Willelmi, iiij milites et dimidium.

Idem in tertio scutagio.

Devonesira.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xv*l.* x*s.*
Henricus de Ferrariis, iiij^{xx}xij*l.* xv*s.* viij*d.*
Abbas de Tavistokia, xvj*l.*
Willelmus de Tracy, xxv*l.* v*s.*
Ricardus Pipperellus, xv*s.*, per manus Vicecomitis.
Petrus Burdun, xx*s.*, per eundem.
Galfridus de Estre, xx*s.*
Henricus de Tylli, xiiij*l.* xv*s.* in hoc comitatu.
Willelmus de Monte Acuto, iiij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu.
Oliver de Tracy, xxviij*l.*
Henricus de Nonant, lv*l.* xviij*s.* vj*d.*
Willelmus de Braose, xxviij*l.*
Willelmus Revel, xx*s.*

Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.

Henricus de la Pomeray, Johannes de Torintone, Comes Willelmus de Vernun, Henricus de Novo Mercato.

Idem in tertio [scutagio], sed Willelmus de Torintone, xxix*l.*

STAFFORDSCIRA. A.D. 1196-7.

Episcopus Cestrensis, xv*l.*

Radulfus de Sumery, *l.* de feodo Gervasii Paganelli.[1]

Thomas de Verdun, xx*s.*

Hervi Bagot, lx*l.*

Hugo Pantulf, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Henricus de Oyli, dimidiam marcam in hoc comitatu.

Comes de Ferrariis.[2]

Willelmus de Breose.[2]

Idem in tertio [scutagio].

SUDSEXA.

Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit.

Honor de Arundelia, iiij^{xx}iiij*l.* x*s.*

Gilbertus de Aquila, xxj*l.* xvij*s.* vj*d.* de parvis feodis.

Comes de Augo, lxij*l.* x*s.*

Emugerus de Bohun,[3] lx*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Capellaria de Boseham, vij*l.*, per Vicecomitem.

Willelmus filius Ricardi de Kahaignes, xxxvij*s.* vj*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Norman de Normanville, iiij*s.* ij*d.* in hoc comitatu.

Rogerus de Tony, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu. Fo. 70 d.

Hawis de la Gyrche, xl*s.* de feodo de Wepeham.

Henricus de Percy, xxij*l.* x*s.*

Robertus Peverel, viij*s.* iiij*d.* de ij^{bus} partibus parvi feodi.

Willelmus de Belmeys, vj*l.*

Idem per omnia in tertio.

HERFORDE IN WALLIA.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xv*l.*

Walterus de Tormeylles,[4] ix*l.*

[1] *Sed habet quietantiam per breve.*—T. R.

[2] *Sic* in MS. and T. R.

[3] *Engelerus filius Franconis de Boun.*—T. R.

[4] *Sic* in MS.; rectius *Cormeilles.*

A.D. 1196–7. Willelmus de Breosa, xv*l.* de scutagio Johannis de Munemue.

Robertus de Champdos, xiij*l.* xl*d.*

Robertus de Ewias, xix*l.*

Hugo de Say, xxiij*l.*

Johannes de Kilpec, xxx*s.*

Walterus de Lascy, lj*l.*

Honor Adæ de Port, xxij*l.* xiij*s.*, per W[illelmum] de Breose.

Thomas et Robertus de Magene, iiij*s.*

Mathæus de Gamages, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu.

Johannes de Mahurdin, dimidiam marcam in hoc comitatu.

Reginaldus de Baalon, xx*s.*; ut in tertio.

Lancastria.

Honor Lancastriæ, lxxij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*, per Vicecomitem; de quibus in perdonis Theobaldo Pincernæ, lx*s.*; et Roberto Gresle, xij*l.*; Constabulario Cestriæ, viij*l.* x*s.*, sicut Vicecomes dicit.

Wiltesira.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xxxij*l.*

Walterus de Dunstanville, xxj*l.* iiij*s.* de xxj militibus et quinta de hiis quos Willelmus de Sanctæ Mariæ Ecclesia, qui custodit terras illius, potuit invenire; de quibus in perdono Ricardo de Heriet, xxx*s.*

Henricus de Bohon, xxx*l.* x*s.*

Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, c*s.*

Walterus Waleram, xx*l.*, præter scutagium v militum quos debet ad custodiam Castri Sarrisbiriæ.

Humpridus de Sancto Vigore, x*s.*

Elias Giffard, ix*l.*

Robertus de Mara, xx*s.*

Abbas Malmesbiriæ, lx*s.*

Elias Crok, xx*s.*

G[alfridus] filius Petri, xx*s.* in Cyriel. A.D. 1196-7.
Willelmus Blundus, xx*s.* in Lavintone.
Walterus de Clifford, x*s.* in hoc comitatu.
Robertus de Ponte Arche, ij*s.* in hoc comitatu.

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Comes Sarrisbiriæ, Henricus Hese, Willelmus de Rokella, Thomas de Horslega, Symon Wak, Herbert filius Herberti, Willelmus de Londonia, Fulco de Alno.

ISTI FINEM FECERUNT PRO PASSAGIO.

Walterus de Grenestede, pro j milite.
Robertus de Turreville, pro dimidio milite.
Galfridus Camerarius, pro j milite.
Robertus Giffard, pro j milite.

NORFOLC. SUFFOLC.

Willelmus de Donmartyn, xx*s.*
Rogerus de Kentewelle, x*l.*
Abbas de Hulmo, lxxviij*s.*
Willelmus de Colkirke, x*s.*
Walterus del Bek, xx*s.*
Episcopus Norwicensis, xl*l.*
Abbas Sancti Edmundi, xl*l.*
Odo de Danmartin, xx*s.*
Radulfus filius Ribaud, x*s.*
Gunnore de Valoniis, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus.
Walterus le Ver et Willelmus filius Oliveri, xx*s.* in hiis comitatibus.
Willelmus de Warenne, xiiij*l.* v*s.*
Walterus filius Roberti, xiiij*l.* x*s.* in hiis comitatibus.
Comes de Clara, cxxxij*l.* xiij*s.*
Rogerus le Bigod, cxxv*l.*
Symon de Abrincis, iiij*l.* xv*s.* per Vicecomitem.
Johannes de Rocheford, x*s.*, per eundem, in hiis comitatibus.

A.D. 1196–7. Fo. 71.

Honor de Eye, iiij^{xx}x*l.* x*s.*, per Vicecomitem[1]; de quibus in perdonis Roberto filio Rogeri, j*l.*[2] pro xj feodis, sicut Vicecomes[1] dicit; et Willelmo de Glanville, iiij*l.* x*s.*

Comes Arundelliæ, lxxvj*l.*

Hubertus de Rya, xxxv*l.*

Lucas de Debbeham, xl*s.*

Comes Conanus, xj*l.* j*m.*

ISTI HABENT QUIETANTIAM PER BREVIA.

Robertus filius Rogeri, Comes Warennæ, Willelmus Escorcheveille, Warinus filius Geroldi, Comes Cestriæ, Comes Albericus, G[alfridus] filius Petri, Philippus filius Roberti, Hugo Bardulfus.

EBORACSIRA.

Willelmus de Muntbray, iiij^{xx}viij*l.* v*d.*

Comes Warikæ, xv*l.* de parte sua de feodo Willelmi de Percy.

Willelmus Pagenellus, xv*l.*

Amprey de Cancy, c*s.*, per Hugonem Murdake.

Robertus filius Stephani, xxiij*s.* iiij*d.*

Gwido de Laval, xx*l.*

Rogerus de Cestria, xliij*l.* xv*s.*

Willelmus Fossard, xxxj*l.* x*s.*

Willelmus de Stoteville, viij*l.*

Agnes de Percy, xv*l.*

Eustachius de Vescy, xxiiij*l.* vj*s.* viij*d.*

Comes Albæ Marlæ, xiij*l.* de feodo Alexandri filii Geroldi.

Robertus de Gant, xij*l.* x*s.*

Willelmus filius Ranulfi, lxvj*s.*

Hamon filius Hamonis et Robertus de Boul[er]s, lviij*s.* de duabus partibus de Wartre.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *Custos.*—T. R. | [2] *Sic* in MS.; *xj*l.—T. R.

Comes Albæ Marlæ, xx*l.* de feodo Heudernesse. A.D. 1196–7.

Adam de Brus, xv*l.*

Robertus de Brus, c*s.* de feodo ejusdem Adæ.

Hamon filius Hamonis et Robertus de Boullers, x*m.* de partibus illis quas tenent de feodo Trussebut.

Agnes de Percy, cx*s.* de feodo Jocelin de Lovein.

Episcopus Dunhelmensis, x*l.* de militibus quos recognoscit,[1] et lx*l.* xviij*s.* iiij*d.* de militibus quos non recognoscit,[1] quia episcopatus est in manu Regis.

A[n]dreas de Filgeriis, xx*s.*

Robertus de Ros, vj*l.* xviij*d.*

Constancia Comitissa Britanniæ, cxl*l.* de c et xl militibus quos Thomas de Burgo senescallus ejusdem recognovit[2] pertinere ad honorem Britanniæ in Anglia.

EX ROTULIS ESCAETARUM.

Honor de Bentone.

Honor de Bentone, vij*l.* viij*s.*; et de quibus in perdono Thomæ de Lavertone in Somersete, xx*s.*

Sudsexa.

Honor de Arundel, iiij^{xx}iiij*l.* x*s.*

Oxonefordsira.

Thomas de Sancto Walerico, xviij*l.* in hoc comitatu.

Berkescira.

Honor de Walingeforde, c*l.* v*s.*

---

[1] *recongnoscit* in MS. | [2] *recongnovit* in MS.

A.D. 1199–1200. Fo. 71 d.

ANNUS PRIMUS REGIS JOHANNIS. SCUTAGIUM NORMANNIÆ ASSISUM AD II MARCAS.

Sudsexa.

Vicecomes [reddit compotum] de Capellaria de Boseham, x*l.* de vij militibus et dimidio, ut dicit.

Willelmus de Kahaignes, iij feoda de Mortone.

Norman de Normanville, tertiam partem [feodi] de Mortene.

Hawis de Guircha, iiij milites de feodo de Wepham.

Henricus de Percy, xlv*m.*

Willelmus de Belmes, xij*m.*

Episcopus Cicestrensis, viij*m.*

Comes Arundelliæ, Gillebert de Aquila, Willelmus Briwerre, Willelmus de Breosa, Comes de Augo, Robertus Peverel.[1]

Lincolnesira.

Episcopus Lincolniensis, cxx*m.*

Simon de Cancy, x*m.*

Lambert de Scoteigny, xx*m.*

Episcopus Donholmensis, xx*m.*

Walterus de Neville, xxiij*m.*

Robertus de Boulers, vij*m.*

Guido de Laval, ij*m.* iij*s.* vj*d.*

Ricardus de Marines, dimidiam marcam.

Adam Paganellus, ij*m.*

Baldewinus Wak, xx*m.* et xl*d.*

Quieti per brevia.

Phelippus filius Roberti, Alexander Arsic, Eustachius de Vescy, Comes de Ferrariis, Thomas de Arscy, Walterus de Ely, Willelmus de Longo Campo, G[erardus] de Ganville,[2] Johannes Malherbe, Robertus Camerarius,

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *Isti habent quietantiam per brevia.*—T. R.

[2] Rectius *Canville.*

Episcopus Londoniensis, Ricardus de Sanford, Willelmus de Albeyni, Petrus de Pratell[is], Robertus Gresle, Comes de Albemarle, Abbas de Burgo, Robertus de Haya, Radulfus filius Stephani, Willelmus Pincerna, Warinus filius Geroldi, Robertus de Ros, Robertus Marmion, Radulfus Foliot, Johannes Belet. A.D. 1199–1200.

Cantebruge. Hunti[n]gdonesira.

Nigellus de Lovetot, xx*m.*

Ricardus filius Garneri Engayne, *j m.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

Robertus de Gymeges, ij*m.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

Robertus Picot, ij*m.*

Radulfus Picot, iiij*m.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

Abbas Rameseiæ, viij milites.

Willelmus de Say, ij*m.* et dimidiam in hoc comitatu.[1]

Albreda Trussebut, xliij*s.* v*d.*

Vicecomes, xxxij*m.* de honore Britanniæ in h[iis] comitat[ibus].

Quieti per brevia.

Episcopus Ely, Gillebert Peche, Willelmus de Scalariis, Hugo de Scalariis, Albricus de Ver, Henricus de Stoteville de feodo Leoniæ de Stoteville, Gilbertus de Tame, Adam de Port, Elias de Bello Campo, Comes Arundelliæ.

Staffordsira.

Episcopus Cestrensis, xx*l.*[2]

Hervi Bagod quater, xx*l.*

Hugo Pantulfus, iiij*m.*

Henricus de Oyli, viij*s.* x*d.* ob.

Comes de Ferrariis, ij*m.* et dimidiam de j milite et quarta [parte] in hoc comitatu.

[1] *Sic* in MS.

[2] An erasure in MS.

A.D. 1199–1200.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Comes Cestriæ, Radulfus de Sumery, Thomas de Verdone, Willelmus filius Alani, viij*m.* in hoc comitatu.

WILTESIRA.

Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, lxiiij*m.*
Henricus de Bohun, lxj*m.*
Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, x*m.*
Walterus Waleran, l*m.*
Walterus de Grimstede, ij*m.*
Garnerus de Lisures, ij*m.*
Hunfridus de Sancto Vigore, j*m.*
Fo. 72. Elias Giffard, xviij*m.*
Willelmus de Rokella, ij*m.*
Henricus Hose, ij*m.*
Abbas Malmesbiriæ, vj*m.* in hoc comitatu.
G[alfridus] filius Petri, ij*m.* in Ciriel.
Simon Wak, ij*m.*
Willelmus Briwerre, j*m.*
Herbertus filius Herberti, ij*m.*
Fulco de Alno, j militem in hoc comitatu.
Henricus Hose de Hertynge, ij*m.* de scutagio de Standene.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Comes Sarrisbiriæ, Episcopus Londoniensis, Comes Leycestriæ, Robertus de Tresgoz, Garinus filius Geroldi, Alanus Basset, Petrus de Stokes, Ingeram de Pratellis, Elias Croc, Thomas filius Henrici, Robertus Giffard, Robertus de Mara.

CORNUBIA.

Honor Comitis Reginaldi in Cornubia et Devonia qui reddunt scutagium ad feodum Moretoniæ, ccxv [milites] et tertia pars [militis]; de quibus Robertus

de Cardinan tenet lxxj milites, et Rogerus de Valle Torta lix milites. A.D. 1199-1200.

Galfridus de Lascellis, ix*m.*
Stephanus Flandrensis, vij*m.*
Ricardus filius Ricardi, j*m.*
Johannes de Monte Acuto, j*m.*
Willelmus Basset, j*m.*
Faramus de Walebrang, j*m.*
Gervasius de Hornicote, ij*m.* et dimidiam.
Alanus Bloy, ij*m.*
Nicholaus de Middeltone, iiij*m.*
Radulfus de Rupe, ij*m.*
In Thihidin, dominico Regis, j*m.*

Devonia.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xxxj milites.
Idem, xxxv*m.* vs. viij*d.* de hiis quos non recognoscit.[1]
Abbas de Tavistokia, xxxij*m.*
Henricus de Tracy, l*m.* et dimidiam.
Honor de Bamptone, xv*m.*
Hugo Peverel, xx*s.*
Galfridus del Estre, ij*m.*
Petrus Burdun, ij*m.*
Willelmus de Novo Mercato, j*m.*
Comes Willelmus de Ripariis, xxx*m.*
Willelmus de Monte Acuto, vs. iiij*d.*
Willelmus filius Martini, x*m.*
Willelmus Revel, ij*m.*
Willelmus de Breosa, lv*m.*

Quieti per brevia.

Henricus de Ferrariis, Henricus de Pomeray, Johannes de Tovertone,[2] Oliver[us] de Tracy, Henricus de Tilly.

---

[1] *recongnoscit* in MS. | [2] *Sic* in MS.; rectius *Torintone.*

A.D. 1199–1200.

NOTINGEHAM. DEREBY.

Honor Peverelli, iiij^{xx}*l.* j*m.*, per Vicecomitem; de quibus—

Comes de Ferrariis, iij feoda in Becham.
Johannes de Monte Acuto, j feodum.
Hugo de Insula, iij feoda.
Ricardus de Vernun, j feodum et dimidium.
Eustachius de Moretone, iij feoda.
Henricus de Gray, vj feoda.
In Emintone et Mallintone, ij feoda.
In Oxonefordsira et in Bartone in Suffolcia, j feodum.
Et in Torindone in Essexa, j feodum.
Et j feodum in Keilmerse in Norhamtesira de feodo Yvonis de Heriz.
Et j feodum et dimidium in Deresburgo de feodo Willelmi de Sancto [Patricio].
Et j feodum in Hoggesawe quod Hospitalarii tenent.
Et in Bolesovra, ij feoda et dimidium.

Nota, quod in compoto honoris datur comiti de Bar *cs.* de quarta parte in Bolesovra, et amodo totum.

Honor de Tykehulle, cxxj*m.* et dimidiam.
Item Rogerus de Buron, x feoda.
Willelmus de Chahurcis, j feodum.

Fo. 72 b.

CUMBERLANDE.

Ranulfus de Vallibus, ij milites.
Willelmus de Brus, j militem.

WESTMERLANDE.

G[ilbertus] filius Reinfridi, ij milites.

HERFORDE IN WALLIA.

Episcopus Herfordiensis, xxx milites.
Walterus de Cormeiles, viij*l.* præter iij feoda.

A.D. 1199–1200.

Robertus de Chamdos, xvij*l.* xj*s.* j*d.*
Johannes de Kilpec, xl*s.*
Reginaldus de Baalon, j*m.*
Willelmus de Breosa, xxx*m.* pro Johanne de Munemue.
Feodum Adæ de Port, xlv*m.*
Thomas et Robertus in Magene, v*s.* iiij*d.*
Mathæus de Gamages, ij*m.*
Johannes de Maordin, viij*s.* x*d.*
Gillebert Tallebot.[1]

Quieti per brevia.

Willelmus de Breosa, Walterus de Lascy, Hugo de Say, Robertus de Ewias.
Robertus de Champdos, xij*l.* xvij*s.* ix*d.*

Oxonefordschira.

Gerard de Campville, j militem.
Rogerus de Linguiure, j militem de honore Glouces-triæ.
Henricus de Oyli [lxv*m.*].[2]
Radulfus filius Wigani, quartam [partem militis].
Walkelin Hareng, j feodum.
Ernaldus de Maune, dimidium feodum de feodo Ricardi de Oyli.
Ricardus filius Nigelli, j feodum.
Guido de Laval, j feodum et dimidium, per Vicecomitem.
Robertus de Harecurt, viij*s.*[3] x*d.* ob. in hoc comitatu.
Osbertus de Heddendone, xl*d.*
Hervi Bagot, j feodum in hoc comitatu.
Rogerus de Cestria, dimidium feodum in hoc comitatu.

---

[1] Underlined for deletion in T. R.
[2] Blank in MS., supplied from T. R.
[3] *viij f*[*eoda*] in MS.

A.D. 1199-1200. Sewale filius Fulcheri, dimidium feodum in hoc comitatu.

Rogerus de Linguiure, j feodum.

Walterus de Vernun, j feodum in hoc comitatu.

Gervasius Paynel, j feodum in Chiselhamtone, et dimidium feodum in Berefort Olaf, et ls. de feodo Radulfi Musard.

In Rollendricht, dimidium feodum.

In Liwugstane, quartam [partem militis].

Michael Belet, j feodum in hoc comitatu.

Rogerus de Sancto Johanne, j feodum.

Robertus Marmiun, j feodum.

Radulfus Foliot, j feodum et dimidium.

Ranulfus de Precariis, dimidium feodum.

Robertus de Lingeure, dimidium feodum.

Henricus de Ermenters, dimidium et quartam [partem].

Quieti per brevia.

Warinus filius Geroldi, Episcopus Londoniensis, Willelmus Marscallus, Willelmus de Honez,[1] Alexander Arsic, Henricus de Nonant, Robertus Gresle, Stephanus de Hamptone.

Honor de Walingeford, cc*m.* et dimidiam.

Dorsete. Somersete.

Abbatia Glastoniæ, quater xx*m.*

Philippus de Columbers, xx*m.*

Nicholaus Meriet, j feodum.

Idem, j feodum et dimidium de feodo de Monte Acuto.

Willelmus de Millers, iij*m.*

Willelmus de Erlega, j feodum.

Henricus de Cultura, j feodum.

Abbas Abbedesbery, j feodum.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

Abbas de Cerne, ij feoda. A.D. 1199–1200.

Johannes Pulein, j feodum de parvis feodis.

Abbatissa Sancti Edwardi, vj feoda et dimidium.

Philippus filius Roberti, j feodum.

Abbas Sireburniæ, iij*m.* vs. iiij*d.*

Episcopus Bathoniensis, xx feoda.

Abbas Muchelneiæ, j feodum.

Fulco de Alno, j feodum.

Willelmus de Monte Acuto, vj feoda et quartam [partem]. Fo. 73.

Johannes filius Ricardi, cxvj*s.* viij*d.* de feodis Moretoniæ.

Wandregisilus de Corcellis et Hasculfus de Solenni, j feodum.

Robertus de Novo Burgo, xv feoda de parte feodi Rogeri Arundelliæ quod ad eum pertinet.

Radulfus Lovel, xj feoda et dimidium, et vj feoda et dimidium de Monte Acuto.

Ricardus de Atrio, iiij feoda et tertiam [partem] de Monte Acuto.

Willelmus de Moyun, quater xx*m.* et ij[*m*].

Willelmus de Mora, j feodum.

Willelmus filius Johannis de Harpetre, viij*s.* iiij*d.*

Willelmus Malet, xxxj*l.* vs. x*d.*

Willelmus Martel, vj feoda et j feodum et dimidium de Monte Acuto.

Robertus Belet, j feodum.

Willelmus de Chahaignes, iij feoda.

Willelmus filius Martini, v feoda et dimidium, sicut Vicecomes dicit.

Robertus Malherbe, Thomas filius Briani, Willelmus Aus[turcarius], j feodum.

Quieti per brevia.

Alvredus de Lincolnia, Garinus filius Geroldi, Ricardus filius Pagani, Henricus Tylli, Johannes de Monte Acuto, Hubertus de Burgo.

A.D. 1199–1200.

WARWIKA. LEYCESTRESIRA.

Prior Coventriæ, xx*m.*
Fulco filius Garini, ij*m.*
Emma et Alicia de Donmartin, ij*m.*
Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, dimidiam marcam.
Willelmus Grassus, viij*s.* x*d.* et ob.
Robertus de Haroucurt, ij*m.* et dimidiam.
Osbertus de Clintone, ij*m.*
Abbas Eveshamiæ, ij*m.* vj*s.* vj*d.*
Johannes de Lymesie, iiij*m.*, per H[ugonem] Bardulfum.
Radulfus Musard, ij*m.* in hoc comitatu.[1]

ISTI ANNOTANTUR SINE NUMERO DENARIORUM.

Comes David, Radulfus filius Wigani, Wido de Diva, Comites de Ferrariis et Albæ Marlæ, Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, Gillebert Basset, Willelmus de Sancto Johanne, Willelmus de Belmes, Henricus Falconarius, Robertus Marmiun, Willelmus de Albeygni.

Hii omnes inveniuntur in rotulo anni vij annotati inter quietos de primo scutagio Regis Ricardi nec Vicecomes pro eis aliquid reddidit, nec brevia de adquietatione eorum ostendit, nec Barones certificavit de quot feodis debeat respondere in hiis comitatibus.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Comes de Warrenna, Willelmus filius Hamonis, Willelmus de Longo Campo, Ricardus Basset, Hugo de Ferrariis, Comes Cestriæ, Radulfus de Sumery, Willelmus de Monbray, Henricus de Stoteville, Willelmus de Haroucurt, Willelmus de Cantilupo, Doum Bardulfus, Radulfus filius Stephani, Willelmus de Ferrariis, Garinus filius Geroldi.

[1] *Sic* in MS.

BERKESCHIRA. A.D. 1199–1200.

Willelmus Achard, ij*m.*
Abbas Abbendoniæ, xl*l.*
Halnad de Sifrewast, ij*m.*
Torold de Pesemere, j*m.*
Herbertus filius Herberte, iiij*m.*
Ricardus de Scropes, iiij*m.*
Willelmus de Windesores, ij*m.*
Ricardus de Oyli, j*m.*
Comes de Ferrariis, viij*l.* j*m.*
Hugo de Say, j*m.*
Johannes de Hastinge, iiij*m.*
Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, cxj*s.* ij*d.*
Henricus de Pinkeygni, iiij*l.* j*m.*
Hugo de Sotebroc, ij*m.*
Johannes Belet, vs. et iiij*d.*
Johannes Vis de Lou, j*m.*
Willelmus de Novo Mercato, ij*m.*
Walterus de Lascy, ij*m.*
Walterus de Clifford, ij*m.*
Adam de Port, de Wallia, ij*m.*
Abbas de Hida, ij*m.*
Radulfus Musard, iiij*m.*
Gerardus de Camville, dimidiam marcam.
Gillebert Peche, j*m.* de honore de Brunne.
Radulfus de Scoville, j*m.*
Robertus de Gant, ij*m.*
Fo. 73 d. Johannes de Erleye, ij*m.*
Vicecomes, j*m.* de honore Willelmi Longesp[eye] et viij*s.* xj*d.* de honore Staffordiæ; et j*m.* de honore Gloucestriæ.
Osbertus Waspaile, vs. iiij*d.*
Episcopus Wintoniensis, ij*m.*
Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, j*m.*
Comes Willelmus de Vernun, xxx*m.* in hoc comitatu.
Henricus de Oyli, dimidiam marcam.
Comes de Warwika, cvj*s.* viij*d.* in hoc comitatu,

A.D. 1199–1200.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Hunfridus de Bohun, Ricardus de Siffrewast, Radulfus de Somery, Thomas de Sancto Walerico, Comes Albæ Marlæ, Hubertus de Burgo, Willelmus Marscallus, Comes Leycestriæ, Willelmus de Cantilupo.

NORFOLC. SUFFOLC.

Comes de Clare, ciiij^{xx}ix*l.* iij*s.* viij*d.*

De honore de Wermegay, xix[*l.*].[1]

G[alfridus] filius Petri, xiiij*m.* de scutagio.

Manasser de Danmartin, ij*m.*

Albericus de Danmartin, ij*m.*; de quibus Comes Boloniæ debet respondere, sicut Vicecomes dicit.

Rogerus de Kentwelle, xx*m.*

Feoda Huberti de Rya, lxx*m.*

Abbas de Hulmo, ciiij*s.*

Willelmus de Collecherche, j*m.*

Episcopus Norwicensis, iiij^{xx}*m.*[2]

Odo de Danmartin, ij*m.*

Honor de Eye, ciiij^{xx}j*m.*

Willelmus Escorcheveyle, ij*m.*

Johannes de Rocheford, j*m.*

Walterus de Ver, ij*m.*, cum Willelmo filio Olyveri.

Radulfus filius Ribaut, j*m.*

Phillipus de Donmartin, ij*m.* in hiis comitatibus.

Robertus filius Symonis de Saham, j*m.*

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Episcopus Elyensis, Robertus filius Rogeri, Robertus filius Walteri, Comes Arundelliæ, Galfridus de Cestria, Comes Leycestriæ, Abbas de Sancto Edmundo, Comes Rogerus.

---

[1] Blank in MS., supplied from T. R.

[2] Altered from *iiij*m.

NORHAMPTESCIRA. A.D. 1199–1200.

Hugo de Lysures.[1]
Walterus de Draytone, j*m.*
Ricardus Foliot, xxvij*m.* et dimidiam.
Honor Roberti de Chokes, xx*l.*
Johannes de Bidan, ij*m.* et dimidiam.
Eustachius de Watforde.[1]
Robertus Marinun, j*m.*
Prior Coventriæ, x*s.*
Comes Albericus, xl*s.*
Bernard de Baillol, ij*m.*
Matilldis Giffard, j*m.*
Robertus de Tresgoz, iij*m.*
Honor de Berkamstede, dimidiam marcam.
Com[itissa] Arundelliæ, xx*s.*
Vicecomes, iiij*l.* xiiij*s.* ix*d.* de feodis Moretoniæ, quæ Vicecomes invenire potuit in hoc comitatu.
Henricus de Pinkeygni, x*l.* iiij*d.* in hoc comitatu.
Henricus de Oyli, ij*m.*
Gilbertus de Gant, ij*m.*[2] in hoc comitatu.
Hugo de Lysures, dimidiam marcam præter dominicum suum.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Comes de Ferrariis, Robert de Curtenay, Ricardus de Sanford de feodo Hugonis de Baiocis, Abbatia de Burgo, Ricardus Basset, Willelmus de Homez, Willelmus de Mu[n]tbray, Johannes de Monte Acuto, Comes Warwikæ de feodo quod Walterus de Caumville tenet de eo.

GLOUCESTRESCHIRA.

Robertus de Berkelay, x*m.*
Patricius de Chaorcis, xxv*m.* de xij militibus et dimidio.

[1] *habet pacem de scutagio suo per finem quam fecit [de xl*s.*] quæ infra annotatur.*—T. R.

[2] *iiij*l. in T. R.

A.D. 1199–1200.

Willelmus de Novo Mercato, xxxiij*m.* xs. iiij*d.*
Otvel de Sudleye, vj*m.*
Abbas Wicumbæ, iiij*m.*
Walterus de Cormeilles, xl*s.* in hoc comitatu.
Fulco filius Garini, j*m.* in hoc comitatu.
Herbertus filius Herberti, vij*m.* in hoc comitatu.
Almaricus Dispensator, j*m.* in hoc comitatu.
Fo. 74. Walterus Giffard, ij*m.* in hoc comitatu.
Willelmus de Kahaygnes, xx*s.*

Quieti per brevia.

Rogerus de Berkelay, Henricus de Bohun, Galfridus de Esseleyge, Radulfus filius Stephani, Alard Flandrensis.

Ibidem, honor de Gloucestria.

Eboracschira.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xl*m.*
Willelmus de Mo[n]tbray, clxxvj*m.* et dimidiam.
Comitissa Warwikæ, xx*l.*
Walterus de Cancy, x*m.*
Willelmus Paganellus, xxx*m.*
Guido de Laval, xl*m.*
Robertus de Turnh[am], lxiij*m.*
Willelmus de Stoteville, xvj*m.*
Robertus de Gant, xxv*m.*
Agnes de Percy, xx*l.*
Willelmus filius Ranulfi, iiij*l.* viij*s.* x*d.*
Vicecomes, viij*l.* xj*s.* vij*d.* de scutagio duarum partium feodi de Wartre quas Willelmus de Albeinaco et Robertus de Boulers habent.
Vicecomes, xj*m.* de feodo Jocelini de Lovann.
Episcopus Donholmensis, xx*m.*
Andreas de Filgeriis, ij*m.*
Comitissa Brittanniæ, cciiij^{xx}*m.* de cxl militibus quos Thomas de Burgo quondam Senescallus Comitissæ re-

cognovit[1] pertinere ad honorem Comitis Britanniæ in Anglia. A.D. 1199–1200.

Vicecomes, xiij*l.* dimidiam marcam de scutagio honoris de Tykehulle.

Surreye.

Nichil.

Kent.

Abbas Sancti Augustini, xxx*m.*

Robertus de Dovra, xxviij*m.*

Vicecomes, xvij*m.* de honore Peverelli in hoc comitatu, quos invenire potuit.

Cecilia Comitissa Herfordiæ, xxix*m.* et dimidiam de xiiij militibus et dimidio de parte sua de honore Walteri de Meduana.

Eadem, xv*m.*

Willelmus de Barmolinges, cujus feodum fuit Roberti de Crevequer quod modo est in manu Regis, ij*m.*

Hugo Bardulf, ij*m.*

Quieti per brevia.

Galfridus de Say, Robertus de Crevequor, Simon de Abrincis, Adam de Port, Comes Leycestriæ, Comes Albemarlæ, Comes de Augo.

Lancastria.

Vicecomes de quater xx, xvj*l.* viij*s.* x*d.* de scutagio honoris Lancastriæ.

Salopeschira.

Willelmus filius Alani, lv*m.*

Hugo de Bosco et Johannes de Kiliec,[2] x*m.* de feodo Herberti de Chasteleun.

---

[1] *recongnovit* in MS.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1199–1200. Honor Galfridi de Ver xviij*m.*, per Willelmum de Boterellis.

Vicecomes, x*l.* de feodo de Clone.

Wido Extraneus, xx*s.*

Johannes Extraneus, xl*s.*

Hugo de Pichford, xl*s.*

Walterus de Witefeld, ij*m.* cujus quarta pars feodi est in dominico suo.

Robertus Corbet, x*m.*

Hugo Pantulf, vj*m.* in hoc comitatu.

Vicecomes, vj*m.* viij*d.* de feodo Gerardi de Tornay.

Robertus de Boulers, vj*m.* in hoc comitatu.

Ingeram de Pratellis, j*m.* de feodo Walteri de Donstanville in hoc comitatu.[1]

Wigornia.

Fo. 74 d. Episcopus Wigorniensis, lxv*l.* xv*s.* vj*d.* ob.; et in terris quas Rex tenet, vj*m.*

Abbas de Evesham, ix*m.*

Abbas Persoræ, iiij*m.*

Abbas Westmonasterii, xxx*m.*

Et in terra de Stoke quam Rex tenet de feodo Abbatiæ, ij*m.*

Willelmus de Bello Campo, xiiij*m.*

Quieti per brevia.

Walterus de Lascy, Henricus de Longo Campo, Willelmus Marscallus, Comes de Warwika, Radulfus de Somery, Rogerus de Tony, Hugo de Ferrariis, Comes Albæ Marlæ.

Essexa. Hertford.

Thomas de Camville, ij*m.* in hoc comitatu.[2]

Willelmus de Reymes, xvj*m.*

---

[1] *De feodo* begins a new paragraph in the MS.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1199–1200.

Willelmus de Helyon, xx*m.*
Ricardus de Reymes, xx*m.*
G[alfridus] filius Petri, ciiij^{xx}xvii*m.*
Abbas de Sancto Albano, xij*m.*
Osbertus de Mara, j*m.*
Vicecomes, iiij[*m*] de scutagio Henrici de Valoniis.
Robertus de Hastinges, v*m.* in hiis comitatibus.
Willelmus de Cloville, ij*m.*
Willelmus de Sancto Claro, iij*m.*
Simon Peche, dimidiam marcam.
Rogerus Tallebot, v*m.*
Willelmus filius Ranulfi, x*s.*
Eustachius de Baillol, iiij*m.*
Godefridus de Loveyn, ij*m.* de feodo Radulfi de Cornhulle.
Robertus Monachus, ij*m.*
Ernaldus de Mondeville, j*m.*
Vicecomes, j*m.* de feodo Rogeri de Gramaville [1] in hiis comitatibus.
Idem, x*m.* pro Huberto de Anestie in hiis comitatibus.
Idem, de iiij*m.* de Radulfo de Newelande.
Idem, j*m.* de Petro de Ripariis.
Idem, j*m.* de Johanne de Stebinges.
Idem, vj*m.* de hæredibus Gileberti Mauduit, de feodo Peverelli de Londonia, sicut Vicecomes dicit.
Idem, xxxviij*s.* de Willelmo Fauceillon.
Idem, xx*s.* de Willelmo de Boseville.
Idem, iiij*m.* de Cristeshalle.
Idem, vj*m.* de Gilleberto de Lascy.
Idem, ij*m.* de Arnaldo de Cortone.
Idem, iij*m.* de Briano filio Radulfi.
Idem, iiij*l.* de Eutropio de Merke.
Idem, iiij*l.* de Milone, Jordano et Serlone de Elmedene.
Idem, ij*m.* de Willelmo de Witsand.

[1] Rectius *Cramaville.*

A.D. 1199–1200.

Idem, ij*m.* de Roberto filio Willelmi.
Idem, ij*s.* viij*d.* de Hervi de Ulvestone.
Idem, ij*m.* de Radulfo de Ou.
Idem, dimidiam marcam de Roberto de Fecham.
Idem, xxviij*s.* de Ricardo filio Ranulfi.
Idem, xliij*s.* iiij*d.* de Roberto de Lanburne.
Idem, ij*m.* de Radulfo Pirot.[1]
Idem, ij*m.* de Eme de Cantilupo.
Idem, j*m.* de Mathæo Montel.
Idem, iiij*s.* v*d.* de Galfrido Maudut.
Idem, ij*m.* de Hugone Wischard.
Idem, vs. iiij*d.* de Hugone de Tylleby.
Idem, xl*s.* de Willelmo de Bosseville.

A.D. 1201–12. Fo. 75.

SCUTAGIA INCIPIENTIA ANNO II° REGIS JOHANNIS ET COMPLETA IN XIII°.[2]

Kent.

Abbas Sancti Augustini, xv milites.

Willelmus de Moristone, j militem in Middeltone ut xiij° [rotulo].

Willelmus de Barmelinges, j militem.

Philippus de Girunde, iij milites de honore Peverelli de Londonia.

Comitissa de Clare, ix milites ut iiij°.

Thomas de Camville, iij milites de honore Bononiæ.

Rogerus de Cramaville, iij milites de honore Peverelli.

Herbertus Deu Enemi, quartam [partem].

Rogerus filius Jocelini.[3]

Radulfus de Boclande, quartam [partem] ut v°.

Simon de Abrincis, xxj milites et dimidium ut iiij°.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

[2] This heading was originally written in chalk for rubrication, but was subsequently copied in ink.

[3] *Sic* in MS.; *Herebertus Deu Enemi et Rogerus filius Joscelini debent x solidos ne transfretarent.* —C. R.

C[ecilia] Comitissa Herfordiæ, xiiij milites et dimidium ut iiij°. A.D. 1201–12.

Heredes Willelmi filii Heltonis, iij milites et dimidium; iiij^to.

Joslanus de Neville, j militem in Sornes ut v°.

Thomas filius Bernardi, j militem ut ij°. In v°, dimidium [militem]. In xiij°, dimidium [militem].

Quieti per brevia.

Per brevia in II°. Robertus de Crevequer, Alexander Arsic, Hugo Bardulf, Robertus de Gadtone, Warinus filius Geroldi, Foberd de Dovra, Galfridus de Say.

Adaucta ex IIII° et V°. Robertus de Septem Vallibus, j militem. In v°, j et vj^tam partem de Baronia Willelmi filii Hultonis et dimidium [militem] de honore Gloucestriæ.

V^us. Willelmus de Gisney, j militem.

Henricus Major Lundoniæ, ij milites.

Hugo Oysel, j militem in Bochtone.

Heres Amfridi de Cancy, j militem.

Ex XIII°. Robertus de Crevequer, xiiij milites.

Reginaldus de Cornhulle, j militem in Kingesdone.

Foberdus de Dovra, xiiij milites de veteri et j de novo [feffamento].

Willelmus de Montchanesi, xxix milites et j de novo.

Falco de Bereaute, iij [milites] de feodo Maminot.

Idem, xv [milites] de feodo Ingeram Patric.

Com[itissa] de Clare, xxij milites et dimidium et terciam [partem] in hoc comitatu.

Galfridus de Say, xxvij [milites] de veteri et j de novo.

Willelmus de Ros, vij milites.

Gillebertus de Clare, vj milites et dimidium de maritagio matris suæ.

A.D. 1201-12. Custos Archiepiscopatus reddit compotum de iiij^{xx} et iiij militibus et tribus, j militis partibus.

Serjantiæ. Robertus filius Willelmi ut iiij°, Willelmus de Bec ut v°.

Eustacius de Burne ut ibidem, Robertus de Hurst[1] ut ibidem.

BUKINGEHAM. BEDEFORDSIRA.

Heres Radulfi de Hodeng, iiij milites et dimidium, et xvj^{am} partem de medietate feodi Walteri de Windesores.

Willemus Marscallus, xliij milites de honore Comitis Giffardi.

Alanus Basset, j militem in Wicumbe de novo.

Willelmus de Kenesworthe, xxvij^{am} [partem].

Galfridus de Costentine, dimidium militem in Suttone de feodo Willelmi de Homez.

Walterus de Windesores, ix milites et xij partem de medietate honoris Willelmi de Windsores.[2]

Johannes de Bidon, v milites et quartam et xxxij^{am}. In viij°, xj milites et dimidium.

III°. Emme de Pery,[3] j militem.

Sibilla de Reymes,[4] ij milites.

Petrus de Newintone, j militem. In v°, dimidium in Draytone et alias iij partes [militis].

Johannes de Carum, ij milites.

IIII°. Henricus de Clintone, iiij milites.

Herebertus de Bolebec, j militem ut viij°.[2]

Johannes de Pabaham, j militem. In v°, quartam [partem].

---

[1] *Hæres Roberti de Hurst.*—T. R.

[2] Interlineated in MS.

[3] *Percy.*—H.

[4] *de Fiesnes.*—C. R.

Walterus de Hertwelle, j militem de honore Piperelli.[1] A.D. 1201–12.

Willelmus Hose, j militem.

Willelmus de Sirintone, ij milites ut viij°.[2]

Milo de Bello Campo, j militem et dimidium.

Robertus filius Rogeri, quintam partem [militis].

Advocatus de Bethume, dimidium militem et xx^{am} [partem].[2]

Ricardus de Baseville, quartam [partem].

Nicholaus filius Bernardi, quartam [partem].

Galfridus filius Galfridi, j militem.

Hamo de Gironde, ij milites.[2]

Ricardus de Bello Campo, j militem.

Radulfus de Sumery, ix milites et xx[^{am}] [partem] de honore Piperelli in hiis comitatibus.[2]

Hugo de Heversham, j militem et dimidum.

Hugo de Kahanis, ij partes [militis].

Alexander filius Ricardi, j militem.

Ysabella de Clinton, j militem. In v°, per serjanteriam.

Petrus de Sudrive, dimidium militem.

Henricus de Pinkeni, xvij milites et dimidium et quartam et quintam [partem]. In viij° xxj [milites] et dimidium.

Robertus Maudut, iij milites et dimidium. In xiij°, j militem et iij partes.

Matilldis de Bussey, j militem.

Albr[eda] de Jarpenville finivit pro scutagio et serjanteria.

Elyas de Ginant, quintam partem [militis].

Quieti per brevia.

Willelmus de Kahains, de j milite; Robertus de Ros, de iiij militibus; Johannes de Wahulle, de xxvij militibus; Willelmus de Homez, de j milite; Comes

[1] *de honore Piperelli*, a later insertion in MS.

[2] Interlineated in MS.

A.D. 1201-12 Cestriæ, de iiij^{or} [militibus] et dimidio; Elyas de Bello Campo, de ij militibus et dimidio; Radulfus Musard, de dimidio.

Subsequentes sine determinatione, scilicet: Willelmus de Bellomonte, Ricardus filius Nigelli, Thomas de Sancto Walerico, Archiepiscopus Cantuariensis, Hugo de Bello Campo, Comes Leycestriæ, Episcopus Norwicensis, et plures alii quorum nomina annotantur infra xiij°.

BEDEFORDSIRA.—Willelmus de Cantilupo habuit manerium de Eytone per cartam Regis Johannis datam xiiij° die Aprilis anno vj° per servicium unius feodi militis.[1]

Fo. 75 d. V°. Philippus de Girunde, iij milites hic et in Kent.

Robertus de Gimeges, dimidium militem.

XIII^{us}. Robertus de Ver cum una filiarum Walteri de Bolebec, v milites.

Herbertus de Bolebec, j militem.

Ricardus filius Nigelli, j militem et dimidium.

Comes de Clara, xliij milites de medietate honoris Giffardi.

Baldewinus de Betune,[2] iij milites de novo [feffamento].

Simon filius Eliæ, j militem.

Robertus de Albeny, xxv milites.

Willelmus de Bellocampo, xlv milites, v^{am} et x^{am} [partem].

Elias de Bellocampo, v milites.

Hugo de Bellocampo, ij milites octava parte minus de feodo Osberti Martel.

Willelmus filius Hamonis, xv milites.

Willelmus de Siryntone, ij milites.

---

[1] Inserted in a later hand at the bottom of the folio.

[2] *Baldewinus de Betun Comes de Albemarla.*—T. R.

Simon de Wahulle, xxvij milites per G[alfridum] filium Petri. A.D. 1201–12.

Johannes de Builli, j militem et dimidium.

Donecan de Lacelles, iiij milites et dimidium et xvj^{am} [partem] de feodo Walteri de Windesores.

Willelmus de Windesores, ix milites et viij^{am} [partem] de medietate honoris Walteri de Windesores.

Herbertus de Bolebec ut ij^{o}, Gillebertus de Mepertes-halle ut iiij^{o}, Walterus Mantel ut iiij^{o}, Ysabella de Clintone ut v^{to}, Albreda de Gerpenville ut iiij^{o}.

Norfolke. Suffolke.

Comes de Clare, cxvj milites, iiij^{am} et viij^{am} [partem]. In xiij^{o}, cxxxj milites et duas partes iij^{am} [partem], iiij^{am}, viij^{am}, ix^{am}, x^{am}, et ij^{bus} tricesimis, et ix et iiij^{am} de feodo Comitissæ.

Willelmus de Warrenne, vij milites et viij^{am} [partem] de honore de Wermegay.

Feodum Willelmi Blundi, vij milites.

Johannes Browere, j militem

Rogerus de Kentewelle, vij milites. In iij^{o} et v^{o}, x milites.

Johannes Marscallus, xvij milites et dimidium de feodo Huberti de Rya.

Johannes de Rocheforde, dimidium militem.

Honor de Eya, iiij^{xx}x milites et dimidium.

Albricus de Donmartin, j militem de honore Bonnoniæ.

Walterus de Ver et Willelmus Oliver, j militem.

Radulfus filius Ribaldi, dimidium militem.

III°. Abbas Sancti Edmundi, xl milites. In xiij^{o}, lij et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].

Thomas de Burgo, ij milites et dimidium.

Gillebertus Peccatum, xix milites iij^{am} [partem], viij^{am} et xij^{am}.

A.D. 1201–12. Willelmus Esturmy, ij milites de honore Lancastriæ.

Godefridus de Cramaville, j militem de honore Peverelli de Londonia.

Thomas Agnel, j militem de honore Gloucestriæ.

Odo de Danmartin, j militem.

Regnaldus de Leyham, ij milites de honore Peverelli de Londonia.

Willelmus de Mora, v milites de eodem, et j de honore Coer[emuæ].

Willelmus de Valoniis, dimidium militem de honore Lancastriæ.

Adam de Ottele, ij milites de eodem.

Abbas de Hulmo, iij milites et dimidium, iiij^{am} [partem], et viij^{am}, et xl^{am}.

Willelmus de Colecherche, dimidium militem.

Episcopus Norwicensis, xl milites. In xiij^{o}, xliij [milites] dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].

Johannes de Rocheforde, dimidium militem.

Willelmus Escorchevilein, j militem.

De hiis qui non habent capitales honores.

Robertus filius Ernisii, j militem de honore Gloucestriæ.

Gillebertus de Norfolc, dimidium militem.

Matildis de Albertiville, dimidium militem, et j militem de honore Gloucestrie.

Alexander de Ruyli, iiij^{am} [partem] de eodem.

Rogerus La Veile, iiij^{am} [partem].

Robertus filius Simonis de Saham, dimidium militem.

Stephanus de Bello Campo, j militem et dimidium de honore Peverelli.

Hervicus de Clerebec, dimidium militem de honore Peverelli de Londonia.

Hamo de Clerebec, dimidium militem de eodem honore.

Robertus de Busson, j militem de eodem.

Simon de Albrincis, iiij milites et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem]

Rogerus de Hontingfelde, j militem de honore Lancastriæ. A.D. 1201–12.

Comes Rogerus, iiij milites de eodem.

Gillebertus de Hastinges, j militem de eodem.

Tibaldus Walteri, dimidium militem de eodem. Idem in v°.

Robertus Bertram, j militem de eodem.

G[alfridus] filius Petri, j militem de eodem.

Albricus de Ver, dimidium militem de eodem, et ij [milites] et iiij^{am} [partem] de baronia sua.

Johannes de Rocheforde, dimidium militem.

Milo de Somery, j militem de honore Gloucestriæ.

Lucas de Cocfeld, j militem de eodem.

Hemfridus de Criketot, j militem de honore Bononiæ.

Ricardus de Monfichet, v milites et dimidium.

Ricardus de Reymes, dimidium militem et iiij^{am} [partem].

Comes Albæ Marlæ, xx^{am} [partem]. In xiij°, j militem.

Robertus de Hastinges, dimidium militem.

Quieti per brevia.

Comes Warennæ, Robertus filius Rogeri, Galfridus de Cestria, Johannes Marscallus, Comes Arundel, Comes David [de] j milite, Comes Rogerus, Comes de Pertico [de] xxiiij militibus et dimidio, Robertus de Toteshale, Willelmus de Montchenesy, Willelmus Tresgoz, Hugo Bardulf, Radulfus de Roffa, Philippus de Columbariis, Warinus filius Geroldi, Willelmus de Reymes, Comes Cestriæ, Robertus Gresle, Comes Herefordiæ, Thomas de Sancto Walerico, Thomas de Muletone [de] dimidio milite, Emma de Kantilu, Episcopus Wintoniensis, Willelmus de Heliun, Comes Leycestriæ, Brianus filius Radulfi [de] v militibus, Eustachius de Vescy [de] dimidio milite, Willelmus filius Alani, Alexander filius Ricardi.

IIII°. Willelmus Lovel, j militem. Fo. 76.

Rogerus de Sancto Dionisio, dimidium militem.

Hugo Talemasche, j militem. In v° per serjanteriam.

Adam Moveisin, dimidium militem et iiij^{am} [partem]. In v°, dimidium [militem].

Willelmus de Heliun, j militem.

V°. Robertus filius Simonis, dimidium militem. Idem, ij.

Guido Botetorte, ij milites et dimidium de tenemento quod tenet de H[enrico] de Gorn[hulle].

Willelmus filius Oliveri, dimidium militem.

Ranulfus filius Roberti, dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Rogeri, dimidium militem.

XIII°. Comes Claræ habet quietantiam de cxxxj militibus et duabus partibus iij^{a} [parte], iiij^{a}, viij^{a}, ix^{a}, x^{a}, duabus tricesimis [partibus], et de ix militibus et iiij^{a} [parte] de feodo Comitissæ de veteri, et de vij feodis et dimidio de novo [feffamento].

Hubertus de Burgo, xiiij milites et iiij^{am} de honore de Wermegai de veteri, et iiij^{am} de novo [feffamento]. Et ij milites de feodo Roberti filii Rogeri de Mor, et Bliburg[i] hereditatis uxoris suæ, hæredis Willelmi de Norwico.

Margareta de Kesney, j militem in Bleburgo.

Willelmus de Warenna, j militem in Mendlesham quod fuit Willelmi de Danmartin.

Comes de Arundel, lxxvj milites.

Radulfus de Kahaignes, j militem.

Comes Rogerus, cxxv milites de veteri et iiij^{am} [partem], et xxvij [milites] et dimidium de novo [feffamento].

Rogerus de Creissi cum una filiarum de Huberti de Rya, xvij et dimidium.

Radulfus de Burgo ut iij°, Johannes Corn de Bof ut iiij°, Rogerus de Redenhalle ut v°, Beugy de Avilers ut ibidem, Hugo Talemasche ut ibidem, Laurentia Picot ut ibidem, Hugo Burgoynun ut ibidem. Serjantiæ.

Berksira.

Galfridus de [Huse],[1] j militem de honore de Kyntone.

Radulfus de Lanvaley, j militem. In v°, Ricardus Walensis.

Ricardus de Sifrewast, j militem.

Radulfus filius Meynfelin, iij^{am} partem.

Johannes Belet, v^{am} [partem].

Halnod de Sifrewast, j militem.

Willelmus Achard, j militem.

Hugo de Stotebroc, j militem.

Abbas de Abendonia, xxx milites.

Toroldus de Pesemere, dimidium militem.

Alexander filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.

Walterus de Lascy, j militem.

Walterus de Clifford, j militem.

Abbas de Hida, j militem.

Henricus de Oyli, iiij^{am} [partem].

Gerard de Chamville, iiij^{am} [partem].

Radulfus de Belmes, dimidium militem.

Robertus de Gant, j militem.

Ricardus de Oyli, dimidium militem.

Comes de Ferrariis, v milites et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].

Willelmus de Homez, j militem.

Robertus Monachus, iij partes [militis].

Willelmus de Breosa, j militem iij^{am} [partem] et xiij^{am}.

Comes de Insula, xv milites in hoc comitatu.

Hubertus de Burgo, j militem de feodo de Romara.

Episcopus Bathoniensis, ij milites de feodo Glastoniæ.

---

[1] Illegible in MS.

A.D. 1201–12. Willelmus de Boclande, j militem.

Willelmus Comes de Vernun, xv milites in hoc comitatu.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Comes de Warwika de viij feodis, Episcopus Wintoniensis, Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, Johannes de Hastinges, Willelmus Martel, [de] j milite, Comes Leycestriæ, Henricus de Pinkeny, Hugo de Ferrariis, Willelmus de Windesores, Ricardus de Scropis, Henricus de Boun, Herbertus filius Herberti, Robertus de Biskeley, Episcopus Londoniensis, Johannes de Erleye de j milite ut xiij°, Willelmus de Novo Mercato de xvij militibus et dimidio ut v°, Comes Cestriæ, Thomas de Sancto Walerico, Radulfus Musard, Willelmus Marscallus, Hugo de Sanforde.

IIII°. Radulfus de Porta, v^am^ [partem].

V°. Willelmus de Bochamptone et Hopefort, j militem.

Gillebertus Peccatum, dimidium militem.

Henricus de Rompayne et Hugo filius Hugonis, ij milites.

Osbertus Waspaile.[1]

Elias Giffard, j militem.

HONOR DE WALINGEFORDE IN ROTULO II°.

Turstanus Basset, vj milites.

Alanus filius Rolandi, dimidium militem.

Galfridus filius Agnetæ, dimidium militem.

Walterus de Penna, v partem.

---

[1] *reddit compotum de vs. et iiij*d. *de scutagio honoris de Warengeforde.*—T. R.

Ricardus Moryn, j militem. A.D. 1201–12.
Alanus de Valeines, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Henrici, dimidium militem.
Thomas filius Ricardi, ij milites.
Willelmus de Archis, iij milites.
Hamo Carbonel, j militem.
Robertus de Hareped[en], iij milites. Fo. 76 d.
Milo de Merlay, iiij^{am} partem militis.
Robertus Foliot, ij milites.
Reginaldus Angevin, dimidium militem.
Galfridus de Chausy, ij milites.
Simon de Stantone, j militem.
Fulco filius Ricardi, j militem.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, j militem.
Willelmus de Wodmundesle, iiij^{am} partem militis.
Petrus de Bixle, dimidium militem.
Galfridus de Mara, iij partes militis.
Radulfus Dariel, dimidium militem.
Walterus Croke, iiij milites.
Roeland Huscarde, ij milites.
Urveus Malet, j militem et dimidium.
Rogerus de Stafforde, j militem.
Radulfus de Anvers, ij milites.
Robertus de Mara, iij milites.
Willelmus de Witefelde, iiij^{am} partem.
Walterus Piparde, vj milites.
Willelmus filius Rannulfi, j militem.
Willelmus Paynel, iiij milites in Watlintone unde unus super dominicum.
Alardus filius Willelmi, v^{tam}. In v^{o}, serjanteria.
Johannes filius Hugonis, j militem.
Walterus Foliot, ij milites et iiij^{tam}.
Radulfus Foliot, ij milites.
Robertus filius Rogeri, ij milites et dimidium.
Abbas de Bruera, ij milites.
Robertus le Naper, j militem, sed modo quietus per nappariam.

A.D. 1201–12.

QUIETI PER BREVIA.

Hugo de Malo Alneto, de iij militibus.
Radulfus filius Almarici, de iij militibus.
Willelmus Noel, de dimidio milite.
Samson de la Pomerey, de iiij militibus.
Gillebertus Basset, de vij militibus.
Gillebertus filius Reinfridi, de j milite.
Warinus filius Geroldi, de ij militibus et dimidio.
Alanus Basset, de v militibus.
Thomas Basset, de v militibus.

V^us^. Simon Barre, j militem.
Henricus Bernard[i], v^am^.
Robertus Corbet, j militem in Dalleye.
Willelmus de Druvalle, v^am^ partem.
Robertus de Torinny, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Kingestone, v^am^ partem de soccagio.
Henricus de Taydene, iij milites.
Willelmus Basset, j militem et iiij^am^ [partem].
Andreas de Bellocampo, j militem.
Robertus de Harecurt, j militem.

XIII^us^. Almaricus filius Roberti, iiij milites.
Robertus de Cestretone, iij milites.
Hugo de Druvalle, ij milites.
Johannes de Chesneto et Aliz de Bella Aqua, ij milites.
Robertus de Valoniis, ij milites.
Milo Neirenuit, ij milites.
Simon Barre, j militem.
Rogerus de Stanforde, j militem.

Summa.[1]

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

SUDSEXA. A.D. 1201–12.

Hawis de la Gwirche, ij milites de feodo de Mapham.
Robertus Bernard, iij^{am} partem.
Capellaria de Boseham, vij milites et dimidium.

V^{us}. Episcopus Cicestrensis, iiij milites.
Alardus Flandrensis, j militem.

XIII^{us}. Robertus Peverel et Robertus Bernard, j militem.
Comes de Arundel, iiij^{xx}iiij milites et dimidium.
Gilebertus de Aquila, xxxv milites.
Comes Warennæ, lx milites.
Feodum de Hastinges, lij milites præter iiij qui sunt de Episcopatu Cicestrensi, et iiij qui sunt de Abbatia Pontis Roberti, et præter j qui non invenitur.
Honor de Angra, xx milites et dimidium et xx^{am} partem extra Cornubiam. Et ix milites et xij^{am} partem in Cornubia.

II^{us}. Henricus de Percy, xxij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus de Belmeis, vj milites.

V^{us}. Willelmus de Cahaignes, iij milites de honore Mortoniæ.
Normonnus de Normonville.
Alanus de Wedmedinges ut iiij^{o}.
Henricus de Paleuerne ut ibidem.
Henricus Porcarius [1] ut v^{o}.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1201–12.

Surreia.

IIII^ta. Rolandus de Acstede, j militem. In v°, dimidium.
Gillebertus de Melkesham, j militem.
Thomas de Hercmere, j militem.
Galfridus de Bella Valle, dimidium militem.
Elias de Adintone, dimidium militem et iiij^am et xxvj^tam [partem].
Fo. 77. Cecilia de Comptone, j militem et dimidium.
Hubertus de Burgo, iiij^am [partem].
Simon de Pateshulle, iiij^am [partem].
Rogerus de Creft, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Fulgeriis, iiij^am [partem].

Serjantia. Samson de Tykeseia ut iiij° [rotulo].

Suhantesira.

Episcopus Wintoniensis, lx milites. In xiij°, lxxiiij et dimidium.
Abbas de Hida, xx milites.
Robertus de Ponte Arche, j militem.
Adam de Port, lv milites. In xiij°, lvij [milites].
Willelmus filius Aldelini, j militem et iiij^am [partem].
Hubertus de Burgo, iiij milites et liij^am [partem].[1]
Michael de Lovers, dimidium militem.
Herbertus filius Herberti, j militem et iiij^am [partem].
Willelmus de Chaugrave, vj^am partem et xiij^am [partem].
Alanus de Chilkeleye, xiij^am et liij^am [partem].[1]
Willelmus de Novo Mercato, j militem.
Robertus de Ewias, ij milites de honore Thomæ de Sancto Walerico.
Robertus de Meysi, ij milites de eodem.
Willelmus de Solariis, j militem de eodem.
Rogerus de Abernun, j militem de eodem.

[1] *Sic* in MS.

IIII^us. Henricus Hose, j militem. A.D. 1201–12.
Rogerus filius Henrici, j militem.

XIII^us. Willelmus de Warblintone, j militem et iiij^am [partem].

Rogerus de Sacy, j militem. In [xx]xiij^o [1] Rotulo Regis Henrici.

Elias Croc, j militem.

Willelmus Cosyn, Thomas Peverel, Oliverus de Pontchardun, qui tenent feodum quod fuit Ricardi de Solers, j militem.

[*Serj*]*antiæ.*[2] Willelmus Spileman ut xiij^o, Andreas Moberd ut ibidem, Hugo de Chekehulle, Galfridus de Pourtone, Ricardus de Cardonville, Willelmus de Arundellia, Willelmus de Cosham, Bryanus de Insula, Willelmus Burhunte, Reginaldus de Bitleshorne, Henricus de Wenstede, Gilebertus de Achangre.

Warewica. Leycestria.

Prior Coventriæ, x milites.

Fulco filius Warini, j militem.

Emma et Alicia de Donmart[in], j militem.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, iiij^am [partem].

Willelmus Grassus, iiij^am [partem] et xiiij^am.

Robertus de Harewecurt, j militem et iiij^am [partem]. In iiij^o, j militem et dimidium.

Osbertus de Clyntone, j militem.

Abbas de Evesham, j militem et iiij^am [partem].

Nota quod in hoc III° Rotulo finem fecit Episcopus Coventrensis, per xxv libras ne transfretare[t] et pro habendo scutagio

Johannes de Lymisie, ij milites.

Radulfus de Secheville, dimidium militem.

Henricus Falconarius, j militem.

Hugo de Chaucumbe, j militem.

Gwido de Croum, iiij^am [partem].

Comes Warwikæ, cij milites et iij^am [partem].

---

[1] The figures in brackets have been interlineated in the MS.

[2] Partly bound in.

A.D. 1201–12. de xxv militibus, videlicet de xv de Episcopatu, et de x de Episcopatu Coventrensi.

Comes Albæ Marlæ, ij milites et dimidium.
Rogerus de Mortuo Mari, j militem.
Henricus de Ferrariis, dimidium militem.
Hugo Bardulfus, ij milites et viij^{am} et xl^{mam} partem ut in viij^{o}.[1]
Hugo de Insula, j militem.
Willelmus de Breosa, ij milites.
Baldewinus Wac, j militem.

V. Comes David, iiij^{or} milites.
Matildis de Cauz, ij milites.

XIII. Robertus Marmyon, xj milites, iiij^{am} [partem] et v^{am}.
Willelmus de Albeny, xxxiij milites de veteri [feffamento].
Feodum Rogeri de Torlawestone quod tenuit de Radulfo filio Wigani unde solet r[eddere] in Oxonefordscira, iiij^{am} [partem].
Medietas honoris Leycestriæ, lxxvij milites et v^{am} [partem], per Vicecomitem.
Simon Comes Wintoniæ de alia medietate habet quietantiam.
Robertus filius David per serjanteriam.

Cantebrige. Huntindonesira.

Nigellus de Lovetot, xv milites ut iiij^{o}.
Robertus de Gymeges, j militem ut ibidem.
Willelmus de Say, iiij milites.
Albreda Trussebot, j militem et dimidium, vj^{am} et xiij^{am}. In iv^{o}, j et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].
Comes Britanniæ, xvj milites. In v^{o}, xxxviij milites et dimidium.

---

[1] *et viij^{o}* is a later insertion.

III°. G[alfridus] filius Petri, j militem et iiij^{am} [partem]. In xiij°, ij milites et dimidium et xx^{am} [partem]. A.D. 1201–12.

IIII°. Willelmus de Scalariis, xv milites.
Robertus Pycot, j militem.
Willelmus de Merc, j militem.
Simon Ruffus, dimidium militem.
Acelina de Abingyntone,[1] j militem.
Ricardus de Geddinge, iiij^{am} [partem].
Leonia de Stoteville, j militem et dimidium et iiij^{am} [partem].
Episcopus Elyensis, xl milites. In xiij°, lvj et iiij^{am}, per Comitem Sarrisbiriæ custodem Episcopatus.

V^{us}. Abbas Rameseiæ, iiij milites.
Acelina de Waterville et Matilda soror ejus, ix milites et dimidium.
Ricardus filius Warini, iiij^{am} [partem].
Johannes de Merc *vel* de Stibitone, iiij^{am} [partem].
Ricardus Engayne, dimidium militem.
Gillebertus Peche, xvij milites et xij^{am} partem.
Hugo de Scalariis, xv milites et dimidium.

Serjantiæ. Rogerus Malartus, per serjanteriam ut iiij°.
Willelmus Monachus ut v°.

WILTESIRA.

Galfridus Camerarius, j militem. Fo. 77 d.
Elias Giffard, ix milites.
Willelmus de Rokella, j militem.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D 1201–12. Abbatissa Wiltoniæ, v milites.
Abbas Malmesburiæ, iij milites.
G[alfridus] filius Petri, j militem.
Simon Wac, j militem.
Willelmus Brower, dimidium militem.
Herbertus filius Herberti, j militem.

IIII°. Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis, xl milites et dimidium.
Eustachius de Baylol, j militem.
Robertus Giffard, j militem.
Fulco de Alneto, j militem.
Gundreda de Warenna, j militem.
Robertus de Turville, dimidium militem.
Walterus de Grimestede, j militem.
Galfridus de Mondeville, j militem.
Willelmus filius Alani, j militem.
Eva de Tracy, j militem.
Paganus de Chaurces, j militem.
Petrus filius Herberti, j militem.
Comes Sarrisbiriæ, lvj milites iij quintas [partes].
Petrus de Maul[e],[1] ij milites.
Robertus de Dyneswelle, dimidium militem.
Thomas de Sancto Vigore, dimidium militem in Stokes.
Thomas filius Henrici, j militem.
Willelmus de Breosa, iiij milites et dimidium de feodo Adæ de Port in hoc comitatu.

XIII^m^. Henricus de Boun, xxx milites et dimidium de veteri, et ix milites et dimidium de novo [feffamento]; unde dicit quod nichil debet Regi nisi servitium corporis sui.
Walterus Waleran, xxx milites; de quibus Johannes de Monemue habet iij^am^ partem cum una filiarum; Albreda

[1] Rectius *de Mara*.

de Boterellis, alia filia, aliam tertiam; et Willelmus de Novilla, iij^{am}; et nota ut infra. A.D. 1201–12.

De istis xxx militibus v debentur ad custodiam Castri Sarrisbiriæ unde in Rotulo II° Regis Ricardi in Wiltescira finivit W[alterus] Waleran pro xx marcis ut sit quietus de v militibus nisi ad custodiam castri tantum. Nota quod non facit custodiam quolibet anno.[1]

Garnerus de Lisuris, j militem.

Petrus de Scuidymor, v milites qui fuerunt Roberti de Ewias.

Robertus de Mandeville, Robertus Maudut, Willelmus Comyn, j militem de feodo quod fuit Gerardi Giffardi.

IIII°. Ricardus Ruffus, Walterus Esturmy, Matheus Turpyn, Elias Croc, Willelmus de Anesye, ut v°.

Gloucestresira.

Willelmus de Novo Mercato, xv milites et dimidium, iiij^{tam}, et viij^{am} [partem]. In xiij°, xvj milites et dimidium, et ij tercias et ij quintas [partes].

Abbas Winchecumbæ, j militem. In v°, ij [milites].

IIII^{xx}. Honor Gloucestriæ, per Robertum la Were.[2]

Magister Ernisius, viij^{am} [partem].

Henricus Hose, xij^{am} [partem].

IIII°. Magister Ernisius, viij^{am} [partem].

Henricus Hose, xij^{am} [partem].

Thomas de Baiocis, iiij milites.

Robertus de Stokes, j militem per serjanteriam.

Gilebertus filius Roberti, vj milites.

Ricardus de Diclesdone, ij milites et dimidium.

[1] Marginal note in MS.

[2] This heading is not rubricated in the MS., although there is a marginal direction for this purpose. The entries which follow are in double column.

A.D. 1201–12.

Rogerus Waspail, v milites.
Herbertus de Sancto Quintino, x milites.
Willelmus filius Erturi, ij milites.
Michael de Portinote, dimidium [militem].
Oliverus de Tracy, j militem.
Thomas de Berengerville, dimidium [militem].
Willelmus filius Gregorii, dimidium [militem].
Radulfus de Bosco, dimidium [militem].
Rogerus de Meysi, viij milites.
Ricardus de Greynville, iij [milites] et dimidium.
Rogerus Corbet, j militem.
Johannes de Sancto Laudo, iiij^{tam} [partem].
Willelmus de Wodeiate, j militem.
Henricus de Champhernul, j militem.
Sibilla Crok, dimidium [militem].
Adam filius Nigelli, v^{tam} [partem].
Radulfus Folmusson, dimidium [militem].
Willelmus de Cahaignes, j militem.
Robertus de Bakeberi et Johannes de Castello, dimidium [militem].
Robertus de Lucy, ij milites.
Abbas de Keynesham, viij^{am} [partem].
Magister Benedictus, iiij^{am} [partem].
Willelmus filius Eliæ, iiij^{tam} [partem].
Robertus de Setvaus, j militem.
Radulfus de Swanetone, dimidium [militem].
Simon de Scardestede, iij^{am} [partem].
Ricardus Rabel, j militem.
Galfridus de Tichesia, ij milites et iiij^{am} [partem].
Matildis de Dive, j militem.
Eustachius de Greinville, iij partes [militis].
Acelina de Waterville, j militem.
Robertus de Sancto Remigio, x^{am} partem [militis].
Martinus de Cramewelle,[1] dimidium. In v^{o}, j militem.
Simon Maufe, dimidium [militem].
Johannes le Sor, xiiij milites.

[1] Rectius *Camerwelle.*

A.D. 1201–12.

Henricus de Unfranville, v milites.
Mabilla de Selers, xvj milites.
Ricardus Oriescul, vij milites.
Johannes Eschelling, v milites.
Alanus de Hertilande, j militem.
Philippus Vit Deners, ij milites et iiij^{am} [partem].
Thomas Ainguel, j militem.
Willelmus Grimbald, dimidium, vj^{am} et xij^{am} [partem].
Willelmus de Graftham, dimidium [militem].
Rogerus de Furnellis, j militem.
Nicholaus Meriet, j militem, et idem j de honore Mortoniæ.
Willelmus de Londonia, iij milites.
Baldewinus Wac, ij milites.
Hamo de Waloniis, v milites.
Galfridus de Costantin, j militem.
Galfridus de Hautville, ij milites.
Thomas de Holeford, dimidium [militem].
Almarycus Dispensarius, j militem.
Willelmus filius Otonis, j militem.
Willelmus filius Gereberti, x^{am} [partem].
Willelmus de Hastinges, ij milites.
Johannes de Toryntone, vij milites.
Willelmus Broer, x milites.
Robertus de Goyz, v milites; sed in v^{o} dicitur quod facit servitium suum in Normannia.

Per Brevia.[1]

Nicholaus Poinz, de vij militibus.
Giffard Witeing, de vij [militibus].
Philippus de Aubeny, de dimidio [milite].
Patricia de Bellocampo et Eufemia Tallebot, de ij [militibus].
Gilebertus de Vilers, de j milite.
Willelmus et Thomas fili[iorum] Johannis, de xv militibus.
Terricus Tyeis, de j milite.

[1] This is really a marginal direction for rubrication which has been omitted.

A.D. 1201–12. Brianus Tollard, de iij [militibus].
Henricus de Pontaudemer, de j [milite].
Ricardus filius Hamonis, de iij [militibus] et tercia [parte].
Galfridus de Lacellis, de x [militibus] et tribus partibus.
Milo de Somery, de iij [militibus] et dimidio.
Walterus de Traylli, de iiij [militibus].
Willelmus de Faleis, de iij partibus.
Garinus filius Geroldi, de dimidio.
Robertus filius Ernisii, de ij militibus.
Thomas de Sanford, de j milite et dimidio.
Hugo de Gondeville, de j milite; sed in v° dicitur quod debet, ij milites.

Summa ccxxij milites ij quintæ et una tertia [pars].

Fo. 78. Hii prædicti sunt de honore prædicto præter xlvij [milites] et iiij^{am} [partem], qui sunt in Glamorgan; et præter xx [milites], quos Comes Ebroycensis habet; et præter xv [milites], quos Comes de Clare habet.

Summa omnium ccciiij^{or} [milites] et quarta [pars].

Et præter hos in v° :—
Milo de Antesye, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Neville, v milites.
Lucas filius Johannis, j militem.
Willelmus de Breosa, vij milites de eodem honore.

II°. Brian Tollard, j militem de Rege.
Radulfus de Sule, iij milites.
Rogerus de Burkeley, vij milites et dimidium.
Johannes de Hastinges, iiij milites.
Almaricus Despensarius, j militem.
Ricardus de Scropis, iij milites.
Henricus de Teydene, iij milites, et j de honore Wallingfordiæ.

Robertus de Torinny, j [militem] de eodem honore. A.D. 1201–12.
Galfridus de Chausy, j [militem] et dimidium de eodem honore.

V°. Robertus de Ameneville, j militem.
Hæres Roberti filii Herding, ij [milites] et dimidium.
Ernaldus de Bosco, x milites.
Abbas Winchecumbæ, ij milites ut viij°.
Radulfus filius Stephani, j militem in hoc comitatu ut ibidem.[1]
Walterus de Essel[ing], dimidium militem ut ibidem.[1]
Robertus Monachus, j militem.
Willelmus de Gamages, j militem.

XIII^as. Willelmus Marescallus, lxv milites et ij de Castro Godrici.
Comes Herfordiæ, xvij milites; de quibus P[etrus] filius Herberti habet terciam [partem].
Alardus Flandrensis, j [militem].
Honor Pagani de Mondublel, xij milites et dimidium.
Osbertus de Grava, per serjanteriam.
Almaricus Dispensator [j militem].[2]

SALOPESSYRA.

Willelmus filius Alani, xlv milites; in quinto, x; in viij°, xxvij et dimidium.
Hugo de Bosco et Johannes de Kilpec, ij milites; in v° j, per manum Vicecomitis Herefordiæ.
Ricardus de Wabinburia, dimidium militem.
Robertus Corbet, ij milites; in v^to, v in hoc comitatu.

III^as. Johannes le Strange, j militem et dimidium.
Hugo de Picheford, j militem in Langeforde.

---

[1] Interlineated in MS.
[2] *Sic* in MS. The last entries above do not correspond with the Pipe Rolls.

A.D. 1201–12.

Walterus de Witfeld, j militem.
Hugo Pauntone, iij milites in hoc comitatu.
Gerardus de Torney, ij milites, per Vicecomitem.
Idem, iij milites, pro Roberto de Bulers.

IIII°.

Walterus de Lascy, x milites in hoc comitatu.

V°.

Walterus de Cliford, j feodum et dimidium.
Ricardus de Sanforde, j feodum.
Baldwinus Wischart, v partem [militis].
Ricardus de Chetthulle, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Lopintone, j militem.
Herbertus filius Herberti, dimidium militem.
Rogerus de Mortimer, v milites.
Ymgerham de Pratellis, j militem in Idesbale.
Willelmus Boterel, ix milites de honore Galfridi de Ver, et v milites de honore Eudonis Dapiferi, ut viij°.
Wido Extraneus, j militem et dimidium, ut viij°.
Robertus de Boterellis, iij milites in hoc comitatu.

Herefordia in Wallia.

Walterus de Cormailes, ix milites; in v^to^, x.

III^us^.

Episcopus Herefordiensis, xv milites.
Johannes de Kilpec, ij milites et dimidium.
Robertus de Chandos, xiij milites et vj^am^ [partem].
Gilbertus Talebot, j militem.
Reginaldus de Balny, j [feodum] militis quod fuit Willelmi filii Reginaldi.
Thomas de Maurdin, iiij partem [militis].
Johannes de Maurdin, iij [milites].
Walterus de Lascy, lj [milites] et quartam [partem].

A.D. 1201–12.

V^to. Mathæus de Gamages, j militem.

Robertus Malherbe, x partem [militis] in Maune.

Robertus Tregoz, xix milites cum filia Roberti de Euyas.

Hugo de Ferariis, xxiij milites de feodo Hugonis de Sai.

XIII^us. Hugo Forestarius, j militem et dimidium. Heredes Osberti filii Hugonis.[1]

Baronia Adæ de Port, xxij milites et dimidium, sextam et x [partem]; et j feodum et ij partes de novo.

Henricus de Nuncamp, j feodum in Wiltonia.

Radulfus de Bray, x partem in Maune.

Lancastria.

Honor Lancastriæ, lx et xij milites et dimidium, et xiij per Vicecomitem; de quibus in perdonis Gilberto filio Reinfray dimidium militem; Willelmo le Boteiler, vij milites; Roberto de Greile, xij milites; Rogero de Monte Begonis, viij milites; Constabulario Cestriæ, viij [milites].

III°. Robertus le Vavassur, dimidium militem, iij et xij [partem].

Radulfus de Sancto Georgio, dimidium militem.

Radulfus de Gamelestone, iij milites.

Adam de Laptone et Thomas de Gutburgo, j militem.

Ricardus de Molineus, dimidium militem.

Gilbertus filius Reinfrey, j militem hic et ij in Westmerilonde.

Teobaldus Walteri, dimidium militem; immo iij ut iiij^to.[2]

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. In the Pipe Roll this is one of several entries under *Quieti per Brevia*, which are omitted here.

[2] *Sicut Vicecomes dicit sed habet quietantiam per breve.*—T. R.

A.D. 1201–12. Adam de Middeltone, xiiij partem.
Rogerus de Frekintone, Galfridus Gernet, Quenilde de Wartone, iij milites.

IIII°. Rogerus de Stokeforde, iiij partem.
Thomas de Goseburne et Adam de Laptone, j militem.
Galfridus Carbunel, dimidium militem.
Prior de Grimesby, dimidium militem.
Henricus Falconarius, j militem.
Galfridus Costentin, j militem.
Ranulfus de Viry, dimidium militem.
Hogo Porcarius, ij milites in Crokestone.
Fo. 78 d. Jarforde de Hiltone, vj^{am} partem.
Osbertus filius Ricardi, et socii sui, iij x et dimidia x.[1]
Robertus de Greile, xij milites.
Rogerus de Monte Begonis, viij milites.
Rogerus Constabularius Cestriæ, viij milites.
Robertus de Arestane, j militem.

V°. Galfridus Monachus, j militem.
Willelmus filius Simonis, j militem.
Radulfus de Mareseye, iij milites.
Michael Maunuwer, ij milites.

XIII°. Honor Lancastriæ, lxxviij milites et dimidium, et iiij^{am} et xiiij [partem] per Vicecomitem.

DEVONESCIRA.

Episcopus Exoniensis, xvij milites et dimidium, et v [partem]; in v^{to}, xv [milites] et dimidium.
Henricus de Tracy, xxv milites et iiij^{tam} [partem].
Hugo Peverel, iij partes.
Godefridus de Leste, j militem.

---

[1] *Scilicet de tribus decimis partibus et dimidia decima.*—T. R.

Willelmus de Novo Mercato, dimidium militem. A.D. 1201–12.
Comes Willelmus de Ripariis, xv milites.
Willelmus de Breusa, xxviij milites.
Galfridus de Aubemarle, j militem.

III°. Honor de Torintone, xix milites, et vj [milites] de honore Comitis Gloucestriæ.

Robertus de Secheville, j militem.
Abbas de Tauvistoke, j militem, unde est contentio.
Hawisa de Curtenai, xviij milites.
Willelmus de Londonia, ij milites et dimidium.
Dominus de Purford, j militem.
Willelmus de Ripariis, xv milites quos recognoscit,[1] et xlv milites quos non recognoscit, et viij quos tenet de Comite Gloucestriæ.
Willelmus filius Martini, v milites.
Rogerus de Vautord, j militem.

Cornubia.

Radulfus de Rupe, iij milites et iij de honore Mortoniæ.

Nicholaus de Middeltone, x milites de eodem.
Alanus Blouns, vij milites de eodem.
Ricardus de Trelles, j militem de eodem.
Robertus de Bikelega, v milites de eodem.
Simon Pincerna, j militem in capite.
Faramus de Walebrans, j militem.
Robertus de Cardinan, lxxj milites.
Rogerus de Vautord, lix [milites].
Willelmus Boterel, xij milites.
Episcopus Wintoniensis, xj milites hic.
Stephanus de Flandria, vj milites de honore Mortoniæ.
Gervasius de Hornicote, v milites.
Robertus de Heris, j militem.

---

[1] *recongnoscit* in MS.

A.D. 1201–12. Honor Comitis Reginaldi, hic et in Devonia qui respondent ad feudum de Mortonia, ccxv milites et iiij^{tam} [partem].

IIII^{to}. Petrus Bordone, j militem.

Milo de Buteford, j militem.

Oliverus de Tracy, xxviij milites.

Feodum de Torneburia, iiij partem [militis] de honore Mortoniæ.

Radulfus Orewey, dimidium militem.

Rogerus Corbin, vj partem.

Hugo Peverel, dimidium militem.

Adam de Port, de Wallia, ij milites.

V^{to}. Willelmus de Briwerre, x milites de honore de Bamtone, et continetur ibidem quod idem Willelmus emit x feoda quæ fuerunt Nicholai de Middeltone, et unum feodum quod fuit Roberti del Estre in Langeforde, et unum feodum quod Johannes Briwerre tenuit, et j feodum quod Robertus Camerarius tenuit in Comtone, et sergantariam quam habet in Curia Regis, et dimidium feodum quod Radulfus de Seccheville tenuit in Torp, et dimidium feodum quod Radulfus Gernun tenuit in Baucwelle; de quibus debet Regi, xiiij milites et j serganteriam.

Willelmus de Borhard, ij milites de honore Mortoniæ.

Henricus de Pomeraria, xxxij milites et ij partes.

Henricus de Tylli, ix milites et dimidium in Devonia.

Radulfus Tessun, j militem de honore ejusdem Henrici, de honore Mortoniæ.

Hugo Bardulf, iiij milites.

Rogerus la Susche, j militem.

Johannes le Sore, ij milites.

Henricus de Cultura, tertiam [partem militis].

Rogerus Corbin, vj^{am} [partem militis].

Ricardus del Estre, quartam [partem militis].

A.D. 1201–12.

Henricus de Luttone, viij^{am} [partem militis].
Egidius de Viteberewe, iiij^{tam} [partem militis].
Ricardus filius Ricardi, j militem.
W[illelmus] [Radulfi]. Idem Willelmus in v^{to}.[1]
Ricardus filius Ivonis, dimidium militem.
Willelmus Oliveri, j militem et dimidium.
Henricus filius Willelmi, iiij milites.
Bartholomæus Toreth, j militem.
Robertus filius Baldwini, j militem.

XIII^{us}. Ricardus Walensis, j militem.

EBORACSIRA. Fo. 79.

Willelmus Painel.[2]
Willelmus filius Ranulfi.[2]
Ada de Brus.[2]
Jocelinus de Lovene.[2]
Robertus Walensis, j militem, eo quod feodum suum non est attornatum Widoni de la Val vel Constabulario.
Roaldus Falani, vj milites et dimidium de honore Britanniæ.
Hugo filius Gernagan, iij milites et dimidium de eodem honore.
Walterus de Cancy, v milites de eodem honore.
Conanus de Ascape, j militem de eodem honore.
Willelmus Painel, xv milites.
Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, xx milites.
Cometissa de Warewic, xv milites.
Wido de la Val, xx milites.
Agnes de Percy, xv milites.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS., but *Radulfi* appears to be sub-punctuated, and the entry cannot be identified in the Roll. The whole of this scutage is very corrupt; the entries of the 5th and 13th years being apparently confused.

[2] *Sic* in MS. *See* p. 169, note.

A.D. 1201–12.

Robertus de Bulers, iij milites et v^tam [partem].
Honor de Tykeulle, hic x milites.

Isti sunt quieti hoc anno per brevia.

Robertus de Tornam, Willelmus Daubyni, Willelmus de Stuteville, Willelmus de Munbrai, Episcopi Dunolmi et Londoniæ, Comites Britanniæ et Albemarlæ, Eustachius de Vescy, Jolanus de Neville, Petrus de Brus, Constabularius Cestriæ.

IIII^to.

Emma de Humaiz, iij milites.
Hugo de Setech[eville], j militem.
Robertus filius Pagani, j militem.
Willelmus de Seltone, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Selvain, j militem.
Andreas de Feugers, j militem.
Walterus de Cancy, v milites.
Willelmus Painel, xv milites.

Isti habent [quietantiam] per brevia.

Willelmus de Munbray, de iiij^xx et viij feodis et iiij^ta [parte]. Eustachius de Vessy, de xxiiij et iij^a [parte]. Episcopus Dunolmensis, de x [feodis]. Rogerus Camerarius, de j [feodo] et iiij^ta [parte]. Rogerus le Bigot, de ix feodis. Petrus de Brus, de xv feodis. Willelmus de Stuteville, de viij^to feodis. Robertus de Torneham, de xxxj et dimidio feodis. Comes de Albamara, de xx feodis. Comes Britanniæ, de l [feodis] in hoc comitatu.

V^o.

Willelmus de Chaosis, j militem.

Honor Comitis Britanniæ, c et xl feoda, per Vicecomitem, quia cc et iiij^xx*m*.

Stephanus filius Herberti Camerarii, j militem et xiij^mam [partem].

XIII^us. Ricardus de Percy, xv milites de medietate honoris Willelmi de Percy. A.D. 1201–12.

Willelmus Briwer, custos hæredis alterius medietatis, xv milites.

Henricus de Neville, hæres Bertram de Bolimer, iij milites et xvj partem.

Constabularius Cestriæ, xliij milites et dimidium et iiij^tam [partem] de feodo de Lascy.

Mauricius de Gaunt, xij milites et dimidium.

Robertus de Ros, v milites, ij tertias, j quartam [partem], j xij^tam, j xx^am, j xxv^tam, et iij milites et tertiam partem de feodo quod fuit Willelmi Trussebut, et iiij milites et iiij^tam et sextam [partem] de feodo de Wartre.

Willelmus Daubyni, iij milites et tertiam partem de feodo Trussebut, et iiij milites et iiij [partem] et sextam de feodo de Wartre.

Yllarius Trussebut, iij milites et iij^am partem de feodo Trussebut, et iiij milites et quartam [partem] et sextam de feodo de Wartre.

Robertus de Veteri Ponte, iij feoda et tertiam partem.

Comes Cestriæ, l milites.

Hugo Painel, iij milites et dimidium.

Dorsete. Sumersete.

Robertus Belet, j militem. Item, xv milites, ut viij°, de honore de Poverstoke.

Willelmus de Monte Acuto.[1]

Willelmus filius Johannis de Harpetre, iiij [partem] et xv j.

Johannes de Soleigny.[1]

Galfridus de Mandeville, j militem, ut viij°.

Robertus de Novo Burgo.[1]

Willelmus Joil[ent], j militem de Mor[tonia], ut viij°.

Radulfus Luvel, ut infra iiij°.

[1] *Sic* in MS. The scribe has apparently extracted indiscriminately from the Rolls of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd years, creating a hopeless confusion.

A.D. 1201–12.

Ricardus Cotele, j militem, ut viij°.

Ricardus de Atrio.[1]

Henricus Tortesmains, j militem, ut viij°.

Honor Willelmi de Moiun.[1]

Willelmus de Kaaines, x milites et dimidium, et xvj^{am} de feodo Mortoniæ, ut viij°.

Robertus Malherbe, Thomas Brianī, Willelmus le Ostricer,[2] j militem in hiis comitatibus.

Willelmus de Mora, ut infra iiij°.

Thomas de Lovertone, j militem, ut viij°.

Willelmus Malet.[1]

Ada de Cusintone, j militem, ut viij°.

IIII^{to}. Episcopus Batoniensis, xx milites.

Mater Willelmi de Aure, j militem, ut viij°.

Abbatissa Sancti Eadwardi, vij milites; in xiij°, x, quartam [partem] et sextam.

Galfridus Foliot, j militem, ut viij°.

Abbas de Cerne, ij milites; in xiij°, x [milites].

Abbas de Abbodesburia, j militem.

Abbas Sireburniæ, ij milites et v^{tam} [partem].

Willelmus Malet, xxij milites et dimidium, et duas, tertias, et unam quartam et j xx^{am} [partem].

Nicholaus de Merihet, j militem et j et dimidium de honore Mortoniæ.

Philippus de Columbers, x milites.

Willelmus de Wrotham, j militem in terra quæ fuit Osberti de Osbertiville de dono Regis Ricardi.

Robertus filius Pagani, xv milites de medietate feodi Gereberti de Percy.

Radulfus filius Bernardi, j militem, ut viij°.

Radulfus Lovel, x milites, et vij et dimidium de honore Mortoniæ.

Ricardus filius Willelmi, iij milites in Heselberewe; immo vij milites et iiij^{tam} [partem] Montis Acuti, ut viij°.

Wandregesilius de Curcellis, tertiam partem [militis]; in xiij°, j militem, ut viij°.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. *See* note on p. 165. | [2] i.e., *Austurcarius*.

Robertus Junior, j militem. A.D. 1201–12.
Philippus de Nortone, j militem.
Henricus de Novo Mercato, j militem, ut viij°.
Willelmus de Mora, j militem.
Robertus Bigot, dimidium militem, ut viij°.
Henricus de Cultura, j militem.
Galfridus filius Azonis, j militem, ut viij°.
Radulfus de Tymbresburia, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Broctone, j militem, ut viij°.
Fulco de Alnou, j militem in Cumtone.
Ascuil de Soilgny, duas partes [militis]. Fo. 79 d.
Galfridus de Wandestre, j militem.
Robertus de Bello Campo, xvij milites de honore Mortoniæ.
Alvredus de Lincolnia, xxiiij milites et dimidium, in quinto, et xvj^{am} [partem]; in viij°, xxv milites et vj^{tam} [partem].
Robertus Belet, j militem.
Johannes de Campo Florido, j militem, ut viij°.
Henricus de Tilly, xiiij milites et dimidium et quartam [partem].
Honor de Porestoke, xv milites.
Rogerus filius Henrici, j militem.
Rogerus de Arundel, iij milites, ut viij°.
Abbas de Muchelneye, j militem.

De honore Mortoniæ.[1]

Johannes Pulein, j militem.
Johannes de Monte Acuto, x milites.
Walterus de Esselega, x milites.
Ricardus de Atrio, iiij milites et tertiam [partem].
Ricardus Briwerre, vij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus de Novo Mercato, xvij milites et dimidium et iiij^{tam} [partem].

[1] This heading is not rubricated in the MS.

A.D. 1201–12.

Willelmus filius Johannis, dimidium militem.
Walterus filius Godefridi, j militem.
Willelmus de Monte Acuto, x milites.
Johannes de Briwes, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Vernun, x milites.
Alanus de Botereus, dimidium militem.
Ranulfus de Viry, j militem.

De honore de Dunesitorn.[1]

Lucia de Mony, vij milites.
Walterus de Lega, dimidium militem.
Alexander de Lisewic, ij milites et dimidium.
Elias Talebot, j militem.
Willelmus de Aula, dimidium militem.
Alexander Eferard, dimidium militem.
Walterus Dunheved, j militem.
Robertus Belet, ij milites.
Warinus filius Geroldi, ij milites.
Radulfus filius Willelmi, iij milites, immo v et dimidium; v in viij°.
Robertus filius Ricardi, ij milites et dimidium.
Simon de Insula, ij milites.
Willelmus de Windesores, iij milites.
Willelmus de Ponte Cardonis, dimidium militem.
Simon Bozun, j militem.
Simon Brito, ij milites.
Reginaldus de Badihamtone, iij milites.
Johannes de Chaunflur, j militem.
Walterus Torpyn, j militem.
Thomas de Greinville, j militem et dimidium, ut viij°.
Alvredus de Bikecumbe, j militem.
Willelmus de Sancto Leodegaro, j militem.
Johannes de Moun, j militem.

Summa.[2]

---

[1] This heading is not rubricated in the MS.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

Sibilla de Bononia, j militem de honore Bononiæ. A.D. 1201–12.
Willelmus Martel, dimidium militem de eodem.

---

v°. Abbas Glastoniæ, xl milites.

Willelmus filius Reginaldi de Lussewrthe, j militem.

Johannes filius Ricardi Pincernæ, vij milites de honore Mortoniæ.

Galfridus de Mauregard et Galfridus de Beytone.

Rogerus filius Nicholai, j militem et dimidium in Tikeham.

Willelmus filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Radulfi, j militem.

Willelmus Martel, vj milites, et j feodum et dimidium de Monte Acuto; et dimidium feodum de novo feffamento; in viij°, vj milites xvj[am partem] minus.

Johannes de Monte Acuto hæres Ricardi filii Willelmi, x milites de Monte Acuto.

Warinus filius Geroldi, xxiiij milites et dimidium, et tres partes de honore Willelmi de Curcy cum uxore sua hærede ipsius Willelmi, et xv milites et dimidium de baronia Willelmi de Meschin.

Ricardus Briwerre, xv milites de honore Mortoniæ qui fuerunt Walteri Britonis.

Reginaldus de Moun, xlj milites.

Robertus de Novo burgo, xv milites de feodo Rogeri de Arundel.

Lincolnesyra.

Episcopus Lincolniensis.[1]

Simon de Cancy.[1]

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. These are apparently further entries for the first scutage already noted, and they are clearly out of place here. The scribe was evidently uncertain as to their true position. In fact, this and other mistakes are due to his not perceiving that the 1st and 2nd and 2nd and 3rd Rolls contain respectively the linked accounts of the 1st and 2nd scutages.

A.D. 1201–12.

Walterus de Neville.[1]
Robertus de Bulers.[1]
Wido de la Val.[1]
Ricardus de Marinis.[1]
Ada Painel.[1]
Baldwinus Wake.[1]

IIII°. Custodes Episcopatus, lx milites quos Episcopus debet Regi, et xlij milites et dimidium quos habet in dominico; de quibus in perdonis Hugoni de Chaucumbe, vj milites; Hugoni de Well[es], dimidium militem; Henrico de Neville, v milites; Waltero Everemiæ [j militem et xvj^{am} partem][2]; Cantuariensi Archiepiscopo, vj milites; Radulfo de Mandeville, xxv milites et duas partes.

Otuelus de Insula, j militem, per Vicecomitem.

Henricus de Neville, j militem.

Cecilia de Crevequer, xv milites; in xiij°, xiij [milites].

Lambertus de Scotiny, x milites, per Vicecomitem.

Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, x milites et dimidium.

Ricardus de Peccho, j militem.

Johannes Malherbe, j militem.

Willelmus Martel, quartam [partem].

Simon de Kime, ij milites; in xiij°, j [militem] et dimidium.

Radulfus de Hanville, j militem in Aconeby.

Willelmus de Bereville, v^{tam} [partem] et xv^{am}.

Willelmus filius Simonis, j militem de honore Lancastriæ.

Bertram de Verdun, iij partes de eodem honore.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. *See* p. 169, note.
[2] Omitted in MS. Supplied from T. R. by a calculation of 28*s.* 4*d.* paid.

A.D. 1201–12. Fo. 80.

V^to^. Magister Alanus de Bolesovere, dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Hugonis de Neville, j militem.
Willelmus de Longo Campo, xxij milites et dimidium; et de novo feffamento, iiij [milites] et dimidium.
Oliverus de Aencurt, xl milites; in xiij^o^, xxxv [milites], per Episcopum Norwicensem.

XIII°. Baudwinus Wake, x milites et viij^am^ [partem].
Robertus Camerarius, j militem.
Radulfus filius Roberti de Haia, j militem.
Gerardus de Canville, xvi milites cum uxore sua, de Baronia Ricardi de Haia.
Comes Cestriæ, lix milites et iiij^tam^ partem, ix feodorum et dimidii quæ Willelmus de Roumare relaxavit.
Henricus de Mare, xxij milites et dimidium.
Hæres Hugonis de Baiocis, xvj milites et dimidium.
Gilbertus de Gaunt, lxviij milites, iij^am^ [partem] et v^tam^.
Normannus de Arescy, xx milites.
Thomas filius Willelmi de Scoteiny, x milites.
Robertus de Tetishale, xxv milites.
Henricus de Neville de Burede, j militem de novo [feffamento].
Henricus de Neville de Hale, j militem.
Henricus de Hanville, j militem et dimidium.

Norhamtesyra.

Walterus de Draiton, dimidium militem, ut viij^o^.
Ricardus Foliot.[1]

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. The cause of this omission is evidently the perplexity of the scribe at the exceedingly small amounts levied in each case, which, however, are owing to the fact that the second scutage was not fully accounted for until the 3rd year according to Swereford's own statement. The true returns will be found under the 3rd year, but they are omitted here.

A.D. 1201–12.

Robertus de Chokes.[1]
Robertus Marmiun.[1]
Prior Coventriæ.[1]
Bernardus de Baylel.[1]
Matilldis Giffard.[1]
Comes Albricus.[1]
Thomas de Beufou.[1]

III°. Rogerus de Bray, dimidium militem de honore de Chokes.

Thomas filius Eustachii, tres partes de eodem honore.

IIII°. Henricus de Pinkeny, xvij milites.

Willelmus de Kaaines, vij milites et dimidium de honore Mortoniæ.

G[alfridus] filius Petri, dimidium militem vj^{tam} et xij^{am} [partem].

Ricardus Engayne, iiij^{tam} [partem].

Abbas de Burgo, lx milites.

Honor Peverelli de Notingtham,[2] præter Robertum de Sauser, Petrum de Goldintone, Willelmum filium Roberti, ij milites et dimidium et iiij^{tam} [partem], per Vicecomitem.

Honor Mortoniæ, j militem et dimidium et [xvj^{am} partem],[3] per Vicecomitem.

Comes Albricus, iij milites.

Honor de Chokes, xv milites ; de quibus in perdonis Willelmo de Humez, ij milites, Saielo de Quency, ij milites in Bukeby.

Johannes Marescallus, j militem et dimidium.

Robertus Marmiun, dimidium militem.

Doun Bardulf, j militem.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. *See* p. 171, note.
[2] *Sic* in MS.
[3] Blank in MS. Supplied from T. R. by a calculation of 41*s.* 8*d.* paid.

Comes Warewicæ, ij milites et v milites et iiij^{tam} partem in Rotelande. A.D. 1201–12.

V°. Margareta Foliot, j militem.

Aldulfus de Cratistene, j militem.

Hugo de Lisures, j militem.

Willelmus Grimbaud,[1] dimidium militem et dimidiam quartam.

Alicia de Dustone, j militem et dimidium.

Ricardus Basset, xv milites.

XIII°. Eustachius de Watforde, j militem.

Walterus de Bractone, dimidium militem de feodo Roberti filii Alberti Camerarii.

Fulco de Lisures, j militem, per H[ugonem] de Neville.

Walterus de Prestone, dimidium militem in Gretone.

Johannes de Bidun, v milites et dimidium, per W[illelmum Briwerre.

Simon de Lindone, ij milites, pro W[illelmo] de Humes, de honore de Chokes.

Ricardus filius Ursonis, iij milites [et] vj partem, per W[alterum] de Cortenay.

Comes de Ferariis, j militem in Hecham.

Walterus de Cortenay, iij milites et vj^{tam} [partem].

Wischard Ledet, xiij milites et dimidium et iiij^{tam} [partem].

Comes Cestriæ, ij milites in Olneye.

Willelmus de Bustone, j militem de honore Mortoniæ; immo j militem et dimidium ut in viij° de feodo de Bustone.

ESSEXA. HERTFORDE.

Willelmus de Reymes.[2]

Willelmus de Helyun.[2]

Ricardus de Reymes.[2]

---

[1] *Trunbaud* in MS., altered to *Grimbaud*.

[2] *Sic* in MS. *See* p. 171, note.

A.D. 1201–12.

Willelmus de Cloville.[1]
Willelmus de Sancto Clavo.[1]
Robertus de Hastinges.[1]
Simon Peccatum.[1]
Rogerus Talebot.[1]
Willelmus filius Ranulfi.[1]
Godefridus de Luveine.[1]
Robertus Monachus.[1]
Radulfus de Neueland, ij milites.
Emma de Cantelupo, ij milites.
Henricus Lohot, j militem,
Willelmus Faucilun, j militem.
Gilbertus de Tama, v milites et dimidium.
Robertus de Helyun, x milites.
Serlo de Marcy, j militem.
Henricus de Pinkiny, xvij milites.
Hubert de Anesty, v milites.
Arnulfus Malegreffe, j militem.
Radulfus Picot, iiij milites.
Radulfus de Ameneville, j militem.
Ricardus de Banyflet, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Otonis, j militem.

Fo. 80 d. Mater ejus, j militem.
Simon de Merc, ij milites.
Henricus de Merc, iij milites.
Galfridus Ridel, v milites.
Osbertus de Mara, j militem.
Johannes de Stobinges, dimidium militem de honore Peverelli Dovræ.
Willelmus de Witsond, j militem de eodem.
Hugo de Bocstode, j militem de eodem.
Mathæus Mantel, j militem de eodem.

V°. Galfridus de Cramaville, j militem.
Willelmus de Haia, ij milites et dimidium de honore Bononiæ.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS. *See* p. 171, note.

A.D. 1201–12.

Willelmus de Clerbec, dimidium militem.
Ricardus filius Ranulfi, iiij^{am} partem [militis].
Hervicus de Clerbec, dimidium militem.
Henricus de Pinkeny, j militem.
Milo de Sumery, iij milites et dimidium.
Radulfus de Rovcestria, v milites et quartam [partem].
Willelmus de Helmare, j militem.
Thomas de Canville, iij milites.
Willelmus de Boseville, ij milites.
Beatriz de Luscy, j militem.
Robertus Marescallus, quartam partem [militis].
Robertus de Lamburne, ij milites.
Radulfus de Marcy, dimidium militem.
Willelmus Tresgoz, vj milites.
Galfridus de Fercles, iij milites.
Ernaldus de Curtone, j militem.
Johannes filius Leonardi, j militem.
Gilbertus de Tany, vij milites.
Johannes de Stepinge, dimidium militem de honore Doveriæ.
Abbas Sancti Albani, vj milites.
Ricardus de Munfichet, xlvij milites, et iiij^{am} partem de veteri et quintam [partem] de novo [feffamento], ut xiij^{o}.[1]
Albricus de Ver, xxx milites, ut viij^{o}.[1]

XIII°. Warinus filius Geroldi, liij milites, et iiij^{tam} [partem] de feudo Eudonis Dapiferi, et vij milites et duas quintas de novo feffamento Regis Henrici secundi.

Robertus filius Walteri, lxiij milites et dimidium de propria hæreditate; et xxx milites et iij [partem] de hæreditate uxoris suæ hæredis Roberti de Valoniis; et ij milites pro Galfrido de Gavoloniis de hæreditate ejusdem uxoris.

Godefridus de Lovania, x milites cum filia et hærede Roberti de Hastinges.

---

[1] *et* in MS.

A.D. 1201–12. Paganus de Chawrthes, j militem in Linlega, quæ fuit Willelmi Malet.

Robertus de Mara, hæres Albani de Gyruy, j militem.

G[alfridus] filius Petri, iiij^{xx} et xviij milites et tertiam [partem], et xxij milites et dimidium et duodecimam [partem] de honore Berkhamstude de feodo Mortoniæ.

Oxoneford.

Henricus Douly, xxxij milites et quartam [partem].
Radulfus filius Wigayn, quartam [partem].
Wakelinus Hareng, j militem.
Hervicus Bagot, j militem.
Rogerus de Cestria, dimidium militem.
Sewale filius Richeri dimidium militem, ut viij^{o}.
Osbern de Hedindone, viij partem.
Gervasius Painel, j militem et j in Chiselehamtone.
Bereford Olavi, dimidium militem.
Lillingestane, quartam [partem].
Rollendrit, dimidium [militem].

IIII^{to}. Adam de Simplinges, dimidium militem.

Ricardus filius Nigelli, j [militem] et dimidium ; in v^{to}, ij milites et dimidium.

Stephanus de Hamtone, j militem.

Rogerus de Sancto Johanne, j militem.

Willelmus de Aucrintone, tres partes ; in xiij^{o}, j militem de feodo Wakelin Harang.

Gilbertus filius Gregorii, j militem.

Willelmus filius Elyæ, j militem.

Robertus de Linguiere, j militem.

Willelmus Luvel, j militem de Comite Legecestriæ.

Alicia Clementis, j militem.

Willelmus Buffin, quartam [partem militis].

Ricardus Deoli, j militem ut viij^{o}.

Wido de Diva, tertiam.

A.D. 1201–12.

Rogerus de Linguiere, dimidium militem, ut viij°.
Ricardus Foliot, j militem.
Nicholaus de Verdun, j militem et duas partes.
Ricardus de Scrupis, tertiam [partem].
Thomas de Sancto Valerico, x milites.
Johannes de Hastinges, j militem.
Comes Sarrisbiriæ, j militem.
Robertus Marmiun, j militem.
Saierus de Quency, ij milites.
Radulfus de Sumeri, j militem.
Radulfus Musard, j militem.
Arnulfus de Maunvers, j militem.
Willelmus de Briwerre, j militem in Clintone.
Johannes de Arewecurt,[1] j militem.

V°. Galfridus de Sakeville, dimidium militem.
Sibilla de Mollintone, j militem.

XIII^us. Robertus de Arsich, xx milites et iiij^tam [partem].
Gerardus de Canville, j militem.
Dadintune, ij milites.
Walterus de Verdun, j militem ; ut in viij°.

Wigornsira.

Episcopus Wigorniensis, xlix milites et dimidium.
Abbas Eveshamiæ, iiij [milites] et dimidium.
Abbas de Persora, ij milites.
Fo. 81. Abbas Westmonasterii, vj milites et dimidium ; in xiij°, vij milites ; et in viij, xv milites.

XIII°. Willelmus de Bello Campo, xvj milites. In aliis rotulis idem.

---

[1] i.e. *Haroucurt.*

A.D. 1201–12.

Norhumbrelande.

Robertus filius Rogeri, j militem[1] de feodo Rogeri filii Ricardi.

Hæres Ranulfi de Moreville, j militem.

Radulfus de Wigornia, j militem.

Willelmus filius Sywardi, j militem.

Robertus de Merlay, iiij milites.

Walterus vel Petrus de Karun, j militem.

Hæres Stephani de Bulimer, modo Robertus de Muscamp, iiij milites.

Hugo de Herewetone, j militem et dimidium.

Godefridus Baard.[2]

Philippus de Humez, ij milites.

Ricardus de Umframville, ij milites et dimidium.

Alexander de Bradefeud, j militem.

Thomas de Amundeville, j militem.

Bernardus de Baylol.[3]

Rogerus Bertram.[4]

IIII°. Robertus de Dovelestone, tertiam partem [militis].

Walterus filius Gilberti, iij milites.

Nicholaus de Morewick, j militem.

Jordanus Heyrun, j militem.

Walterus de Bolebeč, v milites.

Adam de Tyndale, j militem.

Radulfus Mayn, j militem.

Johannes Vicecomes, iij milites.

Gilbertus de la Val, ij milites.

---

[1] There is a blank in the MS. after *j*, as though the scribe was in doubt whether to write *j feodum militis.*

[2] *Sic* in MS. *Hæres Godefridi Baard reddit compotum de ir s. de feodo ipsius.*—T. R.

[3] *Sic* in MS. *Eustachius hæres Bernardi de Bailloel, lm. de scutagio suo.*—T. R.

[4] *Sic* in MS. *Willelmus Briwerre habet quietantiam de xiijm. de scutagio Rogeri Bertrami.*—T. R.

A.D. 1201–12.

Radulfus de Salgy, iij milites.
Hugo de Baylol, xxx milites.
Eustachius de Vescy, xij milites.
Robertus de Ros, ij milites.
Robertus Bertram, iij milites.

V^to^. Thomas filius Radulfi, iij^am^ partem.
Willelmus Bardulf, j militem.
Osbertus filius Willelmi, j militem de custodia.
Robertus filius Willelmi, j militem.
Walterus filius Gileberti, iij milites.

VI^to^. Ricardus super Teysam, duas partes [militis].

XIII°. Rogerus filius Radulfi, j militem, qui prius tenuit per serjanteriam.

Johannes de Cherlintone, j militem, per Robertum Bertram.

Jacobus de Chaus, j militem.

Robertus filius Rogeri, iij milites de feodo Roberti de Cramaville, et ij milites de Newbiria et Roby, et j militem de Werkewrthe.

NOTINGEHAM. DORBY.

Rogerus de Burun, x milites, ut viij^o^.

Willelmus de Chaursis, j militem. Idem, viij^o^.

Honor de Gaunt, v milites in hiis comitatibus, ut viij^o^.

Alexander de Pointone, ij milites et iiij^tam^ [partem] de honore Richemundiæ.

Willelmus de Durstune, j militem.

A.D. 1201–12. IIII^to^. Adam de Benifeld,[1] dimidium militem.
Oliverus de Welebec, tertiam partem.
Robertus de Harestan, j militem.

De honore Peverelli.[2]

Simon Basset, j militem et dimidium.
Robertus le Brittun, j militem.
Radulfus de Greselega, iij milites.
Gervasius de Wyvertone, v^tam^ partem.
Yvo de Heriz, iiij milites.
Robertus de la Sausere, j militem.
Samson de Stredlega, j militem.
Willelmus filius Roberti de Asseby, iij milites.
Thomas Dispensarius, quartam partem.
Jacobus de Mara, iiij^tam^ partem.
Hugo de Alneto, x[^am^] partem.
Petrus de Goldintone, iiij milites.
Hugo de Stivintone, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Stapelforde, j militem.
Radulfus filius Roberti, dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Normanville, j militem et dimidium.
Simon Pakemon et Rogerus filius Rogeri, j militem.
Philippus Dulecote, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Insula, iij milites.
Galfridus de Pavily, iiij milites.
Comes de Ferariis, iij milites et dimidium.
Constabularius Cestriæ, iij milites.
Hugo de Bello Campo, j militem.
Henricus de Gray, vj milites.
Ricardus de Vernun, j militem et dimidium.
Eustachius de Mortoyl, iij milites.

V^to^. Ricardus de Coleville, dimidium militem.
Matilldis de Sumerville, dimidium militem.

[1] Rectius *Bedingfeld*, as in T. R.

[2] This heading is not rubricated in the MS.

Willelmus de Novencurt, j militem. A.D. 1201–12.
Ricardus de Camera, j militem.
Sewale de Barlebuc, dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Sibetorp, dimidium militem.
Adam de Cokefelde, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Gernun, dimidium militem.
Robertus de Birkwode, v^tam^ partem.
Milo de Bello Campo et Ricardus frater ejus, j militem in Beistune.
Robertus de Harestan, j militem.

VI^to^. Walterus de Hortewelle, dimidium militem in [vj^to^].[1] Fo. 81 d.
In Huptone, j militem, per Vicecomitem.
Ricardus Abbas, ij milites.
Willelmus de Sancto Patricio, ij milites et dimidium.
Galfridus de Nortone, j militem.
Walterus de Haia, viij^am^ [partem militis].

De honore de Tikehulle.[2]

Hugo de Addone, iij milites.
Rogerus de Bevercote, j militem.
Ranulfus de Novo Mercato, j militem et tertiam [partem].
Robertus de Sancto Quintino, iij[^am^] partem.
Rogerus Monachus, j militem.
Willelmus filius Wakelini [iiij milites].[3]
Willelmus Pincerna, j militem.
Constabularius Cestriæ, viij milites. Item, iij milites de feodo Willelmi filii Roberti.
Gerardus de Furnivalle, v milites et quartam [partem].
Adam de Novo Mercato, iiij milites.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *j feodum militis.*—T. R.

[2] This heading was at first written in ink, and then scored through with a red line and the rubric written above it.

[3] Blank in MS. supplied from T. R.

A.D. 1201–12.

Philippus de Ulecote, j militem.
Willelmus de Cressy, iiij milites.
Eustachius de Vessy, vj[am] partem.
Robertus de Veteri Ponte, duas partes.
Johannes de Eyncurt et Hugo de Stiventone, j militem.
Robertus Vavassor, tertiam partem.
Pavia de Rantone, dimidium militem.
Thomas de Werfrintone et Radulfus de Mistertone et Robertus de Ordehale, ij milites.
In Eboraco, x feoda et dimidium.

.V^to.

Willelmus de Seftone, tres partes.
Robertus filius Pagani, j militem.
Thomas filius Ricardi, tres partes.
Hugo de Sandeby, j militem et vij[am] [partem].
Radulfus Selvayn, j militem.
Willelmus de Neville, x[am] partem.
Gilbertus de Archis, ij milites.
Rogerus de Bevercote, j militem.
Robertus de Cheverecurt, ij milites.
Adam de Bedigfelde, dimidium militem.
Nigellus de Luvetot, xv milites, cum x quos habet in aliis comitatibus extra honorem.
Johannes de Buyli, vj milites.
Rogerus de Monte Begonis, x[am] partem.
Willelmus de Causes,[1] iij milites.

Summa.[2]

Le honore de Bolesofres.[3]

Bolesovers, ij milites et dimidium.
Mollintone et Eytone, in Oxenefordsira, iij milites.

---

[1] Rectius *Chaurces*.

[2] *Sic* in MS.

[3] There is no rubric in the MS., but the following headings have been ingrossed in a later hand on the top of this page:—Honor de Tikhulle; Honor de Bolesore. In the Pipe Roll these entries are under the honor of Peverel.

A.D. 1201–12.

Bertone, in Suffolcia, j militem.
Torintone, in Essexa, j militem.
Goldeburt, in Norhamtesira, j militem.
Blaculneslega, ibidem, j militem.
Haveresham, in Bukinghamsira, j militem, et H[echam],[1] per Vicecomitem de comitatu.

V^to^. Hubert filius Radulfi, xiiij milites.
Gilbertus de Norfolcia, j militem.
Radulfus Musardus, xij milites, ut viij^o^.
Petrus de Sandiacra, vij milites.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.
Henricus Hose, dimidium militem.
Thomas de Sandale et Willelmus de Santone, dimidium militem.
Monachi de Swinesefed,[1] dimidium militem in Cotegrave.
Henricus de Stuteville, v milites.
Radulfus de Gousle, dimidium militem in Flintham.
Oliverus de Aencurt, vj milites.
Matilldis de Kauz, xij milites et dimidium.
Ricardus de Trowelle, dimidium militem et quartam in Trowelle et Brancote.
Archiepiscopus Eboracensis, iij milites in Leyrtone, Kerlintone et Muscamp.
Comes de Ferariis, lxviij milites et dimidium.

Stafordesyra.

Episcopus Cestriæ,[1] xv milites.
Hervicus Bagot, lx milites.
Hugo Pantulf, ij milites hic.
Willelmus filius Alani, iiij milites in hoc comitatu.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.

A.D. 1201–12. Henricus de Oyly, tertiam partem hic.
Comes de Ferariis, j militem et quartam partem.

IIII°. Willelmus de Canville, j militem.
Galfridus le Sauvage, dimidium militem.
Nicholaus de Verdum, ij milites.
Comes Cestriæ, viij milites hic.

CESTRIA.[1]

A.D. 1252. Fo. 82. Feoda de comitatu Cestriæ secundum inquisitionem factam per Alanum la Zuche tunc Justiciarium.

Hamo de Mascy tenet v^que^ feoda militem.

Rogerus de Venables, x feoda.

Warinus de Vernun, quinque feoda præter sextam partem unius feodi de baronia Wycy Maubanci, et sex feoda, tertiam partem, et octavam partem unius militis de feodo de Schypbroke.

Jacobus de Audithele iiij^or^ feoda de eadem baronia Wichi Maubanci.

Philippa Comitissa Warwikæ, iij feoda de eadem baronia.

Adam Mustelus et Laurentius de Saunforde tenent iij feoda de eadem baronia.

Edmundus de Lascy, viij feoda de baronia de Hanletone.

Walkelinus de Ardene, vij feoda.

Rogerus de Monte Alto, duo feoda et dimidium.

Thomas de Meingnewarin, iiij feoda et dimidium.

Robertus Patheric, iij feoda.

David de Malo Passu, iij feoda.

Willelmus de Bordele, iiij feoda et dimidium.

Johannes filius Alani, ij feoda.

Kingesl[e] cum pertinentiis, j feodum.

Feodum de Roweles respondet pro uno feodo.

Puleforde [respondet] pro ij feodis.

Patricius de Haselewelle, Bertramus de Molis, et Mathæus de Thorintone, unum feodum.

Edmundus de Soterleghe, j feodum.

Hæredes Adæ de Hellesby tenent Actonam pro octava parte unius feodi.

---

[1] The remaining entries of scutages are begun on a new folio in the MS. and in a later hand, the same apparently as that of the entire collection of scutages in the Hargrave MS.

Galfridus de Dittone in Chelde, j feodum. A.D. 1252.
Comes de Aubermarle in Fuleschawe tenet j feodum.
Johannes de Grey tenet iiij^{tam} partem j feodi in Adelintone.
Sewale de Chiderintone, quartam partem j feodi.
Willelmus Bridone tenet in custodia apud Swhetenham quartam partem unius feodi.

IN ROTULO XXXVII REGIS HENRICI FILII REGIS JOHANNIS ROTULO COMPOTORUM.[1]

Alanus la Zousche reddit compotum de xl*s.* de feodo militis in compoto de auxilio militum Comitis Cestriæ ad filium Regis militem faciendum.

[1] In a still later hand.

CERTIFICATIONES FACTÆ DE FEODIS MILITUM TEMPORE REGIS HENRICI SECUNDI PER PRELATOS ET BARONES.[1]

A.D. 1166.

LONDONIA. MIDELSEXA.[2]

Fo. 83. CARTA EPISCOPI LONDONIENSIS DE MILITIBUS FEFFATIS[3] ET FEODIS SUIS TOTIUS EPISCOPATUS.

Willelmus de Wokindone,[4] iiij milites et dimidium; et præter hoc, ex testimonio Curiæ meæ, dimidium exigo, quem ipse se non debere defendit.

Simon[5] Bald, iij milites.

Willelmus Baard,[6] ij milites.

Rogerus de Mareiny,[7] ij milites.

Willelmus de Patemere, j militem et tertiam partem militis.

Radulfus de Peleham, j militem.

Ricardus de Furnels, j militem et iij quartiers militis.

Thomas de Wideford, j militem.

Willelmus Grossus, j militem et dimidium.

Willelmus de Septem Molis,[8] ij milites et iij quartiers militis.

---

[1] This title is taken from a heading on F°. 83 of the MS. in a hand of Edward II. The full heading is as follows:—"Certificationes "factæ de feodis militum tempore "Regis Henrici Secundi per prela- "tos et barones pretextu mandati "Regis ejusdem annotati alibi in "hoc libro, folio videlicet, xlvij° "precedenti." In the table of contents at the beginning and end of the book these returns appear to be included under the previous heading "De feodis militum," &c. There is no heading in L. N.

[2] *Londonia et Middelsexa*, L. N.

[3] *fefatis*, L. N.

[4] *Wokindune*, L. N.

[5] *Symon*, L. N.

[6] *Baardus*, L. N.

[7] *Marenni*, L. N.

[8] *Setmulins*, L. N.

LONDONIA. MIDELSEXA—*cont.* A.D. 1166.

Reginaldus de Ginges, ij milites.

Willelmus de Peltedone, j militem; et præter hoc, ex testimonio Curiæ meæ, adhuc j [1] militem exigo.

Mauricius de Meldone,[2] j militem.

Simon de Alvedeleye,[3] dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Charneres, dimidium militem.

Rogerus de Gray,[4] dimidium militem.

Abbas Sanctæ Osithæ, dimidium militem.

Walterus de Aletor, dimidium militem.

Radulfus de Berniers,[5] dimidium militem.

Robertus Marmiun,[6] dimidium militem.

Walterus de Meine, dimidium militem.

Ricardus le Madsle, dimidium militem.

Willelmus filius Pagani, dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Pontefracto, tertiam partem militis.

Willelmus de Caldene, tertiam [7] partem militis et vj^{tam}.

Wimundus de Suthministre,[8] tertiam partem militis.

Simon de Turroke, tres [7] quarteras [9] militis.

Ricardus archidiaconus Essexæ, tres [7] quartiers militis.

Ranulfus filius Asketil,[10] quartam partem militis.

Ranulfus de Storteford,[11] quartam partem militis.

Willelmus filius Rogeri, quartam partem militis.

Willelmus Dunekan, quartam partem militis.

Thola de Suthministre,[12] quartam partem militis.

Willelmus de Berdestaple, quartam partem militis.

Willelmus de Ver, quartam partem militis.

Liardus de Clakintone,[13] quintam partem militis.

Robertus de Furches, quintam partem militis.

Hæc est summa militum—xxxvj milites et tertia pars militis.

---

[1] *unum*, L. N.
[2] *Mesdone*, L. N.
[3] *Alvedevel*, L. N.
[4] *Grai*, L. N.
[5] *Bernieres*, L. N.
[6] *de Marmiun*, L. N.
[7] *iij*, L. N.
[8] *Suthmenistre*, L. N.
[9] *quartiers*, L. N.
[10] *Asketili*, L. N.
[11] *Storteforde*, L. N.
[12] *Simenistre*, L. N.
[13] *Clatintone*, L. N.

A.D. 1166. LONDONIA. MIDELSEXA—*cont.*

CARTA ABBATIÆ WESTMONASTERII DE MILITIBUS FEFFATIS[1] EX VETERI FEFFAMENTO[2] ET NOVO.

Isti sunt qui servitium militis debent Ecclesiæ beati Petri Westmonasterii :—

Dominus Rex tenet Stokes in Wirecestrescira et inde debet servitium, j militis.[3]

Willelmus de Bello Campo, in Wirecestrescira, vij milites.

Hugo le Poher,[4] in Wirecestrescira et Gloucestrescira, iij milites.

Petrus de Stodlega, in Wirecestrescira, j militem.

Walterus de Bello Campo, in Wirecestrescira, dimidium militem.

Reginaldus de Steinlinge,[5] in Wirecestrescira et Gloucestrescira, ij milites.

Henricus de Novo Mercato, in Wirecestrescira et Gloucestrescira, ij milites.[6]

Willelmus Folet, in Wirecestrescira et Gloucestrescira, j militem.

Walterus de Cliford[7] debet in Wirecestrescira servitium quintæ partis j militis[8] pro una hida quam tenet.

Willelmus Haket, in Wirecestrescira, tenet j hidam pro quinta parte militis.

Omnes isti servitia, militum recognoscunt et faciunt, secundum quod ad feoda sua pertinet.

Comes Gloucestriæ, in Gloucestrescira, debet servitium ij militum,[9] quod fecit usque ad extremum exercitum Walliæ ; sed postea deforciavit.[10]

---

[1] *fefatis*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *unius militis*, L. N.
[4] *Hugo Puher*, L. N.
[5] *Stainlinge*, L. N.
[6] *duos milites*, L. N.
[7] *Clifford*, L. N.
[8] *partis militis*, L. N.
[9] *duorum militum*, L. N.
[10] *difforciavit*, L. N.

MIDELSEXA—*cont.* A.D. 1166.

Radulfus de Munemue debet in Wirecestrescira et Gloucestrescira servitium unius militis quod deforciat.[1]

Walterus del[2] Meine, in Wirecestrescira, servitium j militis; sed Willelmus de Stantone, qui feodum illud de eo tenet medietatem deforciat.[1]

Angodus[3] Duredent, in Bukinghamscira,[4] debet servitium dimidii militis.

Wakelinus,[5] in Surreia, debet servitium tertiæ partis[6] militis.

In Essexa,[7] Ricardus de Reimes servitium dimidii militis.

In Midelsexa,[8] Walterus filius Roberti servitium j militis quod deforciat.[1]

Omnes isti vel antecessores eorum feodati sunt ex tempore Regis Henrici; nullus vero postea.[9]

KENT. Fo. 83 d.

CARTA WILLELMI COMITIS GLOUCESTRIÆ.

Hic est rotulus militum Willelmi Comitis Gloucestriæ in Kent:—

Willelmus filius Hamonis, iij milites et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Rocelini,[10] j militis feodum.

Osbertus[11] Vitdeneres,[12] j militis feodum.

Wimundus,[13] iiij milites.

---

[1] *difforciat*, L. N.

[2] *de*, L. N.

[3] *Angod*, L. N.

[4] *Buckingehamscira*, L. N.

[5] *Walkelinus*, L. N.

[6] *iij^a. partis*, L. N.

[7] *In Essexa* is referred to the preceding entry in the MS.

[8] *Middelsexa*, L. N. This also is referred to the preceding entry in the MS.

[9] The return of the Archbishop of Canterbury's knights follows here in L. N.

[10] *Bocelini*, afterwards altered to *Rocelini*, in MS.; *Boselini*, L. N.

[11] *Osberti*, L. N. In the MS. the scribe has appended *feodum* to the preceding entry, and treated *Osbertus* as beginning a fresh entry.

[12] *Oit deniers*, L. N.

[13] *Wimundi*, L. N. The same misreading is repeated here in the MS,

A.D. 1166. KENT—*cont.*

Eustacius in Morewithe,[1] ij milites.
Anfridus[2] de Dovra, dimidium militem.
Rogerus filius Herleini,[3] j militis feodum.
Hugo[4] de Crevequer,[5] j militem.
Henricus de Camberville,[6] dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Bella Aqua, dimidium militem.
Vitalis filius Osberti, tertiam partem militis.
Rualo de Valoines,[7] iij milites et dimidium cum Godseldo.[8]
Galfridus de Tiskeseia, ij milites.
Ricardus de Alteham, j militem.
Ricardus filius Rabeli, j militem.

CARTA DANIELIS DE CREVEQUER.[5]

Isti sunt milites Danielis de Crevequer,[5] feodati de suo veteri feodo:—

Helte[9] filius Ricardi, iij milites et dimidium et vj^tam^[10] partem.
Bartholomæus filius Julianæ, j militem et dimidium et vj^tam^[10] partem j[11] militis.
Fulco Folet, j militem et dimidium et vj^am^[10] partem.
Willelmus de Eineford,[12] j militem.
Clemens de Sidingia, j militem.
Lucas filius Gerardi, j militem.
Ricardus Parforere, tertiam partem militis.
Willelmus de Tikeseia,[13] tertiam partem militis.
Robertus de Exonia,[14] tertiam partem militis.

---

[1] *Eustachius de Marewrthe*, L. N.
[2] *Anfridus*, L. N.
[3] *Herloini*, L. N.
[4] *Feodum Hugonis*,' L. N. The scribe has here repeated his error.
[5] *Crievequer*, L. N.
[6] *Cambrewelle*, L. N.
[7] *Ruallo de Valoniis*, L. N.
[8] *Godsoldo*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MS.; *Helto*, L. N.
[10] *sextam*, L. N.
[11] *unius*, L. N.
[12] *Einesford*, L. N.
[13] *Thikeseia*, L. N.
[14] *Oxeneia*, L. N.

KENT—*cont.* A.D. 1166.

Præter hos clamat tenere duos milites quos dominus Rex tenet in manu[1] sua:—

Radulfus Grassus,[2] j militem.

Thomas de Nesindenia, j militem.

Et istos duos milites habuerunt sui antecessores in manu sua et sunt de suo veteri feodo.

Item, præter hos prædictos,[3] Daniel de Crevequer[4] habet hos milites feodatos de suo dominio:—

Nicholaus[5] de Kent, j militem et dimidium.

Stephanus de Starkestede, dimidium militem.

Hugo de Fresneia,[6] dimidium militem.

Henricus Dapifer, iiij^{or} partes militis.

Willelmus de Bronfeld, iiij^{tam}[7] partem militis.

CARTA HUGONIS DE DOVRA.

Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Hugo de Dovra[8] suus fidelis salutem[9] et servitium.

Hæc sunt nomina militum meorum de veteri feffamento[10] in Kent sicut per breve vestrum mihi mandastis:—

Willelmus filius Radulfi, ij milites.

Willelmus filius Aiulfi, ij[11] milites.

Petrus de Werlinges, j militem.

Hugo Juvenis, j militem.

Eustacius[12] de Hersta, j militem.

Elias de Silinghalde,[13] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *in sua manu*, L. N.
[2] *Crassus*, L. N.
[3] *præscriptos*, L. N.
[4] *Crievequer*, L. N.
[5] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[6] *Frenneia*, L. N.
[7] *Bronfelde, quartam.*—L. N.
[8] *Dovera*, L. N.
[9] *salutes et servitia*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *duos*, L. N.
[12] *Eustachius*, L. N.
[13] *Sillighalde*, L. N.

A.D. 1166. KENT—*cont.*

Robertus filius Willelmi filii Galant[is], dimidium militem.

Hamo filius Osberti, dimidium militem.

Willelmus filius Baldewini, dimidium militem.

Egnulfus[1] de Apetone, j militem.

Osbertus de Hucham, j militem.

Hervicus de Turneby,[2] dimidium militem.

Summa—xj milites et dimidium; et super dominium meum de novo feffamento,[3] ij milites et dimidium.

Willelmus filius Radulfi, unum militem.[4]

CARTA WILLELMI DE ABBRINCIS.[5]

Hæc sunt nomina militum Willelmi de Albrincis[5] in Kancia[6]:—

Willelmus de Auberville[7] tenet de me feoda iiij^{or} militum et dimidii.

Willelmus de Cherintone, feoda v militum.

Walterus de Einesbroc, feodum j militis.

Osbertus de Haveringes,[8] feodum j militis.

Willelmus filius Durant,[9] feodum j militis.

Robertus de Beseville,[10] feodum dimidii militis.

Philippus de Veteri Ponte, feodum dimidii militis.

Manasser de Whitsand,[11] feodum dimidii militis.

Alanus Malesmains, feodum dimidii militis.

Rogerus[12] de Valeines, feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus de Ardene et Radulfus de Bec, feodum dimidii militis.

---

[1] *Engenulfus*, L. N.
[2] *Herevicus de Turnebi*, L. N.
[3] *feodamento*, L. N.
[4] *Valete* added in L. N.
[5] *Abrincis*, L. N.
[6] *Cancia*, L. N.
[7] *Albville*, L. N.
[8] *Heveringes*, L. N.
[9] *Duranti*, L. N.
[10] *Besvilla*, L. N.
[11] *Manasserus de Hwitsand*, L. N.
[12] *Reginaldus*, L. N.

KENT—*cont.*

De novo feffamento post mortem [1] Henrici Regis avi Regis [2]; et isti milites Willelmi de Albrincis de Kancia [3] quando Rex accipit auxilium de militibus xx solidorum; dabunt isti xij solidos et non amplius; et si marcam accipit, viij solidos dabunt ultra Thamisiam [4]:— A.D. 1166.

Robertus de Beseville, dimidium militem.

Ricardus de Milers,[5] feoda ij militum.

Rogerus de Nungun, feoda ij militum.[6]

Philippus de Veteri Ponte, feodum j militis.[7]

Radulfus de Chaineduit,[8] feodum j militis.[7]

De novo feffamento [9] post mortem Regis Henrici avi Regis:—

Alexander Tirellus, feodum j militis.

Hunfridus de Milliers, feodum j militis de novo feffamento.[10]

Fo. 84. SUMMA MILITUM ARCHIEPISCOPI CANTUARIENSIS.[11]

Archiepiscopus habet iiij^{xx} et iiij^{or} et dimidium et quartam [partem] feffatos.[12]

---

[1] *De novo fefamento post tempus*, L. N.

[2] This passage is obviously corrupt both in the MS. and in L. N. Reference to the Pipe Roll of 14 Hen. II. proves that William held 21½ fees *de veteri*, and 2½ *de novo*. This suggests that we should here read *Willelmus de Ardene et Radulfus de Bec, feodum dimidii militis de novo . . . . Regis*; that a new paragraph should begin with *et isti*; and that the words *ultra Thamisiam*, which are here wrongly appended to the preceding sentence, should form the heading to the second section of William's fief, the previous section being in Kent. The two remaining fees *de novo* are those at the end of this *Carta*.

[3] *Cancia*, L. N.

[4] *Tamisiam*, L. N.

[5] *Milliers*, L. N.

[6] *duorum militem*, L. N.

[7] *unius militis*, L. N.

[8] *Chainduit*, L. N.

[9] *fefamento*, L. N.

[10] In L.N. *De novo fefamento* commences a new clause, to which is appended the entry *Robertus de Beseville, dimidium feodum.* In the MS. (*ut supra*) *de novo feffamento* is connected with the entry preceding it, and the entry *Robertus de Beseville* is inserted on an erasure higher up. L. N. adds here *Summa xxiiij milites.*

[11] *Archiepiscopatus Cantuariæ*, L. N.; but see *supra*, p. 189, note 9.

[12] *Archiepiscopus Cantuariensis habet iiij^{xx} milites et iiij et dimidium et quartam partem militis*, L.N.

KENT—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. SUMMA MILITUM ABBATIS SANCTI AUGUSTINI CANTUARIÆ.[1]

Abbas Sancti Augustini habet xv milites feffatos.

CARTA WALKELINI MAMIGNOT.[2]

Robertus de Turneham tenet de eo feoda iij militum.
Henricus de Fillgeriis,[3] feoda iij militum.
Gilbertus de Hachesham,[4] feoda iiij militum.
Robertus de Puentone,[5] ij feoda militum.
Alanus Vicecomes,[6] j feodum militis.
Simon de Dentone, ij feoda militum.
Michael de Codeham, ij feoda militum.
Baldewinus Viscard[7] et Willelmus filius Roberti, feoda ij militum.
Radulfus de Noers,[8] feodum j militis.
Radulfus filius Willelmi, feodum dimidii militis.
Hugo Lupus, feodum dimidii militis.
Ada Ruffus,[9] dimidium militem.
Nicholaus,[10] dimidium militem.
Willelmus filius Hay,[11] dimidium militem.
Alexander de Bertone,[12] j militem.
Willelmus Donetrop,[13] j militem.

---

[1] The rubric is omitted in L. N., and this entry follows the Archbishop's return without a break, as:—*Abbas de Sancto Augustino Cantuariæ, xv milites.*

[2] Written on an erasure; *Walc*[*helini*] *Maminot*, L. N., which here inserts *Hæc est baronia Walch*[*elini*] *Maminot.*

[3] *Fulgeriis*, L. N.

[4] *Gilebertus de Hacchesham*, L. N.

[5] *Puintone*, L. N.

[6] Originally written *Visc*[*ard*] and altered subsequently to *Vicecomes.* In L. N. *Symon* is entered before *Alanus.*

[7] *Wiscard*, L. N.

[8] *Nuers*, L. N.

[9] *Rufus*, L. N.

[10] *Nicolaus*, L. N.

[11] *Hai*, L. N.

[12] *Bertona*, L. N.

[13] *Dunetrop*, L. N.

KENT—*cont.*

Robertus Brito, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Herbertus[1] Brito, dimidium militem.

Summa—xxvij milites.

Et de noviter feodatis post mortem Regis Henrici :—
Stephanus de Haya,[2] feodum dimidii militis.
Alanus de Cast[ello], iiij[tam][3] partem j militis.
Hugo Clericus, iiij[tam][3] partem militis.
Et suum dominium quietum.

CARTA WALTERI DE MEDUANA.

Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, carissimo domino suo, Walterus de Meduana salutem et fidele servitium. Notum sit vobis quod anno et die in quo Rex Henricus avus vester vivus et mortuus fuit, tenuit Galfridus Tallebot[4] in capite de illo xx milites,[5] quos gratia vestri de vobis modo teneo,[6] scilicet :—

Manasser[7] de Danmartin, iij milites.
Willelmus de Cloville, iij milites.
Robertus de Sancto Claro, ij milites.
Johannes de Sancto Claro, ij milites.
Ricardus de Oteringeberge, ij milites.
Henricus de Haningesfeld,[8] j militem et dimidium.
Elyas le Terrer,[9] j militem.
Reginaldus de Ginges, j militem.
Willelmus de Berdestaple, j militem.
Hamo de Scottot, j militem.
Willelmus de Lodesdone,[10] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Swanescampe,[11] dimidium militem.
Radulfus filius Geroldi, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[2] *Haia*, L. N.
[3] *quartam*, L. N.
[4] *Talebot*, L. N.
[5] *milites* omitted in L. N.
[6] *modo de vobis teneo*, L. N.
[7] *Manasserus*, L. N.
[8] *Haningefelde*, L. N.
[9] *Helyas le Terrier*, L. N.
[10] *Ludesdune*, L. N.
[11] *Swaneschampe*, L. N.

KENT—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Waringus de Haningesfeld,[1] dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Hurtesville,[2] dimidium militem.

De novo feffamento in tempore Regis Stephani :—

Willelmus de Gernaco,[3] tenet de dominio meo dimidium militem unde nullum servitium habeo.
Radulfus de Cloville, dimidium militem.
Ricardus Hangurnerus,[4] dimidium militem.

Petrus de Toreigny[5] tenet viij libratas de dominio meo unde nullum servitium habeo.

Ricardus Giffard tenet Lodestone[6] meum dominium, manerium quod valet xxv*l.*, unde nullum servitium habeo.

Henricus filius Geroldi tenet Ecclesse[7] meum manerium, quod valet xiiij*l.*, unde nullum habeo servitium.[8]

Super hos iiij^{or} milites qui has prædictas terras tenent, et super meum dominium quod mihi remanet, debeo vobis facere servitium ix militum.[9]

CARTA WILLELMI DE ROS.

Hoc[10] est de veteri feodo Willelmi de Ros :—

Rogerus de Maleville[11] tenet de eo feodum j militis.
Galfridus Mala Terra, feodum j militis.
Thomas Morin, feodum j militis.
Willelmus de Helintone, feodum j militis.
Johannes de Hacrise, feodum j militis.
Willelmus de Wicham, feodum j militis.
Ranulfus de Ses,[12] feodum dimidii militis.

Tantum habet de veteri feodo, scilicet, vj milites et dimidium.

---

[1] *Haningefelde*, L. N.
[2] *Hurtwelle*, L. N.
[3] *Gornaco*, L. N.
[4] *Hagurnerus*, L. N.
[5] *Torenni*, L. N.
[6] *Lodesdone*, L. N.
[7] *Eclesse*, L. N.
[8] *servitium habeo*, L. N.
[9] *Valete* added in L. N.
[10] *Hæc* in MS.
[11] *Malavilla*, L. N.
[12] *Set* altered to *Ses* in MS.; *St.*, L. N.

KENT—*cont.*

Hoc est de novo feodo suo quod fecit de suo do- A.D. 1166.
minio :—

Manasser [1] Danmartin [2] debet servitium j militis.

Summa est feodorum ; vij milites, unde Willelmus de Ros debet servitium domino Regi.[3]

CARTA WALTERI FILII HELTE.

Carissimo domino suo, Henrico Regi Anglorum, Walterus filius Helte salutem. Sciatis me non habere nisi tres milites feffati,[4] sed post obitum Henrici Regis, cuidam parentum meorum,[5] pro suo servitio, dedi quintam partem militis de meo dominio.

Robertus Bardulf [6] tenet feodum j militis in Hou.

Johannes de Neville tenet in Scorene.

Comes [7] de Alba Marla tenet in Braburne et in Suttone et Kemesinges per servitium iij militum cum Folesham in hundredo de Stokes.

Reginaldus de Cornhulle tenet in Kingesdone feodum j militis.

Fo. 84 d. Joelendus de Neville tenet feodum j militis in Sorne.

Hæredes Ingeram Patrik tenent feoda xv militum.[8]

Thomas de Moristone debet servitium militis [9] de Mideltone.[10]

---

[1] *Manasserus*, L. N.
[2] *Damnartin*, L. N.
[3] *Domino suo Regi*, L. N.
[4] *Sic* in MS. ; *feofati*, L. N.
[5] *meorum parentum*, L. N.
[6] *Bardulfus*, L. N.
[7] *B*[*aldwinus*] *Comes*, L. N.
[8] *Abbas Sancti Augustini tenet feoda xv militum* inserted here in L. N. This suggests that its compiler overlooked the previous entry owing to its not being rubricated.
[9] *debet j militem*, L. N.
[10] *Middeltone*, L. N. This and the seven preceding entries are a later addition in L. N.

A.D. 1166.

SURREIA.

Carta Abbatis[1] Certeseiæ.

Venerabili carissimo domino suo Henrico Dei gratia Anglorum Regi, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, frater A[lmaricus] indignus minister Certeseiæ salutem et orationes.

Sciat diligentia vestra, carissime pater et domine, quod Abbatia Certesiæ debet ad servitium vestrum tres milites secundum quod scire possumus.

Feodum j militis tenet Walterus de Cheneto.[2]

Philippus de Tanga,[3] feodum j militis.

Rogerus de Watheville[4] feodum tertii militis.

Ace de Perifrith, Robertus de Meldone,[5] Mauricius de Troteswrthe,[6] Stephanus de Bend[inges], Radulfus de Sancto Albano tenent feodum quartum.

Alios non habet aliquos sicut ipse recognoscere[7] potest. Valete in Christo.

SUTHSEXA.[8]

Alanus Basset tenet Wokinges[9] et Mapeldorwelle[10] per j feodum de dono Regis Ricardi.

Carta Episcopi[11] Cicestrensis.

Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum et Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, caris-

---

[1] *Abbatiæ*, L. N.

[2] *Chenneio*, L. N.

[3] *Tonga*, L. N.

[4] *Wateville*, L. N.

[5] *Mealdone*, L. N.

[6] *Trotteswrthe*, L. N.

[7] *cognoscere*, L. N.

[8] *Sutsexa ; Sussexa*, L. N. This entry is erroneously inserted under Sussex, Woking being in Surrey and Mapledurwell in Hants. The scribe must have supposed that the rubric referred to this entry. This entry is printed in Dugdale as part of the Abbot's Charter. In L. N. the *Carta* of Richerus de Aquila is inserted here, but cancelled with the note "quia in Susexa in secundo folio."

[9] *Wokkinges*, L. N.

[10] *Mapel Durewelle*, L. N.

[11] *Episcopatus*, L. N.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

simo domino suo Nicholaus,[1] Cicestrensis Episcopus, A.D. 1166. salutem et fidele servitium.

Domine, sicut mihi præcepistis, distincte hoc scripto feodamenta[2] militum Ecclesiæ meæ vobis enumeravi.

Walterus de Cliftona habet feodum j militis[3] et dimidii.

Robertus de Recham,[4] Anketil, Willelmus filius Alardi, et Wiardus tenent j feodum[5] militis.

Radulfus Fichet, Malgerus, Ricardus de Heram,[6] et Willelmus de Lancinges tenent[7] feodum j militis.

Ernaldus[8] Pincerna, Ricardus filius Odonis, Willelmus filius Radulfi, tenent feodum j militis.

Jordanus de Hellam,[9] Alvredus,[10] Willelmus Parcertes, Ricardus Murdac,[11] Willelmus de Selesia,[12] Willelmus Falterellus, Willelmus filius Siwardi, Henricus Palmerius,[13] Radulfus de Boverel,[14] Radulfus de Dena, tenent j feodum militis, et superest dimidia virgata.

Oliverus de Westringes,[15] Robertus de Dene,[16] Ernaldus de Hanefeld,[17] tenent feodum j militis.

Anfridus[18] de Feringes, Robertus de Orham, Simon de Petraponte, Gerin[19] de Colewrtha,[20] tenent feodum j militis.

Herbertus filius Herberti,[21] Robertus Peverel,[22] Willel-

---

[1] *Hilarius*, L. N. and Original Return.

[2] *fefamenta*, L. N.; *feffamenta*, O. R.

[3] *unum feodum militis*, O. R. This formula is used throughout O. R.

[4] *Retham*, L. N.

[5] *unum feodum*, L. N., O. R.

[6] *Erham*, L. N.

[7] *tenet*, L. N.

[8] *Arnoldus*, O. R.

[9] *Islesham*, L. N., O. R.

[10] *Alueredus*, O. R.

[11] *Mordac*, O. R.

[12] Illegible in L. N.

[13] *Palmarius*, L. N., O. R.

[14] *Deverel*, L. N.; *Deverellis*, O. R.

[15] *Wistringes*, O. R.

[16] *Dent*[*ona*], L. N., O. R.

[17] *Ernaldus de Hanfeld*, L. N. (which begins a new paragraph with this name); *Ernoldus de Hanfelda*, O. R.

[18] *Amfridus*, L. N.

[19] *Gervasius*, L. N.; *Gerv*[*asius*] *de*, O. R.

[20] *Colewerda*, O. R.

[21] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N., O. R.

[22] *Peverellus*, O. R.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. mus filius Johannis, Johannes de Bosco, tenent feodum j militis.

Hugo de Almodentone,[1] Robertus de Turbiwica,[2] Franceis, Willelmus filius Nigelli, Willelmus de Hareflet, tenent feodum j militis.

Hæc sunt ix[3] feoda militum et dimidium, et superest ix[a][4] pars militis quam tenet Johannes de Binda,[5] et hæc sunt de veteri feffamento.[6] De novo[7] autem feffamento[6] tenet Robertus de Dentona feodum j militis dimidia hida minus.

In Bixla sunt decem hidæ,[8] quas antiquitus tenebat Episcopus Cicestrensis in dominio suo. Comes autem Augensis auferens terram illam Episcopo et Ecclesiæ feffavit[9] inde iiij[or][10] milites. Episcopus et Ecclesia recuperaverunt de terra illa v[11] hidas in dominium, et duo milites tenent alias v[11] hidas de Episcopo pro ij feodis.[12] Valete.

CARTA COMITIS ARUNDELIÆ.[13]

Dominus noster Rex Henricus, quadam contentione quæ surrexit inter milites de honore de Arundel de exercitu quodam de Walliis, elegit iiij[14] milites de honore, de melioribus et legalioribus et antiquioribus, scilicet, Hunfridum[15] de Sarcillis et Radulfum filium Bruni et

---

[1] *Almodintona*, L. N., O. R.

[2] *Trubbewica*, L. N.; *Trubewica*, O. R.

[3] *novem*, O. R.

[4] *nona*, O. R.

[5] *Brada*, L. N., O. R.

[6] *fefamento*, L. N.

[7] In L. N. *De novo* commences a fresh paragraph, but in O. R. there is no break; *dimidium feodum militis*, O. R.

[8] "Bexelei tenet Osbernus de "Comite [de Ow]. T. R. E. tenuit "Episcopus Alricus quia est de "Episcopatu, et post tenuit donec "Rex W. dedit Comiti castellariam "de Hastinges. T. R. E., et modo, "se defendebat pro xx [*sic*] hidis." *Domesday*, I. 18. See also *infra* the Count of Eu's *Carta*.

[9] *fefavit*, L. N.

[10] *quatuor*, O. R.

[11] *quinque*, L. N., O. R.

[12] *duobus feodis*, L. N.

[13] *Comitis de Arundel*, L. N.

[14] *quatuor*, L. N.

[15] *Humfridum*, L. N.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

Willelmum de Fauderches [1] et Petrum de Hamtona,[2] et fecit eos recognoscere servitia [3] militum de honore, et super legalitatem et sacramenta eorum inde neminem audire voluit. Fuit [4] hæc recognitio eorum :— A.D. 1166.

Peteswrthe,[5] xxij milites et dimidium.
Garinges, xj milites.
Polemberg,[6] iij milites.
Philippus de Belmes, iij milites.
Alanus de Dunstanville, viij milites.
Hugo de Faleisa, v milites.
Stephanus, ij milites.
Stokes, ij milites.
Hugo de Calceo, iij milites.
Avenel,[7] v milites.
Morin de Chitehurst, j militem.
Trieferda, j militem.
Sunewrtha,[8] j militem.
Halnat,[9] xij milites.
Mesliers, j militem.
Pantulfus, j militem.
Savaricus, iij milites.

Fo. 85. Et Rex Henricus dedit de suo dominio quod Comes attornavit ad servitium militare, scilicet :—

Terram Reginaldi Harengi,[10] pro feodo j militis.
Terram [11] Ranulfi de Broc, pro feodo dimidii militis.
Terram Manasser Aguillun,[12] pro feodis ij militum.
Terram Alani filii Conani, pro feodo j militis.
Terram Alvredi de Cumerai, pro feodo j militis.
Terram Roberti de Praeries,[13] pro feodo j militis.

---

[1] *Favarches*, L. N.
[2] *Hantona*, L. N.
[3] *servitium*, L. N.
[4] *Fuit autem*, L. N.
[5] *Pettewrtha*, L. N.
[6] *Poleberga*, L. N.
[7] *Avenellus*, L. N.
[8] *Sunnewi tha*, L. N.
[9] *Halnac*, L. N.
[10] *Harangi*, L. N.
[11] *Et terram*, for this and the seven subsequent entries in L. N., where the first three are entered without any break.
[12] *Aguilun*, L. N.
[13] *Praeriis*, L. N.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Terram Ricardi de Humez, pro feodis ij militum.
Terram de Fresne[1] pro feodo j militis.
Et Comes dedit Henrico Hossato[2] quandam terram, pro feodis ij militum.
Et Roberto de Rupe quandam terram, pro iiij^ta[3] parte militis.
Et Willelmo Ruffo[4] quandam terram, pro iiij^ta[3] parte militis.

Comes etiam habuit in Candeura, quæ est in Hamtescira, servitium unius militis.

Et sunt xiij qui per manum Comitis de dominio suo positi sunt ad servitium militare.

CARTA JOHANNIS COMITIS DE AUGO.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Johannes Comes de Augo salutem.

Sciatis quos habeo de veteri feffamento[5] de tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri in rapo Hastinges lvj[6] milites; sed lx[7] milites in eodem rapo habere solebat pater meus tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri; de quibus nunc habet iiij^or milites Episcopus Cicestrensis unde habetis servitium.

Horum lvj militum tenentium in prædicto rapo de veteri feffamento[5] nomina sunt hæc:—

Hunfridus de Willecheres[8] tenet vij milites.
Willelmus de Hekingham[9] vij milites.
Mathæus de Baelun, x milites.
Thomas de Sancto Leodegaro, iiij milites.
Rogerus de Bodiham, iiij milites.

[1] *Freisne*, L. N.
[2] *Hosato*, L. N.
[3] *quarta*, L. N.
[4] *Rufo*, L. N.
[5] See *supra* the Bishop of Chichester's *Carta*; *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *quinquaginta sex*, L. N.
[7] *sexaginta*, L. N.
[8] *Humfridus de Wilecheres*, L. N.
[9] *Akingeham*, L. N.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

Hurste de Warbertone, v milites. A.D. 1166.
Gilbertus [1] de Bailolio, iij milites.
Robertus de Ricarville, x milites.
Reginaldus de Oseburnham,[2] ij milites.
Walterus Morlay,[3] j militem.
Willelmus de Wikesbale,[4] j militem.
Hugo de Chekenora, j militem.

Et præter hos milites, habeo super dominium meum sex milites et dimidium quorum nomina sunt hæc :—

Alvredus de Sancto Martino, j militem.
Robertus Strabo, j militem.
Robertus del Broc, j militem.
Willelmus de Bosco, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Lancinges, dimidium militem.
Daniel de Crevequer.[5]
Rogerus de Freham.
Robertus de Hastinges, dimidium militem.

De novo feffamento [6] nullum militem feffatum [6] habeo.

CARTA ROBERTI PEVEREL.

Ego Robertus Peverel et Normannus de Normanville tenemus feodum j [7] militis de Rege in capite in Suthsexa,[8] unde ego Robertus facio Regi duas partes j [7] militis et Normannus [9] iij^am.[10]

CARTA RICCHERI DE AQUILA.[11]

Dilectissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Richerus de Aquila salutem et fidelia servitia.

---

[1] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[2] *Osseburneham*, L. N.
[3] *Morlai*, L. N.
[4] *Wikeshulle*, L. N.
[5] *Sic* in MS.; *Daniel de Crievequer*, *Rogerus de Frean*, L. N., where these two names are placed between *Robertus del Broc* and *Willelmus de Bosco*.
[6] *feofamento*; *feofatum*, L. N.
[7] *unius*, L. N.
[8] *Sussexa*, L. N.
[9] *ipse Normannus*, L. N.
[10] *tertiam partem*, L. N.
[11] This rubric is written on an erasure in the MS.

SUTHSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Sciatis quod habeo feoda xxxv militum et dimidii, et tot feoda habui die et anno quo Rex Henricus avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus. Nec post illum diem aliquem feffavi. De hiis vero :—

Ricardus filius Willelmi tenet feoda xv militum.
Radulfus de Dene,[1] vj milites.
Willelmus Malet, iiij feoda militum.
Willelmus filius Ricardi, iij milites.
Willelmus Malfed, iij milites.
Willelmus de Akingeham, ij milites.
Robertus de Horstude, ij milites.
Andreas de Alurikestone,[2] dimidium militem.

CARTA COMITIS WARENNÆ.[3]

Comes Warennæ tenet feoda lx militum.

SUTHAMTESCIRA.

CARTA EPISCOPI WINTONIENSIS.

Isti milites tenuerunt de feodo Episcopatus Wintoniensis tempore Regis Henrici; et modo tenent[4] de eodem Episcopatu :—

Johannes de Sancto Johanne tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo tenet Reginaldus de Sancto Walerico.

Milo Constabularius tenuit feodum unius militis, et modo tenet Humfridus de Boun.[5]

Philippus de Braosa tenet[6] feoda ij militum, et tertius miles fuit in calumpnia, et modo tenet Willelmus de Braosa totum tenementum pro ij[7] militibus.

---

[1] *Dena*, L. N.
[2] *Alurichestone*, L. N.
[3] This rubric is omitted in L. N.
[4] *tenenent* in MS.
[5] *Bohun*, L. N.
[6] *ten*[*uit*], L. N.
[7] *duobus*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Herbertus filius Herberti[1] Camerarii senioris tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo tenet Herbertus[2] filius ejus. A.D. 1166.

Willelmus filius Rogeri tenuit feoda iiij militum, et modo tenet Henricus filius ejus.

Galfridus de Covestone tenuit feoda iij militum, et modo tenet Galfridus filius Adam.

Galfridus de Wall[ibus] tenuit feoda iij militum, et modo tenet Robertus de Flury.[3]

Baldewinus de Cumba tenuit iij milites,[4] et modo tenet Hugo de Flury.[3]

Wakelinus[5] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo filia Wakelini.[6]

Fo. 85 d. Willelmus filius Gesardi[7] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet Wakelinus[5] Harengus.

Walterus filius Ricardi tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet[8] Comes Ricardus.

Robertus Oisel tenuit et tenet feodum j[9] militis.

Robertus de Siflewast[10] tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo tenet Willelmus filius ejus.

Elias[11] de Almenill tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo tenet[8] Radulfus de Waterville.[12]

Walterus de Tigeburne[13] tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo Rogerus filius ejus j[9] militis et dimidii.

Audoenus tenuit feoda ij militum,[14] et modo Robertus Norensis.

Godefridus de Andeleiga[15] tenuit feoda iij militum,

---

[1] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.
[2] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Fluri*, L. N.
[4] *feoda iij militum*, L. N.
[5] *Walkelinus*, L. N.
[6] *Walkelini*, L. N.
[7] Altered in MS.; *Gerardi*, L. N.
[8] *tenet* omitted L. N.
[9] *unius*, L. N.
[10] *Sifflewast*, L. N.
[11] *Helyas*, L. N.
[12] *Waltervilla*, L. N.
[13] *Thikeburne*, L. N.
[14] *dimidii militis*, L. N.
[15] *Andelia*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. et modo Walterus filius ejus tenet feoda iiij militum.

Henricus de Subertone tenuit feoda v militum, et modo tenet Henricus, secundus filius ejus.[1]

Willelmus de Extone tenuit feodum dimidii militis, et Willelmus filius Gilberti[2] modo tenet.

Galfridus de Ginges tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo tenet Galfridus de Ginges feoda ij militum.

Walterus pater Waleram[3] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Walterus filius Waleram.

Robertus Pincerna tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Willelmus Hotot; et ex alia parte tenet idem Willelmus feodum dimidii militis.

Hugo de Tistede tenuit feoda iij[4] militum, et modo tenet[5] Ricardus[6] j militem et dimidium.

Robertus Giffard tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Gerardus[7] filius ejus.

Robertus Mauduit tenuit feodum j militis et modo Robertus de Ponte Arche.

Willelmus Peverel tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Robertus del Broc.

Jocelinus de Eneford[8] tenuit feodum dimidii militis, et modo Simon filius ejus.

Hugo de Fiscano[9] tenuit feoda iiij militum, et modo Willelmus iij milites.

Wakelinus[10] de Hichelle[11] feoda[12] ij militum, et modo Robertus filius ejus.

Galfridus de Kancia[13] tenet feoda iiij militum.

Henricus de Port tenuit feoda ij militum, et modo[14] Johannes de Port.

---

[1] *filius ejus, j militem*, L. N.
[2] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[3] *Walerami*, L. N.
[4] *trium*, L. N.
tenet omitted L. N.
[6] *Ricardus filius ejus*, L. N.
[7] *Girardus*, L. N.
[8] *Eneeforde*, L. N.
[9] *Fiscanno*, L. N.
[10] *Walkelinus*, L. N.
[11] *Hickelle*, L. N.
[12] *tenuit feoda*, L. N.
[13] *Cantia*, L. N.
[14] *modo tenet*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Petrus Camerarius tenuit feoda iij militum, et modo Galfridus filius ejus. A.D. 1166.

Robertus de Columbariis tenuit feodum j militis, et modo filius ejus.

Norgodus tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Henricus filius ejus.

Willelmus de Machinis[1] tenuit feodum j militis, et adhuc tenet.

Anselmus de Rotomago[2] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo filius Johannis Marscalli.[3]

Ailricus de Orchaddone[4] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Ricardus filius ejus.

Willelmus Giffard[5] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Walterus Giffard.[5]

Radulfus filius Roberti ten[et] feodum dimidii militis.

CARTA ABBATIS DE HIDA.[6]

Summa militum Abbatiæ de Hida.

Abbas de Hida habet de veteri feffamento[7] xx milites.

CARTA JOHANNIS DE PORT.

Henrico Regi Anglorum venerabili domino suo, Johannes de Port salutem et fidelia servitia.

Quia ex parte vestra mihi jussum est quod de veteri feffamento[7] et de novo, tenementi mei, quod ad vos respicit, vos certum faciam quot et quos milites teneam, per hoc præsens scriptum inde veritatem vobis mando:—

Herbertus filius Herberti,[8] feodum j militis.

Robertus de Pontearche, v milites.

---

[1] *Machinnis*, L. N.
[2] *Anselinus de Rothomago*, L. N.
[3] *Marescalli*, L. N.
[4] *Orchardun*, L. N.
[5] *Giffardus*, L. N.
[6] *Summa militum Abbatiæ de Hida* is the only rubric in L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Mathæus de Scuriis, iiij milites.
Robertus de Dunstanville tenet feoda ij militum, sed non per me de feodo meo.
Hugo de Chernet, iij milites.
Ricardus de Avene et Tibesleia, ij milites.
Radulfus de Lingieure, j militem.
Ricardus de Anesia, j militem.
Baldewinus de Porteseia, ij milites.
Ricardus de Stratone,[1] j militem.
Willelmus de Westburne,[2] ij milites.
Ricardus de Humez,[3] j militem, quem mihi difforciat Ada de Port, habet.[4]
Willelmus filius Ranulfi, ij milites.
Hugo de Braibof,[5] j militem.
Willelmus [[6]], j militem.
Alexander de Heried et Henricus filius Willelmi, j militem.
Radulfus de P[ort]landis[6] de Matheleiga,[7] ij milites.
Henricus filius Rualdi, ij milites.
Alanus de Perci facit [servitium], j militis.
Willelmus de Kingham, j militem.
Willelmus Malet, v milites.
Willelmus filius Philippi, iij milites.
Rogerus filius Tancredi[8] et Henricus nepos ejus, ij milites.
Robertus de Clahalde, j militem.
Willelmus de Popleshale, j militem.

---

[1] *Strattone*, L. N.
[2] *Westbur*[*ia*], L. N.
[3] *Humet*, a later correction in L. N.
[4] A later addition in L. N. *Adam de Port* was the son of John, the 1166 tenant.
[5] *Braibuf*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS. This blank (like that in the succeeding entry but one) occurs both in the MS. and L. N. Four knights' fees, in all, must be omitted in this *Carta*, for only 51 *de veteri* are accounted for, whereas the total is given below as 55; and the tenant paid on 55 in 1168.
[7] *Matelega*, L. N.
[8] *Tancredi*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus de Pesinges, j militem. A.D. 1166.
Stephanus de Moristonia, j militem.
Manasser[1] Arsic, j militem.

Fo. 86. De novo feffamento[2]:—
Ada[3] de Sancto Manevevo, j militem.
Willelmus filius Adæ, j militem.
Summa de veteri feffamento[2]—lv milites; et de novo—ij milites.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII ALDELIN.[4]

De terra quam dominus Rex dedit Willelmo filio Aldelin,[4] Marscallo suo, cum Juliana filia Roberti Dorsnelli:—

Baldewinus Wiscard[5] tenet de veteri feffamento[6] feodum j militis in Essexa.
Johannes Gernun, feodum quartæ partis j militis.
Willelmus filius Aldelin[4] tenet reliquium[7] in dominio suo per servitium suum sine aliquo[8] servitio nominato, sicut Marscaucia[9] Regis.

CARTA ROBERTI DE PONTE ARCHE.

Ego Robertus de Ponte Arche teneo de Rege in capite feodum j militis, quod tenet de me Hugo de Cormeiles; et nullum militem habeo de novo feffamento.[10]

Rogerus de Sascy tenet 1 libratas terræ in Derttone[11] et Sumborne per feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Manserius*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Adam*, L. N.
[4] *Aldelini*, L. N.
[5] *Wischard*, L. N.
[6] *feodamento*, L. N.
[7] *reliquum*, L. N.
[8] *alio*, L. N.
[9] *de Marescalcia*, L. N.
[10] *feofatum*, L. N.
[11] *Dertona*, L. N.

SUTHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hæredes Almarici Dispensatoris tenent Wordi per j par calcarum pretii xij denariorum et terram de Stanle per servitium dimidii militis de dono Regis Henrici facto Waltero fratri prædicti Almarici.[1]

DORSETE.

CARTA ABBATIS DE MIDDELTONIA.

Reverentissimo domino suo Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, A. Ecclesiæ de Middeltonia minister indignus salutem et orationum instantiam.

Noverit excellentissima dignitas vestra ecclesiam nostram nullum militem feffatum[2] nec de veteri feffamento[3] nec de novo habere, sed servitia quæ vobis ecclesia nostra debet, de dominio suo persolvit. Contigit tamen aliquando, ecclesia nostra vacante, R[ogerum] Episcopum Saresbiriensem illam, ex mandato Regis Henrici avi vestri, in custodiam annis v suscepisse. Tunc prædictus Episcopus de quodam tenemento quod tenuit R[obertus] de Monasteriis feodo censuali, scilicet de duabus hidis, unum feffavit[4] militem. De altero tenemento quod tenuit Willelmus filius Walteri feodo censuali, scilicet de duabas hidis et dimidia, alterum constituit militem. Postmodum vero bonæ memoriæ R. prædecessore meo constituto abbate, per Justitiam Regis Henrici et consilio præfati Episcopi R[ogeri], feoda prædicta ad antiquum statum revocata sunt. Et quos Episcopus constituit milites facti sunt censuarii, et sic tenuerunt tempore prædecessoris mei et adhuc tenent eorum hæredes, scilicet, Willelmus de Monasteriis et Willelmus Brito.

---

[1] This and the preceding entry are a later addition in L. N.

[2] *feofatum*, L. N.

[3] *fefamento*, L. N.

[4] *fefavit*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

Provideat itaque vestra discretio quid super hiis vobis placeat.[1] Valeat in Christo regalis dignitas vestra. A.D. 1166.

CARTA GALFRIDI[2] ABBATIS DE ABBODESBIRIA.

Domino suo Henrico Anglorum Regi, Galfridus Abbas de Abbodesbiria[3] devotas orationes in Christo.

Quoniam ita imperastis, innotescimus vobis quod Abbatia de Abbodesbiria[4] nullum habuit militem antiquitus feffatum.[5] Servitium j[6] militis debet Abbatia de dominio suo. Cum Rogerus Episcopus habuit custodiam Abbatiæ, duas hidas apud Atrum ad maritandam quandam neptem[7] suam dedit Nicholao[8] de Meriet, contradicente Conventu ecclesiæ.

Hanc terram longo tempore tenuerunt vi ipsi et Henricus filius eorum, et nullum servitium Ecclesiæ inde[9] facere voluerunt. Ista terra antiquitus reddere solebat Gablum xx solidorum, sicut testatur vestrum regium scriptum.[10] Ego vero intelligens quod aliter non potui recuperare servitium istius terræ, concessi huic Henrico terram tenendam sibi et heredibus suis faciendo servitium dimidii militis. Et hoc modo feffavimus[11] eum ut faceret servitium dimidii militis quod debebat Ecclesiæ de dominio suo. Quidam autem Abbas, antecessor noster, feffavit[12] quendam militem Willelmum[13] nomine sicut testatur carta Regis[14] quam vobis mitto. Valete.

---

[1] *placet*, L. N.
[2] *Galfridi* omitted in L. N.
[3] *Abbodesberi*, L. N.
[4] *Abbodesbiriæ*, L. N.
[5] *feofatum*, L. N.
[6] *unius*, L. N.
[7] *neptam*, L. N.
[8] *Nicolao*, L. N.
[9] *inde* omitted in L. N.
[10] "Atrem . . . valet xx solidos," *Domesday*, I. 78 b.
[11] *fefedavimus*, L. N.
[12] *fefavimus*, L. N.
[13] The service of this William is not here stated, but would have been given in the *Carta* referred to. It may have been half a knight, for the Abbot paid, in 1168, on one fee in all.
[14] Rectius *Carta ejus*, L. N. An important variant, as suggesting that the text of the L. R. was dictated to the scribe.

DORSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Fo. 86 d.

CARTA ABBATIÆ DE CERNEL.[1]

Illustri domino suo Regi Anglorum, Robertus Cernelensis Ecclesiæ minister humilis salutem et fidele servitium.

Sublimitati vestræ notificamus quæ sunt feoda militum Ecclesiæ nostræ de tempore Regis Henrici et qui milites ea tenent:—

Robertus de Ver tenet feodum j militis et præter hoc hidam et dimidiam unde censum reddere debet.

Willelmus de Monasteriis, feodum j militis.

Alvredus de Lincolnia, et feodum quod Jordanus injuste tenet, feodum j militis.

Robertus de Monte Sorel,[2] et Jordanus de Wetone,[3] et Osbertus de Chigenota,[4] et Hunfridus[5] Makerel, feodum j militis.

Feodum est j militis[6] feodum quod Radulfus de Redpole tenuit.

Hugo de Bosco, dimidium feodum militis.

Robertus Russel, feodum j militis, quadam virgata minus, tenet injuste et contra voluntatem Conventus, quia nunquam avus suus illud tenuit de Ecclesia, nec pater suus, nec tenere debet.

Super dominium Ecclesiæ sunt feoda trium militum et dimidii cum tenura Francolensium in villa Cernæ.

Quisque autem istorum debet facere wardam[7] ad præceptum vestrum apud Castellum de Corfe[8] uno mense per annum, vel si vobis placuerit habere inde milites ad exercitum, interim dimissa wardia,[9] ij milites ad servitium vestrum[10] invenient.

---

[1] *Carta Abbatis Cernelensis*, L. N.

[2] *Sorelli*, L. N.

[3] *Wettone*, L. N.

[4] *Osebertus Chigenot*, L. N.

[5] *Humfridus*, L. N.

[6] *Feodum est unius militis* is placed at the end of this entry in L. N.

[7] *wardiam*, L. N.

[8] *Corf*, L. N.

[9] *guardia*, L. N.

[10] *duos milites ad vestrum servitium*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

CARTA ABBATIS DE SCIREBURNE. A.D. 1166.

Ricardus filius Hildebrant tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Thomas de Hasweia[1] tenet feodum militis.

Jordanus de Nitherstoke, feodum dimidii militis.

Galfridus de Stokes, v^tam^[2] partem militis.

Et isti sunt de veteri feffamento.[3]

De novo autem feffamento[4]:—

Simon de Cherda tenet duas partes militis.

Walterus filius Hugonis, v^tam^[2] partem militis.

Robertus de Tornecumba, v^tam^[2] partem militis.

CARTA ABATTIÆ[5] SANCTI EDWARDI.

Tempore Henrici Regis[6] invenit Abbatia Sancti Edwardi vij[7] milites ad servitium domini Regis.

Hæc sunt nomina modo tenentium feodum illud:—

Comes Patricius tenet feodum j militis.

Anselinus[8] Mauduit tenet aliud.

Jordanus de Necche, tertium.

Turstanus de Haseldene, tenet[9] quartum.

Robertus filius Petri et Rogerus de Thoka, quintum.

Rogerus de Novo Burgo vj et vij[10]; sed contra ecclesiam. Et præterea idem Rogerus tenet Elmerham, quæ reddit[11] Ecclesiæ xl solidos, et dicit se inde debere servitium dimidii militis, quod Willelmus de Glastonia nunquam[12] habebat[13] per Conventum ecclesiæ vel per aliquam abbatissam. Et exceptis illis sunt quidam quibus, post illud feffamentum,[14] de dominio ecclesiæ et

---

[1] *Halsweia*, L. N.
[2] *quintam*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *De novo fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Abbatissæ*, L. N.
[6] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.
[7] *septem*, L. N.
[8] *Ancelinus*, L. N.
[9] L. N. omits *tenet*.
[10] *septimum*, L. N.
[11] *reddidit*, L. N.
[12] *numquam*, L. N.
[13] *habebit* in MS., altered to *habebat*; *habuit*, L. N.
[14] *fefamentum*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. dono abbatissarum datæ fuerunt terræ ad liberum servitium faciendum ecclesiæ, et tenuerunt anno et die quo Rex Henricus vivus et mortuus fuit, et adhuc tenent, quorum hæc sunt nomina:—

Alvredus de Sancto Edwardo, ij [1] hidas ad servitium dimidii militis.

Hugo de Chuselburne, ij hidas et dimidiam ad servitium dimidii militis.

Ricardus filius Waringeri, j hidam ad vj^{tam} [2] partem [militis].

Wido, j hidam ad vj^{tam} partem.

Johannes, j hidam ad vj^{tam} partem.

Nicholaus [3] de Tarente, j hidam ad vj^{tam} partem.

Gerardus Giffard,[4] j hidam ad vj^{tam} [5] partem.

Turstanus de Halesdene,[6] j hidam ad vj^{tam} [5] partem.

Rogerus de Staffordia, j hidam ad vj^{tam} [5] partem.

Robertus de Chetworthe,[7] j hidam et dimidiam ad iiij^{tam} [8] partem.

Elias de Hanleige,[9] j hidam ad vj^{tam} [10] partem.

Bartholomæus de Falcam [11] j hidam ad v^{tam} partem.[12]

CARTA ALVREDI DE LINCOLNIA.[13]

Isti sunt milites Alvredi de Lincolnia quos tenet in capite de domino Rege, et qui sunt feffati de veteri feffamento,[14] scilicet:—

Willelmus de Monasteriis, qui tenet tria feoda.

Almaricus de Sturtres, qui tenet iiij^{or}.

---

[1] *duas*, L. N.
[2] *sextam*, L. N.
[3] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[4] *Giffardi*, L. N.
[5] *v^{tam}*, L. N.
[6] *Haseldene*, L. N.
[7] *Etewrthe*, L. N.
[8] *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *Helyas de Hanlege*, L. N.
[10] *quintam*, L. N.
[11] *Falcaham*, L. N.
[12] *ad quintam partem militis*, L. N.
[13] L. N. adds to this rubric: *de militibus suis fefatis ex veteri et novo fefamento.*
[14] *fefati ex veteri fefamento*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

Hugo de Bosco, qui tenet ij.[1] A.D. 1166.
Alvredus Tonarre[2] tenet ij.[1]
Walwanus de Darwinestone[3] tenet ij.[1]
Galfridus de Warmewelle, qui[4] tenet j.
Hugo de Kernet[5] tenet j.
Robertus de Rosele[6] tenet j.
Eustachius de Stokes tenet j.
Alvredus Taleboth[7] tenet j.
Samson[8] de Lincolnia tenet j.
Jordanus de Staffordia[9] tenet j.
Terricus de Turberville tenet j.
Terricus de Bosco Herberti[10] tenet j.
Walterus de Wodiectone[11] tenet j.
Samson Roc[12] tenet dimidium.
Robertus de Bera tenet dimidium.
Fo. 87. Quædam Vetula, xvj partem.
Thomas de Clamflur[13] tenet iiij[14] partem.
Vidua de Bockeham tenet iiij[14] partem.
Robertus filius Willelmi tenet v[15] partem.
Alvredus Franceis tenet v[15] partem.

Hæc est summa servitii quod debet domino Regi ex veteri feffamento[16]—xxv milites.

Ex[17] novo feffamento[16] sunt feffati[18]:—

Warinus de Halla, qui tenet feodum j militis.
Radulfus Calvel tenet feodum j militis.
Ricardus filius Osulfi tenet dimidium.
Sanson de Lincolnia tenet dimidium.

---

[1] *duo*, L. N.
[2] A later alteration in the MS.; *Tonare*, L. N.
[3] *Durwinestona*, L. N.
[4] *qui* omitted in L. N.
[5] *Kernette*, L. N.
[6] *Rosel*, L. N.
[7] *Talebot*, L. N.
[8] *Sanson*, L. N.
[9] *Stafford*, L. N.
[10] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[11] *Wodiettune*, L. N.
[12] *Sanson Roch*, L. N.
[13] *Chamflur*, L. N.
[14] *quartam*, L. N.
[15] *quintam*, L. N.
[16] *fefamento*, L. N.
[17] *Et ex*, L. N.
[18] *fefati*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Robertus de Monasteriis tenet dimidium.
Willelmus filius Osberti tenet dimidium.
Robertus de Pontsoldo tenet iij^am^ partem.
Torstanus de Cravencona[1] tenet viij^am^[2] partem.
Philippus de Salmonville tenet viij^am^[2] partem.
Spragelingus tenet xxiiij partem.
Johannes Trebun[3] tenet iiij partem.
Rumboldus tenet viij^am^[4] partem.
Willelmus de Haia tenet viij partem.
Robertus filius Andrebodæ[5] tenet v[6] partem.
Terricus filius Berneri tenet xij partem.
Et si plus inquiri poterit, inquirere faciemus.

CARTA GERBERTI DE PERCY.[7]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitanorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Gerbertus de Percy[7] fidele servitium ut domino.

Sublimitati vestræ insinuo de militibus meis quos de vobis in capite teneo :—

Alvredus de Monte Sorel debet mihi servitium trium[8] militum.
Alvredus de Gatimor, iij militum.
Thomas de Campo Florido, iij militum.
Thomas Latille, ij militum.
Osebertus Dacus, ij[9] militum.
Robertus de Chauce, j militis.
Walterus de Stanleiga,[10] j militis.
Thomas de Hasweia,[11] j militis.
Bartholomæus Seru[n], j militis.

---

[1] *Torstanus Cravenceor*, L. N.
[2] *octavam*, L. N.
[3] *Trebum*, L. N.
[4] *viij*, L. N.
[5] *Anderbodæ*, L. N.
[6] *quintam*, L. N.
[7] *Perci*, L. N.
[8] *iij*, L. N.
[9] *duorum*, L. N.
[10] *Stanlega*, L. N.
[11] *Asweie*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

Galfridus de Ver, j militis. A.D. 1166.
Alanus de Furnellis,[1] j militis.
Robertus de Lalainde, j militis.
Robertus Cauvel,[3] j militis.
Willelmus de Margellis, j militis.
Robertus filius Hugonis, j militis.
Osbertus de Baiumville,[4] j militis.
Robertus de Welles, j militis.
Willelmus de Wadun[5] et Jacob debent servitium j militis.
Willelmus de Bosco, j militis.
Willelmus de Erleiga,[6] j militem. Et tenet quoddam maritagium quod dicit non adhuc debere servitium.

Isti feffati[7] sunt de veteri feffamento.[8] Sed Walterus de Arundelia[9] debet servitium j militis et feffatus[10] est de novo feffamento.[8]

Petrus Bubbe et Walterus Bubbe servitium ij[11] militum de veteri feffamento.[8]

CARTA GALFRIDI MARTEL.

Galfridus Martel tenet j feodum militis, quod acquietat per corpus suum in officio domini Regis Butteleriæ[12] suæ. Antecessores mei non tenuerunt plus tempore Henrici Regis. De hoc dominio meo dedit Rogero fratri meo[13] tantum terræ unde debet ei quartam partem servitii j militis, et aliam partem Simoni filio Roberti unde debet ei servitium quartæ partis j militis de dono Regis.[14]

---

[1] *Furnels*, L. N.
[2] *La Linde*, L. N.
[3] *Calvel*, L. N.
[4] *Baiunville*, L. N.
[5] *Waddun*, L. N.
[6] *Erlega*, L. N.
[7] *feofati*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *Arundel*, L. N.
[10] *fefatus*, L. N.
[11] *duorum*, L. N.
[12] *Buteleriæ*, L. N.
[13] *Sic* in MSS.
[14] *militis unius*, L. N.

DORSETE— *cont.*

A.D. 1166. De purchaciis Episcopi Sarrisbiriensis[1]:—

Willelmus filius Ricardi, feoda iij militum.
Rualet[2] de Waie, feodum j militis et dimidii.
Henricus filius Willelmi, feodum j militis.
Michael de Wahulle, feodum j militis.

CARTA WILLELLMI BELET.

Willelmus Belet debet Regi ex veteri feffamento[3] servitium j[4] militis et tantum habeo.

CARTA RADULFI DE CHAHAINES.

De veteri feffamento[3] Regis tenet Radulfus de Chahaines iij[5] milites. Et de novo feffamento[3] quartam partem militis, de dominio suo:—

Willelmus de Cumba tenet eandem quartam partem militis.
Simon de Leseburne,[6] j militem.
Walterus Giffard,[7] j militem.
Torstanus de Rodmartone, j militem.

CARTA BERNARDI PULLI.

Ego Bernardus Pullus teneo de Rege feodum j[4] militis de honore de Monte Acuto sicuti pater meus tenuit in die et in anno quo Henricus Rex Anglorum fuit vivus et mortuus, excepto quod vij[a][8] pars illius feodi
Fo. 87 d. mihi injuste sublata est. Et tamen ego plenarie servitium facio. Feodum illud est in Dorsete et in hundredo Sancti Georgii de Dorecestria, et vocatur Cerna Pulli.

---

[1] *De dono Domini Regis de Purchasiis Episcopi Sarisbiriensis*, L. N.
[2] *Sic* in MS.; *Rualent*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *unius*, L. N.
[5] *tres*, L. N.
[6] *Lesseburne*, L. N.
[7] *Giffardus*, L. N.
[8] *vij*, L. N.

DORSETE—*cont.*

CARTA WILLELMI FILII JOHANNIS DE HERPETREU.[1] A.D. 1166.

Ego Willelmus filius Johannis de Herpetreu [1] teneo de honore qui fuit de Batettonia [2] in capite de domino Rege xiij milites et dimidium et quartam partem militis, scilicet :—

Ricardus filius Hlud Flameng,[3] vij milites.

Willelmus filius Hugonis, ij [4] milites et dimidium et quartam partem militis.

Robertus de Morcellis,[5] duos milites.

Duas partes militis quas habui in dominio dedi Rogero Bacun cum filia mea.

Willelmo filio Stephani, tertiam partem militis. Et ego debeo facere dimidium militem.

Robertus de Serentone, dimidium militem. Et apud Harepetre de feodo de Monte Acuto de honore Comitis Moretoniæ, j militem per idem servitium quod alii Barones faciunt de eodem honore.

Et Reddonam teneo de domino Rege quæ est feodum j militis, unde Ricardus de Reddona [6] detinet vi servitium, et dicit nullum servitium mihi debere facere de feodo Comitis de Moretonia.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII JOHANNIS.[7]

Ego Willelmus filius Johannis teneo de Rege in capite de veteri feffamento [8] de honore Moretoniæ vij milites, unde iij [9] milites faciunt servitium ij militum.

Isti sunt milites qui de me tenent, scilicet :—

Wimundus filius Radulfi tenet feoda ij militum.

Rogerus filius Odonis, j militem.

Et super dominium meum, iiij milites.

---

[1] *Hepetreu*, L. N.
[2] *Battonia*, L. N.
[3] *Flemengi*, L. N.
[4] *duos*, L. N.
[5] *Morceles*, L. N.
[6] *Raddona*, L. N.
[7] *Johannis de Westone*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *tres*, L. N.

DORSETE— *cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA RICARDI FILII WILLELMI.

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Ricardus filius Willelmi salutem et fidele servitium.

Vobis mando[1] quod debeo vobis decem milites de feodo de Moretonia, unde iij[2] faciunt ij,[3] scilicet:—

Radulfus de Dumere, tenet duo.[4]
Willelmus de Cinnoch, j.[5]
Walterus Briton,[6] j.[5]
Willelmus de Cilterne, j.[5]
Ricardus filius Jordani, j.[5]
Alanus de Forashasle,[7] dimidium.
Willelmus de Stantone, unum.

Et isti sunt de veteri feffamento[8]; et nichil de novo. Et de dominio meo duo et dimidium. Valete.

SUMERSETE.

CARTA BATTONIENSIS EPISCOPI.

Carissimo domino suo Regi Anglorum, Battoniensis[9] Episcopus salutem et fidele servitium.

Fecimus inquirere per legales homines meos[10] quod servitium militum habeat[11] Episcopus Battoniensis et quot milites feodatos tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri. Hæc[12] autem per eos inquisivimus, et si certiorem inquirere poterimus veritatem, nos illam vobis significabimus.

De veteri feffamento[8] sunt isti:—

Reginaldus præcentor de Welles, j militem et v^tam^ partem militis.

---

[1] *Mando vobis*, L. N.
[2] *tres*, L. N.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *duo tenet*, L. N.
[5] *unum*, L. N.
[6] *Brutun*, L. N.
[7] *Forhasle*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *Robertus Bathoniensis*, L. N.
[10] *nostros*, L. N.
[11] *habebat*, L. N.
[12] *hoe*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Ricardus de Chiuetone,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Ricardus de Lotingario,[2] j militem.
Mathæus de Horningedone, j militem.
Willelmus filius Johannis, j militem et dimidium.
Johannes de Ken, ij[3] milites.
Thomas de Wicha, ij milites.
Robertus Pukerel, j militem.
Walterus Hossatus,[4] j militem.
Hamo filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Reginaldi, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Estone, iiij partes militis.
Wakelinus Hossatus,[5] dimidium militem.
Willelmus Hosatus, et Walterus Ladda, et Adam de Sokerwicha,[6] j militem.
Henricus Lupellus, dimidium militem.
Fo. 88. Prior Battoniæ,[7] et Gregorius, et Willelmus Crocus,[8] dimidium militem.[9]
Philippus de Walintone, dimidium militem.
Simon de Cerda et Willelmus filius Jordani, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Dene, et Ricini,[10] et Johannes de Hyla, j militem.[9]
Hugo de Wirecestria, et Adam de Lambroc,[11] et Johannes filius Richildæ,[12] Lambertus, Baldricus de Biflescote, j militem.
Robertus filius Martini, iij partes militis.

De novo feffamento[13]:—
Willelmus Marscallus[14] deforciat[15] Episcopo duas hidas de dominio suo.

---

[1] *Stivintone*, L. N.
[2] *Lotingar*[*ius*], L. N. on an erasure.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *Hosatus*, L. N.
[5] *Walkelinus Hosatus*, L. N.
[6] *Socherwicha*, L. N.
[7] *Bathoniæ*, L. N.
[8] *Croc*, L. N.
[9] *militem* omitted in L. N.
[10] *Rumi*, L. N.
[11] *Lambroce*, L. N.
[12] *Richild*, L. N.
[13] *fefamento*, L. N.
[14] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[15] *difforciat*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus filius Johannis dicit quod debet de Dorkemerefeld[1] j militem, sed Episcopus dicit quod deberet esse dominium suum. Et præter hæc sunt ibi minutæ partes quas tenuerunt servientes Episcopi per ministerium servientium, de quibus aliquando Episcopus capiebat denarios in auxilium Regis.

Episcopus debet Regi servitium xx militum.

CARTA ABBATIÆ GLASTONIÆ.

Milites qui tenuerunt tempore Regis Henrici et modo tenent de Abbatia Glastoniæ:—

Walterus de Sireburne[2] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Comes Patricius.

Walterus Waleram, senior, tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Walterus filius ejusdem Waleram.

Robertus Malet tenuit feoda x militum, et Hubertus de Sancta Susanna tenet[3] de eo feodo feodum ij militum, et modo Willelmus Malech[4] tenet totum tenementum pro xij militibus.

Alvredus, senior, de Lincolnia tenuit feoda v militum, et modo Alvredus.[5]

Robertus de Candos tenuit j militem,[6] et modo Walterus filius ejus.

Ansketillus de Cossingtone[7] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo filius filii ejus.

Galfridus de Covestone tenuit feodum j militis, et Galfridus filius Adæ filii ejus tenet modo.

Hugo de Graintone tenuit feodum dimidii militis, et modo tenet filius filii ejus.

Sanson de Spertegrave tenuit feodum j militis, et modo filius ejus.

---

[1] *Dokemerefeld*, L. N.
[2] *Scireburne*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MS.
[4] *Malet*, L. N.
[5] *Alfredus*, L. N.
[6] *feodum j militis*, L. N.
[7] *Cosintone*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Walterus de Abbodestone tenuit feodum j militis, A.D. 1166.
et modo Robertus filius ejus.

Similiter[1] Ricardus filius Vrsi tenuit feodum j militis et dimidii, et modo Reginaldus filius ejus.

Willelmus filius Walteri tenuit feoda v militum, et modo filius Roberti filii Martini.

Odo Beaucens[2] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Galfridus filius filii ejus.

Willelmus de Hawataleia[3] tenuit feodum j militis et adhuc tenet.

Albricus tenuit[4] feodum j militis, et modo Rogerus Folioch.[5]

Radulfus Huse tenuit feodum j militis et adhuc tenet.

Johannes filius Hamundi tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet Willelmus filius filii[6] ejus.

Galfridus Tortesmeins[7] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet Robertus Pukerel.

Osbertus de Liswis[8] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet[9] Henricus de Novo Mercato.

Rogerus de Berkelai tenet feodum j militis.

Henricus Orescuil tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Elias[10] filius ejus.

Radulfus Basset tenuit feodum j militis, et modo tenet hæres Radulfi Basset junioris.

Arnulfus[11] tenuit feodum dimidii militis, et modo tenet Willelmus filius Ricardi filii ejus.

---

[1] *Similiter* is correctly referred to the end of the preceding entry in L. N.

[2] *Baucans*, L. N. The *e* is interlined in the MS.

[3] *Hwateleia*, L. N.

[4] *tenet*, L. N.

[5] *Folioth*, L. N.

[6] *filius filius*, L. N.

[7] *Tortesmains*, L. N.

[8] A later alteration in the MS.; *Lisuns*, L. N.

[9] *tenet* omitted in L. N.

[10] *Helias*, L. N.

[11] *Aiulfus*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Rembaldus[1] Huscarl tenuit feodum j militis, et modo Robertus de Wildesora.[2]

Ricardus Cotele tenet xx libratas terræ, quæ fuerunt de dominio et mensa monachorum,[3] et nullum inde servitium facit.

Baldewinus de Estcote[4] tenuit feodum j militis, et modo hæres Ywain filii ejus.

CARTA ABBATIÆ MUCHELNEIÆ.[5]

Ecclesia Muchelneiæ[6] feodum j[7] militis habet cujus servitium debet domino Regi, sicuti debuit antiquitus Regibus prædecessoribus ejus de novo vel de veteri feffamento[8]; nec amplius habet nec amplius debet. Hoc quidem feodum tenent:—

Ricardus Revel, partem.

Margareta[9] filia Radulfi Tabuel, partem.

CARTA WILLELMI DE CURCY,[10] DAPIFERI.

Hæc est baronia Willelmi de Curcy,[10] dapiferi, quam avus suus et pater suus tenuerunt, et quam ipse tenet.

De illa baronia tenet:—

Simon filius Petri, viij[11] milites et dimidium.

Filius Simonis filii Petri, qui habet filiam Rogeri de Fraisneto, iiij milites.

Alanus filius Reinberti, iij milites.

Hugo Gulafre, iij milites.

Philippus de Ramelly,[12] j militem.

Radulfus filius Adæ, j militem.

---

[1] *Reinbaldus*, L. N.

[2] *Wildeskora*, L. N.

[3] *monacorum*, L. N.

[4] *Essecote*, L. N.

[5] *Abbatis Midcelaniæ*, L. N. In the MS. the rubric is written above an erasure.

[6] *Midcelaniæ*, L. N.

[7] *unius*, L. N.

[8] *fefamento*, L. N., where *De novo* correctly begins a fresh sentence.

[9] *Et Margareta*, L. N.

[10] *Curci*, L. N.

[11] *viij^to^*, L. N.

[12] *Rumelli*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Robertus Gerebert,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Reginaldus filius Godefridi, tres partes j militis.
Willelmus Poer,[2] j militem.
Willelmus de Reyni,[3] j militem.
Fulco Poer,[2] j militem.

Hoc est de veteri feffamento.[4]

Et de novo feffamento[4] quod pater suus feodavit
Fo. 88 d. de dominio suo de eadem baronia :—

Ricardus de Londonia, j militem.
Robertus de Stane, j militem.
Walterus de Lilibone,[5] j militem.
Hugo Pincerna, dimidium militem.
Berengerus, dimidium militem.
Goidlanus, v^tam^[6] partem j militis.

Ex[7] baronia Willelmi Meschin[8] ex parte matris suæ :—

Hugo Malet, viij milites.
Philippus de Chunes,[9] j militem.
Amauricus de Sterta, j militem et dimidium.
Michael de Spichwich,[10] j militem.
Petrus de Godintone, j militem.
Godefridus de Dony,[11] j militem.
Reginaldus de Alivecote,[12] dimidium militem.
Willelmus Barre, j militem.
Simon de Monte Alto, dimidium militem.
Adam filius Normanni, dimidium militem.
Petrus de Moretone, dimidium militem.
Ricardus Gramaticus, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Gerbert*, L. N.
[2] *Poher*, L. N.
[3] *Reigni*, L. N., but the *i* is interlined.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Lillebone*, L. N.
[6] *quintam*, L. N.
[7] *Et ex*, L. N.
[8] *Meschini*, L. N.
[9] *Chimes*, L. N.
[10] *Spicherwic*. L. N.
[11] *Dom*, L. N.
[12] *Ailievecote*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA WILLELMI DE MOIUN.

Hii sunt milites feodati a tempore Regis Henrici de feodo Willelmi de Moiun[1]:—

Willelmus filius Durant[2] tenet feoda v militum et dimidii.
Willelmus de Elleworthe, iiij milites.
Rogerus Arundel, iij milites.
Alexander de Badialtone, iij milites.
Hugo de Gundeville, ij milites.
Talbot[3] de Hafflet,[4] ij milites.
Reinerius Tornach, j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus de Sancto Ligerio, j militem.
Galfridus de Ver, j militem.
Galfridus Hossatus,[5] j militem.
Radulfus Hosatus,[6] j militem.
Johannes Croc, j militem.
Thomas de Campo Florido, j militem.
Walterus de Lega, j militem.
Robertus Walensis, j militem.
Robertus filius Galfridi, j militem.[7]
Robertus de Huinaz,[8] dimidium militem.
Mathæus de Cumba et Nicholaus,[9] j militem.
Simon Brito, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Dacus, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Punchardun,[10] ij milites.
Robertus de Brattone, j militem.
Ricardus de Langeham, j militem.
Gerebertus de Percy,[11] j militem.

---

[1] *Willelmi Moiun*, L. N.
[2] *Duranti*, L.N.
[3] *Talebot*, L. N.
[4] *Hathfelde*, L. N.
[5] *Hosatus*, L. N.
[6] *Husatus*, L. N.
[7] *j militem et dimidium*, L. N.
[8] *Umaz*, L. N.
[9] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[10] *Puntchardun*, L. N.
[11] *Perci*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Rogerus de Novo Burgo, j militem. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus de Curcy,[1] j militem.
Isti milites feodati[2] sunt de novo feffamento[3] :—
Willelmus de Curcy[1] tenet feodum j militis.
Robertus Buzun, j militem.
Lucas de Campo Florido, j militem.
Hugo de Punchardun, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Lundres,[4] dimidium militem.

CARTA WILLELMI MALECH.[5]

Isti sunt milites de veteri feffamento[3] Willelmi Malech[5] de feodo quod tenet in capite de Rege :—
Willelmus filius Reginaldi vij milites et iij^am^ partem militis.
Baldewinus Malet, ij milites et iij^am^ partem militis.
Thomas de Halseweie,[6] j militem.
Osbertus de Eston, iij milites.
Rogerus de Arundel,[7] j militem.
Robertus de Woda, j militem.
Serlo de Braicote,[8] j militem.
Jordanus de Cnolla, j militem.
Walterus de Sancto Quintino, j militem.
Jordanus de Staffordia, j militem.
Radulfus Hosatus, dimidium militem.
Isti sunt de veteri feffamento[3] et tot debet Regi :—
Robertus Malherbe, j militem et iij[9] partes j[10] militis.
Elyas de Meysi,[11] iiij^tam^[12] partem militis.
Johannes Belet et Henricus de Lopena, j militem, ita quod Henricus tenet inde, v^tam^[13] partem.

---

[1] *Curci*, L. N.
[2] *Isti sunt milites feodati*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *Londonia*, L. N.
[5] *Malet*, L. N.
[6] *Halsweie*, L. N.
[7] *Rogerus Arundel*, L. N.
[8] *Braicota*, L. N.
[9] *iiij^or^*, L. N.
[10] *unius*, L. N.
[11] *Helias de Meisi*, L. N.
[12] *quartam*, L. N.
[13] *quintam*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Philippus Buzard,[1] v^tam^ [2] partem militis.
Willelmus Hostiarius, xx^am^ partem.[3]
De novo feffamento[4] isti subscripti :—
Robertus filius Bernardi, j militem.
Osbertus[5] de Barnville[6], x^am^ partem militis.
Malgerus, v^tam^ [2] partem.
Robertus filius Reineri, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Norfolke,[7] decimam partem militis.
Ipsemet Willelmus debet Regi in Kent, de honore quem ei dedit, dimidium militem.

Fo. 89. CARTA DROGONIS JUVENIS.[8]

Isti sunt milites de baronia Drogonis Juvenis de Monte Acuto, de parvis militibus Comitis Meretoniæ,[9] quorum iij[10] milites non faciunt Regi servitium nisi quantum duo debent facere de cæteris baronibus Angliæ :—
Willelmus Malherbe,[11] iiij milites.
Robertus filius Johannis, j militem.
Jordanus Gwihaine,[12] j militem.
Henricus Lupellus tenet injuste terram de Dikenescova[13] et sine waranto, et deforciat eam Drogoni ; quæ debet j militem.
Robertus filius Willelmi occupavit et tenet injuste terram de Winburneforde, quæ debet dimidium militem.
Hamo, dimidium militem.
Helyas de Arderna,[14] j[15] militem.

---

[1] *Buzar*, L. N.
[2] *quintam*, L. N.
[3] *vigesimam partem militis*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[6] *Bainville*, L. N.
[7] *Nortfolce*, L. N.
[8] *Carta Drogonis Juvenis de Monte Acuto*, L. N.
[9] *Moretoniæ*, L.N.
[10] *tres*, L. N.
[11] *Mala Herba*, L. N.
[12] *Guihaine*, L. N.
[13] *Dichenescova*, L. N.
[14] *Ardena*, L. N.
[15] *unum*, L. N. on an erasure.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Thomas de Tolra, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.

Ricardus filius Bernardi, tertiam partem militis.

Et de novo feffamento[1]:—

Willelmus de Monte Acuto, j militem.

Valete.

Summa—ix milites et dimidium et tertia pars j militis[2]; et j militem quem Henricus Lupellus deforciat.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII WILLELMI.[3]

Ego Willelmus filius Willelmi de Haseberga habeo tres milites de veteri feffamento[1] de anno et die quo Rex Henricus avus domini Regis fuit vivus et mortuus, unde Willelmus filius Terrici debet mihi servitium duorum militum. Et egomet facio servitium j militis de dominio meo de Haseberga[4]; et nullum habeo feffatum[5] de novo feffamento.[1]

Ricardus Revel tenet Langeport et Curcy[6] per servitium duorum[7] militum.

CARTA ROBERTI FILII RADULFI.

Domino suo[8] Regi Anglorum, Robertus filius Radulfi salutem et servitia. Sciat vestra dignitas[9] quod ego de feodo, pro servitio j militis, teneo xx libratas terræ quæ fuerunt Reginaldi filii Hugonis apud Sumersete; et tantum habuerunt antecessores vestri,[10] et tantum vobis facere debeo.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *iij pars j militis*, L. N.
[3] *Carta Willelmi filii Willelmi de Haseberga*, L. N.
[4] *Hasberga*, L. N.
[5] *fefatum*, L. N.
[6] *Cury*, L. N.
[7] *ij*, L. N. This entry is a later insertion in L. N.
[8] *suo* repeated in MS.
[9] *dingnitas* in MS.
[10] *nostri*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA NICHOLAI[1] FILII HARDINGI.

Ego Nicholaus[1] filius Hardingi teneo de Rege feodum duorum militum et dimidii. Et de hoc feodo tenet Willelmus filius Osberti duas partes militis et Wimundus tertiam partem. Istos duos feodavit pater meus tempore Regis Henrici et residuum mihi remanet in dominio meo; præter tres virgatas terræ quas duo milites tenent, Baldewinus et Hildebrandus; et eas dedit pater meus, et juvant me ad servitium meum.[2] Ego nullum feodavi post mortem patris mei.

CARTA ALEXANDRI DE ALNO.

Domino suo Regi Anglorum, Alexander de Alno salutem et servitia. Præcepistis mihi quatinus vobis intimarem servitium feodi; unde, proinde[3] vestra ratum habeat reverentia quoniam totum quod feodi mei est, pro servitio j[4] militis se defendit; et sic et tantum antecessores mei vestris antecessoribus fecerunt.

Interim,[5] sciat vestra dignitas quod ego post mortem Henrici Regis nullum militem feffavi,[6] sed pater meus fratri suo Hugoni de Alno parum terræ de suo dominio dedit ut, si necesse esset, servitium j[7] militis faceret ad totam terram patris mei defendendam. Et ista donatio facta fuit illi et hæredibus suis tempore[8] Willelmi Regis.

CARTA HENRICI DE CULTURA.

Ego Henricus de Cultura habeo feodum j[7] militis de Rege in capite, et nullum habeo feffatum[9] de veteri vel de novo feffamento.[10]

---

[1] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[2] *ad perficiendum servitium meum*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MS.; *mei. Proinde*, L. N.
[4] *j*, L. N.
[5] *Iterum*, L. N.
[6] *fefavi*, L. N.
[7] *unius*, L. N.
[8] *in tempore*, L. N.
[9] *fefatum*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

CARTA PHILIPPI DE COLUMBARIIS. A.D. 1166.

Tempore Henrici Regis uno feodo[1] quod Philippus de Columbariis tenet fuerant x milites feodati, scilicet:—

Hugo Pincerna de Tornesbury, feodum j militis.

Elias[2] de Careville apud Lokintone, feodum j militis.

Willelmus filius Terri[3] de Otremetone, feodum j militis.

Hugo Fichet de Strangestone, feodum j militis.

Robertus Fichet de Spaketone, feodum j militis.

Hugo filius Malgeri de Gaherste, feodum j militis.

Galfridus de Ver de Ulmerestone, feodum j militis.

Apud Hilda,[4] feodum j militis.

Willelmus Lovel[5] apud Stoclande, feodum dimidii militis.

Fo. 89 d. Robertus de Oreweie,[6] feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus filius Jordani de Alwestone,[7] dimidium militem.

Ricardus filius Radulfi apud Panefeld,[8] feodum dimidii militis.

Post mortem Henrici Regis unus miles feodatus de dominio de Alvrintone.

Hugo de Ralee.[9]

CARTA RICARDI DI[10] ESTRE.

Hii sunt milites de baronia Ricardi dil[10] Estre de veteri feffamento[11]:—

Ricardus filius Serlonis debet ei servitium j militis.

---

[1] *j feodo*, L. N.; Rectius *in feodo*.
[2] *Helyas*, L. N.
[3] *Terrici*, L. N.
[4] *Sic* in MS.
[5] *Luvel*, L. N.
[6] *Orwee*, L. N.
[7] *Alvestone*, L. N.
[8] *Painfelde*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MS.; *Alvrintone, Hugo de Ralege*, L. N.
[10] *del*, L. N.
[11] *fefamento*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Radulfus Cuinterel, tertiam partem militis.[1]
Rogerus de Puintone, tertiam partem militis.
Hugo de Poncintone,[2] tertiam partem militis.
Et villa de[3] Estre, tertiam partem militis.

De novo feffamento[4] quem ipse Ricardus feffavit[5] de dominio suo:—

Baldewinus de Bolestane,[6] quartam partem militis.[7]

Et de dominio suo debet ipse Ricardus Domino Regi servitium ij militum.

Summa—iiij milites. Et isti sunt de parvis militibus Comitis Meretoniæ[8] quorum iij[9] non faciunt Regi servitium nisi ij militum.[10] Tres etiam istorum sunt de baronia de Monte Acuto de[11] Sumersete, et quartus de honore de Berkamestede[12] in Norhantonscira.[13]

CARTA WALTERI BRITONIS.

Ego Walterus Brito debeo domino Regi de servitio militum feffatorum[14] de tempore Regis Henrici servitium xv militum, unde Rogerus filius Milonis tenet feodum j militis. Modo tenet baroniam istam Ricardus filius Willelmi Bruerre.[15]

Robertus de Valetorte,[16] feodum j militis.
Radulfus de Lega, feodum j militis.
Robertus de Stantone, feoda ij[17] militum.
Willelmus Brito, feodum j militis.
Willelmus Fossard, feoda ij[17] militum.

---

[1] *Cuinterellus iij^am^ partem militis*, L. N.
[2] *Puncintone*, L. N.
[3] *del*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *fefavit*, L. N.
[6] *Belestane*, L. N.
[7] *unius militis*, L. N.
[8] *Moretoniæ*, L. N.
[9] *tres*, L. N.
[10] *servitium nisi duorum*, L. N.
[11] *in Sumersete*, L. N.
[12] *Berchamstede*, L. N.
[13] *Norhantescira*, L. N.
[14] *fefatorum*, L. N.
[15] *Briwerre*, L. N. In L. N. the entry *Modo tenet baroniam istam Ricardus filius Willelmi Briwerre* is a marginal note in a later hand.
[16] *Valle torta*, L. N.
[17] *duorum*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Gilbertus de Tornay,[1] si totum feodum suum haberet, deberet servitium duorum[2] militum. A.D. 1166.

Alexander filius Warini, feoda ij militum.

Rogerus de Bulkeworthe,[3] dimidium militem.

Robertus Bastard, feodum[4] dimidii militis.

Galfridus de Cnoltone, feodum dimidii militis.

Et de dominio meo, feodum j militis et dimidii.

Et nullum habeo feffatum[5] qui non fuit feffatus[6] anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.

Et hii omnes sunt de feodo Comitis Mortoniæ[7] qui non faciunt nisi duas partes militis, quia feodum parvum est.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII GALFRIDI.

Willelmus filius Galfridi de Rege tenet feodum dimidii militis.

CARTA ROBERTI DE BELLO CAMPO.

Carissimo domino suo Regi Anglorum,[8] etc., Robertus de Bello campo salutem et fidelia servitia. Domine, juxta mandatum vestrum[9] vobis, sicut domino meo, significo quod de feodo quod de vobis teneo in capite tenet de me Hugo de Valle Torto[10] feoda vij militum.

Willelmus[11] de Monasteriis, feoda trium militum.

Radulfus filius Ricardi, feodum j militis.

Simon filius Roberti, feodum j militis.

Robertus Germain, feodum j militis.

Lucas Herbein,[12] feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Gilebertus de Torna*, L. N. The *y* is a subsequent addition in the MS.

[2] *ij*, L. N.

[3] *Bulkewrthe*, L. N.

[4] *Bastardus*; *feodum* repeated in L. N.

[5] *fefatum*, L. N.

[6] *fuerit fefatus*, L. N.

[7] *Moretoniæ*, L. N.

[8] *Regi Anglorum Henrico*, L. N.

[9] *vestrum* omitted in L. N.

[10] *Sic* in MS.; *Valle Torta*, L. N.

[11] *Et Willelmus*, L. N.

[12] *Herboin*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et quidam trium annorum puer, nomine Philippus de Dorsette,[1] feodum j militis.

Et de dominio meo debeo servitium ij[2] militum.

Et isti omnes fuerunt feffati[3] anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.

Et sciatis quod nullum militem habeo feffatum[4] de novo, scilicet qui non fuit feffatus[5] anno et die quo[6] Rex Henricus avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus.

CARTA HENRICI LUPELLI.

Talis est numerus militum Henrici Luppelli[7]:—

Robertus de Sturtone tenet[8] feoda iij[9] militum.

Robertus Luvel, j militis.

Eustachius de Radlis, j militis.

Willelmus de Clivedone, ij militum.

Robertus de Gundeville, ij militum.

Robertus de Bakatripe[10] et Robertus filius Baldewini, j militis.[11]

Wimundus de Craucumbe et Reginaldus Heirun, j militis.[11]

Willelmus de Crukec, feoda ij militum.[12]

Radulfus de Spargeforde,[13] j militis.

Fo. 90. Willelmus de Monasteriis, j militis.

Robertus de Punesholt, feoda ij militum.[14]

Robertus Travers, j militis.

Isti sunt feffati[3] de veteri feffamento.[15]

---

[1] *Philippus* repeated in MS.; *Dorset*, L. N.
[2] *duorum*, L. N.
[3] *fefati*, L. N.
[4] *fefatum*, L. N.
[5] *fefatus*, L. N.
[6] *qua*, L. N.
[7] *Lupelli*, L. N.
[8] *tenet de eo*, L. N.
[9] *trium*, L. N.
[10] *Bagatripe*, L. N.
[11] *j*^{m}, L. N.
[12] *Crukez duorum militum*, L. N.
[13] *Sparkeforde*, L. N.
[14] *Punesholte duorum militum*, L. N.
[15] *fefamento*, L. N.

SUMERSETE—*cont.*

Galfridus filius Cancelarii[1] tenet de eodem feodo A.D. 1166.
feodum j[2] militis de dominio suo.

Hoc est de novo feffamento.[3]

CARTA WILLELMI DE ERLEIGA.[4]

Domino suo Regi Anglorum, Willelmus de Erleiga[4] fidele servitium.[5]

Sciatis, domine, quod de feodo meo debeo esse Camerarius vester et habeo j militem feffatum,[6] scilicet, Thomam de Bercham, de antiquo feffamento,[3] et nullum de novo feffamento.[3] Valete.

CARTA GALFRIDI DE MONDEVILLE.[7]

Ego Galfridus de Mondeville[7] teneo feodum j[8] militis de domino meo Rege in Sumersete,[9] quod appellatur Herdintone.

CARTA HUGONIS DE CURCELLIS.

Hugo de Curcel[10] tenet de Rege feodum j[8] militis et de illo feodo quod [dedit][11] pater illius Rogero de Greintone,[12] quartam partem militis.

[W]illelmus de Wrocham[13] tenet terram quæ fuit Roberti de Oburville pro feodo j militis de dono[14] Regis Ricardi.[15]

---

[1] *Cancellarii*, L. N.
[2] *unius*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *Erleia*, L. N.
[5] *Domino suo Henrico Regi . . . servitium cum salute*, L. N.
[6] *feodatum*, L. N.
[7] *Mandeville*, L. N.
[8] *unius*, L. N.
[9] *Sumersate*, L. N.
[10] *Curcellis*, L. N.
[11] *de illo feodo dedit*, L. N.
[12] *Graintone*, L. N.
[13] *Wrotham*, L. N.
[14] *ex dono*, L. N.
[15] L. N. adds the entry, like the preceding one in a later hand :— "Hubertus de Burgo tenet Ramele "et Croft molendina quæ fuerunt "Willelmi de Romara per ——."

A.D. 1166.

WILTESCIRA.

Carta Episcopi Sarrisbiriensis.[1]

Hii sunt milites de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi[1] tenentes et antiquitus feffati[2]:—

Ricardus filius Hildebrant[3] tenet de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi[1] feoda v militum, excepta una hida et dimidia quæ jacent in parco de Sirburne[4] quas tenet dominus Rex in manu sua modo.[5]

Comes Patricius debet Episcopo ij milites tantum et tertium ad Wardum[6] castri Sarrisbiriæ[7] solummodo, sicut ipse asserit.

Gilbertus[8] de Percy,[9] de honore Cerdestoke, iij milites.

Cumtone in Sumersete, j militem.

Jordanus Oliver, j militem.[10]

Hugo filius Osberti, dimidium militem.

Walterus filius Ricardi, j militem.

Eudo filius Sansonis, j militem, j[11] virgata minus, sicut ipse asserit.

Robertus Calvel, j militem.

Galfridus et Willelmus de Bowodo,[12] j militem.

Alvredus de Montesorel, j militem.

Johannes de Mellepeis,[13] ij milites.

Alvredus de Lincolnia, j militem.

Ricardus filius Willelmi, j militem.

Willelmus de Percy[9] de Fulc[inges], dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Saresbiriensis ; Saresbiriensi*, L. N.
[2] *fefati*, L. N.
[3] *Hildebrandi*, L. N.
[4] *Scireburne*, L. N.
[5] *modo in manu sua*, L. N.
[6] *Guardam*, L. N.
[7] *Sarisbiriæ*, L. N.
[8] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[9] *Perci*, L. N.
[10] *j militem et dimidium*, L. N.
[11] *una*, L. N.
[12] *Bowoda*, L. N.
[13] *Melepeis*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

De Upecerna, dimidium militem, qui fuit de dominio Episcopatus Sarrisbiriensis [1] ea die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus. A.D. 1166.

Walterus Walerant in Litelinge tenet feodum j [2] militis quam faciebat antecessori meo, et mihi non facit nisi servitium dimidii militis; quia ipse Walterus servitium dimidii militis, a tempore gwerræ [3] mihi diffiorciat.[4]

Robertus de Ceresi, j militem.

Radulfus de Candele,[5] j militem.

Robertus filius Alberti, de iij [6] hidis de Lilletone et de ij hidis de Bere, j militem.

Ebrardus de Libbestone, dimidium militem.

Gilbertus de Hale,[7] j militem.

Willelmus de Cotes, ij milites.

Rogerus filius Radulfi, cum una hida quam Osmundus tenet, j [8] militem.

Simon de Parco, j militem.

Petrus de Pocerna, j militem.

Ricardus de La Folie,[9] j militem.

Reginaldus de Latrope, j militem.

De feodo Ricardi filii Reginaldi de Ramesbiria,[10] dimidium militem.[11]

Robertus de Wamburga,[12] j militem.

Johannes de Exonia [13] de Winterburne in Berkscira,[14] dimidium militem.

Hunfridus [15] Franceis, miles, tenebat feodum j militis de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi [1] iij [16] hidas feodi apud

---

[1] *Saresbiriensis; Saresbiriensi*, L. N.
[2] *unius*, L. N.
[3] *guerræ*, L. N.
[4] *difforciavit*, L. N.
[5] *Candel*, L. N.
[6] *tribus*, L. N.
[7] *Gilebertus de Hala*, L. N.
[8] *unum*, L. N.
[9] *La Folia*, L. N.
[10] *Rammesberi*, L. N.
[11] *dimidium*, L. N.
[12] *Wanberga*, L. N.
[13] *Oxonia*, L. N.
[14] *Beresire*, L. N.
[15] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[16] *tres*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Fo. 90 d.

Imberneram [1] et ij [2] hidas ejusdem feodi apud Hertone.[3] De illis vero tribus hidis quas ipse Hunfridus [4] tenebat apud Imbmeram [1] per Regem modo disseisiatus est; et duæ hidæ quas ipse tenebat apud Hortanam [5] ipsi Hunfrido [6] adhuc remanserunt.

Petrus de Lavintone eadem die [7] qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus, tenebat de Episcopatu Sarrisbiriensi [8] j militem,[9] et servitium j militis inde fecit Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi.[8] Modo vero tenent hæredes Willelmi filii Alani illud [10] feodum, et nullum faciunt inde servitium Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi.[8]

Hii sunt milites de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi,[8] tenentes noviter feffati [11]:—

Rogerus filius Ebrardi tenet feodum ij [12] militum, quod fuit de dominio Episcopatus Sarrisbiriensis [8] ea die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.[13]

Hillarius [14] tenet de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi [8] feodum dimidii [militis] [15] j [16] virgata minus, sicut dicit [17] quod fuit de dominio Episcopatus Sarrisbiriensis [8] ea die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.

Robertus de Turnecumbe [18] tenet de Episcopo Sarrisbiriensi [8] dimidiam hidam pro decima parte servitii j militis, quæ erat de dominio Episcopatus ea die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.[19]

---

[1] *Imbemeram*, L. N.
[2] *duas*, L. N.
[3] *Hortone*, L. N.
[4] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[5] *Hortonam*, L. N.
[6] *Humfrido*, L. N.
[7] *tenebat eadem die*, L. N.
[8] *Saresbiriensis ; Saresbiriensi*, L. N.
[9] *feodum unius militis*, L. N.
[10] *idem*, L. N.
[11] *fefati*, L. N.
[12] *duorum*, L. N.
[13] *mortus* in MS.
[14] *Hylarius*, L. N.
[15] *dimidii militis*, L. N.
[16] *una*, L. N.
[17] *sicut ipse asserit*, L. N.
[18] *Tornecumba*, L. N.
[19] Note in chalk, *Summa xiij feoda et x^s*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA ABBATIÆ[1] DE WILTONIA. A.D. 1166.

Abbatia Wiltoniæ[2] debuit invenire ad servitium Regis v[3] milites tempore Regis Henrici. Et hii sunt feffati[4] ad illud servitium Regi faciendum, videlicet:—

Willelmus de Sancto Martino debet j militem.

Gerardus de Celca, j militem.

Girardus Giffard et Walterus de Calestone, j militem.

Hugo de Curcellis et Helias de Langeford, j militem.[5]

Willelmus Burnellus et Philippus de Winburne, j militem.

Summa horum—v milites. Et dominium Abbatiæ quietum est.

CARTA COMITIS PATRICII.

Caro domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, etc., Comes Patricius salutem et fidelia servitia.

Audito præcepto vestro de militibus meis feodatis et de servitio eorum[6] et de servitio quod ad dominia mea pertinet, secundum quod de probis et antiquis[7] meis inquirere potui, vobis per præsens scriptum meum notifico.

Isti sunt feodati de veteri feodamento de feodo Walteri patris mei quod de vobis in capite teneo:—

Ricardus de Bermeriis[8] debet servitium vij militum.

Elyas[9] filius Radulfi, iij militum.

---

[1] *Abbatissæ*, L. N.
[2] *de Wiltonia*, L. N.
[3] *quinque*, L. N.
[4] *fefati*, L. N.
[5] *j*, L. N.
[6] *illorum*, L. N.
[7] *antiquis hominibus*, L. N.
[8] *Berneriis*, L. N.
[9] *Helias*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Nicholaus [1] de Pola, iij militum.
Rogerus filius Galfridi, ij militum.
Rogerus Burellus,[2] ij milites et dimidium.[3]
Ricardus de Listelhul [4] et Osbertus de Brentsant, iij militum.
Mathæus de Bovile,[5] ij militum et dimidii.
Osbertus de Estone, ij militum.
Willelmus Malewein,[6] ij militum.
Willelmus filius Ebor[ardi], j militis et dimidii.
Robertus de Valoniis, j militis.
Ricardus de Calna, Alexander [7] de Cheverelle, j militis.
Robertus filius Ascelin,[8] j militis.
Robertus de Wiltesira,[9] j militis et dimidii.
Walterus filius Henrici, j militis.
Ricardus de Winterburne, j militis.
Henricus filius Ursi, j militis.
Alvredus de Lincolnia, j militis.
Ricardus de Gu[vincis], ij militum.
De Tudewrdia debetur servitium j militis.

Summa militum quos debeo vobis in exercitu.[10]—xl.

De dominicis autem feodi patris mei, et dominiis Hunfridi [11] de Boun,[12] qui tenet de jure meo, et feodo patris mei cum Bointone, quam Elias [13] Giffard [tenet] et cum feodatis de dominio veteri et novo [14] debetur vobis, custodia [15] Sarrisbiriæ [16] et monstratione,[17] servitium xx militum.

---

[1] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[2] *Burel*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MS.
[4] *Lusteshull*, L. N.
[5] *Boville*, L. N.
[6] *Malewain*, L. N.
[7] *Alexander* repeated in MS.
[8] *Ascelini*, L. N.
[9] *Wiltescira*, L. N.
[10] *excercitu* in MS.
[11] *Humfridi*, L. N.
[12] *Bohum*, L. N.
[13] *Helias*, L. N.
[14] *ex veteri et ex novo*, L. N.
[15] *in custodia*, L. N.
[16] *Saresbiriæ*, L. N.
[17] *in monstratione*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

Isti sunt feffati [1] ex veteri feffamento [2] de dominio :— A.D. 1166.

Walterus filius Waconis,[3] Ricardus [4] filius Widonis, dimidium militem.
Albanus de Gatesdene, dimidium militem.
Simon filius Petri, v^tam [5] partem militis.
Paganus filius Radulfi, v^tam [5] partem militis.
Rogerus de Derneford, v^tam [5] partem militis, si unam virgatam haberet.
Milo de Danteseia est feodatus de novo de dominio et debet servitium j militis.

Isti sunt feodati ex veteri feffamento [6] de maritagio matris meæ :—

Ernaldus Durlengi, vj milites.
Alexander de Cheverel, iij milites.
Hugo de Ferclis, ij milites.
Willelmus Malcovenant,[7] j militem et dimidium.
Walterus Giffard, j militem [8] et dimidium.
Willelmus Moisant, j militem.

Robertus filius Galfridi est de [9] novo feodatus de dominio maritagii matris meæ, et debet servitium j [10] militis.

Fo. 91. CARTA WALTERI WALERAM.

Ego Walterus Waleram habeo xij milites feffatos [11] de veteri feffamento [6] de tempore Regis Henrici, avi domini Regis, qui debent plenum servitium. Nomina eorum hæc sunt :—

Willelmus de Turberville, iij milites.
Julianus de Manestone,[12] j militem.

---

[1] *feodati*, L. N.
[2] *feodamento*, L. N.
[3] *Wationis*, L. N.
[4] *et Ricardus*, L. N.
[5] *quintam*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Malcuvenant*, L. N.
[8] L. N. omits *militem*.
[9] *ex*, L. N.
[10] *unius*, L. N.
[11] *fefatos*, L. N.
[12] *Mannestone*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Johannes de Vifhida,[1] j militem.
Mathæus de Witot, j militem.
Thomas de Winterburne, j militem.
Robertus filius Anketil,[2] j militem.
Paganus filius Radulfi, j militem.
Robertus filius Asselini,[3] j militem.
Willelmus de Campeny,[4] j militem.
Herbertus[5] de Foxcote, j militem.

Et super dominium meum sunt servitia viij militum, scilicet, Willelmus filius Odonis et Willelmus de Veres[6] de dominio meo de veteri feffamento[7] feffati.[8] Et Ingeram Bittarius,[9] v^tam[10] partem j militis de meo dominio. Et Robertus de Bothesham,[11] dimidium militem, cum auxilio j virgatæ de dominio meo.

Præter hæc[12] debeo v milites ad custodiam castelli Sarrisbiriæ,[13] de quibus mihi unum debet[14] Robertus filius Asselini[3]; Willelmus de Campeny,[15] unum; Herbertus[5] de Foxcote, unum; et super dominium meum, ij milites.

Sed de novo feffamento[7] nullum habeo feffatum.[16]

CARTA HUNFRIDI DE BOUN.[17]

Hæc sunt nomina militum Hunfridi de Boun,[17] quibus avus suus feffatus[18] fuit in primo feffamento[19] quod in Anglia habuit:—

Walterus de Hayntone, ij milites.

---

[1] *Fifhida*, L. N.
[2] *Anketilli*, L. N.
[3] *Ascelini*, L. N.
[4] *Campeni*, L. N.
[5] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[6] *Luverez*, L. N.
[7] *feodamento*, L. N.
[8] *feodati*, L. N.
[9] *Butarius*, L. N.
[10] *quintam*, L. N.
[11] *Bottesham*, L. N.
[12] *hæc*, L. N.
[13] *Saresbiriæ*, L. N.
[14] *unum mihi debet*, L. N.
[15] *Campeini*, L. N.
[16] *feofatum*, L. N.
[17] *Humfridi de Bohun*, L. N.
[18] *feofatus*, L. N.
[19] *fefamento*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

Osbertus de Harletre,[1] dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Hugo de Braybof,[2] ij milites; et tertium negat qui nondum dirationatur.
Walterus de Camera,[3] dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Walope,[4] j militem.
Hunfridus Pacevot,[5] j militem et dimidium.
Petrus de Burgate, j militem.
Filius Johannis de Neville, j militem.
Willelmus Brito, ij milites.
Domina Avicia, j militem.
Willelmus filius Rogeri et Johannes de Herdestoke,[6] dimidium militem.
Johannes de Riparia,[7] j militem.
Willelmus de Percy,[8] j militem.
Alexander de Luninia, j militem.
Feodum Willelmi de Foxcote,[9] ij milites.
Thomas de Haleweia,[10] j militem.
Thomas de Sancto Mauro, iij milites.
Willelmus de Begintone, j militem.
Asselus[11] filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Harding,[12] dimidium militem.
Walterus de Audely,[13] j militem.
Reginaldus de Sum[er]sira, j militem.
Rogerus filius Ebor[ardi] et Thomas de Wiltesira,[14] j militem.
Hunfridus[15] de Sancto Wigores,[16] j militem.
Galfridus filius Rogeri, j militem.

---

[1] *Harlotera*, L. N.
[2] *Draybouf*, L. N.
[3] *Chain*[*es*], L. N.
[4] *Wallop*, L. N.
[5] *Humfridus Pancefot*, L. N.
[6] *Erdescote*, L. N.
[7] *Ripario*, L. N.
[8] *Perci*, L. N.
[9] *Foxcota*, L. N.
[10] *Halesweie*, L. N.
[11] *Atellus*, L. N.
[12] *Ardingi*, L. N.
[13] *Andeli*, L. N.
[14] *Wiltescire*, L. N.
[15] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[16] *Vigor*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Feodum Brottonis, quod Ecclesia Serleiæ[1] tenet, j militem.

Summa—xxx milites et dimidium.

Hoc vero est omne servitium quod avus suus et ejus pater[2] de Rege tenuerunt et ipse tenet.

De novellis quos avus suus et ejus pater de dominicis[3] suis feodaverunt ante tempus et in tempore et post obitum Regis Henrici sunt isti[4]:—

Ansellus[5] filius Galfridi, j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, dimidium militem.
Sanson Bigot,[6] j militem.
Willelmus de Grainville, ij milites.
Rogerus de Cæsaris Burgo, j militem.
Manasserus Biseth,[7] j militem.
Hugo Wac, j militem.
Hunfridus[8] de Sancto Wigores,[9] j militem.
Ricardus filius Odonis, dimidium militem.

De omni dominio suo et de istis ix[10] et dimidio militibus, quia de dominio suo feodati sunt, non debet Regi servitium nisi de corpore suo.

CARTA RICARDI DE GREINSTEDE.

Ego Ricardus de Greinstede nullum militem habeo feodatum de veteri feffamento[11] de tempore Regis Henrici avi domini[12] Regis, nec de novo post mortem ejus. Sed de dominio meo facio servitium j[13] militis domino Regi.

---

[1] *Ferliæ*, L. N.
[2] *et pater*, L. N.
[3] *dominiis*, L. N.
[4] *videlicet* added in L. N.
[5] *Attellus*, L. N.
[6] *Bigod*, L. N.
[7] *Biset*, L. N.
[8] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[9] *Vigor*, L. N.
[10] *novem*, L. N.
[11] *feodamento*, L. N.
[12] *Domini nostri*, L. N.
[13] *unius*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

Fo. 91 d. CARTA GODEFRIDI DE SCUDEMOR.[1] A.D. 1166.

Godefridus de Scudemore [1] tenet de Roberto de Weias [2] feodum iiij^{or} militum de antiquo feodo. Et de novo tenet feodum j militis et in custodia ij [3] militum; et de antiquo feodo sunt duo feodati, scilicet, Waleram de Scodimore [1] et Waleram Giffardus; et aliud facit de dominio suo. Et idem Godefridus de hoc servitio est attornatus in manu Regis, judicio Curiæ Regis. Dominus Rex super hoc placitum suum faciat.

CARTA GALFRIDI HOSATI.

Hoc est feffamentum [4] Galfridi Hosati.

Henricus Hosatus, pater Galfridi prædicti, dedit Henrico nepoti suo x libratas terræ de dominio suo per servitium dimidii militis, et hoc est de novo feffamento.[5] Et de omni feodo suo non debet Regi nisi servitium unius militis. Non enim habet aliquem militem de veteri feffatum.[6]

CARTA ELIÆ GIFFARDI.[7]

Hii sunt milites de baronia Eliæ Giffardi [8]:—

Geroldus [9] filius Huberti habet feoda duorum militum.

Domina Agnes, feoda duorum militum.

Walterus de Evereus,[10] feodum j militis.

Gerardus [11] Giffard, feodum j militis.

Willelmus filius Remfrei,[12] feodum j militis.

Elias de Terrintone, feodum j militis.

Willelmus filius Geroldi,[13] feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Scudimora*, L. N.
[2] *Roberte Wias*, L. N.
[3] *duorum*, L. N.
[4] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[5] *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *fefatum*, L. N.
[7] *Heliæ Giffard*, L. N.
[8] *Helyæ Giffard*, L. N.
[9] *Giroldus*, L. N.
[10] *Everels*, L. N.
[11] *Girardus*, L. N.
[12] *Reinfridi*, L. N.
[13] *Giroldi*, L. N.

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166.

CARTA PETRI DE MARA.

Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, Petrus de Mara salutem.

Notum sit vobis quod habeo Laventonum, vestri gratia, in dominio pro servitio duorum militum. Sed non habeo ibi ullum militem feffatum [1] neque de veteri neque de novo.[2]

CARTA WILLELMI DE LONDONIA.

Carissimo domino suo Henrico Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, Willelmus de Londonia salutem.

Sciatis quod nullum militem habeo feffatum [3] nec de veteri feffamento [4] ,nec de novo, sed debeo defendere feodum meum per servitium corporis mei.

CARTA WARNERI [5] DE LUSORIIS.

Ego Wanerus [6] de Lusoriis nullum militem habeo feffatum [7] de veteri feodamento [8] de tempore Regis Henrici avi domini nostri Regis, nec de novo post mortem ejus; sed de dominio meo facio servitium j militis domino Regi.

CARTA H[ERBERTI] FILII HERBERTI.[9]

Henrico Regi Anglorum domino suo reverentissimo, H[erbertus] [10] filius Herberti [9] salutem.

Innotesco vobis quod j [11] militis feodum de vobis teneo quod habeo in dominio meo et unde vobis servitium facio.[12]

---

[1] *feodatum*, L. N.
[2] L. N. adds *Valete.*
[3] *fefatum*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Wanerii*, L. N.
[6] *Warnerius*, L. N.
[7] *feofatum*, L. N.
[8] *f*[*eodamento*], L. N.
[9] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[10] *suus H*[*erebertus*], L. N.
[11] *unius*, L. N.
[12] L. N. adds *Valete.*

WILTESCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA HUNFREDI[1] DE SANCTO WIGORE.[2] A.D. 1166.

Hunfredus[3] de Sancto Wigore[2] tenet de Rege feodum dimidii militis.

CARTA GIRARDI GIFFARD.[4]

Ego G[irardus] Giffard,[5] nullum militem feodatum de veteri feffamento habeo[6] de tempore Regis Henrici avi domini Regis,[7] nec de novo feffamento[8] post mortem ejus; sed de dominio meo facio servitium unius militis domino Regi.

Eustachius de Boilloil[9] tenet feodum j militis in Mere.

Henricus Hosatus tenet feodum j militis in Lidetone.[10]

Galfridus[11] Wac tenet in Eblesburne[12] feodum j[13] militis.

Galfridus filius Petri tenet Ceriol,[14] per j feodum, de novo feffamento.[8]

---

[1] *Humfridi*, L. N.
[2] *Vigore*, L. N.
[3] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[4] *Gerardi Giffardi*, L. N.
[5] *Girardus Giffardus*, L. N.
[6] *habeo feodatum de veteri feodamento*, L. N.
[7] *Domini nostri Regis*, L. N.
[8] *feodamento*, L. N.
[9] *Bailoil*, L. N.
[10] *Litletone*, L. N.
[11] *Gaufridus*, L. N.
[12] *Ebblesburne*, L. N.
[13] *unius*, L. N.
[14] *Ceriel*, L. N., in which this and the three preceding entries are later insertions.

A.D. 1166.
Fo. 92.

DEVENESCIRA.

Carta Episcopi Exoniensis.[1]

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum,[2] etc., B[artholomæus] Dei gratia Exoniensis dictus Episcopus salutem et fidele servitium.

Præcepistis mihi quod mandarem vobis per breve meum sigillatum et apertum, non quot servitia militum vobis debeam, sed quot habeam milites feffatos[3] de tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri, et quot post mortem ipsius, et quot sint super dominium meum. Præterea[4] vobis veritatem[5] inde, sicut eam diligentius inquirere potui, significo.

Robertus filius Rogeri[6] tenet de me feoda iij militum.

Willelmus de Tracy, feodum j militis.

Eustachius de Jou, feoda iij militum.

Cecilia de Beuz,[7] feoda iij militum.

Ricardus de Reddone,[8] feoda ij militum.

Willelmus de Boterellis, feodum j militis.

Alexander de Colebroc, feoda ij militum.

Osbertus de Wadetone, feodum j militis.

[9] Alanus de Furnellis, feodum j militis.

Willelmus filius Johannis, feodum j militis.

Willelmus Hay,[10] feodum j militis.

Radulfus de Roke,[11] feodum j militis.

Radulfus filius Stephani, feodum j militis.

---

[1] The rubric in L. N. is *Carta domini Exoniensis de militibus et feodis suis.*

[2] The style in L. N. is *Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquit*[*annorum*], *Comiti Andegavorum.*

[3] *fefatos*, L. N.

[4] *Propterea*, L. N.

[5] *veritatem vobis*, L. N.

[6] *Reg*[*is*], L. N.

[7] *Behuz*, L. N.

[8] *Raddona*, L. N.

[9] L. N. supplies the following entry, evidently omitted in the MS. by a common clerical error:—*Osbertus de Bekatone, feodum militis.*

[10] *Hai*, L. N.

[11] *Roche*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus de Egloshail, feodum j militis. A.D. 1166.
Johannes de Rothomago, feodum j militis.
Henricus de Pomeria, feodum j militis, in Cornubia, et feodum dimidii militis in Devonia. Sed negat servitium illius dimidii militis et tamen fit ei.
Rogerus filius Etardi, feodum dimidii militis.
Stephanus de Stantora, feodum dimidii militis.
Rogerus de Lanovatora,[1] feodum dimidii militis.
Rogerus de Campello, feodum dimidii militis.
Galfridus de Torkaringa,[2] feodum dimidii militis.
Paganus filius Reinfridi,[3] feodum j militis, excepta v^ta^ [4] parte.
Adam de Landechei tenet v^tam^ [5] partem.
Edil de Norothocote [6] et cognata [7] sua tenent iiij^tam^ [8] partem unius militis.
Prior de Plumtone,[9] quartam partem militis.
Joel [10] de Sancto Winnoco, feodum dimidii militis, super calumpniam, quia debet esse dominium.
Osbertus [11] de Crugalain, dimidium militem.
Baldewinus de Pennart, iij [8] partem militis.
Jordanus de Trecarl, dimidium militem.
Petrus de Lameil, dimidium militem.
Robertus da Venmene,[12] j militem, excepta tertia parte. Margareta tenet illam tertiam partem.
Ricardus filius Osulfi, dimidium feodum militis.
Johannes de Talumpna,[13] dimidium militem.
Jordanus de Menestre, dimidium militem.
Edwardus de Wotolta,[14] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Lavonatora*, L. N.
[2] *Torkarigga*, L. N.
[3] *Reimfridi*, L. N.
[4] *quinta*, L. N.
[5] *quintam*, L. N.
[6] *Northoote*, L. N.
[7] *congna* in MS.
[8] *Sic* in MS.; *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *Plintone*, L. N.
[10] *Johel*, L. N.
[11] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[12] *Wenmene*, L. N.
[13] *Talumna*, L. N.
[14] *Wotholca*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Omnes prædicti sunt feffati[1] de tempore Regis Henrici :—

Radulfus de Stanga[2] tenet tertiam partem militis[3] de novo feffamento.[4]

Johannes Bern[ard] filius Bern[ardi],[5] iiij^{tam}[6] partem militis.

Yvo[7] et Osbertus[8] de Augo, iiij^{tam}[6] partem militis.

Willelmus filius Wimundi, xij^{mam} partem militis.

Rogerus filius Rogeri Larcevesque, dimidium militem[9] super calumpniam, quia debet esse dominium.

Et præter hos omnes, sicut a multis audivi, Comes Gloucestriæ et Comes Hugo et Comes de Clare debent tenere de Exoniensi Episcopo ; sed nullum ei servitium faciunt vel recognoscunt.[10]

Gilbertus[11] Marescallus tenet feodum j militis de Exoniensi Episcopo.

CARTA ABBATIS TAVISTOCHIÆ.

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Anglorum Regi nobilissimo, Walterus Dei gratia Tavistochiensis Ecclesiæ minister humilis, fideles orationes in Christo.

Noverit celsitudo vestra de tenura militum nostrorum, quantum inde sollicitius inquirendo scire potuit parvitas nostra, quod eo anno et die quo inclitus Rex Henricus avus vester vixit et viam universæ carnis ingressus est, tenuerunt de paupercula domo nostra.

---

[1] *fefati*, L. N.
[2] *Stangga*, L. N.
[3] *j militis*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Johannes filius Bern*[*ardi*], L. N.
[6] *quartam*, L. N.
[7] *Ivo*, L. N.
[8] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[9] *dimidium feodum militis*, L. N.
[10] *recongnoscunt* in MS.
[11] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Ricardus de Alneto, feoda iiij^{or} [1] militum. A.D. 1166.
Rogerus Cornutus, feoda ij militum.
Radulfus de Oskereville, feoda ij militum.
Willelmus de Tribus Minetis, feoda ij militum.
Reginaldus de Liddintone,[2] feoda ij [3] militum.
Galfridus de Lege, feodum j militis et dimidii.
Willelmus Gurdet, feodum dimidii militis.
Hugo de Wicha, feodum j militis.
Robertus Dacus, feodum dimidii militis.
Willelmus de Crevebere,[4] feodum dimidii militis.

Postea vero, in tempore gwerræ, de dominicis terris Ecclesiæ nostræ quas ei bonæ memoriæ Henricus Rex avus vester restituit per institutionem [5] suam et per cartas quas habemus et quas aliquando audivit [6] excellentia vestra.

Ricardus de Coleville, feodum j militis.

Galfridus de Lega et Willelmus filius ejus, feodum dimidii militis ab Ecclesia extorserunt.

Dilatet Dominus regnum vestrum et longo tempore conservet.

Fo. 92 d. CARTA ROBERTI FILII REGIS.

Hii sunt milites quos ego Robertus filius Regis habeo feodatos de veteri feffamento [7] :—

Comes Reginaldus tenet feodum j militis.
Simon filius Roggot,[8] feoda v militum.
Wido de Brionia,[9] feoda v militum.
Johannes de Hidone, feoda vj militum et dimidii.
Rolandus filius Anfridi,[10] feoda v militum.
Ricardus filius Willelmi Dispensarii feoda v militum.

---

[1] *iiij*, L. N.
[2] *Liddetone*, L. N.
[3] *duorum*, L. N.
[4] *Crievebere*, L. N.
[5] *justiciam*, L. N.
[6] *audivit aliquando*, L. N.
[7] *feodamento*, L. N.
[8] *Roggonis*, L. N.
[9] *Brionna*, L. N.
[10] *Ralandus filius Amfridi*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Antonius de Bruera,[1] feoda v militum.
Baldewinus de Eisse, feoda ij militum.
Thomas de Bello Monte, feoda iiij militum.
Joel[2] de Moles,[3] feoda iiij militum.
Rogerus de Langeford, feoda iiij militum.
Nicholaus[4] de Chelli, feoda iij militum.
Henricus de Campo Ernulfi, feoda iiij militum.
Willelmus de Punchardun,[5] feoda iiij militum.
Baldewinus de Delstana,[6] feoda iij militum.
Mathæus de Alravilla, feoda iij militum.
Robertus filius Bernardi, feoda ij militum et dimidii.
Rolandus de Nimet, feodum j militis.
Ricardus Espec, feoda iij militum.
Ricardus Cofphin,[7] feoda ij militum.
Willelmus Beivin, feoda ij[8] militum.
Robertus de Ver et Robertus Poherus,[9] feodum j militis.
Alicia[10] filia Ricardi Daggavillæ, feodum j militis.
Henricus de Pomerio, feodum j militis.
Geolinus de Helion,[11] feodum j militis.
Gregorius Lupus, feodum j militis.
Radulfus filius Gadio,[12] feodum j militis.
Willelmus Tallebot,[13] feodum j militis.
Willelmus filius Stephani, feodum j militis.
Simon filius Herberti[14] de Pine, feodum[15] j militis.
Wimundus filius Amfridi, feodum j militis.
Elias[16] Cophin, feodum dimidii militis.
Engeram[17] de Albernun,[18] feodum dimidii militis.

---

[1] *Bruiera*, L. N.
[2] *Johel*, L. N.
[3] *Molis*, L. N.
[4] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[5] *Puntchardun*, L. N.
[6] *Belstana*, L. N.
[7] *Cophin*, L. N.
[8] *duorum*, L. N.
[9] *Poerius*, L. N.
[10] *Aelicia*, L. N.
[11] *Goeleinus de Heliun*, L. N.
[12] *Cadio*, L. N.
[13] *Talebot*, L. N.
[14] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[15] L. N. omits *feodum*.
[16] *Helyas*, L. N.
[17] *Engelram*, L. N.
[18] *Abernum*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Alanus de Furnellis, feodum dimidii militis. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus frater Comitis Reginaldi, feodum dimidii militis.
Geleinus Dacus, feodum dimidii militis.
Willelmus filius Johannis, feodum dimidii militis.
Simon [1] de Lega, feodum dimidii militis.
Robertus filius Ilgeri, feodum dimidii militis.
Herbertus [2] de Spineto, feodum dimidii militis.
Antonius de Porloc, feodum dimidii militis.
Hugo de Ralega, feodum dimidii militis.
Radulfus filius Eliæ [3] tenet iiij^{tam} partem j militis.[4]
Galfridus Avenel, iiij^{tam} partem j militis.[5]
Willelmus de Cheine,[6] iiij^{tam} partem j militis.[5]
Rogerus filius Samar[ici], iiij^{tam} [7] partem j militis.
Ricardus de Londonia, v^{tam} [8] partem j militis.
Fauvel filius de Baratone,[9] viij^{am} [10] partem j [11] militis.
Robertus de Stana, viij^{am} [10] partem j [11] militis.
Ricardus de Bonavilla, vij partem militis.
Beivinus, x^{am} partem militis.[12]
Hugo de Balecorda,[13] vj [14] partem militis.
Robertus filius Gilberti,[15] x partem militis.
Baldewinus, x partem militis.
Walterus de Focland,[16] v [8] partem militis.
Rogerus de Boeles, v [8] partem militis.
Nicholaus [17] de Cheledone, x partem militis.
Philippus de Musbiria, viij partem militis.

---

[1] *Symon*, L. N.
[2] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Helyæ*, L. N.
[4] *feodi j militis*, L. N.
[5] *quartam partem militis*, L. N.
[6] *Chaino*, L. N.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *quintam*, L. N.
[9] *Falvel filius de Bractone*, L. N.
[10] *viij*, L. N.
[11] *j* omitted in L. N.
[12] *decimam partem j militis*, L. N.
[13] *Ballecorda*, L. N.
[14] *sextam*, L. N.
[15] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[16] *Folclanda*, L. N.
[17] *Nicolaus*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA - *cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hii autem duo feodati [1] de dominio meo quos ego feodavi, scilicet:—

Thomas filius Rogeri, feodum dimidii militis.
Robertus de Hornei,[2] feodum dimidii militis.

CARTA [3] WILLELMI DE TRACI.

Milites honoris Willelmi de Traci:—

Willelmus filius Walteri, feoda iij militum.
Robertus filius Willelmi, iij milites.
Ricardus Spec, j militem.
Alanus de Furnellis, dimidium militem.
Willelmus filius Stephani, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Hiaulestone, j militem.
Ricardus per Totum, j militem et dimidium.
Ricardus Le Baron,[4] j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus de Alneto, j militem et dimidium.
Rogerus Tirel, ij milites.
Rogerus de Omnibus Sanctis, ij milites.
Rogerus filius Somer, dimidium militem.
Herchembaldus Flemeng,[5] j militem.
Falvellus, viij[am] [6] partem militis.
Ranulfus Malherbe, viij partem militis.
Walterus de Lega, ij milites.

De eodem honore Rogerus de Omnibus Sanctis negat servitium ij [7] militum:—

Robertus filius Willelmi, ij [7] militum.
Willelmus de Alneto,[8] similiter, dimidii militis.

De dominio Willelmi de Tracy,[9] milites feodati:—

Hugo de Dinatone,[10] j militem.

---

[1] *sunt feodati*, L. N.
[2] *Hornai*, L. N.
[3] *Carta honoris*, L. N.
[4] *Le Barun*, L. N.
[5] *Hercembaldus Flemenc*, L. N.
[6] *viij*, L. N.
[7] *duorum*, L. N.
[8] *Alneo*, L. N.
[9] *Traci*, L. N.
[10] *Dinant*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Radulfus de Stutaville,[1] dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.

Rogerus de Acford et Reginaldus de Eli,[2] dimidium militem.

Walterus de Rail,[3] dimidium militem.

Fo. 93. CARTA OLIVERI DE TRACY.[4]

Carissimo domino suo Regi, fidelis suus Oliverus de Tracy[4] salutem.

Rogerus de Camp[ania] tenet de me vij milites.

Henricus de Camp[er]b[ur]ne,[5] vij milites; et de hiis vij militibus deforciat[6] mihi Willelmus filius Johannis unum, et Abbas de Quarere[7] unum.

Ricardus Spec tenet de me duos milites.

Philippus de Mertone, ij milites.

Willelmus Bastard, j militem.

Willelmus de Muncellis, j militem.

Robertus filius Rogeri,[8] dimidium militem.

Willelmus Fauvel,[9] dimidium militem.

De novo feodamento de dominio meo :—

Radulfus de Seccheville,[10] j militem.

Otvel de Crues tenet iij partes militis.

Philippus de Serceville[10] et Ricardus de Chartrai, iiij^{tam}[11] partem militis.

Henricus de Camp[er]p[aniis],[12] dimidium militem.

Valete.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII ROBERTI.

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Willelmus filius Roberti salutem.

---

[1] *Siccavilla*, L. N.

[2] *Deli*, L. N.

[3] *Raile*, L. N.

[4] *Traci*, L. N.

[5] *Sic* in MS.; *Campb*[*ur*]*ne*, L. N. The reading in both MSS. is hopelessly corrupt. *Henricus de Campb*[*ur*]*ne, vij milites* is repeated in L. N. as a fresh entry.

[6] *difforciat*, L. N.

[7] *Quariere*, L. N.

[8] *Regis*, L. N.

[9] *Falvel*, L. N.

[10] *Secceville*, L. N.

[11] *quartam*, L. N.

[12] *Camp*[*er*]*pus*, L. N. The scribes of both MSS. are again at fault, the L. R. version being merely an imitation of L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Juxta præceptum quod præcepistis de militibus Angliæ, sciatis ad præsens quod feoda xxx militum de vobis teneo, de quibus Joel [1] de Hole de veteri feffamento [2] tenet feoda iij [3] militum.

Willelmus de Wlmartone,[4] iij militum.
Philippus de Hiwis, iij militum.
Willelmus filius Hugonis, iij militum.
Daniel de Brailega, ij militum.
Willelmus filius Hai, ij militum.
Osbertus de Hesedone,[5] j militis.
Walterus filius Radulfi, j militis.
Galfridus de Lucy,[6] j militis.
Ricardus de Radune,[7] j militis.
Walterus de Bigautone [8] et Durantus [9] Longus, j militis.
Galfridus de Hamme, j militis.
Philippus filius Reginaldi, j militis.
Baldewinus de Esse, j militis.
Osmund [10] de Wiford,[11] ij militum.
Ricardus de Duna, dimidii militis.

De novo feffamento [12] dedi Thomæ fratri meo feodum j [13] militis. Et residuum pertinet ad meum dominium.

CARTA WARINI DE AULA.

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Warinus de Aula salutem.

Robertus de Wias [14] tenet feodum j militis.
Willelmus de Orsi, j militis.
Robertus filius Baldewini, feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Johel*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *trium*, L. N.
[4] *Wlvarmtone*, L. N.
[5] *Helendone*, L. N.
[6] *Luci*, L. N.
[7] *Radun*, L. N.
[8] *Bichautone*, L. N.
[9] *Durandus*, L. N.
[10] *Osmundus*, L. N.
[11] *Wisford*, L. N.
[12] *feodamento*, L. N.
[13] *unius*, L. N.
[14] *Dewias*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Walterus de Lega et Reinerus de Barri, feodum A.D. 1166.
j militis.

Radulfus de Nimet, feodum dimidii militis.

Galfridus de Marisco,[1] feodum dimidii militis.

Nicholaus[2] de Tuenertone,[3] feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus filius Baldewini tenet iiij^{tam}[4] partem militis.

Archebaldus filius Stephani, iiij^{tam}[4] partem militis.

Turbertus, iiij^{tam}[4] partem militis.

Gocelinus de Renham,[5] v^{am}[6] partem militis.

Tantum[7] habeo de antiquo tempore Regis Henrici.

Et ego de meo dominio dedi Roberto filio Herdingi,[8] j feodum militis.

Willelmo[9] filio Anculfi, j feodum et dimidium.[10]

Ricardo[11] filio Anculfi, v^{tam}[6] partem militis.[12]

Et si plus possum[13] inquirere, faciam vobis scire.

CARTA TENEMENTI DE TOTENESSE.[14]

Hoc est tenementum de Totenesse,[14] scilicet:—

Ricardus filius Willelmi x milites tenet.

Robertus de Veteriponte tenet feoda viij militum.

Filia Willelmi de Lingesire,[15] viij milites.

Hugo de Careville, v milites et iij^{am} partem[16] militis.

Willelmus de Reyngni,[17] ij milites et dimidium et iij partem[16] dimidii militis.

---

[1] *Marasco*, L. N.
[2] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MSS.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *Reneham*, L. N.
[6] *quintam*, L. N.
[7] *Tanto*, L. N.
[8] *Erdingi*, L. N.
[9] *Et Willelmo*, L. N.
[10] *j feodum et dimidium militis*, L. N.
[11] *Et Ricardo*, L. N.
[12] *j feodum militis*, L. N.
[13] *possim*, L. N.
[14] *Toteneis*, L. N.
[15] *Lingesre*, L. N.
[16] *et tertiam partem*, L. N.
[17] *Rigni*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Wido de Bocland,[1] ij milites.

Robertus de Sanctæ Mariæ Ecclesia, iij milites.

Willelmus filius Johannis, j militem.

Willelmus Buzun, viij milites et dimidium; et de novo feffamento,[2] dimidium militem.

Robertus de Standes,[3] vj milites.

Mauricius de Pola, vj milites.

Vidua de Britaville, v milites.

Ricardus Bauzan,[4] de novo feffamento,[5] dimidium militem.

Rogerus Buzun, dimidium militem et vj partem dimidii militis de novo feffamento.[5]

Radulfus filii Stephani, dimidium militem de novo feffamento.[6]

Filia Ricardi filii Radulfi, dimidium militem de veteri feffamento.[5]

Serlo de Holne, vigesimam partem j militis de novo feffamento.[6]

Et super dominium vij milites, xij[a] et xx[a] parte minus.

Fo. 93 d. CARTA ROBERTI FILII GALFRIDI.

Ego Robertus filius Galfridi nullum militem habeo feodatum de veteri feodamento de tempore Regis Henrici avi domini nostri Regis, nec de novo feodamento post mortem ejus. Sed de terra mea de Devonescira facio servitium j[7] militis domino Regi.

CARTA WILLELMI DE BRAHOSA.[8]

Hii[9] sunt milites Willelmi de Brahosa[8] de honore de Berdestaple[10]:—

---

[1] *Boclande*, L. N.

[2] *et novo fefamento*, L. N.

[3] *Stantes*, L. N.

[4] *Baucan*, L. N., altered from *Baucan* to *Bauzan* in MS.

[5] *fefamento*, L. N.

[6] *feofamento*, L. N.

[7] *unius*, L. N.

[8] *Braosa*, L. N.

[9] *Isti*, L. N.

[10] altered in MS. from *Berdestrele*.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Philippus de Chartrai tenet feoda xiij[1] militum, et isti sunt milites Philippi:— A.D. 1166.

Ricardus de Chartrai.
Rogerus de Omnibus Sanctis.
Philippus filius Rogeri.
Radulfus[2] de Pleistowe.
Erkenbaldus de Pillande.
Henricus de Sitenhille.[3]
Ricardus filius Bernardi.
Hugo de Dinham.
Et Ranulfus le Poher[4];

tenent de Willelmo de Braosa feodum trium militum.[5]

Et isti sunt milites qui de Ranulfo tenent:—

Willelmus Poer[6] et
Willelmus de Chetenore.

Willelmus filius Johannis tenet feoda trium militum de Willelmo de Braosa.

Walterus filius Radulfi tenet feoda iiij militum de Willelmo de Braosa.

Hugo de Ralega tenet feoda iij[7] militum.

Willelmus[8] de Ruaberga tenet feodum j militis de Erkenbaldo Flandrensi.

Et Erkenbaldus[9] tenet de Willelmo de Braosa.[10]

Omnes isti milites feodati[11] sunt de veteri feffamento.[12]

Summa—xxviij milites.

CARTA RADULFI DE VALTORT.

Hoc est tenementum Radulfi de Valtort quod tenet de Rege in capite, scilicet, feodum j militis.

---

[1] *xiiij*, L. N.
[2] *Robertus*, L. N.
[3] *Siccaville*, L. N.
[4] *Ranulfus Poher*, L. N.
[5] *tenet feoda trium militum de Willelmo de Braosa*, L. N.
[6] *Poher*, L. N.
[7] *trium*, L. N.
[8] *Et Willelmus*, L. N.
[9] There is not a new paragraph here in L. N.
[10] *Brause*, L. N.
[11] *fefati*, L. N.
[12] *fefamento*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA HENRICI DE POMEREIA.[1]

Milites honoris Henrici de Pomereia[2]:—
Willelmus filius Walteri, iij milites.
Willelmus Pictavensis,[3] iiij milites.
Rogerus de Campeus,[4] j militem.
Gerardus filius Elyæ,[5] iij milites et dimidium.
Rogerus filius Attardi,[6] ij milites.
Robertus filius Nicholai,[7] ij milites.
Joslinus[8] de Helyun, j militem.[9]
Joslenus de Tribus Minetis, ij milites.
Thomas de Estre, j militem.
Henlega[10] cum pertinentiis, j militem.
Hugo Pictavensis,[3] ij milites.
Joselinus Dacus, ij milites.
Ricardus filius Pagani de Ponteford,[11] ij milites.
Ansgodus filius Godwini, j militem.
Ernaldus de Sancto Cristoforo, j militem.
Hamelin de Bosleya,[12] j militem.
Nicholaus de Mulchewis,[13] dimidium militem.

Hii sunt feodati tempore[14] Juliani[15] de Pomeria:—
Radulfus de Hamberia, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Nicholai,[16] dimidium militem.
Henricus de Otri, iij^{am}[17] partem militis.
Waukenesham,[18] iij^{am}[17] partem militis.
Hermodeswortha,[19] viij partem militis.

---

[1] *Carta honoris Henrici de Pomeria*, L. N.
[2] *Pomeria*, L. N.
[3] *Pictaviensis*, L. N.
[4] *Champeus*, L. N.
[5] *Girardus filius Helyæ*, L. N.
[6] *Aitardi*, L. N.
[7] *Nicolai*, L. N.
[8] *Joslenus*, L. N.
[9] *Heliun*, L. N.
[10] *Henlegam*, in MS.
[11] *Putteford*, L. N.
[12] *Bosleia*, L. N.
[13] *Nicolaus de Mulhiwis*, L. N.
[14] *in tempore*, L. N.
[15] *Julieni*, L N.
[16] *Nicolai*, L. N.
[17] *iij*, L. N.
[18] Altered in MS.; *Wakenesbera*, L. N.
[19] *Hermodewrtha*, L. N.

DEVENESCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus Blundus, feodatus in terra Henrici de Pomeria,[1] viij^am^[2] partem militis. A.D. 1166.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII REGINALDI.

Ego Willelmus filius Reginaldi habeo de Rege in capite feodum unius militis de anno et die quo Rex Henricus avus domini Regis fuit vivus et mortuus; et nullum habeo de novo feodamento.

Walterus modo habet tenementum illud Nicholaus Avenel, scilicet, Scepewalle cum filia et hærede prædicti Willelmi.[3]

CARTA RADULFI SANZ AVER.

Radulfus Sanz Aver[4] tenet j feodum militis[5] in capite de Rege.

Fo. 94.

CORNUBIA.

CARTA COMITIS REGINALDI.

Baronia Comitis Reginaldi talis est in Cornubia:—

Radulfus de Vaultort[6] tenet feodum de lix militibus, tam in Devonia quam in Cornubia.

Ricardus de Lucy[7] tenet de antiquo feodo x milites, et de feodo Adæ Malherbe ix milites.

Robertus filius Willelmi tenet feodum de lj militibus, et de feodo Walteri Hay[8] xx milites.

---

[1] *Pomerai*, L. N.

[2] *viij*, L. N.

[3] *Sic* in MS.; but the passage is evidently corrupt. The true version is evidently that given in L. N. as follows:—*Valete. Modo habet feodum illud Nicolaus Avenel, scilicet, Schepewast cum filia et hærede prædicti Willelmi*; but the concluding sentence is in a later hand in L. N.

[4] *Sanzaveir*, L. N.

[5] *feodum unius militis*, L. N.

[6] *Valtort*, L. N.

[7] *Luci*, L. N.

[8] *Hai*, L. N.

CORNUBIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Boterel[1] tenet feodum de xij militibus.

Rogerus de Mandeville[2] tenet feoda iij[3] militum.

Ricardus filius Willelmi tenet feoda v militum.

Gralanus tenet feoda vij militum.

Ricardus filius Alvredi tenet j militem.[4]

Galfridus filius Baldewini, feoda[5] x militum.

Prior de Triwardreit tenet feodum j militis. Hoc feodum tenet Johannes de Monte Acuto, sicut inquisitum est.[6]

Radulfus de Tremodret, feoda[5] ij militum.

Daniel de Boctone, feodum[5] j militis et iij[am][7] partem militis.

Willelmus frater Comitis, feoda[5] iiij milites.

Radulfus de Borehard,[8] feoda ij militum.

Hoel et Jordan, feoda iij militum.

Willelmus de Dun, dimidium feodum j militis.[9]

Henricus de Pomeria, feodum j militis.[10]

Ricardus filius Osul[fi], feodum j militis.

Eiulfus,[11] feodum j militis.

Erkenbaldus filius Simonis,[12] feoda[13] viij militum, tam in Devonia quam in Cornubia.

Summa—ccxv milites et tertia pars.[14]

---

[1] *Boterellis*, L. N.
[2] *Mandaville*, L. N.
[3] *iiij*, L. N.
[4] *feodum j militis*, L. N.
[5] L. N. inserts *tenet*.
[6] *Hoc feodum — inquisitum est* appears in L. N. as a marginal note in a later hand. It has therefore been erroneously incorporated with the original Carta in the MS.
[7] *tertiam*, L. N.
[8] *Boreharde*, L. N.
[9] *tenet feodum j militis*, L. N.
[10] *tenet feoda iij militum*, L. N.
[11] *Eiulphus*, L. N.
[12] *filius S.*, L. N.
[13] *tenet feoda*, L. N.
[14] The sum is entered in the MS. as though it were a component part of the Carta.

STAFFORDSCIRA. A.D. 1166.

Carta Ricardi Coventrensis Episcopi.[1]

Domino suo venerabili Henrico, Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Ricardus, Dei gratia, Coventrensis Ecclesiæ minister humilis, salutem et Dei benedictionem.

Mandavit nobis, venerande domine, Vicecomes Staphanus[2] ex parte vestra, quatinus numerum, quos vobis debemus, militum, qui fuerunt feodati tempore Henrici Regis, avi vestri, et qui fuerunt feodati post obitum ipsius, et eorum si quos in dominio tenemus, et eorum nomina, scripto nostro vestræ significaremus majestati. Mandata igitur vestra, pro posse nostro, per omnia et in omnibus exequi volentes, præsenti scripto prædictos milites et eorum nomina significamus vobis:—

Margareta de Bubendune, ij[3] milites.
Robertus de Gresleia, j militem.
Ricardus Silvein,[4] j militem.
Willelmus de Timor, j militem.
Robertus filius Galfridi, j militem.
Rogerus de Samford,[5] j militem.
Robertus de Stafford, j militem.[6]
Henricus filius Geroldi, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Noeel,[7] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Handesacre,[8] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Tamenhorn, dimidium militem.
Robertus Joceram, dimidium militem.
Galfridus filius Reineri,[9] dimidium militem.
Herbertus Ruffus,[10] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Pakentone,[11] iiij[tam][12] partem militis.

---

[1] *Carta domini Coventrensis Episcopi*, L. N.
[2] *Stephanus*, L. N.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *Selvein*, L. N.
[5] *Stanforde*, L. N.
[6] *militem* omitted in L. N.
[7] *Noel*, L. N.
[8] *Handesacra*, L. N.
[9] *Reigneri*, L. N.
[10] *Herebertus Rufus*, L. N.
[11] *Pakintone*, L. N.
[12] *quartam*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Adam de Mertone,[1] iiij^{am} [2] partem militis.

Entropus [3] Hastengi, iiij^{am} [2] partem militis.

Hamo de Mascy[4] et Willelmus filius Duning [5] in Cestrescira minutas tenuras ab antiquo tenent, unde numquam nominatum servitium audivimus.

Isti, qui sunt nominati, de tempore Henrici Regis, avi vestri, post obitum ipsius, feodati sunt [6]:—

Elias [7] filius Galfridi, qui tenet quintam partem militis.

Thomas filius Roberti, iiij^{tam} [2] partem militis.

Perabel, vij [8] partem militis.

Robertus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Odonis, v [9] partem militis.

Galfridus Pecca[tu]m, iiij^{am} [2] partem militis.

De tempore etiam Henrici Regis, avi vestri, tenent.[10]

Walterus de Cherlitone,[11] dimidium militem.

Henricus de Pipa, viij^{am} [12] partem militis.

In dominio [13] nostro nullum feodum militis tenemus ultra illos xv milites, quos vobis debemus. Sed quod de eorum numero hic deficit, de Camera nostra adimplere solemus. Valeat, et in prosperitate longo tempore [14] duret sanitas vestra, et regni vestri potestas et honor.

Fo. 94 d. CARTA ROBERTI DE STAFFORDIA.[15]

Robertus de Stafford habet lx feoda de veteri feffamento,[16] scilicet, lj de servitio militum et ix feoda de

---

[1] *Moretone*, L. N., where this follows *Eutropius Hastengi*.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *Eutropius*, L. N.
[4] *Masci*, L. N.
[5] *Dunning*, L. N.
[6] *Isti qui nominati sunt, de tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri sunt feodati. Post obitum ipsius feodati sunt*, L. N.
[7] *Helyas*, L. N.
[8] *Parabel septimam*, L. N.
[9] *quintam*, L. N.
[10] *tenet*, L. N.
[11] *Cherletone*, L. N.
[12] *octavam*, L. N.
[13] *dominico*, L. N.
[14] *tempore longo*, L. N.
[15] *Stafford*, L. N.
[16] *fefamento*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

dominio suo, de tempore Henrici Regis, die et anno, quo A.D. 1166. fuit vivus et mortuus. De supradictis lj, tenet:—

Willelmus filius Gilberti, feoda iij[1] militum, scilicet, ij[2] in suo dominio. Et Galfridus Peron ij[3] partes militis tenet de eo, et Radulfus de Mobelwic[4] iij[5] partem.

Robertus filius Radulfi tenet feoda vij militum, scilicet, ij[3] partes in dominio suo[6]:—

Ivo de Mortonia,[7] ij[3] partes militis tenet de ipso.
Engenulfus de Greseleia, ij[3] partes.
Nicholaus[8] de Sardonia, ij[3] partes.
Rogerus de Icuforde,[9] ij[3] partes.
Ricardus de Mara, ij[3] partes.
Philippus de Nortone, ij[3] partes.
Galfridus Peron, ij[3] partes duorum militum.

Robertus filius Odonis tenet feoda iiij militum, scilicet, ij[2] in suo dominio; et de ipso tenet,

Robertus de Pecteshulle,[10] ij[3] partes j militis:—
Robertus de Waura, ij[3] partes.
Thomas de Cotes, ij[3] partes.

Henricus de Oyli[11] tenet feoda trium[12] militum, quorum unum tenet filius Walterus[13] de Tawe[14]; alterum[15] Radulfus filius Rogeri, tertium[16] Radulfus Boterellus.[17]

Willelmus de Chainai tenet feodum j militis, cujus dimidium tenet Baldewinus, et de Baldwino tenet Paganus, frater ejus, medietatem.

Galfridus Martel tenet j militem, et[18] Herwicus de Duntesworthe[19] tenet de ipso.

---

[1] *trium*, L. N.
[2] *duo*, L. N.
[3] *duas; Perun duas*, L. N.
[4] *Mobewic*, L. N.
[5] *tertiam*, L. N.
[6] *in suo dominio*, L. N.
[7] *Mottone*, L. N.
[8] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[9] *Dicforde*, L. N.
[10] *Pecdeshulle*, L. N.
[11] *Oili*, L. N.
[12] *iij*, L. N.
[13] *Sic* in MS., a later alteration.
[14] *Tywa*, L. N.
[15] *alteram* in MS. and L. N.
[16] *tertiam* in MS.
[17] *Boterel*, L. N.
[18] *feodum j militis quod*, L. N.
[19] *Herveius de Duncheswrthe*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hervicus Bagot[1] tenet feoda iij[2] militum, quorum unum est in suo dominio; et Alvredus de Hacumby[3] de ipso tenet ij[4] partes militis; et Herveius de Acleia iij[am 5] partem militis. Rualdus de Dulerna, tertium feodum.

Herveius de Strottone tenet feoda ij militum, scilicet, j in suo dominio, et de altero Robertus de Kardif[6] tenet dimidium, et Adam de Ectone[7] dimidium.

Rogerus filius Henrici tenet feoda trium militum, scilicet, duo in suo dominio, et tertium tenet de ipso Willelmus de Mera, et Ricardus filius Noely.[8]

Robertus filius Pagani tenet feoda ij militum, scilicet, unum in suo dominio; et de altero tenet Nicholaus[9] de Stokes dimidium, et Nicholaus[9] de Werlestone dimidium.

Robertus filius Alein[10] tenet feodum j militis, et iij[am 5] partem j militis; unum[11] feodum in suo dominio, et iij[am 5] partem tenet de eo Radulfus de Rokesby.[12]

Hugo Marscallus[13] tenet ij[4] partes militis,[14] et sic isti duo, Robertus et Hugo, tenent feoda ij militum in suo dominio.[15]

Willelmus de Wastimais[16] tenet feoda ij militum in suo dominio.

Ranulfus de Beumeis[17] tenet feoda iij militum, quorum duo Johannes Bigod[18] tenet de ipso, et Herveius de Wilbritone tenet tertium.[19]

---

[1] *Herveius Bagod*, L. N.
[2] *trium*, L. N.
[3] *Hacumbi*, L. N.
[4] *duas*, L. N.
[5] *tertiam*, L. N.
[6] A later alteration in MS.; *Hardif*, L. N.
[7] *Etone*, L. N.
[8] *Noeli*, L. N.
[9] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[10] *Aelein*, L. N.
[11] *j*, L. N.
[12] *Rokebi*, L. N.
[13] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[14] *j militis*, L. N.
[15] L. N. omits *in suo dominio*.
[16] *Wastineis*, L. N.
[17] *Belmeis*, L. N.
[18] Rectius *Bagot*.
[19] *Wilbrichtone tertium*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Osbertus Baswinus[1] tenet feoda iij militum, quorum j est in suo dominio, et de altero Willelmus de Gireford[2] tenet iij[3] partes, et Cadiou de Chelda iiij[tam].[4] Et de tertio feodo Alexander de Dentone [tenet] dimidium, et Rogerus de Crectone[5] dimidium. A.D. 1166.

Nigellus filius Alexandri tenet feodum j militis in suo dominio.

Philippus filius Elgod tenet feodum j militis et iij[am 6] partem in suo dominio.

Galfridus de Wastineis tenet feodum j militis et tertiam partem j militis, scilicet, ij[7] partes in suo dominio, et Willelmus de Caldona duas partes militis tenet de ipso.

Ivo filius Ernoldi[8] tenet feodum j militis et iij[am 6] partem,[9] scilicet, feodum j militis in suo dominio. Et de isto tenet Ettrop de Estone iij[am 6] partem j militis, et sic isti tres, scilicet, Philippus, Galfridus et Yvo[10] tenent feoda iiij militum.

Robertus de Blora tenet feodum j militis, et iij[am] partem j militis in suo dominio; et Willelmus Bagot iij[3] partes j militis tenet; et sic isti duo tenent feoda duorum militum.

Walterus de Sumerhulle[11] tenet feoda ij militum in suo dominio.

Robertus filius Willelmi[12] tenet feodum j militis in suo dominio.

Radulfus Purcel tenet ij[7] partes j militis.

Galfridus de Penhale,[13] ij[7] partes j militis in suo dominio. Et Alanus de Covena ij[7] partes j militis tenet de Galfrido prædicto, et sic isti iij, Radulfus, Galfridus et Alanus tenent feoda ij militum.

---

[1] *Osebertus Baseuinus*, L. N.
[2] *Gretford*, L. N.
[3] *tres*, L. N.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *Cretone*, L. N.
[6] *tertiam*, L. N.
[7] *duas*, L. N.
[8] *Ernaldi*, L. N.
[9] *partem j*, L. N.
[10] *Ivo*, L. N.
[11] *Somerville*, L. N.
[12] *Nigelli*, L. N.
[13] *Copenhale*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Abbas de Evesham tenet feodum j militis, quod Adam de Wrtelege[1] tenet de ipso. De prædictis lx feodis militum tenent ix feoda de dominio ejus isti qui sequuntur,[2] scilicet:—

Willelmus de Wilteleie.[3]
Turstanus de Munford.[4]
Nicholaus de Clectone.[5]
Henricus de Novo Burgo.

Unusquisque istorum iiij^{or},[6] iiij^{tam} [7] partem militis.

Willelmus filius Walteri.
Robertus Bagod.
Nigellus filius Nicholai de Tatesaura.[8]
Robertus filius Noeel.[9]
Robertus de Brintone.[10]

Unusquisque istorum iiij^{or},[6] iiij^{tam} partem militis.

Rogerus Vigil, iij [11] partes militis.
Radulfus de Suthleia, iiij^{tam}.[12]
Galfridus Ridel, feodum j militis.

Cheswrtha,[13] feodum j militis, quod Johannes Lestrange [14] ei deforciat.[15]

Et tria maneria tenet Robertus de Safford [16] in manu sua, de quibus facit servitium trium militum; et ita nichil habet in dominio, quod sit quietum de servitio militis j.[17]

Et de tribus maneriis, quæ habet in manu sua, tenet
Fo. 95. Willelmus Giffard [18] tertiam partem militis; et Robertus de Oflewiche [19] tertiam partem militis,[20] scilicet, de novo feffamento post mortem Henrici Regis.

---

[1] *Wroteslega*, L. N.
[2] *sequntur* in MS.; *secuntur*, L. N.
[3] *Witeleia*, L. N.
[4] *Mumfort*, L. N.
[5] *Clottone*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *Nicolai de Titesoura*, L. N.
[9] *Noeli*, L. N.
[10] *Brientone*, L. N. The *e* is deleted in the MS.
[11] *tres*, L. N.
[12] *Et Radulfus de Suthleia, quartam*, L. N.
[13] *Chesewurda*, L. N.
[14] *Le Estrange*, L. N.
[15] *difforciat*, L. N.
[16] *Stafforde*, L. N.
[17] L. N. omits *j*.
[18] *Giffardus*, L. N.
[19] *Offeswithe*, L. N.
[20] *j militis*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA GERVASII PAGANELLI. A.D. 1166.

Domino suo dilectissimo Henrico, Regi Anglorum et Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, et Comiti Andegavorum, Gervasius Paganellus salutem.

Hii sunt milites, de quibus debeo vobis servitium :—

Petrus de Brermingham [1] tenet feoda ix militum.
Galfridus de Tiringham tenet feoda iij militum.[2]
Henricus de Mohun,[3] feodum j militis.
Ricardus Engaine, feodum j militis.
Robertus de Castretone, feodum j militis.
Paganus de Cumbertone,[4] feodum j militis.
Manselinus de Eunges, feoda ij militum.[5]
Petrus de Stanford,[6] feodum j militis.
Willelmus de Getingedene,[7] feodum j militis.
Elias de Englesfeld,[8] feoda iij militum.
Ricardus de Dittone, feoda iiij militum.
Philippus de Hamtone, feoda ij militum.
Willelmus de Albenworthe,[9] feodum j militis.
Willelmus filius Widonis, feoda iij militum.
Bernardus de Frinkeloges,[10] feoda iiij militum.
Gervasius de Bernet,[11] feoda iiij [12] militum.
Willelmus de Bello Campo, feoda ij militum.
Willelmus de Haggleges,[13] feodum j militis.
Milo de Ringestone, feodum j militis et dimidii.
Willelmus Buffare, feoda ij militum et dimidii.
Robertus de Affingetone,[14] feodum j militis.
Henricus de Oilli tenebat feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Bremingeham*, L. N. The "*r*" is a later insertion in MS.
[2] *Giffardus de Tiringeham feoda trium militum*, L. N.
[3] *Mohum*, L. N.
[4] *Embertone*, L. N.
[5] *Mainfelinus de Ovunges feoda duorum militum*, L. N.
[6] *Stamforde*, L. N.
[7] *Jetingedene*, L. N.
[8] *Elyas de Englefeld*, L. N.
[9] *Abbenwrthe*, L. N.
[10] *Frankeleges*, L. N.
[11] *Berneke*, L. N.
[12] *iiij^{or}*, L. N.
[13] *Haggaleges*, L. N.
[14] *Esingetone*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hæc est summa militum, de quibus antecessores mei antecessoribus vestris fecerunt servitium, et ego, vestri gratia, vobis, scilicet, l.

Et isti sunt milites, quibus pater meus et ego dedimus terram de dominio nostro post mortem Henrici Regis,[1] avi vestri[2]:—

Henricus de Erdintone, feodum j militis.
Radulfus Mansel, feodum j militis.
Willelmus Paganel,[3] feodum j militis.
Michael filius Osberti et Willelmus de Lovintone,[4] feodum dimidii militis.
Godwinus Dapifer, tertiam partem j militis.
Walterus Mansel, feodum j militis.
Petrus de Serkemunt,[5] feodum dimidii militis.
Galfridus de Ruili,[6] tertiam partem j militis.

CARTA ROBERTI DE BRINTONA.

Carissimo domino suo[7] Henrico Regi Anglorum, &c., suus homo ligius Robertus de Brintona salutem et fidele servitium.

Michi, et aliis comparibus meis, per litteras vestras innotuit, ut per fidem et ligantiam, quam vobis debemus, per breve nostrum, pendens extra sigillum, mandaremus, quot milites habemus de veteri feodamento de tempore Regis avi vestri; et quot milites habeamus de novo feodamento post tempus Henrici Regis,[8] avi vestri; et quot milites habeamus super dominium nostrum. Inde est, quod vobis ut domino meo carissimo, mando, quod de veteri feodamento nullum militem habeo, præter feodum unum[9] quod[10] michi cum quadam

---

[1] L. N. omits *Regis.*
[2] L. N. adds *scilicet.*
[3] *Paganellus*, L. N.
[4] *Loventone*, L. N.
[5] *Surcomunt*, L. N.
[6] *Ruilli*, L. N.
[7] *domino suo ligio*, L. N.
[8] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.
[9] *unius*, L. N.
[10] *quem*, L. N.

STAFFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

liberali muliere, nomine Eva, quæ inde est hæres, per servitium j militis dedistis, faciendo servitium ad custum vestrum. A.D. 1166.

De novo autem feodo,[1] vel super dominium meum, nullum militem habeo. Et vobis quidem, et filio vestro, ligantiam et homagium [2] feci.

CARTA BERTRAM DE VERDONE.[3]

Totum tenementum, quod Bertram de Verdone [4] tenet de Rege tempore Henrici Regis, fuit feodum unius militis, et tunc fuit totum in dominio.[5] Modo Bertram habet j militem feffatum de eodem dominio.[5]

Et novo feffamento [6]:—

Ruelent de Herdone,[7] dimidium militem,

Et Galfridus Cheinel,[8] dimidium militem.

Staffordsira: Radulfus de Somery habet de dono Regis Johannis maneria de Mere Suneforde et Clentes in feodo, per antiquam firmam, et centum solidos de incremento, et servitium unius militis, sicut continetur in Memorandis anni xv Regis Edwardi filii Regis Edwardi, inter Recorda de termino Sancti Michaelis.[9]

Fo. 95 d.

SALOPESCIRA.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII ALANI.

De feodo Willelmi filii Alani de Northfolcia [10]:—

Johannes Extraneus tenet feodum j militis.

Brien Le Chen,[11] j militem.

Herbertus [12] filius Gurant,[13] j militem.

---

[1] *feodamento*, L. N.
[2] *hominium*, L. N.
[3] *Bertrammi de Verdume*, L. N.
[4] *Verdun*, L. N.
[5] *dominico*, L. N.
[6] *Et de novo fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Ruelen de Verdum*, L. N.
[8] *Chainel*, L. N.
[9] This entry is inserted in the MS. in a hand temp Edward II. It is not found in L. N. or H.
[10] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[11] *Le Chien*, L. N.
[12] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[13] *Guranti*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Pagrave, j militem.

Eudo filius Ernisi,[1] j militem.

Et de istis quinque militibus prænominatis non debet domino Regi nisi j[2] militem in Norfolcia[3] apud Garlefli[4] contra Dacos, ut[5] antiqui testantur.

De feodo Willelmi filii Alani de Salopescira et de pertinentiis :—

Rogerus filius Odonis tenet feodum j militis et trium muntatorum.

Robertus filius Aier, j militis et j muntatoris et dimidii.

Elyas[6] de Costentin, j militis et ij muntatorum.

Robertus filius Halufri, j militis et ij muntatorum.

Hugo filius Alberti, j militis et iij muntatorum et dimidii.

Robertus filius Luun, j militis.

Ricardus[7] filius Henrici, j militis.

Rogerus Heirun, j militis.

Engeram de Wolvrichestone[8] et Hamo filius Radulfi, j militis.

Hugo de Ridware,[9] j militis.

Robertus filius Radulfi, j militis.

Ricardus de Maghunhelle,[10] j militis.

Hamo de Sancto Remigio, j militis.

Ricardus filius Tiel, j militis.

Ranulfus de Broc, j muntatoris.

Alanus de Eadlega,[11] j muntatoris.

Gwomar Le Rotur, j muntatoris.

---

[1] *Ernisii*, L. N.
[2] *unum*, L. N.
[3] *Northfolca*, L. N.
[4] *Carlefli*, L. N.
[5] *sicut*, L. N.
[6] *Helyas*, L. N.
[7] *Rogerus*, L. N.
[8] *Engelram de Wlfrichestone*, L. N.
[9] *Rideware*, L. N.
[10] *Marchemedlée*, L. N.
[11] *Headlega*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

Henricus Mauveisin,[1] j muntatoris. A.D. 1166.
Ricardus de Hidesland, j muntatoris.
Ricardus [2] Walensis, j muntatoris.
Ricardus de Fronchetone,[3] j muntatoris.
Nicolaus de Broctone, ij muntatorum.
Hugo de Cunedoure, j muntatoris.
Alanus de Uptone, j muntatoris.
Robertus de Crintendone,[4] j muntatoris.
Rogerus de Estope,[5] j muntatoris.
Robertus de Tongelonde,[6] j muntatoris.
Hugo de Kintone,[7] j muntatoris.
Gilbertus [8] Anglicus, j muntatoris.
Walterus, j muntatoris.
Nicholaus [9] Maucovenant, j muntatoris.
Johannes de Hanewode, j muntatoris.
Heming de Mortone,[10] j muntatoris.
Reinerus de Brerlectone,[11] j [12] muntatoris.
Nicholaus [9] de Cote, j muntatoris.
Walterus de Cavereswelle, j muntatoris.

De novo feffamento [13] Johannis Extranei, feoda ij militum.[14]

Robertus Harding,[15] j militis.
Walterus Hosatus, j militis.
Walterus filius Alani, ij militum.
Gwido Extraneus, dimidii militis.
Marescot, dimidii militis.
Radulfus Extraneus, dimidii militis.
Yvo Pantulf,[16] dimidii militis.

---

[1] *Malveisin*, L. N.
[2] *Rogerus*, L. N.
[3] *Franchetone*, L. N.
[4] *Critendone*, L. N.
[5] *Esthope*, L. N.
[6] *Tungelande*, L. N.
[7] *Clintone*, L. N.
[8] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[9] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[10] *Hemmingus*, L. N.
[11] *Brelectone*, L. N.
[12] *dimidii*, L. N.
[13] *fefamento*, L. N.
[14] Rectius *De novo fefamento; Johannes Extraneus, feoda duorum militum*, as in L. N.
[15] Altered from *Arding* in MS. *Robertus de Ardi*, L. N.
[16] *Ivo Pantoy*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. De[1] hoc tenemento non debent[2] facere wardum[3] nisi apud Blancmuster;[4] et infra comitatum de Salopescira non debet Regi nisi x milites in exercitu et chevalcheia;[5] et extra comitatum nisi v milites, sicut antiqui testantur.

De feodo Willelmi filii Alani de Wiltescira:—

Robertus de Tureville, feodum j militis.
Robertus filius Galfridi, feodum j militis.
Mathæus de Tormertone,[6] ij militum.
Henricus de Chaednale,[7] j militis.
Radulfus Oloaf, dimidii militis.
Hunfridus Franchevaler,[8] j militis.
Adam de Paulesholt, j militis.
Petrus de Lavintone, j militis.

Sed non sumus certi quod servitium debeat Regi de hoc tenemento quod fuit Ernulfi de Hestinges.[9]

CARTA GALFRIDI DE VER.

Carissimo domino suo Regi Anglorum, Galfridus[10] de Ver salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis domine carissime quod de veteri feodo,[11] scilicet, de tempore Regis,[12] avi vestri, ix milites habeo:—

Walterus de Optone, per servitium ij militum.
Elias de Chay,[13] per servitium j militis.
Willelmus Picot, per servitium j militis.
Henricus filius Hamelin et Nicholaus[14] de Sancto Laurentio, per servitium j militis.

---

[1] *Et de*, L. N.
[2] *debet*, L. N.
[3] *wardam*, L. N.
[4] *Blancmustier*, L. N.
[5] *chevalchia*, L. N.
[6] Altered from *Tormentone* in MS.; *Tormentone*, L. N.
[7] *Chaedneal*, L. N.
[8] *Humfridus Franchevalier*, L. N.
[9] *Hesdinges*, L. N.
[10] *suus Galfridus*, L. N.
[11] *feodamento*, L. N.
[12] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.
[13] *Heliam de Tay*, L. N. This and the other names in the Charter are in the accusative case in L. N.
[14] *Nicolaum*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

Henricus de Sibbetone, per servitium j militis. A.D. 1166.

Baldewinus de Stepeltone,[1] per servitium j militis et dimidii.

Rogerus filius Eustacii de Langefeld, per servitium j militis.

Hugo de Bukwel,[2] et Adam frater[3] ejus, et Osbertus de Muneta, et Tudilius[4] de Broma, per servitium j militis.

De novo autem feffamento[5] iij[6] milites habeo :—

Simon de Haburdino, per servitium j milites.

Hinganus Burnel,[7] per servitium j militis.

Fo. 96. Rogerus Anglus,[8] per servitium trium partium j militis.

Ex alia vero parte v milites habeo de honore Johannis[9] Senescalli :—

Radulfus Pirot, per servitium iiij militum.

Radulfus[10] filius Gery,[11] per servitium j militis. Valete.

CARTA HERBERTI[12] DE CASTELLO.

Carissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Herbertus[13] de Castello salutem et fidele servitium.

Michi et comparibus meis mandastis ut vobis, per breve nostrum pendens extra sigillum, mandaremus quot milites antiquitus feodatos de tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri haberemus, et quot de novo feodamento. Inde est quod vobis mando quod de veteri feodamento non habeo nisi v milites, scilicet :—

Ranulfus de Belemeins,[14] per servitium j militis.

---

[1] *Stapeltone*, L. N.
[2] *Bukwelle*, L. N.
[3] *fratrem*, L. N.
[4] *Tudelium*, L. N.
[5] *feodamento*, L. N.
[6] *tres*, L. N.
[7] *Burelle*, L. N.
[8] *Rogerum Anglicum*, L. N.
[9] *Jonis*, L. N.
[10] *Et Radulfum*, L. N.
[11] *Geri*, L. N.
[12] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[13] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[14] *Sic* in MS. ; *Belmeis*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et Herleswinum [1] de Dodamantones, per servitium j militis.

Willelmum de Bulardone,[2] per servitium j militis.

R. de Holda, per servitium j militis.

Hamonem filium Buteleri, per servitium j militis.

De novo feffamento [3] nullum militem de vestro tenemento. Et hii omnes ligantiam et homagium vobis [4] fecerunt. Valete.

CARTA WIDONIS [5] EXTRANEI.

Gwido Extraneus tenet de Rege Alwinum de Legam [6] per servitium dimidii militis.

CARTA JOHANNIS EXTRANEI.

Johannes Extraneus tenet de Rege Nessam et Chesewrthe, per servitium unius militis et dimidii.

CARTA ENGELARDI DE STRATTONE.

Carissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Engelardus de Strattone salutem et fidele servitium.

Michi et ceteris comparibus meis qui de vobis tenemus in capite per litteras [7] vestras mandastis [8] ut vobis, per breve nostrum pendens extra sigillum, mandaremus quot milites habeamus [9] de veteri feodamento de tempore Henrici Regis [10] avi vestri, et quot habeamus de novo feodamento. Ideo vobis ut domino meo carissimo mando, quod non habeo nisi unum solum militem, scilicet,[11] Ricardum filium Odonis.

De novo autem feodamento nullum [militem] [12] habeo.

---

[1] *Helewinum*, L. N.
[2] *Bullardone*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *Vobis hominium et ligantiam fecerunt*, L. N.
[5] *Gwidonis*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS.; *Alvindelegam*, L. N.
[7] *literas*, L. N.
[8] *mandavistis*, L. N.
[9] *haberemus*, L. N.
[10] *scilicet, de tempore Regis*, L. N.
[11] *scilicet* omitted in L. N.
[12] *nullum militem*, L. N.

SALOPESCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA PHILIPPI FILII HOLOGODI.[1] A.D. 1166.

Ego Philippus filius Elgodi debeo servitium unius militis per xl dies in castello de Scrawardin,[2] sicut solebant facere antecessores mei.

CARTA ROBERTI DE BRITONE.[3]

Carissimo domino suo ligio Henrico Regi Anglorum, etc., suus homo ligius Robertus de Britone [3] salutem et fidele servitium.

Michi et aliis comparibus meis per litteras [4] vestras innotuistis ut per fidem et legantiam,[5] quam vobis debemus, per [6] breve nostrum pendens extra sigillum mandaremus quot milites haberemus de veteri feodamento de tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri, et quot milites haberemus [7] de novo feodamento post tempus Regis Henrici avi vestri, et quot milites habeamus super dominium nostrum. Inde est quod vobis ut domino meo carissimo mando quod de veteri feodamento nullum militem habeo præter feodum unius,[8] quem mihi cum quadam muliere,[9] nomine Eva, quæ inde est hæres, per servitium j [8] militis dedistis, faciendo servitium ad custum vestrum.

De novo autem feodamento nullum habeo militem vel super dominium meum. Et vobis quidem et filio vestro ligantiam et homagium fecerunt.[10]

Walterus de Clifford tenet Corpham,[11] et Culmintone, et Haiam de Ernestowe,[12] et les Clives,[13] per servitium unius militis.

---

[1] *Carta Philippi Elgodi*, L. N.

[2] *Sewardin*, L. N.

[3] *See also* p. 270, and Wrottesley's *Liber Niger* for Staffordshire, I. 205; *Brintone*, L. N.

[4] *literas*, L. N.

[5] *ligantiam*, L. N.

[6] *vobis per*, L. N.

[7] *habeamus*, L. N.

[8] *j*^{us}, L. N.

[9] *quadam liberali muliere*, L. N.

[10] *Sic* in MS.; *hominium et ligantiam feci*, L. N.

[11] *Corfham*, L. N.

[12] *Ernestou*, L. N.

[13] *Lesclives*, L. N. This entry is in a later hand in L. N.

A.D. 1166.
Fo. 96 d.

HEREFORD [1] IN WALLIA.

Carta Episcopi Herfordiensis [2] de militibus et feodis suis.

Hæc sunt nomina militum feodatorum de Episcopatu Herfordiensi:—

Willelmus de Bello campo facit servitium j [3] militis.
Walterus de Clifford, j militis.
Ricardus de Dovra,[4] j militis.
Hugo de Duddeleia,[5] j militis.
Johannes de Biscopestone, j militis.
Rogerus le Poher,[6] j militis.
Rogerus de Mortone, j militis.
Hugo de Hasla,[7] j militis.
Adam de Caples, j militis.
Hugo de Walford,[8] j militis.
Radulfus Foliot et Radulfus Pere et Radulfus filius Ernoldi,[9] j militis.
Arnulfus de Estnover,[10] et Hugo, et Willelmus filius Gerini, et Johannes, et Willelmus de Alchurges,[11] et Godefridus Ruddoc faciunt j militem.[12]
Ricardus de Huntelande [13] et Baderon, j militis.
Johannes de Stanford, et Radulfus de Munesleia, et Willelmus de Froma, et Walterus, et Robertus de Cradeleia, et Gilbertus Cletera,[14] et Bernardus de Brochantone,[15] et Ricardus de Huntelande,[13] et Rogerus de Wallia [16] faciunt servitium j militis.

---

[1] *Hereforde*, L. N.
[2] *Herefordiensis*, L. N.
[3] *unius*, L. N.
[4] *Dunra*, L. N.
[5] *Dudeleia*, L. N.
[6] *Rogerus Poher*, L. N.
[7] *Hasela*, L. N.
[8] *Walforde*, L. N.
[9] *Ernaldi*, L. N.
[10] *Aiulfus de Estenover*, L. N.
[11] *Alchruge*, L. N.
[12] *servitium j militis*, L. N.
[13] *Huntilande*, L. N.
[14] *Gilebertus de Cletera*, L. N.
[15] *Brochamtone*, L. N.
[16] *Walla*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

Præter hoc[1] tenet Hugo de Lacy[2] feodum ij[3] mi- A.D. 1166.
litum, unde et ipse fecit servitium totum[4] toto tempore Gilberti[5] Episcopi; sed modo negat servitium[6] dimidii militis.

Margareta de Boun[7] tenet feoda ij militum et dimidii; sed modo negat inde servitium dimidii militis.

Sed hæc quatuor feoda militum et dimidium sunt de dominio Episcoporum et debent[8] esse de eorum dominio.

CARTA ADÆ DE PORT.

Domino suo carissimo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Adam de Port salutem et fidelia servitia.

Sciatis, domine dilectissime, quod isti sunt milites mei de veteri feffamento[9]:—

Hugo de Lascy[2] tenet feodum j militis.
Radulfus de Baskerville, j militis.
Walterus del Fresne,[10] j militis.
Radulfus del Fresne,[10] iij militum.
Walterus de Aveberi,[11] j militis.
Thomas del Fresne,[12] j militis.
Rogerus filius Radulfi, j militis.
Beatrix, quæ fuit uxor Rogeri de la Folie,[13] j militis.
Michael filius Alvredi, j militis.
Bartholomæus de la Hose, j militis.
Ricardus de la Folie,[13] ij milites.[14]
Henricus filius Geroldi, j militis.
Femina quæ fuit Roberti de Columbariis, j militis.

---

[1] *Præter hæc*, L. N.
[2] *Laci*, L. N.
[3] *duorum*, L. N.
[4] *unde ipse fecit totum servitium*, L. N.
[5] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[6] *inde servitium*, L. N.
[7] *Bohum*, L. N.
[8] *deberent*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *del Freisne*, L. N.
[11] *Aneberi*, L. N.
[12] *del Franc*, L. N.
[13] *Lafolie*, L. N.
[14] *Sic* in MS.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Musters,[1] j militis.
Robertus de Putredham,[2] ij militum.
Walterus de Donstanville,[3] j militis.
Hugo de Vautort,[4] dimidii militis.
Guerri [5] de Mairinnis,[6] dimidii militis.
Ricardus de Landa, iiij^tam [7] partem j militis.
Hugo Pincerna, v^tam [8] partem militis.
Ricardus de Cormelles,[9] v^tam [8] partem militis.[10]

Isti sunt de novo feffamento[11] post mortem Regis Henrici :—

Robertus de Port, dimidium militem.
Odo de Arguges, dimidium militem.
Willelmus Grassus, iij^am [12] partem j militis.
Alvredus de Fresne,[13] iij^am [12] partem militis.

CARTA BADERUN DE MUNEMUDA.[14]

Domino suo carissimo[15] Henrico Regi Anglorum, Baderun de Munemuda [14] salutem.

Domine, audivi præceptum vestrum in Herefordia,[16] quod [17] mandarem vobis,[18] per breve meum [19] extra sigillum pendens vobis notificarem, quot milites de veteri feodo feodatos haberem. Ego autem præcepto vestro obedire paratus, nomina eorum [20] notavi, scilicet [21] :—

Thomas filius Pagani, ij milites.
Ranulfus Brito, j militem.
Willelmus de Coleville, j militem.

---

[1] *Mustiers*, L. N.
[2] *Putredeham*, L. N.
[3] *Dunstanville*, L. N.
[4] *Valletort*, L. N.
[5] *Gwerri*, L. N.
[6] *Marinni*, L. N.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *quintam*, L. N.
[9] *Cormeiles*, L. N.
[10] *militis*, L. N.
[11] *feodamento*, L. N.
[12] *tertiam*, L. N.
[13] *del Freisne*, L. N.
[14] *Baucleri de Monemuda*, L. N.
[15] *Domino suo*, L. N.
[16] *in consulatu Herefordiæ*, L. N. *Consulatu* is erased in the MS.
[17] *scilicet quod*, L. N.
[18] *vobis mandarem*, L. N.
[19] *Sic* in MS. ; *et per breve meum*, L. N., but the readings are probably corrupt.
[20] *eorum* written twice in MS.
[21] *videlicet*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

Jordanus, j militem. A.D. 1166.

Hugo Forester, j militem.

Willelmus filius Roberti, j militem.

Robertus filius Radulfi, et Elvredus [1] de Neuham,[2] et Ywein [3] filius Andreæ, j militem.

Willelmus de Marisco, et Galfridus de Corter,[4] et Engeleru, et Walterus Marmiun, et Hugo de Garthe,[5] unum militem.

Ego vero in obitu uxoris meæ, pro anima ejus, dedi fratribus Hospitalis Jerusalem, feodum j militis.

Isti decem sunt de antiquo tenemento; de novis feodatis nullum habeo.

Præterea de dominio meo debeo vobis v [6] apparare.

Fo. 97. CARTA WILLELMI FILII REGINALDI DE BAALUN.[7]

Willelmus filius Reginaldi facit de dominio suo servitium unius [8] militis.

Hamelinus de Balun, avus suus, feodatus fuit de veteri feodamento [9] ad servitium prædicti militis faciendum; deficit ei Cheverel, quæ est de [10] præcepto domini Regis, et honor de Bergeveni, unde deberet servitium suum domino Regi si ei placeret.

De novo feodamento [9] nullum habet feffatum.[11]

CARTA HUGONIS DE LASCY.[12]

Hii sunt milites quos ego Hugo de Lascy teneo [13] de antiquo feodo de domino [14] Rege:—

Robertus de Baskerville,[15] viij [16] milites.

---

[1] *Elfredus*, L. N.
[2] *Niweham*, L. N.
[3] *Iwein*, L. N.
[4] *Coither*, L. N.
[5] *Garth*, L. N.
[6] *quinque*, L. N.
[7] *Carta Willelmi filii Reginaldi*, L. N.
[8] *j*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *in*, L. N.
[11] *fefatum*, L. N.
[12] *Laci*, L. N.
[13] *quos Hugo de Laci tenet*, L. N.
[14] *domino suo*, L. N.
[15] *Baskeville*, L. N.
[16] *se v^to milite*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Ricardus de Eskotot,[1] iij milites.[2]
Roland de Bureford,[3] iij milites.
Godefredus de Gamages, ij milites.
Ricardus de Cormeliis, ij milites.
Filius Rocelin[4] Tirel, ij milites.
Rogerus de Ebroicis, iiij milites.
Willelmus de Bakintone, iiij milites.
Rodland de Mimesleia,[5] iij milites.
Feodum de Cuillaardville,[6] iij milites.
Feodum Walteri de Ebroicis, iij milites.
Willelmus de Lega, iij milites.
Nicholaus[7] de Hungerford,[8] iiij milites.
Elyas[9] de Sai, iij milites quos recognoscit,[10] duos autem negat[11] a quibus prædictus Hugo non potest habere servitium antequam derationati[12] sint.
Willelmus de Furcis, ij milites, et j in calumpnia, a quo non habeo nec unquam habui servitium.
Philippus de Cernefeld,[13] dimidium militem et dimidium in calumpnia.
Walterus del Mans, j militem.
Willelmus filius Michael, iiij^{tam}[14] partem militis.
Rogerus de Ewias, j militem.

Hii sunt milites quos prædictus Hugo tenet de Rege et hos tenuerunt antecessores sui tempore Henrici Regis.

De novo feodo post mortem Henrici Regis :—
Herbertus[15] de Castello, ij milites.
Rogerus de Eskotot,[1] feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Esketot*, L. N.
[2] *se iij milite*, L. N. *Se* appears to have been erased in the MS.
[3] *Rodland de Bucforde*, L. N.
[4] *Rocelini*, L. N.
[5] *Radland de Munesleia*, L. N.
[6] *Cuillavilla*, L. N.
[7] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[8] *Hungerforde*, L. N.
[9] *Helyas*, L. N.
[10] *recongnoscit* in MS.
[11] *denegat*, L. N.
[12] *dirationati*, L. N.
[13] *Sernefeld*, L. N.
[14] *quartam*, L. N.
[15] *Herebertus*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

Willelmus de Opetone,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Rogerus filius Odonis, j militem.
Feodum de Cauledone, dimidium militem.
Ricardus Brito tenet de dominio prædicti Hugonis c solidatas terræ, sed servitium nunquam fuit statutum et tamen ejus homo est.[2]
Radulfus [3] de Ricetote,[4] similiter.
Adam de Putipo, similiter.
Anketil de Eskotote,[5] similiter.
Willelmus de Eskotote,[5] similiter.
Filius Gerardi de Macgne,[6] similiter.
Rogerus de Scorchebofe,[7] similiter.
Et Bartholomæus, similiter.

Ricardus Brito et illi qui post ipsum sunt nominati tenent de domino Hugone sine servitio aliquo quod eis statutum sit. Nullus istorum plus tenet [8] quam c solidatas terræ, præter Bartholomæus, qui tenet vj libratas.

Quidam de istis sunt mecum residentes et invenio eis necessaria. Et quidam sunt in domibus meis in Wallia et invenio eis necessaria.

Alvredus de Fraxino tenet j virgatam.

Sciat dominus Rex quod hic nomino omnes milites qui de me tenent in Anglia, sive parvum sive magnum, unde memor sim. Et feodum j militis de feodo antiquo, quod oblitus sum, feodum Willelmi de Burehopa, quod tenet Hugo de Longo Campo in maritagio.

CARTA HUGONIS FILII WILLELMI FORESTARII.

Istam baroniam tenuit postea Johannes de Kilpek, quæ vocabatur baronia de

Hugo filius Willelmi Forestarii de Herford [9] in Wallia habet de veteri feffamento [10] iiij^{tam} [11] partem j militis.

[1] *Hopetone*, L. N.
[2] *homo ejus est*, L. N.
[3] *Et Radulfus*, L. N.
[4] *Rictot*, L. N.
[5] *Eschetot*, L. N.
[6] *g* written on an erasure in MS.; *Machne*, L. N.
[7] *Scorchebof*, L. N.
[8] *tenet plus*, L. N.
[9] *Herefordscira*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *quartam*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Purbek, sicut continetur in rotulo v^to^ Regis Ricardi in hoc comitatu. Postea Hugo de Kilpek filius ejus qui habuit ij filias, sicut cotinentur in rotulo xxviij Regis Henrici Tertii.[4]

De feodo Eutrops filii Hingan,[1] et de feodo Hugonis filii Waldinges, iiij^tam^ [2] partem j militis.

Super dominium, j militem.

De novo feodamento[3] nullum habet.

CARTA RICARDI DE CANDOS.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, sicut domino carissimo, Ricardus de Candos salutem et fidele servitium.

Notum vobis facio quot milites habeo feffatos[5] de veteri et de novo feffamento, videlicet:—

Petrus de Stokes tenet feoda ij[6] militum, j[7] de veteri et aliud de novo feffamento.[3]

Willelmus de Droeis,[8] feoda ij[6] militum de veteri feodo.

Gilbertus[9] Marescallus, feodum j militis de veteri feodo.

Nicholaus de Campo Florum,[10] feodum j militis et tertiam partem militis de veteri feffamento.[11]

Adam de Bedingedene tenet[12] feodum j militis et tertiam partem militis dum vixit, et habeo hæredem et terram in manu mea de veteri feodo.

Fo. 97 d. Willelmus de Haia, feodum j militis et dimidii de veteri feodo.[13]

Et ego dedi Ricardo Tallebaut,[14] feodum dimidii militis de veteri feodo.

Philippus Aliz,[15] feodum dimidii militis de veteri [feodo].

---

[1] *Hingani*, L. N., where there is no break at *De feodo Eutrops*.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] Marginal note in a hand temp. Edward I.
[5] *feofatos*, L. N.
[6] *duorum*, L. N.
[7] *unum*, L. N.
[8] *Le Droeis*, L. N.
[9] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[10] *Nicolaus de Champo Florum*, L. N.
[11] *f*[*eodo*], L. N.
[12] *ten*[*uit*],
[13] *de veteri*, L. N.
[14] *Talebot*, L. N.
[15] *Alis*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

Rogerus de Burechulle,[1] feodum dimidii militis de veteri feodo quod Nigellus de Vitenstane[2] tenuit. A.D. 1166.

Pater meus dedit apud Lantoniam feoda ij[3] militum in elemosina de veteri feodo, scilicet, Felileie[4] et Kenecestre.

Radulfus de Tonai,[5] dimidium militem de veteri feodo, ad regale servitium; et ad meum servitium militem plenarium in custu meo in exercitu et chivalchia et custodia.[6]

Dominus Rex mandavit michi quod ego concederem dominis Hospital[ariis], feodum dimidii militis de veteri feodo, quod tenuit R. de Lamartre, salvo servitio meo, et concessi pro amore illius.

Rogerus de Candos, feoda ij[3] militum de novo feodo de meo dominio.

Hugo de Candos, feodum j[7] militis de novo feodo de meo dominio. Valete.

CARTA RICARDI DE CORMELLES.[8]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, etc., Ricardus de Cormeilles salutem.

Sciatis quod ego habeo vj milites feffatos[9] de veteri feffamento,[10] scilicet:—

Ricardus de Solariis, de feodo iij militum.

Walterus filius Hingani, de feodo j militis.

Ricardus de Stotot,[11] de feodo j militis.

Robertus filius Willelmi et Willelmus de Colleburne,[12] de feodo unius[13] militis.

---

[1] *Burchulle*, L. N.
[2] *Hwitenstone*, L. N.
[3] *duorum*, L. N.
[4] *Felilege*, L. N.
[5] *Radulfus Tornai*, L. N.
[6] *chevalchia et in custodia*, L. N.
[7] *unius*, L. N.
[8] *Cormeilles*, L. N.
[9] *feofatos*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Scettot*, L. N.
[12] *Coleburne*, L. N.
[13] *j*, L. N.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et de novo feffamento [1]:—

Alexander de Corneilles,[2] fratrem meum, de feodo j militis de meo dominio.

Et ego facio servitium iij [3] militum de meo dominio.

CARTA ROBERTI DE WEIAS.[4]

Hoc sciendum est, quot milites sunt feodati [5] de feodo Roberti de Eweias.[4]

Ruald,[6] v milites.

Godefridus Escudor, iiij feoda militum.

Adam de Celegord, j feodum militis.

Lucas de Tirintone,[7] j feodum militis.

Osmundus de Sumerford, j feodum militis.

Neeldus de Mordune,[8] j feodum militis.

Morel de Hedendone,[9] j feodum militis.

De Cliva [10] quam Rogerus de Wias tenet, j feodum militis.

Willelmus de Cheinetone,[11] j feodum militis.

Godefridus de Mamintone,[12] j [militem] de feodo meæ castellariæ de Ewias.[13]

Ricardus de Carlevile,[14] j feodum militis.

Rogerus de Pontonia, j feodum militis.

Et de illis qui sunt feodati de dominio meo [15] post mortem Henrici Regis.[16]

Godefridus de Tifente,[17] j feodum militis.

Willelmus Torrellus [18] de Pencumbia,[19] ij feoda militum.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Cormeilles*, L. N.
[3] *trium*, L. N.
[4] Altered in MS.; *Wias*, L. N.
[5] *feofati*, L. N.
[6] *Rualdus*, L. N.
[7] *Tidrintone*, L. N.
[8] *Mordone*, L. N.
[9] *Heddendone*, L. N.
[10] *Clivia*, L. N.
[11] *Cheinettone*, L. N.
[12] *Manintone*, L. N.
[13] *castelleriæ de Wias*, L. N.
[14] *Carleville*, L. N.
[15] *meo dominio*, L, N.
[16] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.
[17] *Tifonte*, L. N.
[18] *Torellus*, L. N.
[19] *Sic* in MSS.

HEREFORD IN WALLIA—*cont.*

Robertus de Mortuo mari tenet xxiij feoda in honore Castelli Ricardi cum filia Hugonis de Say hærede Osberti filii Hugonis.[1] A.D. 1166.

Fo. 98.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA.

CARTA ABBATIS[2] DE WINCHECUMBA.

Ecclesia de Winchecumba habet unum feodum de veteri feodamento; et omnes isti tenent illud feffamentum[3]:—

Rogerus de Dichestone.[4]
Willelmus de Bello Campo.
Willelmus de Sireburne.[5]
Jordanus de Brochamtone.
Azo de Wenritha.[6]
Nicholaus[7] de Tweninge.[8]
Rogerus de Hesa.
Willelmus Morin.
Gilbertus de Frolintone.[9]
Simon de Chulunces.
Willelmus de Dunetrop.[10]
Hugo de Radeford.[11]
Willelmus de Chivieseia.[12]

---

[1] This entry is obviously a later addition in L. N. The contemporary return will be found under Northamptonshire. The four following entries are carefully erased in the MS., but are inserted in L. N. in a still later hand:—*Henricus de Longo campo tenet Wiltone pro j feodo. Gillebertus Thalebothe, j feodum in Lintone in Hereford in Wallia. Thomas Mauduit, j feodum in Wermenistre in Wiltesira. Robertus de Ameneville tenet* (sic).

[2] *Abbatiæ*, L. N.

[3] *fefamentum*, L. N.

[4] *Dichesdone*, L. N.

[5] *Scireburne*, L. N.

[6] *Wenricha*, L. N.

[7] *Nicolaus*, L. N.

[8] Substituted for *Toninge* in MS. in a later hand; *Toninge*, L. N.

[9] *Gilebertus de Froulintone*, L. N.

[10] *Dunetrope*, L. N.

[11] *Redeford*, L. N.

[12] Altered in MS.; *Chiveleia*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. De novo feffamento[1]:—

Hunfridus de Sireburne[2] tenet j hidam.

Et super dominia j feodum militis.

NOVUM APPOSITUM DE HONORE DE STRUGUILE.

Willelmus Marscallus[3] Comes Penbroc debet lxv milites et dimidium de honore de Struguille.[4]

Idem debet ij milites de Castello Godrici.

Idem debet de Penbroc.

CARTA WILLELMI COMITIS GLOUCESTRIÆ.

Hic est rotulus militum Willelmi Comitis Gloucestriæ, sine suis militibus de Kent:—

Jordanus Sorus debet xv milites.

Robertus de Maru,[5] x milites.

Walterus de Claville, x milites.

Willelmus filius Roberti filii Rogeri, x milites.

Elias aureis testiculis, x milites.

Willelmus filius Johannis, x milites.

Ricardus de Sancto Quintino, x milites.

Gilbertus de Hunframville,[6] ix milites.

Feodum quod fuit Roberti de Gornac[o], ix milites.

Filius Willelmi filii Baldewini, ix milites.

Robertus de Maysy,[7] ix milites.

Feodum quod fuit Ricardi de Greinville, vij milites.

Adam de Sumeri, vij milites.

Feodum quod fuit Eliæ[8] de Dictone, vj milites.

Et Gregorius habet inde septimum, sicut consideratum fuit coram Roberto Comite Gloucestriæ.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Hunfridus de Scireburne*, L. N.
[3] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[4] *Striguille*, L. N.
[5] *Mara*, L. N.
[6] *Gilebertus de Unfranville*, L. N.
[7] *Maisi*, L. N.
[8] *Heliæ*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

Rogerus Witenge, vij milites. A.D. 1166.
Pontius filius Simonis, viij milites.
Robertus de Reini, v milites.
Johannes Eskeling,[1] iiij milites.
Rogerus Waspaile,[2] v milites.
Feodum quod fuit Guefr[idi] de Clintone, v milites.
Walterus de Caisneio, v milites.
Guefr[idus] de Trailli,[3] iiij milites.
Filius Henrici de Pomeria, j militem.
Ricardus de Guiz, v milites.
Willelmus de Londonia, iiij milites.
Willelmus de Nerbertone, iiij milites.
Elias de Clistone, iij milites.
Rogerus de Iclestone,[4] iij milites.
Rogerus de Berckelaio, ij milites.
Alexander de Monte Forcii,[5] ij milites.
Feodum Walteri filii Raineri,[6] ij milites.
Robertus Langahit,[7] dimidium militem.
Feodum quod fuit Geofridi de Stanganesforde,[8] j militem.
Feodum quod fuit Walteri de Ferede,[9] j militem.
Willelmus de Einesford, j militem.
Rogerus de Wintonia, j militem.
Filius Ricardi Walonsis,[10] j militem.
Rogerus filius Herlewini,[11] j militem et dimidium in Wallia.
Willelmus de Caerdyf,[12] j militem; et in Wallia, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Eskelin*, L. N.
[2] *Waspail*, L. N.
[3] *Traili*, L. N.
[4] *Iclesdona*, L. N.
[5] *Monte Forti*, L. N.
[6] *Raameri*, L. N.
[7] *Lagahit*, L. N.
[8] *Ragenesforde*, L. N.
[9] *Fered*, L. N.
[10] *Walensis*, L. N.
[11] *Herlouini*, L. N.
[12] *Cardi*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Rogerus de Rodelic,[1] j militem et iiij[tam 2] partem militis.

Willelmus filius Odonis Aurifabri, j militem.

Rogerus de Kimbis, j militem.

Gilbertus [3] de Walberga, dimidium militem.

Feodum Willelmi de Octone,[4] j militem.

Hugo Wac, de terra quam Baldewinus filius Gileberti tenebat, j militem.

Willelmus filius Hervei,[5] j militem.

Elias [6] de Tornebiria, j militem.

Willelmus Camerarius de Londonia, j militem.

Nicholaus filius Arding,[7] j militem.

Willelmus de Clivedone, j militem.

Simon de Neutone,[8] j militem et iiij[tam 2] partem militis.

Feodum quod fuit Ruad [9] Croc, j militem.

Rogerus de Vilers,[10] j militem.

Robertus de Boleville, ij milites.

Gilbertus de Grinemare,[11] ij milites.

Hugo de Hanitoneford,[12] j militem.

Laudomare,[13] j militem.

Gilbertus [14] de Fernesham, j militem.

Fo. 98 d. Rogerus de Derkerol,[15] j militem.

Wermundus de Portmort, dimidium militem.

Ricardus de Marcy,[16] iiij milites.

Radulfus de Marcy,[16] iij milites.

Mauricius de Torenham, iij milites.

Willelmus filius Roberti, dimidium militem in Gunlion.[17]

---

[1] *Rodolic*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[4] *Hoctone*, L. N.
[5] *Herevei*, L. N.
[6] *Elyas*, L. N.
[7] *Nicolaus filius Hardingi*, L. N.
[8] *Niwetone*, L. N.
[9] *Rualdi*, L. N.
[10] *Viliers*, L. N.
[11] *Gilebertus de Grenemara*, L. N.
[12] *Hamtuneford*, L. N.
[13] *Laudomarus*, L. N.
[14] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[15] *Berkerol*, L. N.
[16] *Marci*, L. N.
[17] *Gunliou*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

Azo [1] frater Leomeri, dimidium militem ibidem. A.D. 1166.
Rogerus filius Malgeri, dimidium militem ibidem.
Herbertus filius Herberti [2] Camerarii, dimidium militem.
Rogerus de Beregvalle, dimidium militem.
Robertus, pro terra quæ fuit Willelmi Torneanti,[3] j militem.
Lucas Pincerna Rogeri,[4] j militem.
Milo de Cogan, ij milites.
De feodo quod fuit Roberti Norensis, ij milites.
Osbertus de Pennard, j militem.
Robertus de Costantino,[5] j militem.
De feodo quod fuit Ricardi Foliot quod Robertus filius Ricardi tenet, iiij milites.
Osbertus de Wincheleia, j militem.
Jordanus de Capernun de Unberleia [6] et Becintone, ij milites.
Et alii sui milites sunt præscripti in hoc ipso rotulo.

Summa horum militum.[3]

Isti subscripti sunt de novo feffamento [7] de dominio :—
Hamo filius Geufr[idi], se altero, de dominio.
Hugo de Gundeville,[8] se altero, de dominio.
Willelmus de Hastinges, se tertio [9] milite, de dominio.
Robertus de Grainville, j, de dominio.
Willelmus de Bosco, dimidium militem, de dominio.
Gregorius de Turri, dimidium militem, de dominio.
Rogerus de Mannaville, dimidium militem, de dominio.

---

[1] *Aco*, L. N.
[2] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MSS.
[4] *Sic* in MS.; *Regis*, L. N.
[5] *Constantino*, L. N.
[6] *Umberlaia*, L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *Gunnoville*, L. N.
[9] *iij*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Fulco filius Warini, j militem, de dominio.

Philippus de Chahaines, dimidium militem, de dominio.

Gerboldus,[1] quartam partem militis,[2] de dominio.

Petrus de Salta Marisco, iiij[tam][3] partem militis, de eodem.

Ricardus de Chairdyf,[4] dimidium militem, de dominio.

Hamelinus de Gundeville,[5] j militem, de dominio.

Summa horum noviter feodatorum sunt[6] xiij milites et dimidium [militis].

CARTA ROGERI DE BERCH[ELAI].

Sciat dominus Rex quod ego Rogerus de Berch[elai] habeo ij[7] milites et dimidium feffatos[8] de veteri feffamento,[9] unde :—

Michael tenet j hidam.

Willelmus filius Baldewini, ij hidas.

Elias[10] de Boiville, j hidam et dimidiam.

Hugo de Planta,[11] dimidiam hidam.

Et de istis integrum militem habetis.

Ad dimidium faciendum tenet :—

Radulfus de Ywelege, dimidiam hidam.

Femina Radulfi Cantilene,[12] dimidiam hidam.

Rogerus de Alba Mara, j virgatam.

Simon de Cornelege,[13] j virgatam.

Femina Ricardi Ganselli, iij virgatas.

Prior de Stanleie,[14] j virgatam.

Et hic habetis dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Gerbodus*, L. N.
[2] L. N. omits *partem*.
[3] *quartam*, L. N.
[4] *Chardi*, L. N.; the *f* is a later insertion in MS.
[5] *Gunnoville*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS.
[7] *duos*, L. N.
[8] *feofatos*, L. N.
[9] *feofamento*, L. N.
[10] *Helyas*, L. N.
[11] *Planca*, L. N.
[12] *Sic* in MSS.
[13] *Covelege*, L. N.
[14] *Stanlege*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

Ad alterum militem faciendum :— A.D. 1166.

Walterus de Hollecumbe[1] tenet iij hidas et dimidiam.

Gerardus, iij hidas et dimidiam.

Reginaldus de Alba Mara, iij hidas.

Et ita tenent isti iij[2] x hidas, unde nolunt mihi facere servitium nisi de iij[3] virgatis, scilicet, unusquisque de j virgata. Et ita habetis ij[4] milites et dimidium feodatos. Nullum habeo feodatum[5] novum de meo tempore.

Si vobis in antea[6] de dominio meo placet audire :—

In manerio meo de Oberlege,[7] habeo feodum duorum militum.

Apud[8] Stanlege, feodum j militis cum j hida de Chederintone.

In Niwentone habeo feodum j militis.

In Durellis, j hidam.

In Oslewarda,[9] dimidiam hidam.

In Doddintone,[10] iij hidas et dimidiam.

In Slimbergge,[11] iij hidas quas ego vestro assensu dedi Mauricio filio Roberti, unde nullum habeo servitium.

Kingeswodam tenent Albi Monachi, ex dono Willelmi de Bercham[stede] unde vobis integrum militem facio, quamvis ipsi nullum servitium michi facere volunt.

CARTA MARGARETÆ DE BOUN.[13]

Hos milites habet Margareta de Boun,[14] qui fuerunt in tempore Regis Henrici feffati[15] in feodo Mi-

---

[1] *Holecumbe*, L. N.
[2] *tres*, L. N.
[3] *tribus*, L. N.
[4] *duos*, L. N.
[5] *Nullumque feofatum habeo*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS.
[7] *Cobbelega*, L. N.
[8] *Aput*, L. N.
[9] *Oslewrda*, L. N.
[10] *Duddintone*, L. N.
[11] *Slimbrigge*, L. N.
[12] *quamvis ipsi michi nullum servitium facere volunt*, L. N.
[13] *Bohun*, L. N.
[14] *Bohum*, L. N.
[15] *feofati*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Ionis Gloucestriæ, patris sui, quos tenet in capite de Rege:—

Fo. 99. Hugo Parvus debet iiij milites.

Philippus filius Ernulfi, ij [1] milites.

Othuerus de Sunewrthe,[2] ij milites.

Almaricus de Lokintona, j militem.

Radulfus Cokerel [3] et Elias,[4] frater ejus, j militem.

Willelmus de Pinkeini,[5] j militem.

Ricardus de Blenchendone [6] et Walterus filius Roberti, j militem.

Ricardus de Sancto Quintino, dimidium militem.

Ricardus Canutus et Walterus,[7] j militem.

Walterus de Esseleia,[8] j militem.

Willelmus Picard, ij milites.

Gilbertus [9] de Mineriis et Hugo de Cundicote,[10] dimidium militem.

Ex hiis habet Ysabele [11] uxor Henrici de Herford,[12] v milites in dotem.

Hos habet etiam feffatos [13] de dominiis suis post mortem Henrici Regis, quos pater suus et fratres feffaverunt [14]:—

Willelmus de Cernay,[15] dimidium militem.

Willelmus Torel, in Cernay,[15] iiij^{tam} [16] partem militis.

Elias Cokerel,[17] dimidium militem.

Rogerus filius Alani, j militem et dimidium.

Ricardus Murdac, j militem.

---

[1] *duos*, L. N.
[2] *Otoerus de Sunnewrthe*, L. N.
[3] *Chokerel*, L. N.
[4] *Helyas*, L. N.
[5] *Pinkeni*, L. N.
[6] *Blechedone*, L. N.
[7] *Walterus Moderli*, L. N.
[8] *Esselea*, L. N.
[9] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[10] *Cumdicote*, L. N.; the *m* has been altered into *n* in the MS. by erasure.
[11] *Ysabel*, L. N.
[12] *Herefordia*, L. N.
[13] *fefatos*, L. N.
[14] *feofaverunt*, L. N.
[15] *Cernai*, L. N.
[16] *quartam*, L. N.
[17] *Helyas de Kokerel*, L. N. The *de* is subpunctuated in the MS.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA RADULFI DE SUTELEIA.[1] A.D. 1166.

Sciendum est quod tot milites habet Radulfus de Suteleia[2] feodatos in tenura sua quam tenet in capite de Rege de veteri feffamento[3] et novo :—

Ricardus de Cinctecote, de veteri feffamento, iij[4] partes unius militis.

Robertus Russel, de veteri feffamento,[3] quintam partem militis.

Agatha, de veteri feffamento,[3] v^tam[5] partem j militis.[6]

Hæc est summa de veteri feffamento[3]—feodum j militis :—

Item, Willelmus de Tracy,[7] de novo feffamento,[3] de dominio suo, feodum j militis.

Unfridus[8] filius Willelmi, de novo feffamento,[3] de dominio suo et de dono Rogeri Parvi, feodum j[9] militis.

CARTA ROBERTI DE SCRUPA.

Sciendum est quod ego Robertus de Scrupa[10] teneo feoda iij[11] militum a domino nostro Rege; et post mortem Henrici Regis nullum feodavi.

Nomina illorum militum sunt hæc :—

Turstanus[12] Dispensator.

Gal[fridus] Camerarius de Clintone.[13]

Simonde Ordingetone.

Valete.

---

[1] *Sutleia*, L. N.
[2] *Suthleia*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *tres*, L. N.
[5] *quintam*, L. N.
[6] *militis*, L. N.
[7] *Traci*, L. N.
[8] *Umfridus*, L. N.
[9] *unius*, L. N.
[10] *Crupa*, L. N., on an erasure.
[11] *trium*, L. N.
[12] *Tourstanus*, L. N.
[13] *Glintone*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA HENRICI DE NOVO MERCATO.

Hii sunt milites Henrici de Novo Mercato de veteri feffamento [1]:—

Ernaldus de Bailol [2] et Hufridus [3] Pancevot tenent feoda v militum.

Ricardus Malamulier,[4] j militem.

Galfridus filius Rogeri, j militem.

Henricus Luel [5] tenet [feodum] j militis, quod non recognoscit [6] de me tenere et ego servitium inde facio.

Ricardus filius Hunfridi,[7] [feodum] dimidii militis, quod Jocel [8] de Bailol præcepto Regis tenet, neque ullum servitium inde habeo.

Walterus del Cheisne, iiij^{or} partes j militis quos Jocelinus de Bailol [9] præcepto Regis tenet, neque inde servitium habeo.

Galfridus de Galehamtone, v^{tam} partem j [10] militis.

Galfridus de Marisco, v^{tam} partem militis.

Willelmus filius Alvredi, v^{tam} [11] partem militis.

Eustachius Pancevot, iiij^{tam} [12] partem militis.

Hamelin de Baalun, dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Frohorn,[13] ij milites.

Willelmus Monsel,[14] ij [15] partes j [16] militis.

Elias [17] Cokerel, dimidium militem.

Robertus de Gundeville, iiij partes j militis.

Hunfridus [18] de Kenebelle, j militem.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.

[2] *Baillol*, L. N.

[3] *Sic* in MS.

[4] *Ricardus de Malemuilier*, L. N.; the *de* is subpuncted in MS.

[5] *Luvel*, L. N.

[6] *recongnoscit* in MS.; *tenet feodum j militis quod non recognoscit*, L. N.

[7] *Humfridi*, L. N.

[8] *Jocelinus*, L. N.

[9] *Jocel de Bailoil*, L. N., where this entry follows the fee of *Galfridus de Marisco*.

[10] L. N. omits *j*.

[11] *v*, L. N.

[12] *iiij*, L. N.

[13] *Frohorn*, L. N.

[14] *Mansel*, L. N.

[15] *duas*, L. N.

[16] *unius*, L. N.

[17] *Helyas*, L. N.

[18] *Humfridus*, L N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

Philippus de Gundeville, x partem j militis. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus de Cadeberi, x partem j militis.
Willelmus de Dereham,[1] v partem j militis.
Willelmus filius Reginaldi, feodum j militis; sed ego non cognosco quod de me debeat tenere, unde servitium Regi facio.

De novo feffamento[2]:—
Mathæus de Baaluum,[3] j militem de dominio.
Hunfridus[4] Blundus, quintam partem militis de dominio.

Super dominium nichil.

CARTA PAGANI DE MUNTDUBLEL.;

Hii sunt milites Pagani de Muntdublel[5]:—
Hunfridus de Boun[6] tenet feoda ij militum.
Simon de Chelefeld, v militum.
Adam filius Simonis, j militis.
Fo. 99 d. Hugo de Radenne,[7] j militis.
Johannes de Baha, j militis.
Simon de Hara, j militis.
Radulfus Murdac, j militis; sed non cognoscit nisi feodum dimidii militis.
Galfridus de Coldrintone, feodum dimidii militis.

Summa istorum est—xij milites et dimidius.

De novo feffamento[2] dedit Paganus de Mundublel[8] Hugoni de Chaurcis, fratri suo, unum manerium unde nullum servitium facit; et Nicholao[9] filio Simonis, senescallo suo, unam vastam terram juxta Neuberiam[10] pro servitio suo, per servitium tertiæ[11] partis j militis.

---

[1] *Derham*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Bâălun*, L. N.
[4] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[5] *Hii sunt milites Pagani de Mundublel de veteri fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *Humfridus de Bohun*, L. N.
[7] *Radene*, L. N.
[8] *Mundubel*, L. N.
[9] *Nicolao*, L. N.
[10] *Niwebiriam*, L. N.
[11] *iij*, L. N.

GLOUCESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. [1] Sciendum est quod de prædicto tenemento tenet Galfridus de Ver, feoda vij militum de quorum,[2] Patricius de Chaurcis [3] avus Pagani de Mundublel [4] tenuit die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus, scilicet, Mathæus de Tormartone,[5] feodum v militum.

Hunfridus Franchevaler,[6] feodum j militis.

Henricus Chesnel,[7] feodum j militis.

Et extra hoc Alvredus de Lincolnia tenet feodum j [8] militis quod Patricius Comes cepit per gwerram; et insuper tenet Comes Patricius de supradicto tenemento feoda xx militum de matrimonio matris suæ; et iij maneria [9] de lx*l.*; et Nigellus de Albineio, j manerium de xx*l.* similiter, de matrimonio matris suæ, unde nullum servitium fecerunt.

CARTA ROBERTI FILII HARDING.[10]

Henrico Dei gratia Regi [11] Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Robertus filius Harding [10] salutem.

Sciatis quod vobis debeo de Berkelai servitium v militum; sed Rogerus de Berkelai tenet terram de honore de Berkelei,[12] unde nullum mihi facit servitium, scilicet, Esmordam [13] et dimidium Neutone [14] et totum feodum Bernardi Capellani.

---

[1] *De eodem tenemento divisio* precedes this entry as a rubric in L. N.

[2] *Sic* in both MSS.

[3] *Chawrcis*, L. N.

[4] *Mundabel*, L. N.

[5] *Tormintone*, L. N.

[6] *Humfridus Franc Chevalier*, L. N.

[7] *Chenel*, L. N.

[8] *unius*, L. N.

[9] *tres manerios*, L. N.

[10] *Hardingi*, L. N.

[11] *Rex*, L. N.

[12] *Berkelai*, L. N.

[13] *Osemordam*, L. N.

[14] *Niwetone*, L. N.

WIRECESTRESCIRA.[1]

A.D. 1166.

Carta Willelmi de Bello Campo.

Ego Willelmus de Bello Campo habeo istos milites de domino Rege feodatos de veteri feodamento[2] ex tempore Regis Henrici avi domini Regis:—

Stephanus de Bellocampo tenet feoda ij militum.
Ranulfus filius Rogeri, j militis.
Willelmus filius Widonis, j militis.
Filius Galfridi Abbatis, ij militum.
Willelmus Burdet, dimidii militis.
Filius Roberti filii Vitalis, j militis.
Everard de Tilintone,[3] dimidii militis.
Henricus de Gastone,[4] j militis.
Robertus de Roffoc,[5] j militis.
Willelmus de Abbetot,[6] dimidii militis.
Willelmus de Hai,[7] dimidii militis.
Ricardus de Costone, j militis.
Filius Jory[8] de Bunet,[9] j militis.
Walterus de Duverdale, j militis.
Hugo filius Fulconis, dimidii militis.
Willelmus de Bracy,[10] dimidii militis.
Willelmus Haket,[11] j militis.

De hiis prænominatis non debeo Regi nisi servitium vij militum, nec antecessores mei unquam[12] plus fecerunt. Sed quia dominus Rex præcepit michi mandare quot milites habeo et eorum nomina, ideo mando quod istos habeo feffatos[13] de veteri feffamento[2]; sed non debeo Regi nisi servitium vij militum.

---

[1] This heading is also once written *Wucestrescira* in the MS.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Everardus de Tilitone*, L. N.
[4] *Graftone*, L. N.
[5] *Rossoc*, L. N.
[6] *Abetot*, L. N.
[7] *Willelmus Hai*, L. N.
[8] *Jori*, L. N.
[9] *Buntelega*, L. N.
[10] *Braci*, L. N.
[11] *Baket*, L. N.
[12] *umquam*, L. N.
[13] *fefatos*, L. N.

WIRECESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. De novo feffamento[1] nullum habeo militem[2] super dominium meum.[3]

Fo. 100. CARTA EPISCOPI[4] WIGORNENSIS.

Wigornensis Ecclesia[5] isti sunt feffati[6] antiquitus:—

Dominus Rex debet iij milites.

Comes Gloucestriæ, vij[7] et dimidium; sed unum tantum recognoscit.[8]

Hunfridus de Boun,[9] vij milites et dimidium; sed negat iij et dimidium.[10]

Willelmus de Bello campo, xv milites.

Elias Giffard, j militem.

Henricus de Foro, j militem; et alium negat.

Gilbertus[11] de Mineriis, j militem; sed v^am^ partem. negat.

Walterus de Clifford, j militem.

Hugo Poher,[12] ij milites et dimidium.

Hugo de Lascy,[13] iiij milites, cum Willelmo filio Hermanni,[14] qui facit dimidium militem.

Aetrope Hasteng,[15] dimidium militem.

Robertus de Marmiun,[16] ij milites; sed j negat.

Johannes Marescallus, j militem et dimidium.

Radulfus Travers, j militem, v^ta^ parte[17] minus.

Hoc est vetus feffamentum.[18]

Summa—xxxvij milites et dimidium.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *militem habeo*, L. N.
[3] *super dominium meum nullum*, L. N.
[4] *Episcopatus*, L. N.
[5] *Ecclesiæ*, L. N.
[6] *feofati*, L. N.
[7] *vij milites*, L. N.
[8] *recongnoscit* in MS.
[9] *Humfridus de Bohun*, L. N.
[10] Written on an erasure in MS.
[11] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[12] *Puher*, L. N.
[13] *Luci*, L. N.
[14] *Hereman*, L. N.
[15] *Attrope Hastenc*, L. N.
[16] *Robertus Marmiun*, L. N.
[17] *pars* in MS.; *quinta parte*, L. N.
[18] *fefamentum*, L. N.

WIRECESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

Isti sunt feffati [1] a tempore Sansonis Episcopi :— A.D. 1166.

Adam de Froile, j militem.
Frederich de Biscopesdone,[2] j militem.
Sanson de Saltemareis,[3] dimidium militem.
Illi de Ture,[4] j militem.
Jordanus de Estone, dimidium militem.

Summa—iiij milites.

Isti sunt feodati [5] de dominico a tempore Theophi [6] Episcopi :—

Robertus de Evercy,[7] j militem.
Thomas de Sancto Johanne, j militem.
Brotuere, dimidium militem.
Petrus de Uptune, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Emundescote,[8] dimidium militem.
Prior Wigorniæ, dimidium militem.

Summa—iiij milites.

CARTA ABBATIÆ DE EVESHAM.[9]

Servitium militum de Abbatia de Evesham :—

Ranulfus de Coctone, facit plenum servitium j militis in equis et armis, et abbas inveniet [10] ei expensas quamdiu fuerit in servitio Regis.
Ranulfus de Kinewartone, similiter.
Ricardus de Westone et Ricardus de Piplumtone,[11] similiter.
Bertram [12] et Paganus Travers, similiter.

---

[1] *fefati de dominico*, L. N.
[2] *Frederic de Bissopesdone*, L. N.
[3] *Saltemare*, L. N.
[4] *Turre*, L. N.
[5] *fefati*, L. N.
[6] *Theolphi*, L. N.
[7] *Verci*, L. N. The *E* is added in a later hand in MS.
[8] *Edmundescote*, L. N.
[9] *Evisham*, L. N.
[10] *invenit*, L. N.
[11] *Piplintone*, L. N.
[12] *Bertramus*, L. N.

WIRECESTRESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Bello Campo, dimidium militem ad expensas abbatis.

Isti prædicti sunt de veteri feffamento.[1]

Ricardus filius Maurici de Ambreslega,[2] dimidium militem ad expensam abbatis.

Et iste solus de novo feffamento [1] est.

CARTA ABBATIS DE PERSORA.[3]

Ego Reginaldus Abbas Persoræ tot habeo milites feodatos de veteri feffamento,[1] scilicet,[4] anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus :—

Willelmus de Bello Campo tenet de Ecclesia et de nobis feodum j militis.

Et [5] Galfridus Blacke [6] tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Robertus [7] de Lorticote [8] tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Et de novo feffamento [1] nullum habeo feffatum.[9]

Fo. 100 d.

OXONEFORDSCIRA.[10]

CARTA MANASSERI [11] ARSIC DE FEODIS SUIS.

Hii sunt milites Manasser Arsic :—

Gilbertus [12] de Neville tenet [13] feoda iiij militum a tempore Regis Henrici, et hos tenet Rogerus Arsic a fratre suo Manasser Arsic.

Yone de Gronesby [14] tenet [13] feodum j militis a tempore Regis Henrici, et hoc tenet Rogerus Arsic.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.

[2] *Mauricii de Amberlega*, L. N. There is no new paragraph in L. N.

[3] There is no rubric in L. N.

[4] *scilicet de*, L. N.

[5] *Et* is referred to the preceding paragraph in the MS.

[6] *Blache*, L. N.

[7] *Et Robertus*, L. N.

[8] *Larticote*, L. N.

[9] *fefatum*, L. N.

[10] *Oxenefordscira*, L. N.

[11] *Manaseri*, L. N.

[12] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

[13] *t*[*enuit*], L. N.

[14] *Yon de Grenesbi*, L. N.

OXONEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Guido[1] de Ver tenet iij[tam][2] partem militis ab ipso Rogero, et pater suus tenuit a tempore Regis Henrici.[3] A.D. 1166.

Odo de Ceritone, nepos Archiepiscopi, tenuit a tempore Regis Henrici feodum j militis, et Willelmus, filius suus, hoc ipsum tenet.

Sibilla de Ros tenet feodum et tenuit a tempore Henrici Regis.

Alvredus de Bakewelle[4] tenuit feoda ij[5] militum a tempore Regis Henrici, et Robertus filius ejus tenet alterum et unum invadiavit domino suo Roberto Arsic; et illud Manasser Arsic dedit Willelmo de Dadeville[6] pro servitio j militis.

Galfridus de Estone tenuit in tempore Regis Henrici feodum j militis, et illud vendidit Reingnero[7] filio Beringari; et Reingnerus[8] tenuit illud de Manasser Arsic pro servitio j militis.

Dittonam et Kersintone tenuit Triheram[9] Picot, in tempore Regis Henrici, pro servitio ij[5] militum; sed illam pro nequitia, et judicio Curiæ, Robertus Arsic amisit.

Osbertus de Claudiocestria[10] tenet de illo feodo j militem,[11] et Kersintone[12] est feodum j militis quod injuste deforciatur[13] Manasser Arsic.

Willelmus de Cliftone tenuit feodum militis a tempore Regis Henrici, et hæredes ejus tenent.

Odo de Bertone tenuit in tempore Regis Henrici feodum j militis, et Hunfridus[14] filius ejus tenet.

---

[1] *Gwido*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *Henrici Regis*, L. N.
[4] *Bekewelle*, L. N.
[5] *duorum*, L. N.
[6] *Dudeville*, L. N.
[7] *Reignero*, L. N.
[8] *Reignerus*, L. N.
[9] *Triham*, L. N.
[10] *Cladiocestria*, L. N.
[11] *de illo feodum j militis*, L. N.
[12] *Chersintone*, L. N.
[13] *difforciatur*, L. N.
[14] *Humfridus*, L. N.

OXONEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Robertus filius Alvredi et Wakelinus[1] tenent feodum militis, et pro tali servitio fuit in tempore Regis Henrici.

Thomas de Peritone tenuit in tempore Regis Henrici feodum militis, et tenet.

Johannes Marscallus[2] tenuit feodum militis in tempore Regis Henrici, et Gilbertus[3] filius ejus tenet.

CARTA WIGANI MARSCALLI.[4]

H[enricus] rex avus domini Regis feffavit[5] Wiganum Marscallum[6] suum de tenementis suis quæ de eo tenuit per servitium Marscalciæ[7] suæ. Et dominus Rex ita reddidit ea tenenda Radulfo filio suo, sicut Marscallo[8] suo. Et Radulfus filius Wigani sic tenet ea de domino Rege per servitium Marscalciæ[7] suæ. Et in hiis tenementis.

Rogerus de Torlavestone[9] ℥ tenet de Radulfo filio Wigani quartam partem j militis, de novo feffamento[10] post tempus Regis Henrici.[11]

[CARTA][12] RICARDI DE CANVILLE.[13]

Ricardus de Canville[13] debet domino Regi servitium j militis pro feodo quod tenet de eo in capite de antiquo feffamento.[10]

CARTA PHILIPPI DE HAMTONA.

Philippus de Hamtona habet feodum j militis quod tenet de Rege.

---

[1] *Walkelin*, L. N.
[2] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[3] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[4] *Carta de feodo Wigani Marescalli*, L. N.
[5] *fefavit*, L. N.
[6] *Marescallum*, L. N.
[7] *Marescalciæ*, L. N.
[8] *Marescallo*, L. N.
[9] *Torlanestone*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Henrici Regis*, L. N.
[12] *Carta* omitted in MS., supplied from L. N.
[13] *Camville*, L. N.

OXONEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA OLIVERI DE LINGOURE. A.D. 1166.

Ego Oliverus de Lingoure teneo de domino Rege in capite unum feodum militis apud Eadburburiam.[1]

CARTA HENRICI DE OYLI.

Henricus de Oili habet feoda[2] de veteri feffamento[3] xxxij militum et tertiam[4] partem militis.

De novo feffamento,[3] j militem et dimidium et xx^am^ partem militis.

Et super[5] dominium ejus nichil habetur in Carta.

Ricardus filius Willelmi de Harecurt tenet j feodum in Stantona.[6]

Walterus de Verdun, j feodum[7] in Blokesham.

Fo. 101.

BERCSCIRA.

CARTA ABBATIS DE ABENDONIA.[8]

Hæc sunt nomina militum qui tenent de Ecclesia Abendoniæ de veteri feffamento[3]:—

Jordanus de Sanforde, iiij milites.
Sauekesworda,[9] ij milites.
Vincentius, j militem.
Reginaldus de Sancto Walerico,[10] j militem.
Willelmus de Lega, ij milites.
Raerus de Alra, j militem.
Hugo filius Bernerii, j militem et dimidium.

---

[1] *Sic* in MSS.
[2] *feofamenta*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *iij*, L. N.
[5] *et super*, L. N., where there is no break in the entry.
[6] In L. N. this entry follows that of Walter de Verdun. Both entries are later insertions in the MSS.
[7] *tenet feodum j militis*, L. N.
[8] *Carta Abbatiæ Abendoniæ*, L. N.
[9] *Sauekeswerda*, L. N.
[10] *Valerico*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Johannes de Tubbeneia,[1] j militem.
Johannes de Sancta Helena, iij milites.
Gilbertus[2] de Columbariis, ij milites.
Hugo de Boclande, j militem.
Herbertus filius Herberti,[3] j militem.
Willelmus de Bradelege, dimidium militem.
Buamundus de Leges, iij milites, cum ij[4] hidis quas Hunfridus de Boun[5] aufert.
Henricus de Peseia, j militem.
Rogerus de Chereburgo,[6] dimidium militem.[7]
Gilbertus[2] filius Johannis, dimidium militem.
Paganus filius Henrici et Rogerus de Hulla, j militem; sed Willelmus Giffard[8] aufert tertiam[9] partem militis de feodo de Hulla.
Turstanus filius Simonis, dimidium militem.
Galfridus filius Willelmi, j militem.
Rogerus filius Hemingi,[10] j militem.
Baldewinus de Flagesflore,[11] j militem.
Radulfus de Puntdelarche, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Wetelega,[12] dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Caumund,[13] et Ricardus Gernun, et Robertus filius Dapiferi, et Galfridus de Samforde, et Willelmus Gryme,[14] et Reginaldus de Goseya,[15] et Petrus de Aldebiry,[16] et Henricus de Lachinge, et Galfridus de Raverches[17] faciunt j militem et dimidium.

Et est summa xxxiij milites de veteri feffamento[18]; de novo nullus est. Et super dominium nullus est.

---

[1] *Tubbeneie*, L. N.
[2] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.
[4] *duabus*, L. N.
[5] *Humfridus de Bohun*, L. N.
[6] *Chereburco*, L. N.
[7] L. N. omits *militem*.
[8] *Giffardus*, L. N.
[9] *iij^am*, L. N.
[10] *Hammingi*, L. N.
[11] *Fagesflore*, L. N.
[12] *Weteleia*, L. N.
[13] *Ricardus Caumundus*, L. N.
[14] *Grim*, L. N.
[15] *Goseia*, L. N.
[16] *Aldebiri*, L. N.
[17] *Faveirches*, L. N.
[18] *fefamento*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA HERBERTI [1] FILIUS HERBERTI.[1] A.D. 1166.

Herbertus filius Herberti [2] tenet de Rege in capite feodum suum per servitium j militis et per serjantiam [3] suam et illud servitium debet facere per corpus suum; et habet hos milites feffatos [4] de tempore [5] Regis Henrici avi domini Regis, scilicet, de anno et die quo fuit vivus et mortuus :—

Robertus filius Willelmi, de feodo j militis.

Hunfridus de Wadehulle,[6] de feodo dimidii militis.

Willelmus filius Tancræ, de feodo dimidii militis.

Nicholaus de Calun,[7] de feodo dimidii militis.

Et egomet feffavi [8] de novo Johannem de Walope [9] de feodo dimidii militis. Et non habeo plures de dominio meo.

CARTA HUGONIS DE BOCLAND.[10]

Hugo de Bocland [10] tenet feodum j militis de antiquo feodo de tempore Regis Henrici, et de illo feodo, post mortem Regis Henrici, feodavit j militem et dimidium militem.

Et Willelmus filius Ernaldi tenet feodum militis. Ricardus [11] filius Willelmi tenet cum eo aliam partem. Ita quod ipsi duo faciunt, post mortem Regis Henrici, feodum j militis et dimidii.

CARTA WILLELMI ACHARD.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, domino suo, Willelmus Acharde [12] salutem et fidelitatem.

---

[1] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[2] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.
[3] *serganteriam*, L. N.
[4] *fefatos*, L. N.
[5] *de tempore* repeated in MS.
[6] *Humfridus de Wadihille*, L. N.
[7] *Nicolaus de Callun*, L. N.
[8] *fefavi*, L. N.
[9] *Wallop*, L. N.
[10] *Bokeland*, L. N.
[11] *Et Ricardus*, L. N.
[12] *Acharde*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Sciatis quod R. filius Achard[1] meus pater feffavit[2] iij milites de suo dominio in tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri, scilicet, Alardum de Banactune[3] et Johannem Banactune[3] et Hugonem Brutinolle;[4] nec postea aliquis feffatus[5] est.

CARTA WILLELMI DE CIFREWAST.[6]

Carissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Willelmus de Ciffrewast[7] salutem.

Sciatis quod in feodo quod[8] de vobis teneo nullum militem feffatum[9] nisi unum solum habeo, nomine Walterum de Cauz,[10] et hunc feffavit[11] pater meus in tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri; et postea nullum feffatum[9] habeo.

Walterus Walensis tenet feodum j militis in Hanneie[12] quod fuit Willelmi Martelli.[13]

Willelmus de Neville tenet feodum dimidii militis in Mortone quod fuit Hunfridi[14] Vis de Lou.

Hugo de Sanford tenet x^am^[15] partem militis in Mortone quod fuit dicti Hunfridi.[16]

Fo. 101 d. CARTA MILITUM HONORIS DE WALINGEFORD.[17]

Isti sunt milites de honore feffati Waringforde:—

Nicholaus[18] Basset, x milites.

Gilbertus[19] Basset, vij milites.

---

[1] *Achardi*, L. N.
[2] *fefavit*, L. N.
[3] *Banactun*, L. N.
[4] *Et Hugo de Brutinolle*, L. N.
[5] *feofatus*, L. N.
[6] *Sifrewast*, L. N.
[7] *Siffrewast*, L. N.
[8] *quem* in MS.
[9] *feofatum*, L. N.
[10] *Chauz*, L. N.
[11] *feofavit*, L. N.
[12] *Hanneye*, L. N.
[13] *Martel*, L. N.
[14] *Humfridi*, L. N.
[15] *x*, L. N.
[16] *Honfridi*, L. N., where this and the two preceding entries are a later insertion.
[17] *Carta militum feofatorum de honore Warengeford*, L. N.
[18] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[19] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

Turstanus Basset, vj milites et ij partes militis. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus Pippard,[1] vj milites.
Petrus de Mara, iij milites.
Gilbertus[2] de Bella Aqua, iij milites.
Walterus Canutus, v milites.
Radulfus filius Almarici, iiij milites.
Robertus filius Alein,[3] iij milites.
Galfridus Boterel, iij milites.
Terra Rogeri filii Auredi,[4] iij milites.
Gilbertus Huscarle,[5] iij milites.
Galfridus de Clintone, iij milites et tertiam partem militis.
Robertus filius Amauri, iij milites.
Episcopus Sarrisbiriensis,[6] ij milites.
Johannes Marscallus,[7] ij milites.
Morevanus, ij milites.
Walterus Foliot, ij milites.
Hugo filius Ricardi, ij milites.
Willelmus de Drueval, ij milites.
Ricardus de Ceasecha,[8] ij milites.
Ruel[ent] de Alverso, ij milites.
Alanus de Valen[es], ij milites.
Radulfus de Chaineto, ij milites.
Radulfus de Langetot, j militem.
Osmundus Basset, j militem et quartam partem militis.
Wakelinus[9] Visus Lupi, j militem.
Willelmus Corbete,[10] j militem.
Carbonel,[11] j militem.
Robertus Pippard,[12] j militem.

---

[1] *Pipard*, L. N.
[2] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Alani*, L. N.
[4] *Alvredi*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus Huscarl*, L. N.
[6] *Saresbiriensis*, L. N.
[7] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[8] *Ceaseza*, L. N.
[9] *Walkelinus*, L. N.
[10] *Corbet*, L. N.
[11] *Carbonellus*, L. N.
[12] *Pipard*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166.

Simo de Stantone, j militem.
Fulco Basset, j militem.
Gilbertus[1] filius Safridi, j militem.
De Santresdone, j militem.
Richer[2] de Pangeburne, j militem.
Urveius Malet, j militem et dimidium.
Radulfus de Airel,[3] dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Eura, dimidium militem.
Anketil de Wicumbe, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Mara, quartam partem militis.
Hugo filius Osberti, dimidium militem.
Milo de Antiseia,[4] j militem de Tidoura.
Haneladus[5] de Bidun, j militem de Walintone.[6]
Stephanus filius Riulfi et Philippus de West-m[er]dele, dimidium militem.[7]

LIBERE TENENTES DE EODEM HONORE.[8]

Filius Roberti filii Petri, ij[9] hidas.
Willelmus Cabuiz, ij[9] hidas.
Walterus Archidiaconus, j hidam.
Ernaldus, j hidam.
Radulfus Pinel, j hidam.
Godcelinus,[10] j hidam.
Huna, j hidam.
Sewalus, j hidam.
Reinbert, j hidam.
Bernerius, j hidam.
Muriel, j hidam.
Anketil Pinel, j hidam, dimidia virgata minus.

---

[1] *Galfridus*, L. N.
[2] *Richier*, L. N.
[3] *Deairel*, L. N.
[4] *Antiseie*, L. N.
[5] *Hanelald*, L. N.
[6] *Wadlintone*, L. N.
[7] After *militem* L. N. reads, for the sum, *iiij^{xx}xix quarterium.*
[8] The rubric in L. N. is *Carta filii Roberti filii Petri.*
[9] *duas*, L. N.
[10] *Godeelinus*, L. N.

BERCSCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus Toly,[1] dimidiam hidam. A.D. 1166.
Galfridus Cocus, dimidiam hidam.
Gilbertus,[2] dimidiam hidam.
Alfricus Pistor, j virgatam.
Simon Dispensator, j virgatam.
Uxor Roberti Vigilis, j virgatam.
Filius Hervei, j virgatam.
Robertus filius Aroldi,[3] j virgatam et dimidiam.
Uxor Kaskilli,[4] dimidiam virgatam.

Toraldus[5] de Pesemere, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Sortebroc, j militem.
Johannes Belet, quintam[6] partem militis.
Johannes de Erlega, j militem.
Alexander filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Sifrewast, j militem.
Walterus Walensis cum hærede Geroldi de Ripa, unum militem.[7]

Alanus Basset tenet feodum j militis in Wicumba.
Robertus de Veteri Ponte tenet feodum j militis in Wicumba.[8]

---

[1] *Toli*, L. N.
[2] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Haroldi*, L. N.
[4] *Caskilli*, L. N.
[5] [*T*]*oroldus*, L. N., where this and the seven following entries are in a slightly later hand.
[6] *v*^{tam}, L. N.
[7] After *militem* L. N. reads *de feodo Willelmi Martel*, which was probably written as the rubric to the two subsequent entries in the MS. and afterwards erased.
[8] *Wicomba*, L. N., where this and the preceding entry are in a still later hand.

A.D. 1166.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA.[1]

Fo. 102.

Carta Comitis Walteri Giffard.[2]

Comes Walterus Giffard[3] habuit hos milites feffatos[4] de veteri feffamento[5]:—

Hugo Bolebache[6] debebat Comiti servitium xx militum.

Galfridus filius Willelmi, xxvij militum.

Manasser[7] Biset, j militis.

Willelmus de Saukeville, j militis.

Hugo de Noers,[8] j militis.

Gerardus de Grainville, iij militum.

Wido de Rocheford, iij militum.

Ricardus Tallebot,[9] ij militum.

Willelmus Passelewe,[10] iij militum.

Willelmus Buteri, v militum.

Petrus Corbuzone,[11] v militum.

Ricardus filius Mabiliæ, iiij militum.

Gerardus de Reddenham, ij militum.

Walterus de Westone, ij militum.

Jordan de Belnair,[12] ij militum.

Paganus de Dortone, j militis.

Henricus de Oyli,[13] j militis.

Hugo de Cresi,[14] j militis.

Elyas[15] Giffard, ij militum.

Robertus de Neville, j militis.

Radulfus de Lucintone, j militis.

Matildis de Bec, j militis.

Filius Willelmi filii Rogeri, j militis.

---

[1] *Bukinghamscira* in a later hand in MS.; *Bukingehamscira*, L. N.

[2] *Giffardi*, L. N.

[3] *Giffardus*, L. N.

[4] *fefatos*, L. N.

[5] *fefamento*, L. N.

[6] *Bolebeche*, L. N.

[7] *Manser*, L. N.

[8] *Nuers*, L. N.

[9] *Talebot*, L. N.

[10] *Passelew*, L. N.

[11] *Corbucone*, L. N.

[12] *Belnai*, L. N.

[13] *Oili*, L. N.

[14] *Creissi*, L. N.

[15] *Helias*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

Hamo filius Beneri, dimidii militis. A.D. 1166.

Rogerus de Sancta Fide, dimidii militis.

Robertus de Bedeford,[1] dimidii militis.

Sawallus de Crendone,[2] iiij militum,[3] ad corredium Comitis.

Gerardus de Bodinghame,[4] dimidii militis.

Alanus de Bodingeham, dimidii militis.

Adam de Sancto Laurentio, dimidii militis.

Ricarius de Witewlle,[5] dimidii militis.

Ricardus Makerel, dimidii militis.

De novo autem feodo[6] habet Hugo de Milleville feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus Cokerel, dimidii militis.

Willelmus de Bureville,[7] dimidii militis.

CARTA WILLELMI MALDUIT CAMERARII.

Sciant tam præsentes quam futuri quod Willelmus Malduit, Camerarius[8] tot invenit milites feffatos[9] de tenemento Michaelis de Hamlespe[10] quando Rex Henricus dedit ei prædictum tenementum :—

Robertus de Haiso,[11] de j milite.

Et Robertus filius Warini,[12] de tribus partibus j[13] militis.

Postea vero idem Willelmus prædictus feffavit[14] de dominio suo, Johannem Malduit de feodo j militis; et Willelmum[15] Lupum de feodo dimidii militis; et

---

[1] *Bodeford*, L. N.

[2] *Sawalus de Creendone*, L. N.

[3] Rectius *dimidii militis*, as in L. N.; but there the *d* being partly erased, the four remaining minims would seem to have been read by the scribe as *iiij*.

[4] *Bodingeham*, L. N.

[5] *Witewelle*, L. N., where this entry precedes that of *Adam de Sancto Laurentio*.

[6] *fefamento*, L. N.

[7] *Burville*, L. N.

[8] On an erasure in L. N.

[9] *fefatos*, L. N.

[10] *Hamslepe*, L. N.

[11] *Haisso*, L. N.

[12] *Warneri*, L. N., where *et* is referred to the preceding sentence.

[13] *unius*, L. N.

[14] *feofavit*, L. N.

[15] *Et Willelmum*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hugonem [1] Lupum de iiij[a] [2] parte militis.
Walterum filium Gundewin [3] de iiij[a] [2] parte militis.
Jordanum de la Treburia [4] de iiij[a] [2] parte militis.

Summa de veteri et de novo feffatis [5] iiij[or] milites et dimidium [militis].

Fo. 102 d. CARTA WILLELMI DE SERINTONE.

Willelmus de Serintone tenet de Rege in capite feoda ij [6] militum et nullum militem [habet] feffatum.[7]

CARTA HAMONIS FILII MEINFELIN.[8]

Sciant omnes quod ego Hamo filius Meinfelin debeo domino Regi servitium xv militum, de quibus feffatis [5] habeo de veteri feffamento [9] :—

Bertram de Verdone,[10] ij milites.
Peverel [11] de Bello Campo, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, ij milites.
Stephanus de Bello Campo, j militem.
Alexander de Ysenhamstede,[12] j militem.
Warnerus de Vacca, j militem.
Willelmus filius Alani, j militem.
Bartolomæus de Luctone, j militem.
Hamo Niger, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Macer, v[am] [13] partem j militis.

Et de novo feffamento [9] :—

Oenus de Stokes, iiij[or] [14] partes militis.[15]
Rogerus Vis de Lou,[16] v[am] [13] partem j militis.

---

[1] *Et Hugonem*, L. N.
[2] *quarta*, L. N.
[3] *Gundewini*, L. N.
[4] *Latteburia*, L. N.
[5] *fefatis*, L. N.
[6] *duorum*, L. N.
[7] *habet feofatum*, L. N.
[8] *Walkelini*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *Verdun*, L. N.
[11] *Peverellus*.
[12] *Isenhamstede*, L. N.
[13] *quintam*, L. N.
[14] *quatuor*, L. N.
[15] *j militis*, L. N.
[16] *Leu*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

Henricus de[1] Freine, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Bernerus filius Azur,[2] v^am^ [3] partem j militis.
Azsurus Ruffus,[4] x^am^ partem j militis.[5]
Simon filius Berneri, x^mam^ partem j militis.

Summa de veteri feffamento[6] et de novo xiij milites et iij partes j militis.
Residuum super dominium meum.

CARTA WILLELMI DE WINLESORES.[7]

Domino suo Henrico Dei gratia illustri Anglorum Regi, Willelmus de Windlesores salutem.
Sciat Dominus meus Henricus illustris Rex Anglorum quod hæc sunt nomina militum qui fuerunt feffati ex[8] veteri feffamento.[9]

Nicholaus[10] filius Toroldi habet feoda ij[11] militum.
Robertus de Jerlega, j militis.
Ricardus filius Turstani, apud Willehale, j militis.
Ranulfus de[1] Broc, apud Piperherge, j militis.
Philippus de Hertmere, j militis.
Walterus de Bedefunt,[12] j militis.
Willelmus de Etona, apud alium Budefunt, j militis de maritagio Annæ[13] uxoris patris sui.[14]
Willelmus Porchet, apud Boveneiam, j militis de maritagio Annæ[13] uxoris suæ.
Ricardus de Raimes, apud Stanwelle, j militis de maritagio matris suæ.[15]
Robertus de Burneham, j militis.

---

[1] *del*, L. N.
[2] *Atsur*, L. N.
[3] *quintam*, L. N.
[4] *Atsurus Rufus*, L. N.
[5] *x partem militis*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Windleores*, L. N.
[8] *feofati de*, L. N.
[9] *feofamento*, L. N.
[10] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[11] *duorum*, L. N.
[12] *Budefunt*, L. N.
[13] *Ainæ*, L. N., but the *i* is partly erased in the former entry.
[14] *suæ*, L. N.
[15] *sui*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus filius Toroldi[1] de Penbroc, apud Harematog, ij militum.

Adam de Burnham[2] et Reginaldus de Waltham, j militis.

Galfridus filius Petri et Hugo de Duna, j militis.

Walterus Pinleis[3] et Serlo Malesmains,[4] j militis.

Hugo de Berga, dimidii militis.

Hæc sunt nomina eorum qui tenent de novo feffamento[5]:—

Hugo de Winlesores,[6] apud Horslegam, j militis.

Willelmus de Hastinges, apud Comtone,[7] dimidii militis.

Alexander de Winlesores,[8] in eadem villa habet iij^am^[9] partem militis.

Et super dominium meum restant duo milites, excepta tertia parte militis.

CARTA HUGONIS DE CHAHAINES.

De veteri feffamento[5] tenet Hugo de Chahaines de Rege ij[10] partes militis quas Rex dedit ei cum hærede ipsius terræ in Bukinghamscira.[11]

CARTA WALTERI DE BOLEBECH.[12]

Hæc est agnitio de tenemento Walteri de Bolebec quod Rex ei reddidit post mortem Hugonis de Bolebec fratris sui, scilicet, vj milites feodatos ex tempore Regis Henrici,[13] et ante mortem ejus, et ij[14] milites quos Abbas de Rameseia tenet vi et warantisia[15] Regis et ejus præ-

---

[1] *Geroldi*, L. N.
[2] *Burneham*, L. N.
[3] *Puileis*, L. N.
[4] *Malesmeins*, L. N.
[5] *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *Windlesores*, L. N.
[7] *Cumtone*, L. N.
[8] *Windleores*, L. N.
[9] *tertiam*, L. N.
[10] *duas*, L. N.
[11] *Bukingehamscira*, L. N.
[12] *Bolebec*, L. N.
[13] *Henrici Regis*, L. N.
[14] *duos*, L. N.
[15] *warantisa*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

sidio sicut propriam ejus elemosinam; ex quibus nullum servitium habuit postquam Rex prædictam terram ei reddidit. Et hæc sunt nomina militum :— A.D. 1166.

Raveingus[1] de Musindone[2] tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Turstanus filius Simonis, feodum dimidii militis.

Radulfus de Calvintone, feodum j militis.

Galfridus Pigate,[3] feodum j militis.

Robertus de Gray,[4] feodum j militis.

Radulfus Gibbewine, et Gilbertus[5] Brekespere, feodum ij[6] militum.

Et quod superest de debito Regis super suum dominium est, scilicet, feoda ij[6] militum de x[7] militibus quos debet Regi.

Fo. 103.

CARTA GILBERTI DE PINKEYNI.[8]

Domino suo Regi Gilbertus de Pinkeni[9] hæc notificat. Certum est me ix[10] milites et dimidium habere feffatos[11] ex antiquo feffamento[12] tempore Regis Henrici, hos scilicet :—

Willelmus filium Ricardi, qui tenet feoda ij[6] militum et dimidii.

Henricus filius Roberti de Pinkeny,[13] v milites et dimidium.

Gilo de Pinkeny,[13] j militem et dimidium.

Robertus de Wanci, j militem.

Richerus Neirenuit, j militem.

Et postea dedit[14] Henrico, filio meo, feodum j militis de dominio.

---

[1] *Raveningus*, L. N.
[2] *Mussindone*, L. N.
[3] *Pigac*[*ius*], L. N.
[4] *Grai*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[6] *duorum*, L. N.
[7] *decem*, L. N.
[8] *Gileberti de Pinkenni*, L. N.
[9] *Gilebertus de Pinkeni*, L. N.
[10] Rectius *xj*, L. N.
[11] *fefatos*, L. N.
[12] *fefamento*, L. N.
[13] *Pinkeni*, L. N.
[14] *dedi*, L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et Gilberto,[1] filio meo, dimidium militem.

Restat adhuc super dominium meum servitium ij [2] militum.

CARTA RICARDI FILII NIGELLI.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, et Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, domino suo dilecto, Ricardus filius Nigelli salutem et fidelia servitia.

Sciatis quod ego teneo de vobis in capite feoda j militis et dimidii de veteri feffamento,[3] scilicet, de die et anno quo Rex Henricus avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus.

Et Walterus, frater meus, tenet medietatem de me, scilicet, iij partes feodi j militis; et ego teneo in dominio aliam medietatem.

CARTA GILBERTI DE BOLEBEC.[4]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, carissimo domino suo, Gilbertus [5] de Bolebec salutem et fidele servitium.

Notum sit vobis quod ego teneo de vobis in capite feodum j militis quod Herbertus,[6] pater meus, tenuit tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri, scilicet, die et anno quo ipse fuit vivus et mortuus, et est de veteri feffamento.[3] Valete.

Radulfus [7] Comes Albemarlæ tenet Lintone Wanatinge,[8] Nortone, per iij milites de dono Regis Ricardi.[9]

Hugo de Bello Campo tenet de honore de Etone.

---

[1] *Gileberto*, L. N.
[2] *duorum*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *Gileberti de Bolebech*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[6] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[7] *Sic* in MS.; *Baldewinus*, L. N.
[8] *Wanetinge*, L. N.
[9] This and the two following entries are in the same hand as the Henry II. Cartæ in the MS., but in a later hand in L. N.

BOKINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

Alanus Basset tenet xx libratas terræ in Wicumbe per servitium j[1] militis. A.D. 1166.

BEDEFORDSCIRA.

CARTA SIMONIS DE BELLO CAMPO.[2]

Baronia Simonis de Bello Campo:—

Robertus de Bray[3] et Willelmus filius Nigelli, ij milites et quintam partem j[4] militis.

Herebertus de Carletone, x^am^[5] partem j militis.

Willelmus Salvagius, j militem.

Rogerus de Scrupeny,[6] ij milites.

Willelmus filius Gervasii, dimidium militem.

Walterus Ruffus[7] et Robertus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.

Radulfus Wigain[8] et Libor et Radulfus filius Ascel[ini], dimidium militem.

Robertus filius Galfridi, Willelmus de Ferran,[9] Radulfus Mansel, dimidium militem.

Radulfus Picot, v^am^[10] partem militis.

Jordanus de Heverishou,[11] j militem et dimidium.

Willelmus Malherbe, et Willelmus Passelewe, et Thomas de Brunham[12] et Avenel, ij milites.

Simon Perce Soil[13] et Simon de Leia, dimidium militem.

Willelmus Brito, dimidium militem.

Hugo de Coldintone,[14] dimidium militem.

Walterus Assner[15] et Robertus filius Adam, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *unius*, L. N.
[2] L. N. adds *de feodis suis*.
[3] *Broi*, L. N.
[4] L. N. omits *j*.
[5] *x*, L. N.
[6] *Srepenni*, L. N.
[7] *Rufus*, L. N.
[8] *Wigaine*, L. N.
[9] *Ferfou*, L. N.
[10] *quintam*, L. N.
[11] *Hevereshou*, L. N.
[12] *Bruham*, L. N.
[13] *Percesuil*, L. N.
[14] *Goldintone*, L. N.
[15] *Asner*, L. N.

BEDEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus Wigain, et David Malherbe, et Ricardus de Haia, dimidium militem.

Fo. 103 d. Nigellus Malherbe, et Simon Basset, et Radulfus de Havereshaga,[1] et Simon Druel, j militem et vicesimam partem militis.

Nigellus de Saleford, j militem.

Ricardus Monachus[2] et Robertus Foliot, dimidium militem.

Hugo de Bello Campo, j militem.

Hugo de Beuforde,[3] j militem.

Nicholaus de Caishou,[4] j militem.

Ricardus Engaine, j militem.

Willelmus de Orenges et Stephanus de Turwe,[5] j militem.

Rogerus de Saleford et Robertus de Cotes, ij milites.

Rogerus Loerenc, iij milites et v^am^[6] partem militis.

Ricardus Gubiun, et Henricus de Poterna, et Prestelleia,[7] ij milites et dimidium.

Warnerius, et Radulfus de Stottone, Robertus Parvus, et Walterus Clericus, et Henricus Blancfrunt, et Jordanus filius Fulcheri, dimidium militem.

Walterus Blancfrunt, et Nicholaus[8] Sacerdos, et Willelmus Clericus, et Hugo Picot, et Fertin,[9] et Rogerus Mice, dimidium militem.

Willelmus Brien, j militem et dimidium et v^tam^ partem j militis.

Hugo de Welbue,[10] j militem.

Hugo de Breteville,[11] et Radulfus filius Bernardi, j militem.

---

[1] *Hevereshaga*, L. N.
[2] *Monacus*, L. N.
[3] *Beauforde*, L. N.
[4] *Nicolaus de Caieshou*, L. N.
[5] *Turwéé*, L. N.
[6] *v*, L. N.
[7] *Presteleia*, L. N.
[8] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[9] *Fortin*, L. N.
[10] *Wellebue*, L. N.
[11] *Breteville*, L. N.

BEDEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Thomas de Stactone et Radulfus filius Radulfi, A.D. 1166.
v^tam[1] partem militis.

Willelmus Hubalt[2] et Ricardus de Estwico,[3] dimidium militem.

Yvo,[4] et Paganus filius Osmundi, et Hugo de Yspania,[5] et Matildis de Broma, et Willelmus de Goldstane,[6] et Thomas, dimidium militem.

Paganus, x^am[7] partem militis.

Willelmus filius Pagani, xx^am[8] partem militis.

Ricardus filius Normanni et Willelmus de Heversham,[9] x^mam partem j militis.

Robertus Malherbe, et Paganus filius Bricii, et Thomas de Goldintone, ij milites et dimidium et decimam partem militis.

Ernulfus, et Ketelbern,[10] et Reginaldus Clericus, et Ernulfus de Bruham, x^am[11] partem militis et decimum denarium[12] quando Rex accipit j marcam de milite.

Omnes isti sunt de veteri feffamento.[13]

Summa est xxxvj milites et dimidium militis et x^a[14] pars militis, et x^us[15] denarius quando Rex accipit marcam de milite.

Isti sunt de novo feffamento[16] :—

Hugo de Bello Campo, j militem.

Hugo de Candos, j militem.

Galfridus filius Radulfi, j militem.

Petrus de Goldintone, j militem.

---

[1] *v*, L. N.
[2] *Hubolt*, L. N.
[3] *Estwic*, L. N.
[4] *Ivo*, L. N.
[5] *Hispania*, L. N.
[6] *Goldstan*, L. N.
[7] *x*, L. N.
[8] *xx*, L. N.
[9] *Heveresho*, L. N.
[10] On an erasure in the MS.
[11] *decimam*, L. N.
[12] *x denarios*, L. N.
[13] *fefamento*, L. N.
[14] *decima*, L. N.
[15] *decem denarios*, L. N.
[16] *feodamento*, L. N.

BEDEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Galfridus Loerengus, et Reinbergus, et Serlo Coffinus,[1] j militem.

Johannes Loereng,[2] j militem.

Willelmus de Sancto Edwardo et Johannes Butiler,[3] j militem.

Willelmus filius Walteri, et Willelmus Gernet, et Radulfus Folenfont,[4] et Osbertus[5] Cantor, et Radulfus Engaine, et Willelmus Toald,[6] et Willelmus de Heverho, et Avenel, et Ricardus Monachus,[7] j militem et xij^{um} denarium[8] quando Rex accipit marcam de milite.

Summa est viij[9] milites et xij^{us} denarius[8] quando Rex accipit marcam de milite.

Summa duarum summarum xliiij milites et dimidium [militis] et quinta pars militis, et vj^{us} denarius[10] quando Rex accipit marcam de milite.

Et remanent super sua dominia et maritagia ix milites et v^{ta}[11] pars militis et x^{us} denarius[12] quando Rex accipit marcam de milite.

CARTA WALTERI DE WAHULLE.

Domino suo dilectissimo Regi Anglorum, suus Walterus de Wahulle salutem et fidele servitium.

Mando vobis quod pater meus et ego habuimus anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus xxvij milites feodatos de antiquo feffamento[13] et iij faciebam de meo dominio. Et de istis militibus feffatis[14]:—

Hugo de Lega tenet feoda x militum.

Simon filius Petri, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Cophin*, L. N.
[2] *Loarengus*, L. N.
[3] *Butelier*, L. N.
[4] *Folenfant*, L. N.
[5] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[6] *Tuald*, L. N.
[7] *Monacus*, L. N.
[8] *xij denarios*, L. N.
[9] *viij^{to}*, L. N.
[10] *vj denarios*, L. N.
[11] *quinta*, L. N.
[12] *x denarios*, L. N.
[13] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[14] *fefatis*, L. N.

BEDEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Simon Mala Opera, juvenis, ij milites. A.D. 1166.
Simon Mala Opera, senex, ij milites.
Robertus Buteville,[1] ij milites
Rogerus Foliot, iij milites.
Ricardus Gubio, j militem.
Willelmus de Pluntone,[2] dimidium militem.
Stephanus de Weltone, iij milites.
Turgius clericus de Patesulle,[3] v^tam^ [4] partem militis.
Ernulfus de Pateshulle, v^tam^ partem militis.[5]
Baldewinus filius Ricardi, iiij^tam^ [6] partem militis.
Willelmus Rispald, x^am^ [7] partem militis.
Robertus Cognatus, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Caltone, dimidium militem.
Alanus Caperun, iiij^am^ [6] partem militis.
Radulfus Fretecoke,[8] et frater ejus, iij^am^ [9] partem militis.
Willelmus de Patemera, iiij^am^ [6] partem militis.
Hugo filius Reginaldi, j militem.

Et de meo [10] dominio, ad perficiendum xxx milites quos ego vobis debeo, vobis debeo servitium iij [11] militum. Et de hoc dominio feffavi [12] ego post [mortem] Henrici Regis, j militem et dimidium, scilicet: Willelmum de Barintin,[13] et Willelmum filium Baldewini, et Willelmum de Peri, et Radulfum filium Rogeri, de dimidio milite; et Stephanum des Eschales,[14] de feodo j militis.

---

[1] *Butevile*, L. N.
[2] *Plintone*, L. N.
[3] *Turgisus clericus de Pateshulle*, L. N.
[4] *quintam*, L. N.
[5] *quintam partem*, L. N., omitting *militis*.
[6] *quartam*, L. N.
[7] *decimam*, L. N.
[8] *Fretecoc*, L. N.
[9] *tertiam*, L. N.
[10] *novo* in MS.
[11] *trium*, L. N.
[12] *fefavi*, L. N.
[13] *Barentin*, L. N.
[14] On an erasure in the MS.

BEDEFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Fo. 104.

CARTA ROBERTI DE ALBEYNI.[1]

De baronia Roberti de Aubeyni[1]:—

Radulfus Pirot, v milites.

Nigellus de Merstone, iij milites.

Almary[2] filius Radulfi, ij milites.

Johannes Pirot, j militem.

Ricardus filius Radulfi, et Ranulfus de Charun,[3] et Osebertus de Clintone, j militem.

Robertus Malherbe, et Radulfus Buinun, et Rogerus de Bray,[4] j militem.

Hii sunt antiquitus feffati[5] de honore Roberti de Aubeny.[1] Summa—xiij milites.

Et super dominium xij milites.

Summa—xxv milites.

WARWICSCIRA.[6]

CARTA[7] PRIORIS DE COVINTRIA.[8]

Prior de Covintria[8] habet de veteri feffamento[9] fefatos vij milites et tertiam partem militis[10] et duas quintas partes militis et x^mam^ partem. Et super dominium ejus quantum sufficit ad perficiendum x milites.

CARTA WILLELMI COMITIS DE WARWICO.[11]

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Willelmus Comes Warwici[11] salutem.

---

[1] *Albenni*, L. N.
[2] *Amalri*, L. N.
[3] *Carun*, L. N.
[4] *Brai*, L. N.
[5] *feofati*, L. N.
[6] *Warewicscira*, L. N.
[7] *Feoda*, L. N.
[8] *Covintrie*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *j militis*, L. N.
[11] *Warewic*, L. N.

WARWICSCIRA—*cont.*

Hoc est quod inquisivi per homines de vetero feffamento meo [1]:— A.D. 1166.

Torstanus de Monteforde,[2] feoda x militum et iiij^{tam} [3] partem j militis.

Petrus de Stodleia, x militum.

Hugo filius Ricardi, x militum. Et ex hiis teneo in manu mea feoda ij militum, pro Badesleia et Derteulla.[4]

Galfridus de Clintone, xvij milites.

Henricus de Ardene, v milites. Sed monachi de Pipewelle [5] tenent de hiis feodum dimidii militis, et monachi de Cumba iiij^{am} [3] partem j [6] militis quiete.

Hugo de Ardene, v milites et tertiam partem militis. Sed Comes Leycestriæ [7] tenet de hiis feoda duorum militum in escambio de Bungeia.

Yvo de Haruecurt,[8] vij milites. Sed pater meus Rogerus invadiavit Comiti Leycestriæ [7] de hiis iij et dimidium; et iij et dimidium in escambio. Et hoc est escambium:—

Pilardintone, pro j milite; et tenet eam Robertus filius Willelmi.

Westone, pro j milite; et tenet Robertus de Harecurt.

Bisseleia,[9] pro j milite; et tenet Osbertus Trussel.[10]

Ludintone, pro dimidio milite; et tenet Robertus de Vallo.

Galfridus de Tureville, j militem.

---

[1] *per homines meos de antiquo fefamento meo*, L. N.

[2] *Turstanus de Monteford*, L. N.

[3] *quartam*, L. N.

[4] *Sic* in MSS. *Pro Badesleia et Dertevilla* begin a new paragraph in L. N.

[5] *Pippewelle*, L. N.

[6] *unius*, L. N.

[7] *Leircestriæ*, L. N.

[8] *Ivo de Harewecurt*, L. N.

[9] *Biseleia*, L. N.

[10] *Osebertus Trussel*, L. N.

WARWICSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Normannus de Bello Monte, viij milites.
Lucas, j militem.
Robertus de Franketone, j militem.
Nicholaus [1] filius Turaldi, j militem.
Hugo Habbadene,[2] iij milites.
Willelmus de Lodbroc, j militem.
Philippus de Estlega, iij milites.
Walterus de Vernun, iij milites.
Robertus de Alvers, iij milites.
Robertus filius Odonis, j militem.
Hamo filius Meinfelin,[3] j militem.
Fo. 104 d. Willelmus Giffardus,[4] ij milites.
Robertus Marmiun, j militem.
Nigellus de Mondeville,[5] j militem.
Henricus de Goher, dimidium militem.
Henricus de Novo Burgo, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Turbeville,[6] j militem.
Terricus Hareng,[7] j militem.
Willelmus filius Henrici, iiij[am] [8] partem militis.[9]
Waltona solebat facere servitium j militis, et est modo in dominio et tenet G[undreda] Comitissa, mater mea, in dote.

De novo feffamento [10] de dominio meo:—
R[icardus] Murdac, j militem.
Galfridus de Wintertone, dimidium militem.
Gilbertus [11] de Segrave, iiij[am] [8] partem j militis.
Robertus filius Harding,[12] iiij[am] [8] partem j militis.

Swaleewefeld [13] et Silengesfeld,[14] quæ deberent esse de dominio meo, Thomas de Sancto Johanne et mater

---

[1] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[2] *Habadun*, L. N.
[3] *Meinfelini*, L. N.
[4] *Giffard*, L. N.
[5] *Mundeville*, L. N.
[6] *Turbelville*, L. N.
[7] *Harang*, L. N.
[8] *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *j militis*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[12] *Hardingi*, L. N.
[13] *Swalefeld*, L. N.
[14] *Sillingefeld*, L. N.

WARWICSCIRA—*cont.*

ejus deforciant[1] mihi, quod non possum habere servitium nec aliud. A.D. 1166.

CARTA ROBERTI MARMIUN.

Hoc est vetus feffamentum[2] Roberti Marmiun de anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus.

Picot de Lacelis[3] tenet feodum j militis.
Johannes de Maringis, feodum j militis.
Willelmus Bigod, feodum j militis.
Reginaldus filius Ursi, feodum j militis.
Willelmus de Hasting,[4] feodum j militis.
Lucas de Mars,[5] feodum j militis.
Rogerus de Grendone, feodum j militis.
Jordanus de Cailli,[6] feodum j militis.
Alanus de Faleisa, feodum j militis.
Galfridus filius Aligant, feodum j militis.
Elias de Meisi, feodum dimidii militis.
Simon de Witacre,[7] feodum dimidii militis.
Henricus de Bolebec, quartam partem j militis.
Radulfus de Kella, quintam partem j militis.

Summa—xj milites et iiij^ta[8] pars j militis et v^ta[9] pars j militis de veteri feffamento.[10]

Post mortem Regis Henrici, de novo feffamento:—

Galfridus Marmiun, feodum j militis.
Manasser Anguillun,[11] feodum j militis.
Willelmus filius Radulfi, feodum j militis.
Robertus de Creft, feodum j militis.
Walterus de Quilli, feodum dimidii militis.
Thomas filius Turstani, feodum dimidii militis.
Robertus filius Walteri, quartam partem j militis.

Summa novi feffamenti,[12] v milites et iiij^ta[8] pars j militis.

---

[1] *difforciant*, L. N.
[2] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[3] *Laceles*, L. N.
[4] *Hastinges*, L. N.
[5] *Mares*, L. N.
[6] *Caili*, L. N.
[7] *Hitacre*, L. N.
[8] *quarta*, L. N.
[9] *quinta*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Aguillun*, L. N.
[12] *feofamenti*, L. N.

A.D. 1166.

LEYCESTRESCIRA.[1]

Carta Willelmi de Albeyni Britonis.[2]

Hæc est baronia Willelmi de Albenny[3] Britonis, quam pater suus tenuit et ipse post eum. Et isti sunt milites sui feffati[4] de veteri feffamento[5]:—

Robertus de Albenny,[6] frater suus, tenet xv milites.

Robertus Poer,[7] v milites et dimidium.

Simon de Roppelei,[8] iij milites.

Simon de Bosco Rahara, iij milites.

Radulfus Claindeduit,[9] j militem.

Willelmus de Vaus, j militem.

Radulfus de Hotot, dimidium militem.

Radulfus de Bramtone, j militem.

Ailardus[10] de Sain Cler, ij milites.

Robertus de Chaurcis, j militem; unde mihi deforciat[11] servitium.

Et de novo feffamento[5] quod ipse fecit de suo dominio:—

Ywanus de Albenny,[12] j militem.

Galfridus de Chavenny,[13] j militem.

Robertus Brito, j militem.

Fo. 105. Radulfus Brito, j militem.

Elias de Albenni, j militem.

Hugo de Sancto Vedasto, dimidium militem; unde dominus Rex fecit placitum suum, et unde ipse mihi non facit servitium aliquod.

---

[1] *Leircestrescira*, L. N.

[2] *Carta Willelmi de Albenni*, L. N.

[3] *Albenni*, L. N.

[4] *feofati*, L. N.

[5] *fefamento*, L. N.

[6] *Radulfus de Albenni*, L. N., but see Dugdale I., 112.

[7] *Poher*, L. N.

[8] *Roppeslai*, L. N.

[9] *Chaindeduit*, L. N.

[10] *Aelardus*, L. N.

[11] *difforciat*, L. N.

[12] *Iwanus de Albenni*, L. N.

[13] *Chavenni*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA.

CARTA[1] ABBATIS DE BURGO. A.D. 1166.

Abbas de Burgo habet de veteri feffamento[2] lxiij milites et dimidium et tertiam partem j[3] militis. Et nullum militem[4] de novo; nec invenitur in carta ejus quod aliquid sit super dominium ejus.

Comes David tenet Nesintone[5] et Jarewelle.

CARTA GALFRIDI RIDEL.

Dilectissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Galfridus Ridel salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis quod Ricardus Basset, pater meus, tenuit anno et die quo Rex Henricus avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus ix^{xx} carucatas[6] terræ et iiij, et j virgatam, pro feodo xv militum. Sed nullus militum de veteri illo feffamento[2] feffatus[7] fuit nominatim pro feodo militis. Sed unaquæque carucata terræ ad faciendum milites xv par est alii ad omnia servitia facienda, et in exercitubus,[8] et in custodiis et ubique. Et isti sunt qui de veteri illo feffamento[2] tenent:—

Ricardus de Engaine[9] tenet xij carucatas terræ et dimidiam.

Ricardus filius Vicecomitis, x carucatas et dimidiam.

Hugo de Auvers, xiij carucatas et dimidiam.

Acardus Monachus,[10] iij carucatas et dimidiam.

Canonici de Landa, iij carucatas in Grimestone.[11]

---

[1] *Feoda*, L. N.

[2] *fefamento*, L. N.

[3] *unius*, L. N.

[4] L. N. omits *militem*.

[5] *Nessintone*, L. N., where this entry is a later addition.

[6] *carrucata* and cases passim in L. N.

[7] *feofatus*, L. N.

[8] *exercitibus*, L. N.

[9] *de Engaine* in L. N. with the *de* subpunctuated for deletion.

[10] *Achardus Monacus*, L. N.

[11] *In Grimmestone*, L. N.; being there referred to the following sentence.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus filius Herberti,[1] iij carucatas [2] et j virgatam in Torp.[3]

Ricardus de Flekenai,[4] ij carucatas et j virgatam in eadem villa.

Aldenius de Flekenai,[5] ij carucatas in eadem villa.

Ricardus Venator, j carucatam in eadem villa.

Rogerus de Grendone, j carucatam et dimidiam in Fleckenai.[6]

Ricardus Camerarius, vj carucatas et dimidiam in Keresby [7] et in pertinentiis.

Willelmus Burdut,[8] iiij carucatas in Radesclive.[9]

Uxor Radulfi Bassat,[10] viij carucatas de dote sua in Rakendale et in Wileis.[11]

Radulfus filius Radulfi Baiset,[10] vij carucatas et dimidiam in Dicutone.[12]

Willelmus de Pelling,[13] iij carucatas in eadem villa.

Simon de Factone,[14] j carucatam in alia Pelling.

Willelmus filius Sansonis, j carucatam in Baresworthe.[15]

Robertus Revel, viij carucatas in Swinesforde [16] et in Walecote.[17]

Herbertus filius Yvonis,[18] j carucatam in Chimbworthe.[19]

Lucas de Quatremars, xij carucatas in Overtone et in Godesby.[20]

---

[1] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[2] *carrucata* and cases passim in L. N.
[3] *Thorp*, L. N., where it is similarly referred to the following sentence.
[4] *Fleckenai*, L. N.
[5] *Aldeinus de Flechenai*, L. N.
[6] *Flecchenai*, L. N.
[7] *Reresbi*, L. N.
[8] *Burdet*, L. N.
[9] *Radeclive*, L. N.
[10] *Basset*, L. N.
[11] *Wiléés*, L. N.
[12] *Duntone*, L. N.
[13] *Pellinges*, L. N.
[14] *Foctone*, L. N.
[15] *Bareswrthe*, L. N.
[16] *Swineford*, L. N.
[17] *Wallecote*, L. N.
[18] *Herebertus filius Ivonis*, L. N.
[19] *Chibwrthe*, L. N.
[20] *Godsebi*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Canonici de la Landa[1] tenent in elemosinam in Lodintone x carucatas,[2] et in Daubz[3] unam carucatam et iij bovatas. A.D. 1166.

De novo feffamento[4]:—

Johannes de Stuteville tenet de dominio meo xij carucatas terræ in Cheteleby[5] et in Hollewelle[6] et in Herdeby,[7] et in Hevintone j carucatam.

Hugo Ridel, ix carucatas et j virgatam, pro servitio j militis, in Welesham et in Suttone et in Dingele.

Reginaldus filius Ursi, v carucatas in Holt et in Berlestone.

Wido de Heisele,[8] j carucatam in Heisele.[8]

Canonici de Landa, in elemosina, tenent in Westone j carucatam et in Freseby[9] viij carucatas, faciendo servitium forinsecum.

Fo. 105 d. Mascy,[10] j carucatam in Weledone.

In dominio meo remanent mihi xxiiij carucatas in Westone et in Weletone.[11] Et præter hoc Radulfus filius Radulfi Basset tenet de me Colestonam quæ est de honore de Warengeforde,[12] pro servitio j militis, [feodum] quod Radulfus Basset, avus meus, tenuit.

Honor Walingeford.

CARTA[13] ROBERTI FOLIOT.

De baronia Roberti Foliot de veteri feffamento[4]:—

Michael Belet, iiij milites.

Thomas de Audely,[14] iiij milites.

Abbas de Westmonasterio, j militem.

Ernulfus de Bosco, j militem.

---

[1] *Lande*, L. N.
[2] *carrucata* and cases passim in L. N.
[3] *Daubus*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Chetlebi*, L. N.
[6] *Holewelle*, L. N.
[7] *Herdebi*, L. N.
[8] *Eisele*, L. N.
[9] *Fresebi*, L. N.
[10] *Masci*, L. N.
[11] *Welletone*, L. N.
[12] *Warengeford*. L. N.
[13] *Carta Baroniæ*, L. N.
[14] *Andeli*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Johannes de Argentein,[1] j militem.

Henricus de Aldewincle, dimidium militem.[2]

Willelmus de Widwille, dimidium militem.

Willelmus Fronceis,[3] dimidium militem.[2]

Philippus de Insula tenet iiij[am] [4] partem militis.

Ipse Robertus Foliot tenet in dominio j [5] militem de veteri feffamento.[6]

Et de novo feffamento [6] post mortem Henrici Regis :—

Adam de Berneville [7] tenet iiij[tam] [8] partem militis.

Rolandus de Dinant tenet feodum j militis et dimidii in voluntate domini Regis.

Rogerus de Munbray,[9] j militem et dimidium, unde detinet michi servitium.

Post conquestum Angliæ tenuerunt antecessores ipsius Roberti Foliot per servitium xv militum et ipse post illos.

CARTA JOHANNIS DE BIDUN.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Johannes de Bidun salutem.

Sciatis quod Radulfus de Nuers [10] tenet de me feodum dimidii militis.

Robertus de Curifale,[11] feodum dimidii militis.

Rolandus de Singdone,[12] feodum dimidii militis.

Ricardus de Neutone [13] et quidam alius Ricardus de eadem villa tenent feodum j militis.

---

[1] *Argentune*, L. N.
[2] *militem* omitted in L. N.
[3] *Le Franceis*, L. N.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *unum*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Bernovilla*, L. N.
[8] *iiij*, L. N.
[9] *Moubrai*, altered to *Munbrai* in L. N.
[10] *Nueriis*, L. N.
[11] *Curtfalo*, L. N.
[12] *Sinigdone*, L. N.
[13] *Niewetone*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Galfridus de Dona, iiij[tam] [1] partem militis.[2] A.D. 1166.

Rogerus filius Ranulfi, iiij[tam] [1] partem militis.[2]

Hii milites tenent de me feffati [3] de tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri.

Et dominium meum se defendit per servitium duorum militum et dimidii.

Summa est—v milites et dimidium [militis].

[CARTA F[ULCONIS] DE LISURIS.] [4]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, domino suo, R. de Lisuris [5] salutem et fidele obsequium.

Sciatis quod non habeo aliquem militem in feodo feodatum nec de veteri feodatione nec de nova, nec [6] de feodo quod teneo de vobis principaliter, præter unum qui fuit feodatus de dominio meo, [feodum] quod Ricardus Engaine, avus meus, dedit ultimæ uxori suæ in dotem, scilicet, uxori Ricardi filii Ursi, et illam terram tenent adhuc duæ amitæ meæ quæ servitium illud prædicti militis mihi faciunt. Valete.

Et ego ipse custodio ferestagium [7] Regis de feodo meo; et debeo ire cum corpore Regis in servitio suo paratus equis et armis, cornu meo in collo meo pendente.

CARTA RICARDI FILII ROBERTI.

Dilecto domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Ricardus filius Roberti salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis me tenere de antiquo feffamento [8] de vobis feodum iij [9] militum, cujus, scilicet, feodi ego teneo in dominio meo ij [10] partes j militis.

---

[1] *quartam*, L. N.

[2] *j militis*, L. N.

[3] *feofati*, L. N.

[4] This rubric is omitted in the MS.; it is supplied here from L. N.

[5] *Sic* in MS., but this is an evident misreading by the copyist. See, however, Dugdale I. 597.

[6] *nec* omitted in L. N.

[7] *forestagium*, L. N.

[8] *fefamento*, L. N.

[9] *trium*, L. N.

[10] *duas*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Osmundus de Carletone et Willelmus filius Alvredi, j militem feofatum et tertiam partem militis alius.[1]

Edardus de Exendone, unum militem feffatum.[2]

Valete.

CARTA ROBERTI DE CHOKES.

De baronia Roberti de Chokes.

Simon[3] filius Petri, dimidium militem.

Saerius de Quincy,[4] j[5] militem et dimidium.

Stephanus de Turs, j militem et dimidium.

Gilbertus[6] de Prestone, ij milites et dimidium.

Ricardus de Peco, j militem.

Rogerus de Novo Burgo, ij milites.

Robertus filius Sewini, j militem.

Gerard[7] Disel, j militem.

Henricus de Pinkeni, j militem et dimidium.

Reginaldus de Museleia,[8] dimidium militem.

Uxor Walteri Disel, feodum j militis in dotem, quod Hugo de Craneslai tenet.

Et ipse[9] Robertus de Chokes facit servitium j militis de suo dominio.

Hanc baroniam tenuit Ansellus, pater prædicti Roberti, anno et die quo Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus, et inde faciebat servitium xv militum.

Fo. 106. CARTA REGINALDI FILII URSI.

Reginaldus filius Ursy[10] habet istos milites feffatos[11] de tempore Henrici Regis avi domini Regis, scilicet:—

Walterus de Haudelfeld,[12] j militem.

Galfridus Martel, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *alius militis*, L. N.

[2] *fefatum*, L. N.

[3] *tenet Simon*, L. N., where there is not any break.

[4] *Saierus de Quenci*, L. N.

[5] *unum*, L. N., on an erasure.

[6] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

[7] *Gerardus*, L. N.

[8] *Muselea*, L. N.

[9] *ipsemet*, L. N., where there is no break in the entry.

[10] *Ursi*, L. N.

[11] *fefatos*, L. N.

[12] *Chaudefeld*, L. N.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

Philippus Corbin, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.

Willelmus de Reiny, j[1] militem feffatum[2] de dominio Ricardi patris Reginaldi.

Willelmus Belet tenet vj[tam][3] partem j militis[4] similiter feffati[5] tunc de dominio.[6]

CARTA ROBERTI FILII ALBRICI CAMERARII.[7]

Domino suo carissimo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Robertus filius Albrici Camerarii, salutem.

Sciatis, domine, quod ego teneo de vobis feodum dimidii militis. Valete.

CARTA OSBERTI FILII HUGONIS.[8]

Carta Osberti[9] filii Hugonis est[10] in defectu, quia in ejus carta quam miserat domino Regi non erat nomen præscriptum quam secum tulit Willelmus de Bello Campo ut cum nomine scripto reportetur.

CARTA BALDEWINI BUELOT.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, carissimo domino suo, Baldewinus Buelot quicquid convenientius domino subjectus mandare potest.

Noverit universitas vestra quod de veteri feffamento[11] honoris de Wardona:—

Ricardus de Steppinleia[12] habet feodum j militis.

Johannes de Bel,[13] feodum j militis et iij[14] partes.

Jordanus de[15] Calvesterne habet feodum j militis.

Jordanus Lenveise, feodum dimidii militis.

---

[1] *Reini unum*, L. N.
[2] *fefatum*, L. N.
[3] *sextam*, L. N.
[4] *unius* [*militis*], L. N.
[5] *feofati*, L. N.
[6] *dominico*, L. N.
[7] L. N. omits *Camerarii*.
[8] L. N. omits this rubric. This return should have been made under Herefordshire, where a later interpolation will be found intended to supply the deficiency.
[9] *Oseberti*, L. N.
[10] *est hic*, L. N.
[11] *feofamento*, L. N.
[12] *Steppingeleia*, L. N.
[13] *Le Bel*, L. N.
[14] *tres*, L. N.
[15] L. N. omits *de*.

NORHAMTESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et super dominium meum, iij [1] partes j [2] militis.

De novo autem feffamento [3] nullum militem feffatum [4] habeo.[5]

Comes de Ferariis [6] tenet Hecham cum j [7] hundredo et dimidio cum pertinentiis,[8] et Neobotum,[9] et Bliseworthe,[10] per servitium j [2] militis.

Walterus de Prestone tenet Grettone per j militem.[11]

ROTELANDE.[12]

Hocham, Walkelinus [13] de Ferrariis defendit per j [14] militem et dimidium.

DERBYSCIRA.[15]

CARTA WILLELMI COMITIS DE FERARIIS.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, domino suo carissimo, Willelmus Comes de Ferariis [16] salutem.

Mando vobis quod tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri :—

Henricus filius Sawaldi tenuit feoda v militum ; Fulcherus, frater ejus,[17] feoda iiij^{or} [18] militum ; et modo Sewaldus [19] hæres utrorumque tenet eosdem ix milites.

Willelmus filius Nigelli, feoda iiij militum ; et Robertus, filius suus, modo tenet eosdem milites.

---

[1] *tres*, L. N.
[2] *unius*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *fefatum*, L. N.
[5] Here follows an erasure of one line in both MSS.
[6] *Ferrariis*, L. N., where this entry is in a later hand.
[7] *uno*, L. N.
[8] *parco*, L. N., but the reading is not clear.
[9] *Neobote*, L. N.
[10] *Blisewrthe*, L. N.
[11] An insertion in a slightly later hand; omitted in L. N.
[12] Not rubricated in L. N., and in a later hand.
[13] *Walkelini*, L. N.
[14] *unum*, L. N.
[15] *Derebiscira*, L. N.
[16] *Fereriis*, L. N.
[17] *suus*, L. N.
[18] *iiij*, L. N.
[19] *Sawaldus*, L. N.

DERBYSCIRA—*cont.*

Ricardus de Curcun ten[uit][1] feoda iiij militum; A.D. 1166.
Robertus, filius ejus, modo tenet eosdem.

Walterus de Monte Gumeri, feoda iiij militum.

Robertus de Bakepuz, feoda iij militum.

Henricus de Boskerville,[2] feoda iij militum, quos modo Johannes, filius ejus, tenet.

Robertus filius Walkelini, feoda ij[3] militum; modo tenet Robertus, filius ejus.

Robertus de Dum,[4] feoda ij[3] militum; modo tenet Jacobus, filius ejus.

Radulfus Parvus, feoda ij[3] militum; modo tenet Reginaldus de Griseleia.[5]

Robertus de Liveto, feoda ij[3] militum; modo tenet Willelmus Pantulfus[6] per servitium j militis.

Henricus de Cambreis, feodum[7] j militis.

Willelmus de Seyle,[8] feoda ij militum; modo tenet Radulfus, filius ejus.[9]

Galfridus Camerarius, feoda ij[3] militum; quorum unum tenet Robertus filius Radulfi, et Petrus de Goldintone alterum.

Yvo de Harecurt et Willelmus filius Walkelini,[10] feoda iij[11] militum; et modo tenet hæres Galfridi Marmionis.

Ricardus de Fifhide, feoda ij[3] militum.

Willelmus de Boskerville, feoda iij militum; quorum unum tenet Radulfus, filius suus, et alterum Odo filius Johannis, et pater meus dedit tertium Hospitali.

Galfridus de Tiretey,[12] feodum j militis, quod modo tenent Albi Monachi ex donatione Mauricii, filii sui.

---

[1] *tenet*, L. N.
[2] *Boscherville*, L. N.
[3] *duorum*, L. N.
[4] *Dun*, L. N.
[5] *Greseleia*, L. N.
[6] *Pantul*, L. N.
[7] *feodum* is repeated in L. N.
[8] *Seile*, L. N.
[9] *suus*, L. N.
[10] *Walkelin*, L. N.
[11] *trium*, L. N.
[12] *Tiretei*, L. N.

DERBYSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hubertus de Curceini, feoda iij[1] militum; quorum ij[2] tenet Stephanus, nepos ejus, et tertium datum est Templo.

Willelmus filius Otonis, feodum j militis, quod modo tenent Albi Monachi de Thama.[3]

Paganus de Niuetone,[4] feodum dimidii militis, et datum est Albis Monachis de Cumbremare.[5]

Robertus de Chartres, feodum j militis. Henricus de Cuningestone,[6] feodum j militis, quæ[7] duo tenet Willelmus de Hastinges.[8]

Johannes de Turbelvulle,[9] feodum j militis, et illud tenet modo Henricus Hossatus.[10]

Willelmus de Trusselay,[11] feodum j militis, quod tenet modo Robertus, filius ejus.

Fo. 106 d. Atrop Hastenge,[12] feodum j militis.

Hos milites feffavit[13] avus meus, quos modo nominabo :—

Nicholaus de Breileford,[14] feodum j militis, quod modo tenet Henricus, filius ejus.

Willelmus filius Herberti,[15] feodum j militis.

Willelmus de Sancto Quintino, feodum dimidii militis.

David de Stantone, feodum dimidii militis.

Ernaldus dil[16] Bec, feodum dimidii militis.

Adam filius Swannii,[17] feodum dimidii militis, quod modo tenet hæres ejus.

---

[1] *trium*, L. N.

[2] *duo*, L. N.

[3] *Mauricii filii sui, et Willelmus filius Otonis*, L. N., where *Hubert de Curcun's* fief immediately precedes that of *Galfridus de Tiretei* without a break.

[4] *Niwetone*, L. N.

[5] *Cumbremara*, L. N.

[6] *Cunegestone*, L. N.

[7] *qui* in the MS.

[8] *Willelmus de Hastinges* begins a new paragraph in MS.

[9] *Turbelville*, L. N.

[10] *Hosatus*, L. N.

[11] *Trusselai*, L. N.

[12] *Aitrop Hasteng*, L. N.

[13] *fefavit*, L. N.

[14] *scilicet, Nicolaus de Breilesford*, L. N.

[15] *Hereberti*, L. N.

[16] *del*, L. N.

[17] *Swanni*, L. N.

DERBYSCIRA—*cont.*

Walter dil[1] Bec, feodum j militis, quod modo tenet Willelmus de Cheisnei. A.D. 1166.

Hugo filius Ricardi, feodum j militis.

Rogerus de Graindone, feodum j militis.

Robertus de Albeneio, feodum j militis.

Radulfus filius Willelmi, feodum dimidii militis quod tenet Hunfridus[2] de Tolka.

De Stepla, feodum dimidii militis, quod Mauricius tenet.

Landricus, feodum j militis, quod tenet modo Jordanus, filius ejus.

Hos feffavit[3] dominus[4] meus de dominio suo.

Hos feffavit Comes, pater meus,[5] de dominio suo :—

Hu[n]fridus[2] de Tolka tenet feodum j militis.

Galfridus de Bruencurt tenet feodum j militis.

Galfridus Salvagius, feodum dimidii militis.

Robertus de Pir[ario], feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus Giffard, feodum dimidii militis.

Mauricius de Tiretey,[6] feodum dimidii militis.

Adam, Vicecomes Barocsiræ,[7] feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus de Tolka, iiij[tam][8] partem j militis.

Hugo Gobio, feodum iij[æ][9] partis militis.

Walterus de Sumerville, iiij[tam][8] partem militis.[10]

Willelmus de Ferrariis[11] tenet j manerium de dominio patris sui,[12] unde facit servitium iiij[13] militum.[14]

---

[1] *del*, L. N.
[2] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[3] *fefavit*, L. N.
[4] *Sic* in MS.; *avus*, L. N.
[5] *pater meus Robertus*, L. N.
[6] *Tiretei*, L. N.
[7] *Bercsiræ*, L. N.
[8] *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *tertiæ*, L. N.
[10] *j militis*, L. N.
[11] *Ferieres*, L. N.
[12] *mei*, L. N.
[13] *quatuor*, L. N.
[14] The order of the above entries in L. N. is as follows :—
Mauricius de Tiretei.
Hugo Gobio.
Walterus de Sumerville.
Adam Vicecomes.
Willelmus de Tolka.
Willelmus de Ferrariis.

DERBYSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hos ix milites et tertiam partem militis dedit pater meus de dominio suo.

Baggarugge est de meis lx[1] militibus; ego inde servitium vobis facio.[2]

Et Meinfeninius[3] tenet illam contra me tantum quantum vobis placuerit.

De Cruc sum disseisiatus sine judicio quæ[4] est feodum j militis.

Hæc est summa de veteribus et novis militibus—lxxix[5] milites et tertia pars militis.

NOTINGHAMSCIRA.

CARTA RADULFI ANSEL.[6]

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Radulfus Ansel[6] salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis quod[7] de veteri feffamento[8] meo, tempore Regis Henrici avi vestri, Willelmus Ansel[6] de Wagreby[9] tenet feoda duorum militum.

Walterus de Derintone, feoda ij[10] militum.

Radulfus de Mideltone,[11] feodum j militis.

Walterus de Diggeby[12] et Willelmus, duo pueri, feodum j militis.

Ricardus de Martinwast, et Willelmus de Sefriwast,[13] et Peverellus de Bello Campo tenent feoda iij[14] militum.

Radulfus filius Geremundi, feoda ij militum.

Robertus filius Thomæ, feodum dimidii militis.

---

[1] *sexaginta*, L. N.
[2] *facio vobis*, L. N.
[3] *Meinfeninus*, L. N.
[4] *qui*, L. N.
[5] *sexaginta et xix*, L. N.
[6] *Hansel*[*inus*], *Hansel*[*ini*], L. N.
[7] L. N. omits *quod*.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *Wagrebi*, L. N.
[10] *duorum*, L. N.
[11] *Middletone*, L. N.
[12] *Diggebi*, L. N.
[13] *Sefreiwast*, L. N.
[14] *trium*, L. N.

NOTINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

Robertus de Bernecot et Robertus filius Galfridi, A.D. 1166. feodum dimidii militis.

Henricus et Rogerus de Westburgo, feodum v^as[1] partis militis.

Rogerus Buffard, xij[2] partem j militis.

De emptione Episcopi Roberti Bloet, unde mater mea maritata fuit, [feodum] quod de vobis in capite tenui, istud deforciat[3] mihi Episcopus Lincolniensis. Hanc eandem terram tenet Radulfus de Crumwelle filius Hugonis de Crumwelle.

Willelmus[4] filius Hugonis deforciat[3] c solidatas terræ quas dedi filio meo de dominio meo.

Tantum est de veteri feffamento[5] de tempore Regis Henrici.

Istud[6] est de novo:—

Alexander de Creissi[7] tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Radulfus filius Galfridi, feodum dimidii militis.

Germanus filius Simonis, et Willelmus Burdet, et Willelmus de Lincolnia, et Galfridus filius Rogeri, feodum dimidii militis.

Radulfus filius Rogeri de Bilestone, et Galfridus filius Gilberti,[8] et Reginaldus de Radeclive, et Johannes de Galdi[n]ges, et Galfridus de Fuleleche,[9] et Ulfus de Stobbetone,[10] et Willelmus de Westburgo tenent feodum dimidii militis.

Fo. 107. Adam de Creissi tenet xxiiij^am[11] partem militis,[12] et totum aliud facio de meo dominio de xxv militibus quos vobis debeo.

---

[1] *quintæ*, L. N.
[2] *duodecimam*, L. N.
[3] *differciat*, L. N.
[4] *Walterus*, L. N.
[5] *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *Et istud*, L. N.
[7] *Creisi*, L. N.
[8] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[9] *Fulebech*, L. N.
[10] *Stubbetone*, L. N.
[11] *vicesimam quartam*, L. N.
[12] *j militis*, L. N.

NOTINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA ROGERI DE BURON.

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum, Aquitannorum, et Comiti Andegavorum, Rogerus de Buron[1] salutem.

De militibus meis in tempore Regis Henrici ita sciatis verum esse:—

W. de Heriz tenet feoda ij militum.

Rogerus de Cordingestoke, feoda ij[2] militum.

Patricius de Rosel, feodum j militis.

Et Albertus quem pater meus feodavit post mortem Regis Henrici, feodum j militis.

Et ego ipse facio servitium iiij^{or}[3] militum de meo dominio.

CARTA HASCUILI MUSARD.[4]

De veteri feffamento[5] Hascuil Musardi:—

Aitorp Hasting[6] tenet feoda v militum.

Oliverus de Mara, ij militum.

Maen[ius] de Hatrope, ij militum.

Walterus de Estone, ij militum.[7]

Galfridus de Chelewrthe, j militis.

Summa de suo veteri feffamento[5].—xij milites.

Et de suo dominio, de novo feffamento[5]:—

Willelmus de Caisneto[8] tenet feodum dimidii militis.

Et uxor Ricardi Musard[9] tenet de dote feoda ij[2] militum de novo.

Fulco[10] de Monasteriis, xv[11] partem j militis de novo.

---

[1] *Burun*, L. N.
[2] *duorum*, L. N.
[3] *quatuor*, L. N.
[4] *Carta Hascuil Musardi*, L. N.
[5] *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *Aitrop Hasteng*, L. N.
[7] *ij militum* repeated in L. N.
[8] *Chaisneto*, L. N.
[9] *Musarde*, L. N.
[10] *Et Fulco*, L. N.
[11] *quindecimam*, L. N.

NOTINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA ROBERTI DE CHAUZ. A.D. 1166.

De feodo Roberti de Chauz:—

Galfridus de Lefremunt tenet feoda ij militum[1] de antiquo feodo.

Daniel de Crevequer[2] tenet j feodum et dimidium.

Uxor Roberti de Archis, ij feoda.

Jordanus de Cheverincurt,[3] feodum j militis.[4]

Thomas de Muscampo,[5] feodum j militis.[4]

Robertus de Daiville, feodum j militis.[4]

Radulfus de Hamerwich,[6] j feodum.

Radulfus filius Gerimund,[7] dimidium feodum.

Robertus de Sancto Petro, dimidium feodum.

Radulfus de Claypole[8] et Radulfus de Buddinctone,[9] feodum j militis.[4]

Peverel de Bellocampo, dimidium feodum de antiquo feffamento.[10]

De novo feffamento[10]:—

Galfridus de Lefremunt tenet feodum j militis.

Galfridus de Cauz, dimidium feodum militis.

Ricardus Ursel, dimidium feodum militis.

Aliz[11] soror Roberti de Cauz,[12] dimidium feodum militis.

Et super dominium suum, dimidium feodum militis.

CARTA HUBERTI FILII RADULFI.

Isti sunt milites feffati[13] de baronia Huberti filii Radulfi de veteri feffamento[10]:—

Robertus de Mainul[14] tenet feoda v militum.

---

[1] *ij feoda militum*, L. N.
[2] *Crievequer*, L. N.
[3] *Chiefrencurt*, L. N.
[4] *j feodum militis*, L. N.
[5] *Muschamp*, L. N.
[6] *Amewic*; the *r* is interlineated in the MS.
[7] *Geremund*, L. N.
[8] *Claipole*, L. N.
[9] *Ranulfus de Duddingtone*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Aeliz*, L. N.
[12] *Calz*, L. N.
[13] *feffata* in MS.; *fefati*, L. N.
[14] *Mainvil*, L. N.

NOTINGHAMSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Galfridus Ridel tenet feoda ij militum et dimidii.
Robertus filius Ranulfi, ij militum.
Galfridus Barre, ij militum.
Graelanus de Thany,[1] ij militum.
Radulfus filius Stephani, ij militum, de feodo[2] proprio[3] Huberti, sicut Hubertus ipse[4] dicit.
Reginaldus de Anesleia, ij militum.
Serlo de Pleseleia, j militis.
Ranulfus de Wandesleia, j militis.
Hugo de Sumeri et Robertus de Bartone, dimidii [militis].

Galfridus de Costentin, ij milites et dimidium militem de x[5] militibus dominii sui de novo feffamento,[6] quod feodum cepit cum sorore ipsius Huberti, quod factum fuit coram Rege.

CARTA RADULFI FILII WILLELMI.[7]

Radulfus filius Willelmi de Walcherville[7] tenuit in vita Henrici Regis per servitium j[8] militis.

Et Robertus de Chaurchiis[9] tenet per idem servitium hoc quod ille tenuit, cum filia prædicti Willelmi, exceptis duabus carucatis[10] terræ, de quibus Rex[11] suum placitum fecit.

In[12] honore Willelmi Peverel sunt lx milites et dimidium [militis].

Willelmus Bruerre,[13] dimidium j militis de Chestrefeld[14] et aliis firmis suis.

---

[1] *Thani*, L. N.
[2] *recto*, L. N.
[3] *proprius* in MS.; *ipsius*, L. N.
[4] *ipse Hubertus*, L. N.
[5] *decem*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Willelmi de Walicherville*, L. N.
[8] *unius*, L. N.
[9] *Chaurciis*, L. N.
[10] *carrucatis*, L. N.
[11] *dominus Rex*, L. N.
[12] [*I*]*n*, L. N.
[13] *Briwerre*, L. N. This and the preceding entry are in a later hand in L. N.
[14] *Cesterfeld*, L. N.

Fo. 107 d.

ESSEXA.[1]

A.D. 1166.

Carta Galfridi Comitis Essexæ.[1]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Galfridus Comes Essexæ [1] salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis quod ista nomina subscripta sunt nomina militum qui de me tenent de veteri feffamento [2]:—

Otvel de Boville, vj milites et dimidium.

Adam filius Fulconis, j militem et dimidium.

Adam de Sumeri, vij milites; unde Henricus de Cameset tenet modo de me in capite j militem.

Radulfus de Berners,[3] iiij milites.

Thomas de Mondeville,[4] iiij milites.

Willelmus de Sutfleta,[5] iiij milites.

Alexander Gernet, iiij milites.

Feodum Radulfi de Nuhers,[6] iiij milites.

Feodum Willelmi Makerel, iij milites, quos Ansemus Cani de Avenie [7] modo tenet de domino Rege.

Feodum Rogeri de Gweres, iiij milites.

Sewale [8] de Oseville, iiij milites.

Johannes Trenchefoil,[9] iiij milites.

Ricardus filius Osberti, iiij milites.

Elias de Hemtone,[10] iiij milites.

Feodum Germundi de Sancto Audoeno, iiij milites, quos Walterus de Cantilupo et Robertus Chevacheshulle [11] modo tenent.

Walterus de Mundaville, iiij milites.

Willelmus de Ou, iiij milites.

Robertus de Norintone,[12] ij milites.

Willelmus de Beseville, j militem et dimidium.

---

[1] *Esexa; Esexæ*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Bernieres*, L. N.
[4] *Mandeville*, L. N.
[5] *Sutflea*, L. N.; the second *t* is interlineated in the MS.
[6] *Nuers*, L. N.
[7] *Anselmus Camdeavcine*, L. N.
[8] *Sewalus*, L. N.
[9] *Trenchefuile*, L. N.
[10] *Helyas de Hintone*, L. N.
[11] *Chevauchesul*, L. N.
[12] *Norhintone*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166.

Lambertus de Buelle, j militem.
Willelmus de Fenna, ij milites.
Hubert [1] de Criketot, ij milites.
Robertus de Cantilupo, j militem.
Gilbertus [2] de Botenet, ij milites.
Manasser de Gweres,[3] j militem.
Gilbertus de Duntone,[4] ij milites.
Ricardus de Hairun, dimidium militem.
Galfridus Gernet, j militem.
Feodum Duranti Carbonel, j militem.
Durant [5] de Waledene, dimidium militem, quem dominus Rex tenet in manu sua.
Ranulfus filius Galfridi, j militem.
Radulfus Martel, j militem.
Alexander de Lafham, dimidium militem.
Reginaldus de Taneto, iij[am] [6] partem j militis.
Willelmus filius Odonis,[7] j militem.
Feodum Radulfi Pinel, iiij milites.
Paganus de Hoddesdone,[8] j militem.
Willelmus Pincerna et Radulfus de Hairun, dimidium militem.

Summa militum de veteri feffamento [9]—iiij[xx]xvij [10] milites et tertia pars militis.

Hæc sunt nomina militum de novo feffamento [9] :—
Willelmus filius Ernaldi,[11] j militem.
Ranulfus, frater ejus, j militem.
Galfridus de Charpinville,[12] j militem.
Hugo de Ou, j militem.
Willelmus filius Alvredi, j militem.

---

[1] *Hubertus*, L. N.
[2] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Guerres*, L. N.
[4] *Dunm*[*ou*], L. N.
[5] *Durantus*, L. N.
[6] *tertiam*, L. N.
[7] *Widonis*, L. N.
[8] *Hodesdone*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *iiij xx*[*ti*] *et xvij*, L. N.
[11] *Arnaldi*, L. N.
[12] *Jarpenville*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Willelmus de Rochel,[1] iij partes militis. A.D. 1166.

Henricus filius Geroldi, iiij milites, quos dominus Rex tenet in manu sua.

Rodoenus[2] Pincerna et Radulfus, frater ejus, v^tam^ partem militis, quam dominus Rex tenet in manu sua.

Gervasius de Cornhulle, x^am^[3] partem militis

Rogerus Clericus, v^am^ partem militis.

Hugo filius Ulgeri, v partem militis.

Feodum Ernesii, iiij^am^ partem militis.

Rolbertus de Bercerellis,[4] xij^am^ partem militis.

Rogerus filius Ricardi, j militem et iij[5] partes militis.

Mauricius de Tiretei, tertiam partem militis, quam dominus Rex tenet in manu sua.

Ricardus de Norehale, vj^am^ partem militis.

Jordanus de Rana, iiij[6] partes militis.

Johannes filius Johannis Marscalli,[7] j militem.

Willelmus de Gweres,[8] j militem.

Summa de novis feodatis—et homines mei dicunt mihi quod debeo domino Regi lx milites.[9]

CARTA WALTERI FILII ROBERTI.

Hoc est tenementum Walteri filii Roberti de feffamento[10] Regis:—

Fo. 108. Ricardus filius Willelmi, ij milites.

Henricus Lohold,[11] ij milites.

Michael Capra, iiij milites.

Alanus de Watacra, ij milites.

Mauricius Vicecomes, j militem.

---

[1] *Rochello*, L. N.
[2] *Rodeenus*, L. N.
[3] *x*, L. N.
[4] *Folbertus de Bercherellis*, L. N.
[5] *tres*, L. N.
[6] *iiij^or^*, L. N.
[7] *Marescalli*, L. N.
[8] *Guerres*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MS. There is a new paragraph with *et homines* in L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Loholt*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Robertus de Tolleshunte,[1] j militem.
Willelmus de Hultinges,[2] j militem.
Radulfus de Mundonia, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Nova Villa, iij milites.
Galfridus de Marcy,[3] j militem.
Herbertus[4] de Categrave, ij milites.
Ricardus de Sancto Phileberto, j militem.
Thomas Sanz Aver,[5] j militem.
Radulfus de Warestolt, j militem.
Robertus filius Humfridi, j militem.
Galfridus filius Harlewini,[6] j militem.
Robertus de Prisenni, j militem et dimidium.
Radulfus de Hulmo, dimidium militem.
Willelmus Capra, iiij milites et dimidium.
Fulco Bainard, viij milites et dimidium.
Gilebertus filius Reginaldi, j militem.
Willelmus Norgolde, j militem.
Gilbertus de Essindone,[7] j militem et dimidium.
Comes Albricus, iij milites et dimidium.
Ricardus filius Willelmi, ij milites.
Ricardus de Badwam,[8] v milites et dimidium.
Willelmus filius Reginaldi, ij milites.
Reginaldus filius Hugonis, dimidium militem.
Osbertus filius Willelmi, unum militem.
Odo de Kokesfeld,[9] j militem.
Wodeham, iij milites.

Sic tenuit Doete[10] Asinus et modo teneo ego in meam demeniam.

Summa est lxiij milites et dimidium [militis]; et hoc mihi antiqui homines mei intelligere faciunt, quod debeo inde Regi servitium de l militibus.

---

[1] *Toleshunte*, L. N.
[2] *Ultinges*, L. N.
[3] *Marci*, L. N.
[4] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[5] *Sanz Aveir*, L. N.
[6] *Herlewine*, L. N.
[7] *Gilebertus de Essendone*, L. N.
[8] *Badewam*, L. N.
[9] *Cokefelde*, L. N.
[10] *Duete*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Hoc est novum feffamentum [1] :— A.D. 1166.

Saerus de Quinci,[2] j militem et dimidium.
Erkebaldus Paste, dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Willelmi, iij [3] partem militis.
Odo de Obesford,[4] iij [3] partem militis.
Osbertus [5] de Grammaville,[6] iij [3] partem militis.
Ricardus de Pentelau, iiij [7] partem militis.

CARTA GALFRIDI DE VALONIIS.

Galfridus de Valoniis tenet de domino Rege in capite Cheringe [8] et Sauecampe per servitium j militis ; et istos habet [9] milites feffatos,[10] scilicet, de veteri feffamento [11] :—

Osebertus filius Radulfi de Wetmere [12] tenet feodum j militis.

Et de novo feffamento [11] :—

Walterus de Neville, j militem.
Willelmus [13] de Bosco, j militem.
Et Burtone tenet per servitium dimidii militis.

CARTA WILLELMI DE MONTEFICHET.[14]

De feodo Willelmi de Montefichet [14] :—

Philippus debet iiij milites.
Rogerus de Glanville, iiij milites de feodo [15] uxoris suæ.
Ricardus de Aune, iiij milites.
Robertus Bacun, Willelmus Bacun, et Alexander de Kerdentone, quatuor [16] milites.

---

[1] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[2] *Quenci*, L. N.
[3] *tertiam*, L. N.
[4] *Obbeford*, L. N.
[5] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[6] *Crammaville*, L. N.
[7] *quarta*[*m*], L. N.
[8] *Cherringe*, L. N.
[9] *habet* omitted in L. N.
[10] *fefatos*, L. N.
[11] *fefamento*, L. N.
[12] *Wattemere*, L. N.
[13] *Et Willelmus*, L. N.
[14] *Monte Fichet*, L. N.
[15] *feodis*, L. N.
[16] *iiij*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166.

Johannes de Wendene, iij milites.

Cristina de Wiham,[1] j militem.

Willelmus de Monte Fichet, iij milites de feodo Galfridi de Westmol.[2]

Hubert [3] et Jordanus, iij milites.

Alvreda,[4] eorum soror, j militem.

Robertus Lenveise,[5] iij milites.

Ricardus Batail,[6] ij milites.

Willelmus filius Gerun, j militem.

Willelmus de Piro, j militem.

Thomas de Ardene, j militem.

Galfridus de Champes, j militem.

Johannes Swefnury,[7] j militem.

Haec, j militem, sed tenet [8] in meo dominio.

Robertus Rivel, j militem.

Godefridus de Riunhal [9] et Robertus Huscarl, j militem.

Radulfus filius Haselin et Ricardus Westmel,[10] j militem.

Lucas de Malpas et Hunfridus,[11] j militem in Eschamlai.[12]

Gilbertus [13] filius Bernardi, tertiam partem militis et duas partes ejusdem militis teneo in manu mea pro [14] defectu servitii.

Hamo et Thomas et Robertus debent dimidium militem.

Willelmus filius Eliæ, j [15] militem.

Hunfredus,[11] j militem.

---

[1] *Wihamme*, L. N.

[2] *Westmole*, L. N.; where a new paragraph begins with *De feodo*.

[3] *Hubertus*, L. N.; where there is no break in the entry.

[4] *Albreda*, L. N.

[5] *le Enveise*, L. N.

[6] *Bataile*, L. N.

[7] *Sueknuri*, L. N.

[8] *teneo*, L. N.

[9] *Riunhale*, L. N.

[10] *Wastinel*, L. N.

[11] *Humfridus*, L. N.

[12] *Achalai*, L. N.

[13] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

[14] *pro* omitted in L. N.

[15] *Heliæ, unum*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Istos autem duos et eorum[1] feoda in custodia mea habeo propter juventutem eorum[1] :— A.D. 1166.

De Ginga, j militem, quem[2] mater mea tenet.

De Fernham,[3] quam Rogerus Anglicus tenet, j militem, quem Gilbertus[4] Comes dedit, in Gwerra,[5] quando eram puer, patri suo, et iste est in placito erga me.

De novo feffamento[6] :—

Ranulfus Gernun, dimidium militem.

Edmundus Camerarius, v^tam^ partem militis.

Fo. 108 d. Hæc est summa militum Willelmi de Monte Fichet—xlviij milites et v^a^ pars de antiquo et de[7] novo feffamento. Et hoc faciunt homines mei mihi intelligere,—quod pater meus deserviebat per xl milites.

CARTA RICARDI DE LUCY.[8]

Hii sunt milites quos Ricardus de Lucy[8] tenet de antiquo feffamento[6] in Kent, et in Suthfolcia,[9] et in Norfolcia,[10] scilicet, in Kent super dominium suum de Neutone,[11] feodum dimidii militis.

Et Ricardus Perforde,[12] feodum dimidii militis.

Et in Suthfolcia[9] et in Norfolcia.[10]

Robertus de Monteni, feoda v militum, scilicet, in Neutone,[11] quæ est membrum[13] de Stowa, feodum j militis.

Et Walecote, quæ est membrum[13] de Dice, feodum j militis.

Et in Sprectone, feodum j militis.

Et in Tacolvestone, feoda ij militum.

Et in eadem villa de Tacolvestone,[14] Hugo filius Hamel,[15] feodum j militis.

---

[1] *illorum*, L. N.
[2] *quam*, L. N.
[3] *Ferneham*, L. N.
[4] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[5] *guerra*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] L. N. omits *de*.
[8] *Luci*, L. N.
[9] *Sutfolcia*, L. N.
[10] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[11] *Niwetone*, L. N.
[12] *Perfored*, L. N.
[13] *menbrum* in MS.
[14] *Takolvestone*, L. N.
[15] *Hamel*[*ini*], L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et de hiis militibus faciebant antecessores[1] ipsius Ricardi wardam ad Dovram.

Et de novo feffamento,[2] in Devonescira,[3] Ogerus Dapifer, feodum j militis in villa de Stotebroch,[4] quæ est de feodo[5] quod fuit Willelmi Silvain.[6]

CARTA COMITIS ALBRICI.[7]

Baronia Comitis Albrici[7] :—

Paganus de Enngeford,[8] iij milites.
Adam filius Hugonis, j militem.
Radulfus de Gines,[9] j militem.
Eborardus de Sextone, ij milites.
Willelmus de Burgatin, ij milites.
Robertus de Cokefeld, iij milites.
Radulfus Carbonel, j militem et dimidium militem.[10]
Rogerus de Bello Campo, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Hodenges,[11] j militem.
Walterus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.
Ernardus le Bloy,[12] iij quarterias militis.
Ricardus Ruffus,[13] dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.
Godefridus Grosvassal, j militem.
Theobaldus de Halam,[14] j militem.
Willelmus filius Alexandri, dimidium militem.
Ranulfus filius Willelmi, dimidium militem.
Radulfus filius Adæ, j quartam [partem] militis.
Galfridus de Ver, dimidium militem.
Alanus Brito, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Roberti, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *ancessores*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Devenescire*, L. N.
[4] *Scotebroc*, L. N.; where *In Villa* begins a new paragraph.
[5] *fe*[*o*]*do*, L. N.
[6] *Silvani*, L. N.
[7] *Alberici*, L. N.
[8] *Emmingeford*, L. N.
[9] *Ginnes*, L. N.
[10] L. N. omits *militem*.
[11] *Hodeng*, L. N.
[12] *Ernaldus le Bloi*, L. N.
[13] *Rufus*, L. N.
[14] *Alham*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Robertus filius Hunfridi,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Robertus filius Roberti filii Elrici,[2] j militem.
Galfridus Arsic, ij milites.
Petrus de Chertelinges, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Canville,[3] j militem.
Willelmus de Lamvalein,[4] j militem.
Fulco Dapifer, unam quartam j militis.[5]
Hugo de Alberitone,[6] j quartam militis.
Willelmus de Miniac, viij[am] [7] partem militis.

CARTA GRAELANDI DE THANIA.

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum, Graeland de Thania [8] salutem.

Sciatis quod Ricardus de Lega facit mihi servitium trium militum, et Otvel [9] de Boville j militem [10] de veteri feffamento,

Et super dominium meum iij milites et dimidium.

De novo autem feffamento [11] nullum habeo.

Valete.

CARTA ROGERI DE RAMES.[12]

Die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus, tenuit Willelmus filius Milonis feoda ij [13] militum de Rogero de Raimes.

---

[1] *Humfridi*, L. N.
[2] *Aelrici*, L. N.
[3] *Camville*, L. N.
[4] *Lanvalein*, L. N.
[5] *j quartam militis*, L. N.
[6] *Abintone*, L. N.
[7] *octavam*, L. N.
[8] *Thani*, L. N.
[9] *Otuelus*, L. N.
[10] *Sic* in MS.; *militis*, L. N.
[11] *fefamento*, L. N.
[12] *Carta Willelmi filii Milonis*, L. N. Roger de Rames held this fief in 1135, together with the services stated in the body of the return, but William de Rames was the tenant in 1166, as proved by the Pipe Roll. As there was no title in the original certificate, the scribe of the Black Book inserted in the rubric the name of the first of the under-tenants on the list—William son of Milo—who was however the contemporary of the former lord—while the scribe of the Red Book chose to give what was perhaps the conventional title of the fief, but in that case *Baronia* should have been substituted for *Carta*.
[13] *duorum*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Ernaldus Ruffus,[1] feodum j militis.

Rogerus filius Edmundi, feodum j militis.

Ricardus de Neirford, feodum j militis.

Hos præoccupavit Comes Hugo tempore Regis Stephani.

Warinus de Totintone, medietatem j militis.

Willelmus filius Ricardi, feodum j militis.

Hii omnes sunt in comitatu de Suthfolcia.[2]

Item, in comitatu de Norfolc[3]:—

Galfridus de Reinestorpe,[4] feodum j militis.

In comitatu Essexæ:—

Bedham,[5] feodum dimidii militis quam[6] Rex habet in manu sua, et illud servitium computatum est ad Scaccarium.

Omnes isti feodati sunt a tempore Regis Henrici.

De novo feffamento,[7] Robertus de Reimes[8] tenet iiij^tam[9] partem militis.

Fo. 109. CARTA EUDONIS DAPIFERI.[10]

Isti sunt milites Eudonis Dapiferi quos ego H[enricus] filius Geroldi Camerarii, tene[o] de Rege:—

Galfridus de Amblia, ij milites.

Osbertus filius Walcheri, iij milites et dimidium.

Radulfus de Tuville,[11] ij milites.

Philippus de Leisburne,[12] vij milites.

Jordanus et Willelmus de Arkesdene, iij milites, xiiij^a parte militis[13] minus.

Gilbertus[14] de Helawe,[15] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Ruffuffus* in MS.; *Rufus*, L. N.
[2] *Sutfolcia*, L. N.
[3] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[4] *Reinestorp*, L. N.
[5] *Dedham*, L. N.
[6] *quem*, L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *Reines*, L. N.
[9] *quartam*, L. N.
[10] Another instance of an incorrect title—perhaps, like the last, a conventional one. Henry Fitz-Gerold is the tenant in the Pipe Roll.
[11] *Tiville*, L. N.
[12] *Leiburne*, L. N.
[13] *quartadecima parte j militis*, L. N.
[14] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[15] *Helaue*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Alexander de Roinges, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Alexander de Limesly,[1] j militem.
Rogerus filius Rogeri, iij milites.
Hugo Pincerna, vij milites.
Ranulfus de Haia, dimidium militem et iij[am][2] partem militis.
Galfridus de Ros, ij milites.
Helias Sumeri,[3] j militem.
Stephanus de Selva, dimidium militem.
Lowis le Briton,[4] ij[5] partes militis.
Lambert filius Godebert, dimidium militem.
Thomas de Mondeville,[6] ij milites.
Thomas de Andeville, vj milites.
Willelmus de Archesdene, j militem.
Radulfus filius Petri filii Costant[ini],[7] ij milites.
Willelmus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Berners,[8] dimidium militem.
Ricardus filius Geroldi, j militem de Flectone.[9]
Radulfus Pirot, iiij milites.
Radulfus filius Geri, j militem et v[am][10] partem militis; sed, de hac v[a][11] parte militis feodavit eum dominus Ewarinus,[12] frater meus, de dominio suo de Cameshing,[13] et dedit servitium ejus, et servitium Radulfi Pirot cum Camesinges[14] uxori suæ in dotem. Sed Galfridus de Ver habet eorum servitia.

Isti subscripti sunt milites quos dominus Warinus,[12] frater meus, feodavit de terra quam dominus Rex dedit ei præter honorem Eudonis Dapiferi, et quam ego Henricus filius Geroldi Camerarii, teneo de Rege :—

[1] *Limesi*, L. N.
[2] *tertiam*, L. N.
[3] *de Sumeri*, L. N.
[4] *Le Brutun*, L. N.
[5] *duas*, L. N.
[6] *Mandaville*, L. N.
[7] *Constant[ini]*, L. N.
[8] *Bernieres*, L. N.
[9] *Feletone*, L. N.
[10] *v[am]*, L. N.
[11] *v[a]*, L. N.
[12] *Gwarinus*, L. N.
[13] *Camesinges*, L. N.
[14] *Chamesinges*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Alexander filius Geroldi, j militem in Spereholt.
Hugo filius Geroldi, j militem in eadem villa.
Willelmus de Hanlege, j militem in Sevenhamtone.
Jordanus de Wicham, dimidium militem in eadem villa.
Et Comes Galfridus de Mondeville[1] dedit domino Gwarino, fratri meo, et mihi Sabriteswrthe[2] pro lxxiiij libratis terræ; singulas xx libratas pro servitio j militis. Sed dominus Gwarinus, frater meus, dedit Sancto Edmundo pro anima sua vj[3] libratas terræ in eadem villa quietas.

CARTA ROBERTI DE RAIMES.[4]

Hii sunt milites qui tenuerunt de feodo Roberti de Raimes[4] die qua Rex Henricus fuit vivus et mortuus, videlicet:—
Anketil[5] de Mecinges, feoda iij[6] militum.
Warinus, dimidium militem de Hiham quæ mihi computatur in x militibus quos Regi debeo.[7]
Horum prædictorum servitium habeo.
Willelmus filius Jocel[ini], ij milites.
Philippus Parange,[8] feodum dimidii militis.
Horum servitium deforciant[9] mihi Willelmus filius Jocel[ini] et Philippus. Simon de Cantilupo detinet mihi Heingeham quam tenere debeo de Rege in dominio meo.

---

[1] *Mandaville*, L. N.
[2] *Sabricteswrthe*, L. N.
[3] *sex*, L. N.
[4] *Raimis*, L. N.; but the rubric is again erroneous in both MSS. Robert de Reimes was the tenant in 1135, but Richard de Reimes was in possession in 1166, as proved by the Pipe Roll.
[5] *Anketillus*, L. N. There is no break in the MS. for these two entries,
[6] *trium*, L. N.
[7] In L. N. another entry is inserted, and the passage reads:—
Warinus, dimidium militem.
De Todingtone, feodum dimidii militis.
De Hiham quæ mihi computatur, . . .
[8] *Parage*, L. N.
[9] *difforciant*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Robertus de Raimes, feodum iiij^{æ} [1] partis militis de me[o] dominio.[2] A.D. 1166.

Willelmus filius Edmundi, feodum iiij^{æ} [1] partis militis de meo dominio.

Hii duo R[obertus] et W[illelmus] sunt noviter feodati de meo dominio.

Mecinges, Heingeham, Raines, debent [3] esse meum dominium ad perficiendum x milites quos Regi debeo; sed Rogerus de Hardeburgo tenet meliorem partem de Hecinges [4] de meo dominio, nescio per quem.

CARTA ROBERTI DE HELIUN.

Baronia Roberti de Eliun [5]:—

Simon Peche, iij milites.

Johannes de Illee, iij milites.

Rogerus filius Mein, j militem.

Simon de Felstede,[6] j militem.

Radulfus Brito, j militem.

Robertus Malp[er]tus et Alanus, j militem.

De veteri feffamento; [7] summa—x milites.

Et de novo tenemento:—

Alanus filius Reginaldi, v^{am} [8] partem militis.[9]

Jocelinus [10] filius Hugonis, xv^{mam} partem j militis.

Robertus de Roilli, xx^{am} [11] partem j militis.

Milites tenentes de feodo Willelmi de Elyum [12] in Essexa:—

Idem Willelmus, j militem in dominico, scilicet, in Bumstede in Essexa; et

---

[1] *quartæ*, L. N.

[2] L. N. adds *de Heham.*

[3] *debet* in MS.

[4] *Mecinges*, L. N.

[5] *Heliun*, L. N.; the *H* is a later insertion in the rubric of the MS.

[6] *Feltstede*, L. N.

[7] *fefamento*, L. N.

[8] *quintam*, L. N.

[9] *j militis*, L. N.

[10] *Joce*, L. N.

[11] *vicesimam*, L. N.

[12] *Helyun*, L. N., where this fief is entered on a rider in a hand contemporary with the rest of the Carta.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Comes Albricus, j militem in Tillebin in Averhulle [1] in Suthfolcia.[2]

Radulfus le Bret et Alina, j militem in Langelega et in Esse.

Philippus de Brunham [3] et Johannes Camerarius, j militem in Sturemere.

Fo. 109 d. Warinus filius Geroldi,[4] dimidium militem in Stivetone et in Radewinter.

Ernulfus de Mondeville,[5] dimidium militem in Bumstede.

Robertus filius Rogeri, quartam partem [militis] quam Willelmus Peche [6] tenet in Bumstede.

In Suthfolcia.[2]

Henricus de Hillega, iij milites in Hillega.

In Norfolcia.[7]

Robertus filius Rogeri, j militem [8] et iij [9] partes militis; de quibus Bartholomæus de Caletorpe [10] tenet j militem in Caletorpe; [10] et

Willelmus Pecche iij partes militis in Kaiket.[11]

CARTA ROBERTI DE HASTINGES.[12]

Baronia Roberti de Hastinges.

Walterus de Windr[esores] tenet feodum j militis in Swinelonde.[13]

Radulfus de Hastinges tenet feodum j militis in Wikes in Essexa.

---

[1] *et in Haverhulle*, L. N.
[2] *Suffokia*, L. N.
[3] *Burnham*, L. N.
[4] *Geroude*, L. N.
[5] *Mandeville*, L. N.
[6] *Pecche*, L. N.
[7] *Norfokia*, L. N.
[8] *j militem* repeated in the MS.
[9] *tres*, L. N.
[10] *Kaletorpe*, L. N.
[11] *Gaiket*, L. N.
[12] *[B]aronia Roberti de Hastinges*, L. N., where there is no rubric.
[13] *Suinelande*, L. N.

ESSEXA—*cont.*

Willelmus filius Roberti tenet feoda iiij militum in Godemanestone in Dorsete[1] et in Bromlega in Essexa. A.D. 1166.

Et super dominium ipsius Roberti in Eistane in Essexa, j militem.

Et super dominium ipsius Roberti in Bildestone in Suthfolcia,[2] j militem.

Reginaldus le Bret tenet feoda ij militum in Cestreforde in Essexa.

Summa—x milites.

Ricardus filius Aucheri[3] tenet in Langefarh[4] viij[5] libratas terræ per iiij[am][6] partem feodi j[7] militis.

Robertus filius Rogeri tenet Clanninges[8] per feodum j[7] militis.

Leonardus de Venoz[9] tenet xlviij m[arcatas] in Hamme, et xxx m[arcatas] in Exing[es], et xxij m[arcatas] in Westhalle,[10] per j militem.

HERTFORDSCIRA.

CARTA ABBATIS[11] SANCTI ALBANI.

Benignissimo domino suo Henrico Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum, frater R[obertus], humilis minister ecclesiæ Sancti Albani, salutem et fidele servitium.

De militibus quorum numerum et nomina vobis scribi[12] præcipistis,[13] hæc vobis in veritate dicimus.

Sex milites feffatos[14] habemus de veteri feffamento[15] et a tempore Regis Henrici.

---

[1] *Dorsette*, L. N.
[2] *Sudfolc*, L. N.
[3] *Auchieri*, L. N.
[4] *Lagefare*, L. N.
[5] *octo*, L. N.
[6] *quartam*, L. N.
[7] *unius*, L. N.
[8] *Clavringes*, L. N.
[9] *Venoiz*, L. N.
[10] *Westhale*, L. N.
[11] *Abbatiæ*, L. N.
[12] *rescribi*, L. N.
[13] *præcipitis*, L. N.
[14] *fefatos*, L. N.
[15] *fefamento*, L. N.

HERTFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. De novo autem feffamento, nullum;[1] vero militem habemus qui plenum servitium j militis faciat; præter Hugonem de Wach,[2] qui de nobis tenet feodum j militis.

Secundum feodum, Ricardus de Crokesleya.[3]

Tertium.[4]

Quartum feodum, Alexander filius Toroldi, et Willelmus Pinefeld,[5] et Hamo Vicarius,[6] et Acariust,[7] et Galfridus de Siret.

Quintum feodum, Galfridus de Gorham et Agnes de Munt Pincun, sive Radulfus filius ejus.

Sextum feodum, Raico[8] de Meridena, et Galfridus de Redburne, et Willelmus de Sisvierne,[9] et Lucas de Nortone.

Dominium autem nostrum nullum vobis debet.

Integritatem regni vestri omnipotens Deus in omni pace vobis longis temporibus conservet.

CARTA ROBERTI DE VALOINE.[10]

De baronia Roberti de Valoine[10] isti sunt milites qui fuerunt antiquitus feffati[11] tempore Henrici Regis avi domini nostri Regis, scilicet:—

Ricardus de Calum,[12] vij[13] milites et dimidium.

Agnes de Monte Pincun,[14] v milites.

Radulfus de Dalling,[15] ij milites.

Philippus de Snaringes, tertiam partem j militis.

Galfridus de Snaringes, dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Bosco, viij partem militis.

Adam filius Radulfi,[16] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *De novo autem fefamento nullum. Nullum vero . . .*, L. N.
[2] *Hugonem Wach*, L. N.
[3] *Crokeslei*, L. N.
[4] *Sic* in MSS.
[5] *de Pinefeld*, L. N.
[6] *Vitrarius*, L. N.
[7] *Acharius*, L. N.
[8] *Razco*, L. N.
[9] *Siseverne*, L. N.
[10] *Valoniis*, L. N.
[11] *fefati*, L. N.
[12] *Calne*, L. N.
[13] *vj*, L. N.
[14] *Montepinceone*, L. N.
[15] *Dallinges*, L. N.
[16] *Alvredi*, L. N.

HERTFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Henricus frater Abbatis de Sancto Eadmundo,[1] dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.

Mathæus de Franca Terra, ij [2] milites.

Robertus filius Jocelin, j militem.

Hunfridus de Beringham,[3] j militem.

Fo. 110. Ranulfus de Haia, j [4] militem.

Radulfus de Lactone,[5] j militem et iiij[tam] [6] partem militis.[7]

Ambrosius, j militem et viij[am] partem militis.[7]

Wimerus le Fae, dimidium militem.

Godefridus de Tiwinge, dimidium militem.

Brien[8] de Tywinge,[9] dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Mondaville,[10] j militem.

Galfridus de Haia, j militem.

Willelmus de Boxa, j militem.

Robertus filius Radulfi, j militem.

Radulfus de Ouville, dimidium militem.

Robertus de Insula, dimidium militem.

Monachi Tefordiæ,[11] dimidium militem.

Osbertus de Taidone,[12] dimidium militem.

Summa militum antiquitus feffatorum [13] tempore Henrici Regis avi domini Regis—xxx milites et tertia pars j [14] militis.

Et de hiis [15] prædictis Galfridus de Valoine [16] tenet j [4] militem et viij[am] [17] partem militis, de dominicis terris de eadem baronia.[18]

Isti subscripti feffati [19] sunt post tempus Henrici Regis

---

[1] *Edmundo*, L. N.
[2] *duos*, L. N.
[3] *Humfridus de Bernigeham*, L.N.
[4] *unum*, L. N.
[5] *Lattone*, L. N.
[6] *quartam*, L. N.
[7] *j militis*, L. N.
[8] *Brien*, L. N.
[9] *Tiwinge*, L. N.
[10] *Mandaville*, L. N.
[11] *Theofordiæ*, L. N.
[12] *Thaidene*, L. N.
[13] *fefatorum*, L. N.
[14] *unius*, L. N.
[15] *istis*, L. N.
[16] *Valoniis*, L. N.
[17] *octavam*, L. N.
[18] *De dominicis—baronia* begins a fresh entry in L. N.
[19] *feofati*, L. N.

HERTFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. avi domini Regis per Petrum de Valoines, fratrem Roberti primogeniti, scilicet:—

Adam filius Alvredi, j militem.
Willelmus de Bosco, j militem.
Walterus de Neville, j militem.
Galfridus de Valoine,[1] dimidium militem.
Gundrea, quæ fuit uxor Petri de Valoniis, j militem, in vita sua.

Summa novorum feffatorum [2]—iiij milites et dimidium; et de istis novis Galfridus de Valoniis tenet ij [3] milites et dimidium.

CARTA ALBANI DE HAYRUN.[4]

Domino suo excellentissimo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Albanus de Hairun salutem.[5]

Vestræ notifico excellentiæ [6] quod ego in Hertfortscira feodum j militis de veteri feffamento [7] de vobis principaliter teneo, et quod de novo feffamento [7] nichil habeo, nec militem feffatum [8] aliquem habeo.[9]

CARTA MATHÆI DE GERARDI VILLA.

Mathæus de Gerardi Villa tenet in capite de domino Rege feodum j militis de veteri feffamento,[7] et nullum habet militem feffatum,[10] nec habet aliquid de novo feffamento.[7]

CARTA WILLELMI FILII ROBERTI.

Carissimo domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Willelmus filius Roberti salutem.

---

[1] *Valoniis*, L. N.
[2] *fefatorum*, L. N.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *Hairun*, L. N.
[5] L. N. omits *salutem*.
[6] *Vestræ excellentiæ notifico*, L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *feofatum*, L. N.
[9] *habeo. Valete*, L. N.
[10] *fefatum*, L. N.

HERTFORDSCIRA—*cont.*

Sciatis quod de vobis teneo feodum, j militem pauperi- A.D. 1166.
mum ;[1] nec alium in eo feodavi quia vix michi sufficit ; et sic tenuit pater meus. Valete.

CARTA HONORIS DE BERCHAMSTEDE.[2]

Honor de Berchamstede[3] debet xxij milites et dimidium, et tertiam partem militis, et xvij[4] partem ; et quando capitur marca de scuto, tunc dat viij solidos et x denarios.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA.[5]

CARTA NIGELLI ELYENSIS EPISCOPI.[6]

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum, Nigellus Elyensis Episcopus salutem.

Ex mandato vestro diligenter inquiri fecimus servitia militum nostrorum, et eorum nomina et numerum, tam de veteri quam de novo feffamento,[7] vobis subscripta transmittimus.

In Cantebriggesira sunt de veteri feffamento[7] :—

Hamo Peccatum, iij milites de feodo Rogeri Peverel.

Hugo de Dovra, iij milites de eodem feodo.

Everardus de Ruddeltone,[8] ij milites.

Henricus Picot, j militem.

Fo. 110 d. Lucas de Bray,[9] j militem.

Stephanus et Henricus de Scalariis, iij milites.

---

[1] *pauperrimum*, L. N.

[2] This return is a later addition in L. N., which has no rubric.

[3] *Berchamnstede*, L. N.

[4] *xvij*^{mam}, L. N.

[5] This heading is once written *Cantebrigescira* in the MS.

[6] *Carta domini Elyensis*, L. N.

[7] *fefamento*, L. N.

[8] *Ebrardus de Middeltone*, L. N.

[9] *Brai*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Eustachius de Manerio, ij milites.
Robertus Foliot, j militem.
Willelmus Muschet, j militem.
Hunfridus[1] filius Galfridi, j militem.
Toroldus de Hangetone, j militem.
Willelmus Dispensator, iiij[tam][2] partem militis.[3]

Et de novo feffamento[4] de dominio nostro :—
Paganus de Hemingeford,[5] j militem.
Petrus Pincerna, dimidium militem.
Radulfus filius Ricardi, j militem.
Adam filius Adæ, dimidium militem.

De purprestura marisci, quod nunquam antea lucratum fuit :—
Reginaldus de Niuetone,[6] dimidium militem.
Walterus de Pampewrthe, j militem.
Jordanus de Sanford,[7] j militem.

Et in Norfolcia[8] de veteri feffamento[4] :—
Willelmus de Bekeswelle, dimidium militem.
Stephanus de Marisco, dimidium militem.
Henricus de Ria, iij milites.
Filius Huberti de Muntchensi,[9] j militem.
Willelmus filius Generam, j militem; sed Rex habet eum.

Et de novo feffamento[4] :—
Radulfus Dapifer, j militem.
Comes Hugo, vi milites; de quibus nunquam fecit servitium.

In Suthfolcia[10] de veteri feffamento[4] :—
Robertus de Cokefeld, j militem.
Fulco Trussel, j militem.
Hamo Peccatum, iij milites.

---

[1] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *j militis*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Hemingeforde*, L. N.
[6] *Niwetone*, L. N.
[7] *Samforde*, L. N.
[8] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[9] *Munchanesi*, L. N.
[10] *Sutfolcia*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

Galfridus de Drenthestone,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Alanus filius Frodæ, j militem.
Lucas de Debeham ij milites; set Rex habet j.
Willelmus de Norwico,[2] j militem.
Radulfus Pirot, ij milites.
Ricardus filius Osberti, j militem.
Robertus filius Hugonis, ij milites.
Herveius[3] de Glanville, j militem.
Gilbertus[4] filius Frodæ, j militem.
De feodo Rolandi filii Ysaac, dimidium militem.
Comes de Clare,[5] ij milites.

Et de novo feffamento[6]:—
Radulfus Dapifer, ij milites, cum cremento suo in Cantebrigsira.

In Hertfordscira de veteri feffamento[6]:—
Rogerus de Valoines,[7] dimidium militem.
Alb[ertus][8] Anglicus, j militem.
Simon filius Adæ, j militem.

In Essexa de veteri feffamento.[6]
Radulfus de Berneris,[9] ij milites.
Willelmus Peregrinus, j militem.
Reginaldus de Franbrigge,[10] j militem.
Lucas de Terunges,[11] j militem; sed Rex habet eum.
Simon de Reinges,[12] ij milites; sed Comes Albricus habet servitium ejus de novo [feffamento].
Lucas de Berkesheved et Ranulfus de Haia, j militem de feodo Ricardi de Salcoville.[13]

---

[1] *Drenchestone*, L. N.
[2] *Norewico*, L. N.
[3] *Hereveius*, L. N.
[4] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[5] *Clara*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Valoniis*, L. N.
[8] *Asbertus*, L. N.
[9] *Bernieres*, L. N.
[10] *Fanbrigge*, L. N.
[11] *Terlinges*, L. N.
[12] *Roinges*, L. N.
[13] *de feodo* begins a new paragraph in L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Hanlega, j militem ; de eodem feodo, sed est in Suthfolcia.[1]

Et de novo feffamento [2] :—

Comes Albricus, ij [3] milites.

De hiis vero certi sumus et si amplius inquirere poterimus, libenter vobis significabimus.[4]

CARTA HAMONIS PECCATI.[5]

Baronia quam ego Hamo Peccatum teneo de domino Rege in capite in Suthfolcia [1] de veteri feffamento [2] :—

Vivien de Scorneie,[6] j militem.

Fulco [7] de Tistedene, j militem.

Rogerus filius Edmundi, j militem.

Adam Palwelle,[8] j militem.

Walterus de Gweres,[9] j militem.

Gala [10] de Curcun, j militem et dimidium.

Robertus filius Willelmi, iiij^{tam} [11] partem militis.

Willelmus filius Walteri, iiij^{tam} [11] partem militis.[12]

Osbertus de Hadfeld,[13] j militem.

Marcelay,[14] quam Basilia soror mea tenet, j militem.

Graneforde,[15] j militem ; quæ est in manu mea pro defectu hæredis ; et ij milites remanent super dominium meum.

Et in Cantebrigscira de honore Willelmi Peverel de hæreditate uxoris meæ de veteri feffamento.[2]

Eustachius de Bans, iij milites.

Hugo de Gramdene,[16] j militem.

---

[1] *Sutfolcia*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *Valete* is added in L. N.
[5] *Peccatum*, L. N.
[6] *Vivienus de Scoreneie*, L. N.
[7] *Fulcwi*, L. N.
[8] *Paluel*, L. N.
[9] *Gueres*, L. N.
[10] *Sic* in MS.
[11] *quartam*, L. N.
[12] *j militis*, L. N.
[13] *Hasfeld*, L. N.
[14] *Marcelai*, L. N.
[15] *Craneforde*, L. N.
[16] *Crandene*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

Ricardus de Stantone, j militem. A.D. 1166.

Et præterea Willelmus Paverel dedit [1] in franco maritagio quiete cum sorore sua feoda ij militum, unde Adam de Periers tenet de me iij partes j militis. Et remanentium [2] dedi Baldewino de Rovecestria cum filia mea in maritagio [3] quod habui in meo dominio.

Et in Salopescira.

Radulfus de Tirene, xij^mam^ partem j militis.

Et de novo feffamento [4]:—

Willelmus de Hetlega, tertiam partem j militis in Arcalun et in Cantebrigescira.[5]

Eborardus de Bece,[6] dimidium militem in Mordone,[7] quod fuit de dominio.

Fo. 111. Et in Suthfolcia,[8] Galo de Curcun, viij^am^ partem j militis in Chesthalle.[9]

CARTA STEPHANI DE SCALARIIS.[10]

Domino suo Regi [11] Anglorum, S[tephanus] de Scalers [10] salutem et fidelia servitia.

Willelmus filius Rogeri tenet de me feoda v militum et vj^tam^ partem militis.[12]

Willelmus filius Fulconis, feodum j militis et tertiam partem j militis.

Galfridus filius Swein,[13] feodum j militis et iiij^am^ [14] partem militis.

Robertus le Guiez,[15] feodum dimidii militis.

Walterus Martin, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Peverel dedit mihi*, L. N.
[2] *remanantium* in MS.; *remanantum*, L. N.
[3] *in maritagium*, L. N.
[4] *fefamento*, L. N.
[5] *Cantebrigscira*, L. N.
[6] *Beche*, L. N.
[7] *Moredune*, L. N.
[8] *Sutfolcia*, L. N.
[9] *Chebbehale*, L. N.
[10] *Scaliers*, L. N.
[11] *Henrico Regi Anglorum*, L. N.
[12] *j militis*, L. N.
[13] *Sweini*, L. N.
[14] *quartam*, L. N.
[15] *Guiz*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Theobaldus de Scalers,[1] dimidium militem et iiij[am] [2] partem militis.

Albricus Comes, vj[am] [3] partem militis.

Robertus Giffard, vj[tam] [3] partem militis.[4]

Bernardus Bos, vj[tam] [3] partem militis.[4]

Hæc est summa—x milites habeo feffatos [5] et servitium v militum remanet super dominium meum; et non habeo aliquem militem feodatum noviter.[6]

CARTA HUGONIS DE DOVRA.

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum,[7] Comiti Andegavorum, Hugo de Dovra salutem et fidelia servitia.

Hæc sunt nomina militum meorum de veteri feffamento [8] in Cantebrigscira [9] de hæreditate uxoris meæ, sicut mihi per breve vestrum mandastis:—

Alanus filius Gilberti [10] de Becha, ij milites; ita est juvenis et sine discretione, quod nondum potest facere homagium.[11] Et sciatis quod antecessor noster dedit Alano dapifero, avo suo [12] istius Alani prædicti,[13] dimidium militem, scilicet, feodum Roberti filii Widonis de Cumbretone in augmentum post mortem Henrici Regis, in Guerra,[14] ut melius posset facere servitium ij militum.

Eustachius Picot, j militem.

Willelmus de Hokintone et Eborardus de Becha, j militem.

Et prædictus Eborardus vj[tam] partem militis.

---

[1] *Scaliers*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *vj*, L. N.
[4] *militis* omitted in L. N.
[5] *feodatos*, L. N.
[6] *noviter feodatum*, L. N.
[7] *Normannorum et Aquitannorum*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *Cantebriggescira*, L. N.
[10] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[11] *hominium*, L. N.
[12] Rectius *dapifero suo, avo* as in L. N.
[13] *Sic* in MS.
[14] *Gwerra*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

Ricardus filius Ysilliæ [1] et Sefridus, dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.

Radulfus de Tirna, xij partem militis [2] in Salopescira.

De novo feffamento [3]:—

Alanus filius Gilberti [4] de Becha, dimidium militem in Mordona, post mortem Henrici Regis, in Gwerra.

Willelmus de Helleia, iiij^{am} partem militis in Salopescira post mortem Henrici Regis.

Valete.

CARTA HUGONIS DE SCALARIIS.

Domino suo Regi Anglorum, Hugo de Scalers [5] salutem [et] fidele servitium.

De vobis teneo feoda xv militum. De hiis xv, viij feodati sunt [6] et iij^{a} [7] pars militis de [8] antiquo, scilicet :—

Theobaldus de Scalariis, [tenet] feodum j militis et vj^{am} partem militis.[9]

Theobaldus filius Fulconis, feoda iiij militum tenet de me.

Alanus de Fulcheriis,[10] feodum j militis.

Robertus de Guiz, feodum dimidii militis et xij^{am} [11] partem j militis.

Lucas de Mordone,[12] feodum iij partis j militis.[13]

Henricus Caperun, tertiam partem militis.[14]

Hunfridus de Cornee, xij^{am} partem j militis.[15]

---

[1] *Ysiliæ*, L. N.

[2] L. N. omits *militis*.

[3] *fefamento*, L. N.

[4] *Gileberti*, L. N.

[5] *Scalariis*, L. N.

[6] *octo sunt feodati*, L. N.

[7] *tertia*, L. N.

[8] *ex*, L. N.

[9] *feodum unius militis et sextam partem militis*, L. N.; where this entry follows the fee of *Theobaldus filius Fulconis*.

[10] *Fulgeriis*, L. N.

[11] *xij*, L. N.

[12] *Mordune*, L. N.

[13] *iij^{a} partis militis*, L. N.

[14] L. N. adds *et duodecimam partem militis*.

[15] *Humfridus de Corenée duodecimam partem militis*, L. N.

CANTEBRIGGESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Galfridus filius Hugonis, iiij^tam [1] partem militis.
Godefrei de Brikeholt,[2] iiij^am partem militis.
Galfridus filius Swein,[3] xij partem militis.
Radulfus filius Ricardi, xij partem militis.
Willelmus Brito, xij partem [4] militis.

Et facio de dominio meo vij milites et iij [5] partes militis. Ita tenuit pater meus de Henrico rege avo vestro.[6] Sed nullum [7] habeo noviter feodatum.

CARTA ALBRICI PICOT.

Domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Albricus [8] Picot salutem et se ipsum totum.

Majestati vestræ notum facio quod Henricus, pater meus, tempore Henrici regis avi vestri feodatus erat per servitium j [9] militis, et omni vita sua ita tenuit quod nullum feodavit, et [10] ego post ipsum. Unde [11] valeat dominus meus.

HUNTENDONSCIRA.

Fo. 111 d. CARTA ABBATIS RAMESEYÆ.[12]

Hæc est inquisitio quam fecit Abbas Rameseyæ [13] de tenementis militum suorum ex mandato domini Regis.

Simon de Bello [14] tenet iij hidas et dimidiam.

Hugo de Bello Campo tenet v hidas.

Eu[er]winus de Graveshurst [15] tenet iij hidas et j virgatam terræ.

---

[1] *quartam*, L. N.
[2] *Biricheholt*, L. N.
[3] *Swani*, L. N.
[4] *partes*, L. N.
[5] *duas*, L. N.
[6] *de avo vestro Rege Henrico*, L. N.
[7] *Nullum*, L. N.
[8] *Albericus*, L. N.
[9] *unius*, L. N.
[10] *nec*, L N.
[11] *Bene*, L. N.
[12] *Rameseiæ*, L. N.
[13] *Willelmus Abbas Rameseiæ*, L. N.
[14] *Sic* in MSS., but should probably be Bello Campo. *See* Dugdale I. 223.
[15] *Gravenhurst*, L. N.

HUNTENDONSCIRA—*cont.*

Silvester de Holewelle, xij[1] hidas. A.D. 1166.
Wido de Stowe, iij[2] hidas et dimidiam.
Alardus de Geddinges, v hidas.
Comes Albricus, v hidas.
Stephanus de Scalers,[3] j hidam et dimidiam.
Oliverus Monachus,[4] vj hidas et dimidiam.
Walterus filius Jocelini de Civocleia,[5] ij hidas et j virgatam.
Robertus de Stiveleia,[5] j hidam.
Radulfus Maindehurst, ij hidas et dimidiam et j virgatam.
[6] Robertus de Creuewelle,[7] iiij hidas.
Alexander filius Philippi, j hidam.
Ricardus Foliot,[8] j hidam.
Fulco de Lisuris, j hidam et j virgatam.
Nigellus de Luvetot, hidam et dimidiam.[9]
Radulfus filius Bernardi, dimidiam hidam.
Eustachius de Elintone,[10] dimidiam hidam.
Henricus de Elintone,[11] dimidiam hidam.
Robertus de Laushulle, j hidam.
Reginaldus Monachus, iij hidas tenet.[12]

Homines faciunt iiij milites in communi ad servitium domini Regis. Ita quod tota terra Abbatiæ communicata est cum eis per hidas ad prædictum servitium faciendum; et hoc eodem modo fecerunt tempore ejusdem Regis[13] Henrici. Post mortem autem ejusdem[14] Regis Henrici nullus miles in tota Abbatia de novo feodatus est. Quidam autem[15] de dominio Ecclesiæ post mortem

[1] *duodecim*, L. N.
[2] *iiij*, L. N.
[3] *Eschaliers*, L. N.
[4] *Monacus*, L. N.
[5] *Stiueclea*, L. N.
[6] L. N. has the entry before Robertus de Creuewelle, *Henricus de Wicha iij hidas*, which is omitted in the MS.
[7] *Criewelle*, L. N.
[8] *Foilet*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MSS.
[10] *Helintone*, L. N.
[11] *Helingtone*, L. N.
[12] *tenet iij hidas*, L. N.
[13] *Regis*, L. N.
[14] L. N. omits *ejusdem*.
[15] *tamen*, L. N.

HUNTENDONSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Regis Henrici aliquid tenent. Et tota terra Abbatiæ ita communicata[1] cum militibus prædictis, quod omnes milites prædicti communicati sunt cum dominio Abbatiæ in omnibus quæ ad necessitatem Ecclesiæ pertinent.

Hugo de Dovra[2] respondit de v militibus de honore de Brunne.[3]

Robertus de Lisuris respondit de j milite.

Warnerus Engaine respondit de dimidio milite.

In feodo quod fuit Comitis Conani xvij milites, xxvij parte minus.

CARTA NIGELLI DE LEVETOT.[4]

Hæc est inscriptio militum qui tenent de baronia Nigelli de Levetot[4] in Huntindonscira.[5]

Canonici Huntedoniæ tenent feodum j militis et dimidii, et ultra x solidatas terræ, unde perfurnitur servitium Willelmi filii Olifardi, qui tenet feodum j militis, x solidatis terræ minus.

Walterus de Beaumes,[6] feodum j militis et iij^am^[7] partem j militis, et v solidatas terræ unde perfurnitur servitium Willelmi de Cloptone, qui tenet feodum j militis, v solidatis[8] terræ minus.

Reginaldus Monachus,[9] ij[10] partes militis.

Rogerus de Kantilupo,[11] iiij^am^[12] partem militis; Willelmus[13] de Cestretone tantundem.

Walterus de Wasingleie,[14] ij[10] partes j[15] militis.

---

[1] *communicata est*, L. N.
[2] *Dovera*, L. N.; where this and the three following entries are a later addition.
[3] Altered in the MS.
[4] *Luvetot*, L. N.
[5] *Huntedonescire*, L. N.
[6] *Bealmes*, L. N.
[7] *tertiam*, L. N.
[8] *solidatas*, L. N.
[9] *Monacus*, L. N.
[10] *duas*, L. N.
[11] *Cantilupo*, L. N
[12] *quartam*, L. N.
[13] *et Willelmus*, L. N.
[14] *Radulfus de Wasingelei*, L. N.
[15] *unius*, L. N.

HUNTENDONSCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus de Percy,[1] iij [2] partem militis.[3] A.D. 1166.

Willelmus Cardun, feodum j militis.

Henricus de Longeville,[4] feodum j militis.

Et ipse Nigellus de Luvetot facit servitium j militis de dominio suo.

De honore Rogeri de Boisly,[5] de feodo de Blia, Robertus de Jorz tenet feodum j militis.

Radulfus filius Willelmi, feodum dimidii militis.

Robertus filius Ernulfi, feodum j militis.[6]

Galfridus de Estantone, feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus Picot, ij [7] partes unius militis.

Ricardus de Cliftone, iij [2] partem militis.[3]

Et ipse Nigellus de Luvetot, feodum j militis de dominio suo.

Omnium horum antecessores mei [8] feodati erant ante obitum Henrici Regis avi vestri [9] nec [10] aliquem habeo feodatum post mortem Henrici Regis.

CARTA WILLELMI DE SAY.

Isti sunt milites Willelmi de Say [11] de veteri feffamento [12]:—

Hugo de Bellocampo, j militem.

Radulfus de Swinesheved, j militem.

Radulfus filius Ricardi de Portenhale [13] et Canonici de Huntindone, dimidium militem.

Ranulfus Percesuil, xx [14] partem militis et ij [15] denarios de marca de milite.

---

[1] *Perci*, L. N.
[2] *tertiam*, L. N.
[3] *j militis*, L. N.
[4] *Longaville*, L. N.
[5] *Builli*, L. N.
[6] This and the following entry are transposed in L. N.
[7] *duas*, L. N.
[8] *mei* omitted in L. N.
[9] *Regis*, L. N
[10] *neque*, L. N.
[11] *Sai*, L. N. There is no rubric in L. N., although space has been left for it.
[12] *fefamento*, L. N.
[13] *Radulfus filius Ricardi et Ricardus de Pertenhale*, L. N.
[14] *vicesimam*, L. N.
[15] *duos*, L. N.

HUNTENDONSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. De noviter feodatis de dominio meo :—

Willelmus de Say,[1] avunculus meus, j militem de Witehurst.

Willelmus Froislun[2] et Jordanus de Holesforde,[3] dimidium militem in Brintone.

Ricardus Anglicus,[4] dimidium militem in Wirham.

Willelmus filius, quintam partem militis in Keneboltone.

Radulfus filius Ricardi, v^am^[5] partem militis in eadem villa, et iiij denarios ad marcam de milite.

Simon Pescesoil,[6] dimidium militem.

Fo. 112.

LINCOLNSCIRA.

CARTA ROBERTI LINCOLNIENSIS EPISCOPI.[7]

Amantissimo domino suo, Henrico Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Robertus Lincolniensis Episcopus salutem et devotum obsequium.

Sciat, domine, excellentia vestra,[8] subscriptos milites [de] feodo Beatæ Mariæ Lincolniæ feffatos[9] esse; et quantum unusquisque eorum debeat, vobis scripto meo significo :—

Walterus de Admerdone debet v milites.

Gilbertus[10] de Novavilla, v milites.

Heli de Ringedone,[11] v milites.

Filius Willelmi de Boisent,[12] vj milites.

---

[1] *Sai*, L. N.

[2] *Frieslun*, L. N.

[3] *Holeford*, L. N.

[4] *Le Engleis*, L. N.

[5] *v*, L. N.

[6] *Percesuil*, L. N. The original entry in the MS. has been altered.

[7] L. N. adds the words *de militibus suis fefatis.*

[8] *vestra excellentia*, L. N.

[9] *fefatos*, L. N.

[10] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

[11] *Helias de Rinegedone*, L. N.

[12] *Buissent*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

Hugo Selvein, iiij milites. A.D. 1166.
Osbertus Selvein, j militem.
Corebet,[1] ij milites.
Woburnus, iiij milites.
Robertus filius Ricardi, ij milites et dimidium.
Philippus de Kima, ij milites.
Rogerus Malherbe, dimidium militem.
Robertus filius Ernulfi,[2] ij milites.
Odo de Sancta Cruce, ij milites.
Cosleinus de Evermund,[3] dimidium militem.
Johannes de Stowa, j militem.
Petrus de Cotes, ij milites.
Columbanus, ij milites.
Rogerus de Cundi, viij milites.
Nigellus de Fleuburgo,[4] iij milites.
Radulfus de Crumwelle, j militem.
Willelmus filius Ranulfi, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Boisent,[5] iij milites.
Willelmus de Dina,[6] j militem.
Beatriz de Evdone,[7] j militem.
Robertus de Canville,[8] j militem.
Robertus Monachus,[9] j militem.
Adelinus de Cliftona, ij milites.
Herbertus[10] Quatremains, j militem.
Ernald de Crandunville,[11] dimidium militem.
Henricus de Oyli,[12] j militem.
Ricardus de Stokes, iij milites.
Mathæus de Chaucumbes,[13] vj milites.
Robertus Chevachefoille,[14] iij milites.

---

[1] *Correbet*, L. N.
[2] *Ernisii*, L. N.
[3] *Gosleinus de Euermunt*, L. N.
[4] *Fleburgo*, L. N.
[5] *Buissent*, L. N.
[6] *Diva*, L. N.
[7] *Eaved*[*one*], L. N.
[8] *Camville*, L. N.
[9] *Monacus*, L. N.
[10] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[11] *Ernaldus de Cardunville*, L. N.
[12] *Oili*, L. N.
[13] *Chaucumbe*, L. N.
[14] *Chevalchesul*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Simon de Cropria, j militem.
Willelmus de Eineford, vj milites.
Robertus de Perepunt,[1] j militem.
Robertus de Carn,[2] ij milites.
Robertus de Stikeltone, j militem.
Herveius[3] de Funtaines, j militem.
Ricardus de Walmescote,[4] j militem.
Reginaldus de Sancto Walerico, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Hamonis, ij milites.
Radulfus de Witone,[5] ij milites et dimidium.
Comes Leircestriæ, j militem.
Willelmus de Chahaines, j militem.

Omnes jam dicti milites feffati[6] sunt tempore Henrici Regis avi vestri, excepto Comite Leiricestriæ[7] et Willelmo de Chahaines, qui post coronationem vestram feffati[6] sunt; et de istis[8] debeo vobis lx milites.

CARTA WILLELMI DE ROMARA.

Feoda militum Willelmi de Romara in Lindeseya[9] et feoda militum in Wiltescira:—

Osbertus de Cauz tenet feoda vj militum.
Herbertus[10] de Cauz, dimidium militem.
Wido[11] de Ver, j militem
Yvo[12] de Wibadestone, j militem.
Galfridus de Tures,[13] iij miiites.
Radulfus de Braibof,[14] iij milites.
Petrus de Gasle, dimidium militem.
Alanus de Oteby,[15] ij milites et dimidium.

---

[1] *Pierepunt*, L. N.
[2] *Charun*, L. N.
[3] *Hereveius*, L. N.
[4] *Willamescote*, L. N.
[5] *Withune*, L. N.
[6] *fefati*, L. N.
[7] *Leircestriæ*, L. N.
[8] *hiis*, L. N.
[9] *Lindesia*, L. N.
[10] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[11] *Gwido*, L. N.
[12] *Ivo*, L. N.
[13] *le Turcs*, L. N.
[14] *Braiboef*, L. N.
[15] *Otebi*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

Gervasius de Haltone, iiij milites. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus de Horsintone, j militem.
Radulfus de Herlintone, j militem.
Rogerus de Belingewrde,[1] iij milites.
Philippus de Kima, j militem et dimidium.
David de Totintone,[2] j militem.
Fo. 112 d. Robertus Carbonel, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Bricwerre,[3] iiij[tam 4] partem militis.
Simon de Haltone, iiij[am 4] partem militis.
Osbertus Malebisse, iiij[am 4] partem militis.
Amfridus de Milingebi, iiij[am 5] partem militis.
Willelmus de Milingebi, dimidium militem nuper feodatum [6]:—
Philippus de Kima, j militem.
Rogerus de Tailoel,[7] j militem.
Willelmus de Bolonia, j militem.
Lambert [8] de Muletone, dimidium militem.
Feodum de Therkeby,[9] dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Rothomago, dimidium militem.
Johannes de la Rivere,[10] v milites.
Godefridus de Dun, iiij milites.
Radulfus de Kantilupo,[11] j militem.
Walterus de Kantilupo,[11] ij milites.
Radulfus de Lingeure, j militem.
Radulfus Pasturel, dimidium militem.
Gilbertus [12] de Columbariis, iiij[tam 4] partem militis.
Monachi de Walop,[13] j militem.
Quidam Judæus in Fetelecima, iij partes militis.

---

[1] *Bellingwrthe*, L. N.
[2] *Totinctone*, L. N.
[3] *Brigwere*, L. N.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *iiij*, L. N.
[6] *Nuper feodati*, L. N., as a heading for the supposed fees of recent enfeofment.
[7] *Toiloel*, L. N.
[8] *Lambertus*, L. N.
[9] *Cherkebi*, L. N.
[10] *Riviere*, L. N.
[11] *Cantilupo*, L. N.
[12] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[13] *Wallop*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Simon de Oyly,[1] j militem.
Ricardus de Kamville,[2] iiij milites.
Simon Wac, j militem.
Robertus de[3] Cauceis et Willelmus Leveri sunt in manu Regis.

Relaxatio militum in eodem feodo[4]:—
De Rogero de Beningwrthe,[5] v milites et dimidium.
Gervasius de Haltone, j militem.
Guido[6] de Ver, j militem.
Radulfus de Lingeura, j militem.

Summa militum—lvij.

De monachis de Revesbi, j militem relaxavit.
Hanc relaxationem ix militum et dimidii fecit Willelmus Comes de Rumara[7] hiis prædictis.

CARTA SIMONIS DE CANCI.[8]

Hoc est tenementum Simonis de Cancy[9] quod tenet de Rege in capite de veteri feffamento,[10] feodum, videlicet, v militum; et hæc sunt nomina militum:—
Galfridus de Neville, feodum j militis.
Oliverus de Bocleville, feodum j militis.
Simon de Roplai,[11] feodum j militis.
Hugo de Neville, feodum j militis.
Et de dominio suo debet j militem.

CARTA HUGONIS WAC.

Henrico Regi[12] domino suo carissimo, Hugo Wac salutem et fidele servitium.

---

[1] *Oili*, L. N.
[2] *Camville*, L. N.
[3] *le*, L. N.
[4] *feodo* is omitted in L. N.
[5] *Benigwurtheh'*, L. N.
[6] *Gwido*, L. N.
[7] *Comes Willelmus de Romara*, L. N.
[8] This rubric is omitted in L. N.
[9] *Canci*, L. N.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Roppelai*, L. N.
[12] *Regi Anglorum*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

Dominus[1] Willelmus de Coleville tenet de baronia quam de vobis teneo feoda ij[2] militum, scilicet, Roland de Crectone,[3] j militem, et Alexander de Wacford,[4] j militem; et tantum ei dedi de meo dominio de Brunne, unde mihi quartam partem militis debet. A.D. 1166.

Ernaldus de Bosco, feoda ij[2] militum, scilicet, Illebertus de Childebiria,[5] j militem; Yvo Mauduit,[6] j militem.

Renaldus de Tanet,[7] feodum ij[2] militum quod[8] in dominio de me tenet.

Elias[9] de Kingesdone, feodum j militis. Quando Rex Henricus feodavit Willelmum de Rolles, tunc Willelmus de Rolles[10] de dominio suo dedit Osmundo[11] de Wasprei feodum j militis, quod[8] Willelmus, filius suus, tenet de me.

Et Baldewinus filius Gilberti[12]—postquam Rex Henricus pro suo servitio[13] ei dedit de dominio suo de Brume[14]—pro servitio suo dedit Roberto filio Gudboldi[15] feodum j militis, quod[8] filius suus tenet de me. Et cuidam pincernæ suæ, Geroldo de Pinge,[16] de dominio suo de Pinge[16] dedit feodum dimidii militis, quod[8] ipse Geroldus tenet de me. In Hertfordscira sunt quidam pauperes homines qui tenent de me, scilicet, Ilbertus filius Hamonis Clerici, de iiij[a][17] parte militis; Henricus de Hosselles,[18] de iiij[a][17] parte militis; filius Hugonis de Laceles,[19] de viij[a][20] parte militis.

---

[1] *Domine*, L. N.
[2] *duorum*, L. N.
[3] *Rolandus de Cretone*, L. N.
[4] *Watforde*, L. N.
[5] *Ilebertus de Childeberi*, L. N.
[6] *Ivo Malduit*, L. N.
[7] *Tanete*, L. N.
[8] *quem*, L. N.
[9] *Helias*, L. N.
[10] *Rollos*, L. N.
[11] *Osmundum* in MSS.
[12] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[13] *servitio suo*, L. N.
[14] *Brunne*, L. N.
[15] *Guboldi*, L. N.
[16] *Sic* in MS.; *de Depinge*, L. N.
[17] *quarta*, L. N.
[18] *Hosolles*, L. N.
[19] *Lacelles*, L. N.
[20] *octava*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Domine, vos vultis scire quale servitium vobis debeo de meo dominio. Hoc est servitium quod mei prædecessores[1] temporibus[2] suis servierunt Regi Henrico, qui eis terram dedit, et ego vobis servitium mei corporis debeo veluti[3] domino qui mihi illam dedistis, quando illud vobis capere placuerit. Domine, carta ista oportebat fieri,[4] et si amplius inquirere possum,[5] notificabo vobis veluti[3] domino. Valete.

Fo. 113. CARTA WALTERI DE AINECURT.[6]

De feffamento[7] Walteri de Ainecurt[6] tenet Paganus de Sancta Maria feoda v militum et dimidii.

Willelmus de Bella Aqua, dimidium militem.[8]

Radulfus de Ainecurt,[6] iij milites.[8]

Robertus filius Walteri, iij milites.[8]

Hugo de Haveringe,[9] iiij milites.[8]

Galfridus de Stontone[10] et Thomas de Rolestone,[11] iiij feoda militum.

Helias de Famincurt,[12] j militem.

Radulfus de Haia, j militem.[8]

Willelmus de Coleville, j militem.

Mauricius et Henricus, j militem.[8]

Et hii tenent de veteri feffamento.[7]

De novo feffamento[7] tenet Gervasius de Heriz, j militem.[8]

Guido[13] de Russedale et Fulco de Alneto, j militem.

Radulfus de Ainecurt,[6] j militem.

---

[1] *Præcessores*, L. N.
[2] *corporibus*, L. N.
[3] *uti*, L. N.
[4] *festinanter fieri*, L. N.
[5] *possim*, L. N.
[6] *Aincurt*, L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *militem* or *milites* omitted in L. N.
[9] *Hoveringeham*, L. N.
[10] *Stantone*, L. N.
[11] *Rollestone*, L. N.
[12] *Fanucurt*, L. N.
[13] *Gwido*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

Philippus de Kime et Eustachius de Berchectane,[1] A.D. 1166. feodum j militis.

Radulfus de Waltervilla et Radulfus de Grincurt, dimidium militem.

Elias de Fanuent,[2] dimidium militem.

Et super dominium, xj milites.

CARTA WILLELMI MARTEL.

Ego Willelmus Martel teneo de Rege in capite[3] quartam partem j militis[4] in Canewico[5] juxta Lincolniam de antiquo feffamento,[6] unde debeo ei facere servitium et nichil[7] de novo feffamento[6] in comitatu Lincolniæ.

CARTA COMITIS SIMONIS.

Hii sunt feodati de comitatu[8] Comitis Simonis post mortem[9] Henrici Regis:—

Robertus filius Hugonis, j militem et dimidium de dominio de Heddenham.[10]

Adam de Amundeville, j militem de eodem dominio.

Hugo Wac augmentatus est de Hermetorp sine augmento servitii; et Willelmus de Amundeville, de Grinestorp,[11] sine augmento servitii.

Herbertus filius Alard,[12] dimidium militem de dominio.

Philippus de Kime, dimidium militem de dominio.

Ricardus Bar,[13] dimidium militem de dominio.

---

[1] *Berchett*[*ane*], L. N.
[2] *Sic* in MS.; *Fanucurt*, L. N.
[3] *in capite de Rege*, L. N.
[4] *feodi j militis*, L. N.
[5] *Canewic*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *nichil habeo*, L. N.
[8] *Hii sunt milites feodati Comitis Simonis*, L. N.
[9] *obitum*, L. N.
[10] *Edenham*, L. N.
[11] *Grimestorpe*, L. N.
[12] *Herbertus filius Alardi*, L. N.
[13] *Baar*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Elias [1] Foliot, dimidium militem.
Radulfus Medicus, dimidium militem.
Elias [2] de Amund[eville], j militem.
Radulfus filius Willelmi, j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus de Vescy,[3] tertiam partem militis.
Gernagotus, j militem.
Willelmus filius Walteri, quintam [4] partem j militis.
Willelmus Grim, iiij^{am} [5] partem militis.
Walterus Clericus, v^{am} partem militis.[6]
Johannes Camerarius, vj^{am} partem militis.[6]
Robertus Pincerna, xij partem [militis].[7]
Thomas de Hal, xij partem militis.[6]
Ricardus Frost, x partem militis.[6]
Bunda, iiij^{am} [5] partem militis.[6]
Jocelinus de Bartun, viij^{am} [8] partem militis.[6]
Robertus filius Malgeri, x partem militis.[6]
Malgerus de Hund[ington], xvj [9] partem [militis].
Andreas de Norewico,[10] x partem.
Ricardus filius Rogeri, xx [11] partem.[12]
Elias,[13] frater ejus, xx [11] partem.
Safridus,[14] x partem.
Wigotus, xxx [15] partem.
Aaron, dimidium militem.
Philippus de Kime augmentatus est de j [16] milite, qui servitium iij [17] militum faciebat antiquitus.
Besingeby [18] et Spetone, feoda scilicet ij [19] mi-

---

[1] *Helias*, L. N.
[2] *Helyas*, L. N.
[3] *Vesci*, L. N.
[4] *v*, L. N.
[5] *quartam*, L. N.
[6] L. N. omits *militis*.
[7] *militis* omitted in MS.
[8] *octavam*, L. N.
[9] *sextam decimam*, L. N.
[10] *Norewiz*, L. N.
[11] *vicesimam*, L. N.
[12] L. N. adds *militis*.
[13] *Helias*, L. N.
[14] *Safredus*, L. N.
[15] *tricesimam*, L. N.
[16] *uno*, L. N.
[17] *trium*, L. N.
[18] *Besingebi*, L. N.
[19] *duorum*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

litum, datæ[1] sunt Canonicis de Brell[ington] in elemosinam. A.D. 1166.

Hii sunt [milites] feodati Comitis Sy[monis][2] ex tempore Henrici Regis[3]:—

Robertus Marin, vj milites.
Ricardus de la Hale, j militem.
Hugo Wac, j militem.
Reginaldus Crevequer,[4] dimidium militem.[5]
Henricus de la Reie,[6] j militem.
David de Armere, x milites.
Philippus de Kima, iij milites.
Willelmus filius Walteri, vj milites.[7]
Willelmus de Amundeville, iij milites.
Willelmus de Heriz, iiij milites.
Hugo de Muschamp, iij milites.
Leonius de Arcellis, iij milites.
Hugo filius Radulfi, iiij milites et dimidium.
Herbert filius Alard,[8] j militem.
Robertus filius Simonis, ij milites.
Petrus de Screinbi,[9] j militem.
Galfridus de Ekeringes,[10] j militem.
Radulfus de Wiham, j militem.
Willelmus de Saili,[11] j militem.
Fo. 113 d. Brien, j militem.
Jocelinus de Brandone,[12] j militem.
Willelmus de Torpe,[13] j militem.
Ascelinus de Walterville, dimidium militem.
Ivo de Selkebi, vj^{tam} partem militis.

---

[1] *data*, L. N.
[2] *Sy*[*monis Comitis*], L. N.
[3] A rubric which is given here in L. N. as *Carta Comitis Sy*[*monis*] is erased in the MS.
[4] *de Creievequer*, L. N.
[5] L. N. omits *militem*.
[6] *Rie*, L. N.
[7] *milites* is omitted in L. N.
[8] *Herebertus filius Aellard*, L. N.
[9] *Scrembi*, L. N.
[10] *Eikeringes*, L. N.
[11] *Sailli*, L. N.
[12] *Brandun*, L. N.
[13] *Torp*, L. N.

LINCOLNSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Reinelinus, iij [1] partes militis.[2]
Hugo de Braitoft,[3] iij[am] [4] partem militis.
Hugo filius Walteri Camerarii, iiij[tam] [5] partem militis.
Jocelinus Peil de Cerf, iiij[tam] [5] partem militis.
Willelmus de Brachtewrte,[6] v [7] partem militis.
Radulfus de Herford.[8] Galfridus de Neville, ij milites.
Robertus Scropes,[9] j militem.
Henricus, j militem.
Walterus de Grendone, j militem.
Ranulfus filius Walteri, j militem.
Thomas, j militem.
Galfridus filius Malgeri, iiij[tam] partem militis.
Ernaldus de Martun, iiij partem militis.
Almaricus de Rittone,[10] iiij partem militis.
Gumer, vj partem militis.
Besingebi, j militem.
Spectone,[11] j militem.
Yvo filius Walteri, vj[tam] partem militis.[12]

CARTA MAURICII DE CROHOM.[13]

Henrico Regi Anglorum, domino suo, Mauricius de Crohom [13] salutem. Mando vobis quod Simon filius Walteri tenet de meo feodo [14] vj [15] milites.
Thomas filius Willelmi, j militem.
Alanus de Roches, j militem.
Rogerus filius Willelmi, iij milites.

---

[1] *tres*, L. N.
[2] *j militis*, L. N.
[3] *Breintoft*, L. N.
[4] *tertiam*, L. N.
[5] *quartam*, L. N.
[6] *Bracwrthe*, L. N.
[7] *quintam*, L. N.
[8] *Hereford*, L. N.
[9] *Scrop*, L. N.
[10] *Rithun*, L. N.
[11] *Spetone*, L. N.
[12] *militis* repeated in MS.
[13] On an erasure in MS.; *Crun*, L. N.
[14] *feodo meo*, L. N.
[15] *sex*, L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

Henricus filius Vivien, j militem. A.D. 1166.
Alvredus filius Alexandri, iij milites.
Ricardus Luvet, j militem et dimidium.
Hugo de Boby,[1] j militem.
Hugo filius Algeri, j militem.
Columbanus, j militem.
Johannes de Wellebec,[2] j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus de Amundeville, dimidium militem.
Hoc feodum supradictum est de antiquo feodo meo.

Baldricus, archidiaconus Leicestriæ[3] tenet de feodo meo j militem.
Rogerus filius Willelmi, j militem.
Willelmus filius Simonis, dimidium militem.
Alvredus filius Alexandri, dimidium militem.
Robertus Monachus,[4] dimidium militem.
Stephanus Camerarius, j militem.
Istos post nominatos feffavit[5] pater meus et ego post mortem Henrici Regis avi vestri.

CARTA LAMBERTI DE SCOTENI.[6]

Venerabili domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum,[7] Lambertus de Scoteni[6] salutem.

Sciatis quod ego, vestri gratia, teneo de vobis xvj carucatas[8] terræ et duas bovatas per servitium x militum. In hiis xvj carucatis[9] terræ habeo v milites feodatos ex antiquitate:—

Ricardus de Haia tenet feodum j militis; et servitium illud vobis et mihi, postquam coronatus fuisti, detinuit hucusque, nisi quod solum duas marcas habui.

---

[1] *Boebi*, L. N.; the *e* is marked for deletion in the MS.
[2] *Wellebi*, L. N.
[3] *Lericestriæ*, L. N.
[4] *Monacus*, L. N.
[5] *feofavit*, L. N.
[6] *Scotenni*, L. N.
[7] *Angliæ*, L. N.
[8] *carucatas* repeated in MS.; *carrucatas*, L. N.
[9] *carrucatis*, L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et Eudo de Cranesbi[1] tenet feodum j militis.
Thomas filius Willelmi, j militem.
Rogerus de Millers,[2] ij milites.

Et ex dominio meo vobis[3] mando quod debeo servitium v militum; et ex illo dominio dedi Roberto de Portemort,[4] tres partes j militis. Ideo vos deprecor quod mihi placitum vestrum de Ricardo de Haia mandetis, qui mihi servitium illius feodi detinet, nec potero habere nisi per præceptum vestrum. Et totum servitium istud[5] est in prædictis xvj carucatis[6] terræ. Valete.

CARTA THOMÆ DE ARCY.[7]

Hoc est feodum Thomæ de Arcy[7]:—
Thomas de Arci tenet feoda v militum.
Nicholaus[8] de Arci tenet feoda iij militum.
Robertus de Neville, j militis.
Andreas de Wactone,[9] j militis.
Willelmus filius Galfridi, j militis.
Gerardus de Crokesbi, j militis.
Hugo de Turgrimbi et Thomas filius Willelmi de Turgrimbi[10] tenent feoda iij[11] militum.
Alanus de Ormesbi tenet feodum j militis.
Willelmus Berner[12] et Johannes de Ouresbi, feodum j militis.
Simon filius Osberti, ij militum.
Willelmus Hurtquarter,[13] et Joelanus de Curtes,[14] et Radulfus Pincun tenent feodum j militis.
Hæc feoda sunt de veteri feffamento.[15]

---

[1] *Grainesby*, L. N.
[2] *Millei*, L. N.
[3] *vos*, L. N.
[4] *Portmort*, L. N.
[5] *istud servitium*, L. N.
[6] *hiis prædictis carrucatis*, L. N.
[7] *Arci*, L. N.
[8] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[9] *Wottone*, L. N.
[10] *Turegrimbi*, L. N.
[11] *trium*, L. N.
[12] *Bernier*, L. N.
[13] *Hurtequartier*, L. N.
[14] *Cotes*, L. N.
[15] *fefamento*, L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

De novo feffamento[1]:— A.D. 1166

Robertus filius Hugonis, dimidium militem de dominio suo.

Radulfus de Arcy[2] tenet feodum iiij^{tæ}[3] partis j[4] militis de dominio suo.

CARTA HUGONIS DE BAIOCIS.

Domino suo carissimo Henrico Regi Anglorum, Hugo de Baiocis salutem. Veteres feodati[5] de meo feodo, scilicet, die[6] et anno quo Rex Henricus avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus, sunt isti:— Fo. 114.

Petrus de Gaosa, feoda[7] v militum; sed Petrus in feodo illo fundavit quandam Abbatiam in feodo j militis, in qua elemosina pater meus volens partiri, Abbatiam illam franchiavit de servitio feodi j militis ubi fundata erat; et servitium illius militis cepit super dominium[8] suum, et quietos inde clamavit Petrum et hæredes suos. Petrus itaqua feoda habet iiij militum; et ab eo feffati[5] sunt Petrus de Merstona et Joce de Brunne.

Agnes filia Jocelini de feodis iij[9] militum. Ab eo[10] feffati[5] sunt [Walterus] de Huel[11] et Petrus de Mause.

Adam de Rowel[12] de iiij^{or} militibus; et ab eo feffati[5] sunt Robertus de Wedone[13] et Ricardus de Cukewald.[14]

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Arci*, L. N.
[3] *quartæ*, L. N.
[4] *unius*, L. N.
[5] *fefati*, L. N.
[6] *de die*, L. N.
[7] *de feodis*, L. N.
[8] *dominicum*, L. N.
[9] *trium*, L. N.
[10] *Ab ea*, L. N.
[11] *Walterus* omitted in MS.; *Walterus de Huwelle*, L. N.
[12] *Rowelle*, L. N. This and the following entries are entered in the MS. without any intelligent division of the paragraphs, which have been restored here from L. N.
[13] *Widine*, L. N.
[14] *Chukuald*, L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Jordanus de Hegelinge de iij militibus; et ab eo feffati [1] sunt Ranulfus de Bratichherge [2] et Johannes de Maringe.

Willelmus de Branceville [3] de feodo j militis.

Alanus de Rapingehale [4] de feodo dimidii militis; et iiij^{a} [5] pars militis in calumpnia est inter me et ipsum.[6]

Post mortem Regis Henrici feffati [1] sunt isti de feodo meo, scilicet:—

Alanus, frater meus, de feodis ij militum; et ab eo feffatus [7] est Simon de Insula.

Ace de Sancto Luzo feffatus [7] est de feodo j militis.

Suspirus de Baiocis de feodo dimidii militis.

Ivo de Maricis [8] de feodo dimidii militis.

Rogerus de Punchardun [9] de v^{a} parte militis.

Remanet itaque modo super dominium meum feodum dimidii militis v^{a} parte minus; et inde tenent [10] de me in maritagio Willelmus de Ver [11] et Gilbertus [12] de Sancto Laudo [13] cum duabus sororibus meis, absque hoc quod inde feffati [1] sunt. Et ego inde servitium feci.

Valete.

CARTA ROBERTI FILII HUGONIS.

Servitium quod Robertus filius Hugonis debet Regi [est] xxv militum. Ex hiis sunt feffati [1] xij et dimidius ex tempore Regis Henrici:—

Everardus de Adsnes,[14] ij milites et tertiam partem militis.[15]

---

[1] *fefati*, L. N.
[2] *Sic* in MS.; *Braceberge*, L. N.
[3] *Brancewelle*, L. N.
[4] *Repingehale*, L. N.
[5] *quarta*, L. N.
[6] *illum*, L. N.
[7] *fefatus*, L. N.
[8] *Yvo de Mariscis*, L. N.
[9] *Puntchardun*, L. N.
[10] *tenet* in MS.
[11] *Ver* is followed by an erasure; *Weres*, L. N.
[12] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[13] *Lodo*, L. N.
[14] The second *s* is interlined in MS.; *Adnes*, L. N.
[15] *militis* omitted in L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

Rich[er][1] de Docing,[2] j militem. A.D. 1166.
Robertus filius Simonis, j militem.
Willelmus Foliot, j militem.
Herbertus filius Aelard,[3] dimidium militem.
Alanus de Ageford,[4] dimidiam partem [militis].
Rogerus de Tostes, dimidiam partem.
Herbertus de Masingham,[5] ij [6] partes militis.
Willelmus de Hoiland,[7] ij [6] partes.
Walterus filius, ij [6] partes.
Ricardus de Heclingham,[8] ij partes.
Radulfus filius Willelmi, ij [6] partes.
Radulfus de Burt, iij^{am} [9] partem.
Robertus de Dentona, iij^{am} [9] partem.
Albricus, iij^{am} [9] partem.
Simon de Boby,[10] vj^{am} partem.
Alanus le Bret,[11] vj^{am} partem.
Walterus filius Hugonis, vj^{am} partem.
Osbertus Malebisse, vj^{am} [12] partem.
Henricus de Kele,[13] vj^{am} [12] partem.
Willelmus filius Ivonis, vj^{am} [12] partem.
Gervasius et Thomas de Kaimesbi,[14] vj^{am} partem.
Walterus filius Irvei,[15] Rogerus de Gerlintone,[16] vj^{am} [12] partem.
Willelmus filius Hervei,[17] x partem.

---

[1] *Ric*[*ardus*], L. N.
[2] *Docinge*, L. N.
[3] *Herebertus filius Aelardi*, L. N.
[4] *Agford*, L. N.
[5] *Herebertus de Massingeham*, L. N.
[6] *duas*, L. N.
[7] *Hoilande*, L. N.
[8] *Heclingeham*, L. N.
[9] *tertiam*, L. N.
[10] Possibly *Roby* altered to *Boby*; *Bobi*, L. N.
[11] *De Bret* altered to *le Bret* by subpuncting *De* for deletion and interlining *le*.
[12] *sextam*, L. N.
[13] William son of Ivo precedes Henry de Kele in L. N.
[14] *Kannosbi*, L. N.
[15] *Irvoi*, L. N.
[16] *Gerlingtone*, L. N.
[17] *Herevei*, L. N.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et post mortem Henrici Regis feffavi[1] de meo dominio:—

Espervic,[2] j militem.

Willelmus de la Lande, dimidiam partem militis.

CARTA RICARDI DE HAIA.

Isti sunt milites Ricardi de Haia, et serviunt ad servitium Regis per carucatas.[3] Et v[4] carucatæ[5] faciunt j militem, et milites tenent eas, quidam plus quidam minus:—

Philippus de Kima et Joselinus de Engleby[6] debent ij[7] milites.

Rogerus de Estikewalt,[8] j militem.

Ricardus de Faldingoard,[9] et Robertus de Hanoworda,[10] et Rogerus de Suthbroc,[11] dimidium militem.

Thomas de Pingoorde[12] et Jionec[13] de Flintheam,[14] ij milites.

Reginaldus de Neutona[15] et Alanus Pes Canis, j militem.

Rogerus Burnel[16] et Robertus filius Juliani, ij milites.

Gerardus de Cherchebi,[17] et Jordanus de Essebi, et Ricardus de Orostetone,[18] ij milites.

Walterus de Amundeville,[19] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *fefavi*, L. N.
[2] *Espervit*, L. N.
[3] *carrucatas*, L. N.
[4] *quinque*, L. N.
[5] *carrucatæ*, L. N.
[6] *Joisleinus de Englebi*, L. N.
[7] *duos*, L. N.
[8] With an erasure in the MS.
[9] *Faldigoard*[*e*], L. N.
[10] *Hanoorda*, L. N. The *w* is a later interlineation in the MS.
[11] *Sutbroc*, L. N.
[12] *Pigoorda*, L. N.
[13] *Juonec* altered to *Jionec* after an erasure in the MS.; *Gwionec*, L. N.
[14] *Felintheham*, L. N.
[15] *Niwetone*, L. N.
[16] The *r* in *Burnel* is interlined in the MS.; *Bunel*, L. N.
[17] *Cherkebi*, L. N.
[18] *Crostetone*, L. N.
[19] *H* apparently erased in MS. before *Amundeville*.

LINCOLIESCIRA—*cont.*

Isti sunt milites de veteri feffamento.[1] A.D. 1166.
Et isti sunt de novo: —
Hugo Bardulfus,[2] ij[3] milites.
Doun Bardulf, j militem.
Willelmus de Landa, j militem.
Et v[4] milites super dominium.[5]

Fo. 114 d.

NORFOLCIA.[6]

CARTA WILLELMI EPISCOPI NORWICENSIS.[7]

Domino suo carissimo Henrico illustri[8] Regi Anglorum, Willelmus, Dei gratia Norwicensis[9] dictus Episcopus, salutem et fidelitatem.

Isti sunt milites feffati[10] de veteri feffamento[1] Episcopatus Norwicensis:—

Petrus Constabularius habet iij milites et dimidium.
Galfridus Dapifer, v milites.
Rogerus de Gonetone, iiij milites.
Rogerus Archidiaconus, ij milites.
Willelmus de Colecherehe,[11] j militem.
Simon de Noers,[12] j militem.
Willelmus Grossus, j militem.
Rogerus de Wikemere,[13] dimidium militem.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Bardulf*, L. N.
[3] *duos*, L. N.
[4] *quinque*, L. N.
[5] L. N. has below this entry the heading *[C]omes Cestr[iæ]*, in a later hand, the initial *C* of *Comes* being left blank and a small *c* inserted in the margin as a guide to the rubricator.
[6] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[7] *Carta domini Norwicensis*, L. N.
[8] *illustrissimo*, L. N.
[9] *Nerwicensis*, L. N.
[10] *fefati*, L. N.
[11] *Colechirche*, L. N.
[12] *Nuers*, L. N.
[13] *Wikemera*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Sicheford,[1] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Glanville, dimidium militem.
Monachi Norwicensis Ecclesiæ, dimidium militem.
Osmundus Marscallus,[2] iiij[tam][3] partem militis.
Petrus de Meling,[4] iiij milites.
Willelmus de Wirmegey,[5] x milites; sed dimidi[um] milit[em] mihi deforciat.[6]

Isti milites[7] feffati[8] de novo feffamento[9] ante mortem Henrici Regis.[10] Post mortem ejus, tempore Guerræ, dedit Eborardus Episcopus Johanni filio Roberti manerium de Bliccling[11] de dominio Episcopi Norwicensis[12] pro servitio j militis, quod modo tenet Willelmus, frater ejus.[13]

CARTA DE HONORE SANCTI EDMUNDI.

Isti sunt milites de honore Sancti Edmundi[14] de veteri feffamento[9] de tempore Regis Henrici:—

Willelmus de Hasting,[15] v milites.
Willelmus Blundus, j militem.
Petrus de Brokeleia, j militem.
Hugo de Illeia,[16] ij milites.
Gilbertus[17] filius Radulfi, iij milites.
Robertus Revel, dimidium militem.
Robertus de Langetot, iij milites.
Adam de Horningeshearde,[18] dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Bokeham, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Secheford*, L. N.
[2] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[3] *quartam*, L. N.
[4] *Mellinges*, L. N.
[5] *Wermegai*, L. N.
[6] *difforciat*, L. N.
[7] *Isti sunt milites*, L. N.
[8] *fefati*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.
[11] *Blicklinga*, L. N.
[12] *Norewicensis*, L. N.
[13] *suus*, L. N.
[14] *Eadmundi*, L. N.
[15] *Hastinges*, L. N.
[16] *Isleia*, L. N.
[17] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[18] *Hornigesearde*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

Ricardus de Hanesty,[1] dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Comes Albricus, v milites et dimidium.
Simon de Hichewrthe,[2] ij milites.
Hamon Pecc[atu]m, ij milites.
Robertus de Valoniis, j militem.
Henricus de Livremere, ij [3] partes militis.
Gilbertus [4] de Turstone, iij [5] partem militis.
Fulko [6] filius Willelmi, ij milites.
Robertus de Sexham,[7] j militem.
Willelmus de Hou, ij milites.
Gilbertus [4] de Sancto Claro, ij [3] partes j militis.
Ricardus de Wicham,[8] iij [5] partem j militis.
Jocelinus de Lodnis, j militem.
Willelmus filius Golbodi, j militem.
Robertus de Cokesfeld,[9] j militem et dimidium.
Walterus de Lindeseia, j militem.
Comes Hugo, iij milites.
Willelmus de Berdewelle, ij milites.
Willelmus de Taidene, dimidium militem.
Normannus de Rissebi,[10] dimidium militem.
Anselmus de Randestone, j militem.
Raimundus Bigod, j militem.
Radulfus de Halstede, j militem.
Adam de Welwethame,[11] iij [12] partes j militis.
Wibertus, iiij[am] [13] partem j militis.
Willelmus de Kaisneto,[14] j militem.
Ranulfus de Glanville, j militem.
Alexander de Wridesthelle,[15] iiij[am] [13] partem j militis.

---

[1] *Anesti*, L. N.
[2] *Hicchewrthe*, L. N.
[3] *duas*, L. N.
[4] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[5] *tertiam*, L. N.
[6] *Fulco*, L. N.
[7] *Sexam*, L. N.
[8] *Wrtham*, L. N.
[9] *Cokefelde*, L. N.
[10] *Risebi*, L. N.
[11] *Welvetham*, L. N.
[12] *tres*, L. N.
[13] *quartam*, L. N.
[14] *Caisneto*, L. N.
[15] *Wridestelle*, L. N.; the *h* is interlineated in a later hand in MS.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Stephanus de Brokedis, iiij^{am} partem j militis.[1]

Hunfridus[2] de Berningeham, iiij^{am} partem j militis;[1] solus de novo feffamento.

Hæc est summa militum Ecclesiæ Sancti Edmundi,[3] scilicet, lij et dimidium et iiij^{a}[4] pars j militis; sed Ecclesia[5] non debet nisi servitium xl militum. Et ex hiis retinet Comes Hugo et deforciat[6] wardam trium militum in Castello de Norwico.[7]

CARTA WILLELMI[8] ABBATIS DE HULMO.

Carissimo domino suo Henrico illustri Regi Anglorum, Willelmus, Dei gratia dictus Abbas de Hulmo, salutem et fidele servitium.

Isti sunt milites de veteri feffamento[9] Ecclesiæ nostræ :—

Willelmus de Caletorp habet feodum militis.

Ricardus de Hurstestede[10] tenet feodum militis in eadem villa.[11]

Bartholomæus de Glanvilla tenet iij[12] partes militis in villa de Hamingges.[13]

Walterus de Wilitone[14] tenet dimidiam partem militis.

Stephanus tenet v^{am} partem militis in Redham.

Willelmus de Stalham tenet v partem militis in Besetone et in Stalham.

De novo feffamento,[9] post mortem Regis Henrici, non habemus.

---

[1] *quartam partem militis*, L. N.
[2] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[3] *Eadmundi*, L. N.
[4] *quarta*, L. N.
[5] *Eclesia* in MS.
[6] *difforciat*, L. N.
[7] *Norewico*, L. N.
[8] *Willelmi* omitted in L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] The *r* is interlineated in a later hand in the MS.
[11] *In eadem villa Bartholomæus* . . . begins a new entry in L. N.
[12] *tres*, L. N.
[13] *In villa de Hanigges* begins a new entry in L. N.
[14] *Wllintone*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

Fo. 115. CARTA COMITIS HUGONIS.[1] A.D. 1166.

Hoc est scriptum Comitis Hugonis de militibus quos tenet in capite de Rege de veteri feffamento,[2] quos Rogerus Bigod,[3] pater suus, feffavit[4]:—

Comes Arundeliæ,[5] x milites de maritagio.
Willelmus de Wall[ibus], xxx milites.
Bartholomæus de Crec, viij milites.
Robertus de Glanville, iij milites.
Alenaldus[6] de Bidun, vij milites.
Willelmus de Verdone,[7] vj milites.
Robertus de Vaus,[8] v milites.
Willelmus de Wirangerge,[9] ij milites.
Jordanus de Lodnes, iij milites.
Osbertus[10] filius Willelmi, iiij milites.
Willelmus de Curzun,[11] iij milites.
Robertus Butevilain, iij milites.
Willelmus de Bosco, j militem et dimidium.
Radulfus filius Walteri, j militem.
Philippus de Evereus,[12] j militem.
Rogerus de Raimes, ij milites de maritagio.
Rogerus de Herleberge, dimidium militem.
Willelmus filius Ricardi, iij milites.
Herbertus[13] Buliun, dimidium militem.
Nicholaus[14] et Robertus, j militem.
Hersent, j militem et dimidium.
Ricardus filius Mauricii, ij milites et dimidium.
Filius Willelmi de Fraxneto,[15] j militem et iiij^am[16] partem.

---

[1] *Comitis Hugonis Bigod*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *Bigotus*, L. N.
[4] *fefavit*, L. N.
[5] *Arundelliæ*, L. N.
[6] *Halendaldus*, L. N.
[7] *Verdum* L. N.
[8] *Vall[ibus]*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MS.; *Wirming[ai]*, L. N.
[10] *Osebertus*, L. N.
[11] *Curcun*, L. N.
[12] *Evrols*, L. N.
[13] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[14] *Nicolaus*, L. N.
[15] *Fraxineto*, L. N.
[16] *quartam*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Galfridus Patitus,[1] ij milites.
Ricardus filius Osberti,[2] dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Pirou,[3] j militem.
Willelmus de Boneville,[4] iij milites.
Radulfus de Turlaville, iij milites.
Robertus, et Hamo, et Jordanus, et Robertus, ij milites.
Uxor Radulfi filii Berner[i],[5] dimidium.
Simon de Kantilupo, ij et dimidium.
Radulfus filius Normanni, iij milites et dimidium.
Geroldus Marscallus,[6] dimidium militem.
Ranulfus de Glanville, dimidium [militem].
Willelmus Hom[ez], j militem et iiij^{am} partem militis.
Willelmus filius Willelmi, iiij^{am} [7] partem militis.
Robertus Money,[8] iiij^{am} [7] partem militis.
Uxor Ricardi de Wardeville.[9]
Eustachius, iiij^{am} [7] partem militis.
Fulcherus [10] et filius Ulgeri, iiij^{am} [7] partem militis.

Summa—c et xxv milites.[11]

Milites quos Comes Hugo feffavit [12] de novo:—
Willelmus de Nevilla, iij milites de Walesham et aliunde, j militem.[13]
Jordanus de Cailly,[14] j militem.
Robertus de Raimes,[15] ij milites de Alvergate.
Henricus de Neville, j militem.
Henricus filius Geroldi, j militem.

---

[1] *Sic* in MS.; *Pateric*, L. N.
[2] *Oseberti*, L. N.
[3] *Pirrou*, L. N.
[4] *Buneville*, L. N.
[5] *Bern*[*eri*], L. N.
[6] *Marasc*[*allus*], L. N.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *de Monei*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MSS.; *Wirdeville*, L. N.
[10] *Fulcherius*, L. N.
[11] In margin, in a later hand, *Summa de veteri c xxv feoda*, L. N.
[12] *fefavit*, L. N.
[13] *De Walesham* begins a new paragraph in L. N.
[14] *Cailli*, L. N.
[15] *Raimis*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

Galfridus filius Osberti, j militem. A.D. 1166.
Robertus Picot, j militem.
Willelmus de Bosco, j militem et dimidium.
Alexander de Vallibus,[1] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Bernwelle, j[2] militem.
Willelmus Lenveise,[3] j militem.
Rogerus de Coleville, j militem.
Walterus Marscallus,[4] j militem.
Galfridus de Greinville,[5] j militem et dimidium.
Galfridus et de Toleu,[6] ij milites.
Robertus de Kokefeld,[7] j militem.
Rogerus de Glanville, j militem.
Rogerus filius Ricardi, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Edmundi, iiij[am][8] partem militis.
Philippus de Claverige, iiij[am][8] partem militis.

De feodo Albredæ de Insula.

Comes de Albemare,[9] v milites.
Willelmus Basset, ij milites.
Rogerus de Clere, ij milites.
Hugo de Tuit, j militem.
Filius Herberti et Bertram,[10] dimidium militem.

CARTA WILLELMI DE ALBENIA.[11]

Hoc est tenementum Willelmi de Albeneia,[11] Pincernæ domini Regis, de dono Regis Henrici, qui dedit ei de feodo quod fuit Corbuchun[12] xv milites feffatos.[13] Et postea dedit ei feodum de x militibus feffatis[14] de terra

---

[1] *Wall[ibus]*, L. N.
[2] *dim[idium]*, L. N., but the *d* has been erased apparently to form *un[um]*.
[3] *Le Enveise*, L. N.
[4] *Marescallus*, L. N.
[5] *Grainville*, L. N.
[6] *Sic* in MS.; *Galfridus de Tolebu*, L. N.
[7] *Cokefeld*, L. N.
[8] *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *Albamara*, L. N.
[10] *Bertram et Herebert*, L. N.
[11] *Albeneio*, L. N.
[12] *Corbuchum*, L. N.
[13] *fefatos*, L. N.
[14] *fefatis*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Rogeri Bigod, cum filia Rogeri Bigod, de manu sua; et postea dedit ei servitium Radulfi filii Godrichi[1] de xij militibus; et servitium Alvredi de Athleburcho[2] de ij militibus; et Picot de Bavent[3] de j milite; et feodum Reineri[4] Sine Averio de j milite; et feodum Willelmi de Mustervilla de j milite.

Hæc est summa—xlij milites feffati[5] in baronia sua.

Et ipse Pincerna feffavit[6] de dominio suo in Norfolcia,[7] xxij milites.

Galfridum de Merlei[8] de v militibus.

Et Willelmum Veltrier de iij militibus.

Et Ranulfum de Cunens de iij militibus.

Et Hugonem de Verleio de ij militibus.

Et Albertum Francesium de ij militibus.

Et Roaldum Camerarium de dimidio milite.

Et Hoelum de Middenton[9], [de] dimidio milite.[10]

Et Petrum de Valoniis de ij[11] militibus.

Fo. 115 d. Radulfum[12] filium Hugonis de ij[11] militibus.

Et Ansegod Camerarium de j milite.

Et Ghorham de Cateltorpe[13] de j milite.

Et ipse Pincerna feffavit xj milites in Kent in[14] dominio suo, quos Comes de Hou habet in maritagio cum filia Comitis Arundel, scilicet:

Alanum Pirot de vj militibus.[15]

Et Petrum de Cæsaris[16] Burgo de iij militibus.

---

[1] *Goddriche*, L. N., where this and the next four entries begin new paragraphs.
[2] *Atleburc*, L. N.
[3] *Bavet*, L. N.
[4] *Reigneri*, L. N.
[5] *feofati*, L. N.
[6] *feofavit*, L. N.
[7] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[8] *Merlai*, L. N.
[9] *Middetone*, L. N.
[10] *de dimidio milite*, L. N.
[11] *duobus*, L. N.
[12] *et Radulfum*, L. N.
[13] *Gorham de Gatestorp*, L. N.
[14] *de*, L. N.
[15] *Comitis Arundel* begins a new paragraph in L. N.
[16] *Ceraris*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

Et Rogerum de Verleio[1] de j milite. A.D. 1166.
Et Willelmum de Milers[2] de dimidio milite.
Et Ricardum de Costentin,[3] [de] dimidio milite.[4]

CARTA WILLELMI DE WORMEGAI.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, carissimo domino suo, Willelmus de Wormegai salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis, quod omnes milites, quos de vobis teneo in capite,[5] feodati fuerunt, vel antecessores eorum, anno et die, quo H[enricus] Rex, avus vester, fuit vivus et mortuus, præter Ricardum, filium Wace, quem pater meus feffavit[6] de dominio suo postea, unde facit quartam partem servitii j[7] militis.

Et isti sunt milites, quos de vobis teneo in capite[8] :—

Robertus filius Willelmi, qui tenet feoda ij militum.

Robertus de Chufreville,[9] ij milites.

Willelmus filius Radulfi de Elingeham, [ij milites].[10]

Robertus de Watlingetone,[11] j militem.

Willelmus Pulchehardus, j militem.

Alexander Monachus,[12] j militem.

Alanus Ruffus,[13] j militem.

Gilbertus de Torpe,[14] j militem.

Hamo filius Burdun,[15] j militem.

Willelmus Luvel, j militem.

Robertus de Nereburcho,[16] et Willelmus de Waltone, et Willelmus filius Herlewini, et Ricardus de

---

[1] *Verlio*, L. N.
[2] *Millers*, L. N.
[3] L. N. omits *de*.
[4] *de dimidio milite*, L. N.
[5] *in capite teneo*, L. N.
[6] *feodavit*, L. N.
[7] *unius*, L. N.
[8] *in capite teneo*, L. N.
[9] *Chiefreville*, L. N.
[10] Supplied from T. R.
[11] *Watlingetone*, L. N.
[12] *Monacus*, L. N.
[13] *Rufus*, L. N.
[14] *Torp*, L. N.
[15] *Burdin*, L. N.
[16] *Nereburc*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Tunewrthe,[1] j militem; et Willanus de la Rode[2] quartam partem[3] j militis.

Summa—xiiij milites et dimidium [militis].

CARTA WILLELMI DE COLECHERCHE.[4]

Ego Willelmus de Colecherche[5] debeo domino meo Henrico Regi servitium dimidii militis in Norfolcia[6] de antiquo tenemento a Conquestu Angliæ. Nolo enim, ut servitium meum celetur, quin fecerim quod facere debeo; et homagium feci vobis, ô domine, et meo domino Henrico filio vestro, et vestris vicecomitibus servitium feci.

CARTA HUBERTI DE RIA.

Excellentissimo domino suo H[enrico], Dei gratia illustri Regi Anglorum, Hubert de Ria salutem et fidele servitium.

Isti sunt milites de tenemento Huberti de Ria feofati ex tempore Regis Henrici, scilicet, anno et die, quo ipse fuit vivus et mortuus :—

Rocelinus filius Osberti, v milites.

Alexander de Dreitone,[7] iiij milites.

Ranulfus de Carun, iij milites.

Hugo Comes, ij milites et dimidium, scilicet, feodum Huberti de Bauvent.[8]

Radulfus de Bateville,[9] j militem.

Willelmus de Cesneto,[10] j militem, scilicet, feodum Hunfridi de Matini.[11]

---

[1] *Timeworthe*, L. N.
[2] *Wilamus de la Roda*, L. N.
[3] L. N. omits *partem*.
[4] *Kolecherche*, L. N.
[5] *Cholecherche*, L. N.
[6] *Nortfolcia*, L. N.
[7] *Draitone*, L. N.
[8] *Badvent*, L. N., at first written *Baudevent* in the MS., and *ude* subpuncted for deletion.
[9] *Beteville*, L. N.
[10] *Caisneto*, L. N.
[11] *Humfridi de Maceni*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

Rogerus de Hercham,[1] j militem. A.D. 1166.

Henricus de Scaliers,[2] dimidium militem de feodo Huberti de Ria.

Ricardus filius Rabodæ, dimidium militem.

Radulfus filius Alberti,[3] dimidium militem.

Willelmus Goet, dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Valeine,[4] vj[am] [5] partem j [6] militis.

Willelmus de Herforde,[7] vj[am] [5] partem militis, qui est feffatus [8] post mortem Regis H[enrici].

Radulfus de Bella Faga,[9] v milites, scilicet:[10]

In Stodenham, iij milites;[11] et in Assele, in Rotelend,[12] ij milites.[11]

Et super dominium accidunt x milites et vj[ta] pars militis.

Willelmus [13] Lupellus tenet feodum j militis in Dokinges.[14]

CARTA WALTERI DE [15] BEC.

Walterus de Bec,[16] tempore H[enrici] Regis, tenuit de ipso in capite tenementum suum de Anglia per servitium j militis. Et de xxx libratis terræ de ipso tenemento fuit ipse disseisitus [17] tempore Gwerræ, quam Comes Reginaldus tenet in Cornubia, et deforciat [18] illam Mabilæ de Bec.[19] Idem Walterus de Bech,[20] tempore Henrici Regis, feffavit [21] Widonem, patrem Johannis, filii Widonis, de

---

[1] *Herkeham*, L. N.
[2] *Schaliers*, L. N.
[3] *Albr*[*ici*], L. N.
[4] *Valaine*, L. N.
[5] *sextam*, L. N.
[6] *j* omitted in L. N.
[7] *Hereford*, L. N.
[8] *feofatus*, L. N.
[9] *Sic* in MSS.
[10] *Et*, L. N.
[11] *milites* omitted in L. N.
[12] *Roteland*, L. N.
[13] *Villelmus*, L. N.
[14] *Dockinges*, L. N. This entry is a later addition in L. N., but is copied in the MS. as part of the original *Carta*.
[15] *del*, L. N.
[16] L. N. adds *pater Mabiliæ de Bec*.
[17] *disseisiatus*, L. N.
[18] *differciat*, L. N.
[19] *Mabiliæ de Bec*, L. N.
[20] *Bec*, L. N.
[21] *fefavit*, L. N.

NORFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. tertia parte militis de dominio suo, quam modo tenet Galfridus, filius Petri, cum uxore Adæ, filii Johannis, filii Widonis. Prædictum tenementum tenet Mabila de Bec,[1] cujus hæredem habet Robertus filius Hunfridi.[2]

CARTA ROBERTI FILII WALTERI.

Robertus filius Walteri tenuit Mor et Fileby[3] dono Regis Henrici anno et die, quo ipse Rex H[enricus] fuit vivus et mortuus, per servitium j[4] militis. Et Johannes,
Fo. 116. filius ejus, post ipsum; et postea Willelmus. Et ipse Willelmus dedit Galfrido, Cantuariensi Archidiacono, Mor per servitium j[4] militis, et Radulfo de Clera Fileby[3] per servitium j[4] militis.

Dominus autem voluntate sua seisivit in manu sua[5] Mor et Fileby[3]; sed postea reddidit Galfrido Archidiacono Mor, quod debet servitium j militis, et retinet in manu sua Fileby. Et Willelmus habet, de dono domini Regis, Blieburcum in Suthfolcia per servitium j[4] militis.

CARTA ODONIS DE DANMARTINO.[6]

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, ut domino suo ligio, Odo de Danmartin[6] salutem.

Sciatis, quod pater meus tenuit de avo vestro, et de vobis servitium j militis, et tantum de vobis teneo, nec de novo feodo aliquid teneo. Valete.

---

[1] *Mabilia*, L. N.
[2] *Humfridi*, L. N.
[3] *Filebi*, L. N.
[4] *unius*, L. N.
[5] *et Mor*, L. N.
[6] *Damnartin*, L. N.

SUTHFOLCIA. A.D. 1166.

Carta militum honoris de Clare.[1]

Hamo Peccatum, vj milites.[2]

[3] Hugo de Capella, ij milites et iiij[tam] partem.[4]

Beatrix de Builers,[5] xiiij milites.

Ricardus filius Soeni, iij milites quarterio minus.

Philippus de Burche,[6] j militem.

Petrus de Halst[ede], ij milites.

Ida de Beucosdray,[7] j militem.

Ricardus filius Simonis, xiij milites et dimidium.

Lambert [8] filius Roberti, j militem.

Robertus Maskerel,[9] j militem.

Robertus de Mara et Ricardus, frater ejus,[10] j militem.

Silvester de Burs, et Radulfus de Pavilli,[11] et Stephanus de Bellocampo, j militem.

Walterus filius Hunfridi,[12] v milites et dimidium.

Paganus de Barillis,[13] dimidium militem.

Comes Albricus,[14] j militem.

---

[1] There is no rubric in the O. R., which begins with the heading *Isti sunt milites de honore Clar*, which appears as a sub-heading in L. N. as *Isti sunt milites honoris de Clare*, but is omitted in the MS. The rubric in L. N. is *Carta de honore Clare.*

[2] *tenet feoda vj militum*, L. N. and O. R.

[3] Here O. R. inserts the three following entries, all of which are also found in L. N.:—

Walterus filius Umfridi, v milites [et] dimidium.

Robertus filius Galfridi, iiij milites et dimidium.

Gill[elmus] de Baillol, iiij milites.

The variations in L. N. are as follows: *Humfridi, Willelmus, Boiloil.* The first and third of these entries will be found below in the text out of place.

[4] *quarter*, O. R.; *quartam partem*, L. N.

[5] *Bullers*, O. R.; *Bulliers*, L. N.

[6] *Burc*, O. R.

[7] *Belcoldrei*, O. R.; *Bealcodrai*, L. N.

[8] *Lambertus*, O. R.

[9] *Mascherel*, O. R.

[10] *suus*, O. R.

[11] *Paveilli*, O. R.

[12] *See* note 3 *supra.*

[13] *Paganus Barill*, O. R.

[14] *Albericus*, O. R.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Cheisney,[1] j militem.
Gilbertus[2] filius Reginaldi, j militem.
Radulfus de Kersoner,[3] j militem et dimidium.
Henricus Canevaz,[4] j militem.
Galfridus de Offintone, dimidium militem.
Reginaldus[5] filius Hunfridi,[6] dimidium militem.[7]
Radulfus filius Adæ, iij milites et ij[8] partes.
David de Prudingtone,[9] iij^{am}[10] partem militis.
Galfridus filius Lesewini,[11] iiij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus de Gisn[es], iij milites.
Robertus de Briencurt, iij milites.
Robertus Foliot, j militem, quem Rex cepit in manu sua.
Gerardus[12] Giffard, j militem.
Walter Hautein,[13] ij milites.
Roger Putrel,[14] iij partes militis.
Robertus de Melchel,[15] dimidium militem.
Bertram, dimidium militem.
Hugo de Walbode,[16] viij partem militis.
Godefr[idus] de Standene, x partem militis.
Durant de xx solid[atis] viij denar[atis].
Willelmus de Apelgar, x partem militis.
Petrus de Brokel[17] de xx solid[atis] viij denar[atis].
Willelmus de Bailloil, iiij milites.[18]

---

[1] *Chesn[ei]*, O. R.; *Cheisnei*, L. N.
[2] *Gillebertus*, O. R.; *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[3] *Chersuner*, O. R.
[4] *Canewaz*, O. R.; *Kanevaz*, L. N.
[5] *Regnaldus*, O. R.
[6] *Umfridi*, O. R.; *Humfridi*, L. N.
[7] O. R. omits *militem*.
[8] *duas*, L. N.
[9] *Pridintone*, O. R. and L. N.
[10] *tertiam*, O. R. and L. N.
[11] *Herlewini*, O. R.; *Lefwini*, L. N.
[12] *Gerard*, O. R.
[13] *Haltein*, O. R.
[14] *Pultrel*, O. R.
[15] *Melchele*, O. R. and L. N.
[16] *Valbade*, O. R.; *Walbade*, L. N.
[17] *Brochele*, O. R., where this entry immediately precedes *Willelmus de Apelgar*.
[18] *See* note 3, previous page.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

De novis feodis quæ dedit Comes, frater meus. A.D. 1166.

Radulfus Hast[inges],[1] ij [2] partes militis.

Rogero, fratri suo,[3] ij [2] partes militis.

Roberto filio Huberti,[4] ij [2] partes militis ex dono meo.

Radulfus Brito, ij partes militis [5] ex dono meo.

Ricardus de Lucy,[6] j militem.

Stephanus de Turs, j militem, unde nunquam homagium vel relevium vel servitium habui.

Robertus Traceleu,[7] iiij [8] partem militis ex dono meo.

Radulfus de Clere,[9] dimidium militem ex dono meo.

Willelmus de Hastinges tenet xx libratas terræ [10] et
Fo. 116 d. j militem feodatum, de quibus non facit servitium nisi [11] j militis.[12]

Rogerus de Dalham, dimidium militem.

Reginaldus de Cruce, dimidium militem ex dono meo.

IN SURREIA.[13]

Willelmus de Danmartin,[14] xj milites et dimidium.

Robertus de Watteville,[15] in Surreia,[16] ix milites.

Ingeram de Abernon,[17] iiij milites.

Willelmus [18] filius Johannis, iij milites.

Petrus de Talleu,[19] ij milites iiij [20] parte minus.[21]

---

[1] *Halst[ede]*, O. R.

[2] *duas*, L. N.

[3] *Rogerus frater suus*, O. R.

[4] *Robertus filius Huberti*, O. R. and L. N.

[5] *dimidium militem*, O. R. and L. N.

[6] *Luci*, O. R. and L. N.

[7] *Tracelu*, O. R.

[8] *quartam*, L. N.

[9] *Clera*, O. R.

[10] *xx libratas terræ tenet*, O. R.

[11] *nisi servitium*, O. R.

[12] *j militis et dimidii*, O. R.; *unius militis*, L. N.

[13] This heading is rubricated in L. N. only.

[14] *Donnomartin*, O. R. and L. N.

[15] *Wateville*, O. R.

[16] *in Surreia* omitted in O. R. and L. N.

[17] *Ingelram de Abernun*, O. R. and L. N.

[18] *Gillelmus*, O. R.; *Gwillelmus*, L. N.

[19] *Talewe*, O. R.; *Thalewe*, L. N.

[20] *quarta*, L. N.

[21] *minus quartario*, O. R.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Walterus Haltanus, ij milites.
Robertus de Dunstanville,[1] j militem.
Azo Oculus[2] Latronis, j militem.
Willelmus Aguillun, iij milites et dimidium.
Philippus de Cheisney,[3] ij milites et dimidium.
Willelmus Malet, ij milites.
Bartholomeus,[4] j militem.
Tomas de Curteney[5] et Odo, j militem.
Ricardus Cocus, vj^{am}[6] partem militis.
Mustellus, vj^{am}[6] partem militis.
Michael de Turneham,[7] ix^{am}[8] partem militis.
Gervasius de Cornhulle,[9] j militem.

DE FEO[DO] COMITISSÆ UXORIS MEÆ.

Eudo filius Ernisii,[10] dimidium militem.
Alanus filius Roaldi, dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Geroldi, dimidium militem.
Stephanus filius David, dimidium militem.
[11] Willelmus Belet et Jordanus Rasur, dimidium militem.
Willelmus de Hunestone, j militem.
Radulfus de Kingestone, dimidium militem.
Ricardus de Wilecham,[12] dimidium militem.
Henricus Angevin,[13] dimidium militem.
Henricus filius Johannis, dimidium militem.
Rogerus de Grestone, dimidium militem.

---

[1] *Dunstanwille*, O. R.
[2] *Oculis*, O. R. and L. N.
[3] *Chesnei*, O. R.; *Cheisnei*, L. N.
[4] *Bartolomeus*, O. R.
[5] *Curtun*[*ai*], O. R.; *Corton*[*ai*], L. N.
[6] *vj*^{tam}, O. R. and L. N.
[7] *Torneham*, O. R. and L. N.
[8] *ix*, O. R.
[9] *Chorhulle*, O. R.
[10] *Ernesii*, O. R., where there is no distinction of paragraphs for this and the following entries.
[11] *Reginaldus de Cruce, dimidium militem* is inserted here in O. R., an entry which is omitted in the MS. and L. N.
[12] *Wilekeham*, L. N.
[13] *Angewin*, O. R.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

Osbertus de Breteheam,[1] dimidium militem. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus Capra, dimidium militem.[2]
Willelmus de Sancto Planees,[3] dimidium militem.
Avenel, dimidium militem.
Rualent de Terra Vasta, dimidium militem.
Galfridus filius Petri, dimidium militem.[4]

CARTA WILLELMI FOSSARD.

Hoc [est] abreviamentum[5] de feodo Willelmi Fossard de veteri feffamento[6] in tempore H[enrici] Regis:—

Willelmus de Vescy[7] tenet feodum vij militum.
Galfridus de Valoniis, feodum iiij militum.
Robertus de Bridehale,[8] iij militum.
Durant[9] filius Willelmi, ij militum.
Rogerus filius Rogerum, ij militum.
Rogerus de Midlers,[10] j militis.
Otvel, j militis.
Robertus de Meisnil,[11] j militis.
Adam de Brus,[12] j militis.
Willelmus filius Godefridi, j militis.
Galfridus Fossard, j militis.
Radulfus filius Wimundi, j militis.

---

[1] *Breteham*, O. R. and L. N.
[2] *militem* omitted in O. R.
[3] *Planées*, L. N.
[4] *quartam partem militis*, O. R., which adds, *Hæc est summa omnium militum, vij^{xx} et ix.* L. N. adds, *Hæc est summa omnium militum, septem viginti et novem.* This total is omitted in the MS., but there is an erasure where it should have been written. There is a contemporary indorsement on the original Charter, *Suthf*[*olcia*], with a 17th century indorsement, *Feoda honoris de Clare in comitatibus Suffolciæ et Surreiæ*, and an early 18th century note beneath it, "One of the originall certificates of "which part of the Red Book is "compiled."
[5] *abbreviamentum*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Vesci*, L. N.
[8] *Briddehale*, L. N.
[9] *Durantus*, L. N.
[10] *Midleres*, L. N.
[11] *Meisnille*, L. N.
[12] *Bruis*, L. N.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Hugo de Langetuit, dimidii militis.
Rogerus de Metorp,[1] dimidii militis.
Willelmus Aguillun, dimidii militis.
Gervasius filius Godefr[idi], dimidii militis.[2]

Isti sunt de antiquo feodo de tempore H[enrici] Regis.

De novo feodo Everardus de Ros tenet j feodum,[3] et super dominium suum, v feoda militum et dimidium.

CARTA WILLELMI[4] BLUNDI.

Gilbertus[5] Blundus, pater Willelmi Blundi, tenuit, tempore H[enrici] Regis, et die et anno, quo ipse fuit vivus et mortuus, de ipso, de baronia sua, feodum xij[6] militum; sed tempore Gwerræ fuit ipse disseisitus de v illorum, quorum iij[7] sunt in manu domini Regis in Wiltesira,[8] et de eisdem tenent servitium ij[9] militum, uxor et hæredes Radulfi filii Malgeri, in Laventone; et tantum inde faciunt. Feodum tertii militis tenuit modo Adam de Spineto, sed mortuus est, et de hiis tribus habet dominus Rex servitium ij[9] militum tantum. Et si Willelmus Blundus ea haberet, faceret inde servitium trium militum, quia tantum debent, quod ipse paratus est monstrare. De illis quinque[10] militibus, quartum[11] tenet Comes Albricus in Steventone,[12] et quintum tenet Henricus filius Geroldi Camerarii.[13] Et de illo tenet illud Aiwardus, Pincerna domini H[enrici] filii domini Regis, in Stefentone.[12] Et hoc fuit do-

---

[1] *Scinetorp*, L. N.
[2] *dimidium feodum militis*, L. N.
[3] *unum feodum*, L. N. Here follows the Charter of *Albericus de Danmartin* in L. N.
[4] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[6] *duodecim*, L. N.
[7] *tres*, L. N.
[8] *In Wiltescire*. This is a new paragraph in L. N.
[9] *duorum*, L. N.
[10] *v*, L. N.
[11] *quantum* in MS. and L. N.
[12] *Stevintone*, L. N.
[13] *Com[itis]* in MS.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

minium ejus. De vij militibus qui remanserunt Wil- A.D. 1166.
lelmo, tenet Radulfus filius Thomæ, iij milites in
Fo. 117. Eisfeld et Wicha. Normannus de Langeham, ij milites in Langeham; sed contentio est inter illos de xx solidatis terræ, quas dicit Normannus sibi deesse de feodo ij[1] militum. Robertus de Litletone, j militem in Litletone.[2] Robertus de Ixeworthe, ij partes j[3] militis in Ixeworthe.[4]

Et de novo feffamento[5] tenet:

Walterus filius Gilberti[6] quartam partem militis in Walesham,[7] et Radulfus de Thoboltot,[8] quintam partem militis, unde illi acquietant tertiam partem militis, quæ erat super dominium Willelmi Blundi ad perficiendum vij milites.

CARTA MANASSERI DE DANMARTIN.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, carissimo domino suo, Manasserus de Danmartin[9] salutem et fidele servitium.

Notum vobis facio, quod vobis facio servitium j[4] militis de dominio meo, et tantum fecit pater meus in tota vita sua, et ego, post illius obitum, tantum feci die, qua Rex H[enricus] fuit vivus et mortuus. Et in tempore Gwerræ de illo feodo dedi Waltero de Cornaco[10] j[11] quartum militis. Et nunc illam partem tenet Willelmus, filius suus, in auxilium[12] mihi illud servitium faciendo.[13] Et de novo feffamento[5] nichil habeo.

---

[1] *duorum*, L. N.
[2] *Robertus de Litleton*, new paragraph in MS.
[3] *Yxewrda*, L. N.
[4] *unius*, L. N.
[5] *fefamento*, L. N.
[6] *Gileberti*, L. N.
[7] *Walisham*, L. N.
[8] *Tolboltot*, L. N.
[9] The *r* partly altered to *y* in MS.; *Dommartin*, L. N.
[10] *Gornaco*, L. N.
[11] *unum*, L. N.
[12] *auxilio*, L. N.
[13] *faciendum*, L. N.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA R. COMITIS DE HURTFORD.[1]

Carissimo domino suo, H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, et Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, et Comiti [2] Andegavorum, R. Comes de Hurford [3] salutem.

Sciatis, domine, quod ego, postquam misi Cartam vobis de militibus meis, recordatus sum de feodo dimidii militis,[4] quod Ysaac filius Rabi tenet de novo feffamento.[5] Valete.

CARTA ROGERI DE CHENETESWELLE.[6]

Excellentissimo domino suo, H[enrico] illustri Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, et [7] Comiti Andegavorum, Rogerus de Cheneteswelle [8] salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis quod ego debeo vobis servitium x militem. Quinque feffati [9] de veteri feffamento.[5]

Comes Albricus tenet de me feodum j militis.

Willelmus de Chaisneto de Norum, feodum j militis.

Galfridus filius Baldewini, feodum j militis, sed illud seisitum est in manu vestra, et tamen faciunt me facere servitium plenum [10] inde ad Wardam de Norum.

Et Philippus de Burgo tenet de me feodum j militis.

[11] Jocelinus de Lodnes tenet de me feodum j [12] militis; sed deforciat [13] michi dimidium militem [14] propter partem terræ suæ, quam Episcopus de Ely [15] tenet, unde non possum habere rectum.

[11] Ego debeo vobis de dominio meo servitium v militum.

---

[1] *Carta Comitis Hurforde*, L. N. The *T* is a later insertion in the MS.

[2] L. N. omits *Comiti*.

[3] *Hurforde*, L. N.

[4] *dimidii militis* repeated in L. N.

[5] *fefamento*, L. N.

[6] *Keneteswelle*, L. N.

[7] L. N. omits *et*.

[8] *Chenetewelle*, L. N.

[9] *sunt fefati*, L. N.

[10] *plenum servitium*, L. N.

[11] *Et Jocelinus*, L. N.

[12] *unius*, L. N.

[13] *difforciat*, L. N.

[14] *servitium dimidii militis*, L. N.

[15] *Hely*, L. N.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

CARTA MILITUM[1] HONORE DE EYE. A.D. 1166.

Milites tenentes de honore de Eye.

Hubert de Montechanesi,[2] xij milites.
Robertus filius Rogeri, x milites.
Alanus de Witcher[efeld], x milites.
Comes Rogerus, v milites.
Willelmus de Glanville, ix milites et dimidium.
Willelmus de Huntingeforde,[3] vij milites.
[4] Philippus Golafre, iiij milites.
Robertus de Crec,[5] iij milites.
Willelmus Blundus, iij milites.
Johannes de Boville, iiij milites.
Galfridus de Saukeville, j militem.
Hubertus Gernagan, j militem.
Robertus de Ely, j militem.
Hugo de Auberville, j militem et dimidium.
Willelmus Bole, dimidium militem.
Hubertus de Brome, dimidium militem.
Willelmus filius Hompfrid,[6] dimidium militem.
Robertus de Beseville,[7] Johannes de Fosses,[8] Robertus de Gosewald, j militem.
Herbert de Aleton,[9] j militem.
Simon Carpentarius, j militem.
In Lincolnesira,[10] iij milites et dimidium.

CARTA ALBRICI DE DANMARTIN.[11]

Dilecto domino suo Henrico Regi Anglorum, etc., Albricus de Danmartin, ligius homo ejus, salutem.

---

[1] *Milites tenentes de honore de Eye*, L. N., where this is a later addition without any rubrication.

[2] *Hubertus de Monte Chanesi*, L. N.

[3] *Hontiggeforde*, L. N.

[4] Two entries are omitted here in MS.—*Willelmus de Coleville, iiij milites. De feodo Marcel, vij milites et dimidium*, L. N.

[5] *Crek*, L. N.

[6] *Hompfridi*, L. N.

[7] *Boseville*, L. N.

[8] *Fesses*, L. N.

[9] *Herbertus de Alenton*, L. N.

[10] *Nicolesira*, L. N.

[11] In L. N. this Charter follows that of William Fossard on page 407.

SUTHFOLCIA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Sciatis quod ego, tempore Henrici Regis, tenui de eo feodum j militis, quod de vobis modo teneo, et tempore Henrici Regis feffavi [1] Willelmum de Danmartin, fratrem meum, de me[o] dominio unde facit mihi servitium j militis. Et de novo feffamento [2] nichil habeo.

Fo. 117 d.

EBORACSCIRA.

CARTA ROGERI EBORACENSIS ARCHIEPISCOPI.[3]

Amantissimo domino suo H[enrico] Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, et Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti [4] Andegavorum, suus Rogerus, eadem gratia Eboracencis Archiepiscopus, Apostolicæ sedis Legatus, salutem. Præcipit [5] dignitas vestra omnibus fidelibus vestris, clericis et laicis, qui de vobis tenent in capite in Eboracsira, ut mandent vobis per literas suas, extra sigillum pendentes, quot milites [6] quisquis [7] habeat de veteri feffamento [2] de tempore Regis [Henrici] avi vestri, s[cilicet] de die et anno, quo ipse fuit vivus et mortuus, et quot habeat de novo feodamento [2] feffatos,[8] post mortem bonæ memoriæ avi vestri, ejusdem. Et quot feoda militum sunt super dominium uniuscujusque, et omnium illorum nomina, tam de novo feffamento [2] quam de veteri feffatorum,[9] sint [10] in illo brevi scripta, quia vultis, quod si aliqui ibi sunt, qui vobis nondum fecerunt [11] ligantiam, et quorum nomina non sunt scripta in rotulo vestro, quod infra dominicam primam xl[e] ligantiam vobis faciant. Quorum ego unus,

---

[1] *fefavi*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] The rubric in L. N. is :— *Carta domini R[ogeri] Eboracensis Archiepiscopi de militibus suis fefatis.*
[4] *et Comiti*, L. N.
[5] *Præcepit*, L. N.
[6] *milites fefatos*, L. N.
[7] *quisque*, L. N.
[8] *fefatos*, L. N.
[9] *fefatorum*, L. N.
[10] *sunt*, L. N.
[11] *nondum fecerunt vobis*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

jussioni vestræ[1] per omnia subjectus, cum omni diligentia investigavi in tenemento meo, prout brevitas temporis passa est, et præsenti scripto vobis domino meo significo. A.D. 1166.

Inprimis ergo sciatis, domine, quod super dominium Archiepiscopatus Eboracensis nullum feodum est militis, quoniam tot habemus feffatos[2] milites, per quos aquietavimus omne servitium, quod vobis debemus, sicut et predecessores nostri fecerunt, et plures etiam quam vobis debeamus habemus[3] sicut ex præsenti subscriptione cognoscere potestis.[4] Antecessores enim nostri, non pro necessitate servitii, quod debent, sed quia cognatis[5] et servientibus suis providere volebant, plures quam debebant Regi feodaverunt.

Nomina autem feffatorum[6] de tempore Henrici Regis[7] hæc sunt:—

Comes Willelmus Albemarliæ[8] tenet feodum iij[9] militum.

Henricus de Lascy,[10] ij milites.

Rogerus de Monbray,[11] iiij[tam][12] partem militis.

Herbertus filius Herberti,[13] iij milites.

Gilbertus[14] filius Nigelli, ij milites.

Paganus de Landa, iij milites.

Malgiers[15] filius Hugonis, j militem.

Ricardus filius Hugonis, j militem.

Willelmus de Bella Aqua, j militem.

Robertus Morin, ij milites.

---

[1] *jussioni vestræ* is repeated in L. N.

[2] *fefatos*, L. N.

[3] *et plures etiam habemus quam vobis debeamus*, L. N.

[4] *poteritis*, L. N.

[5] *congnatis* in MS.

[6] *fefatorum*, L. N.

[7] *Regis Henrici*, L. N.

[8] *Willelmus Comes Albemarliæ*, L. N.

[9] *trium*, L. N.

[10] *Laci*, L. N.

[11] *Molbrai*, L. N.

[12] *quartam*, L. N.

[13] *Herebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.

[14] *Gilebertus*, L. N.

[15] *Malg[erus]*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166.

Gilbertus filius Herberti,[1] ij milites.
Hugo de Muschamp,[2] ij milites.
Walterus de Daencurt, ij milites.
Robertus Mansel, j militem.
Robertus filius Wiardi, dimidium militem.
Petrus de Perintone,[3] dimidium militem.
Hugo de Verly,[4] iiij milites.
Willelmus Cokerel,[5] j militem.
Thomas de Heverhingham,[6] ij milites et dimidium.
Simon Wahart, j militem.
Radulfus de Nowewica, dimidium militem.
Robertus Poer,[7] dimidium militem.
Walterus de Dentone, dimidiam partem militis.
Robertus filius Hugonis, iiij[tam 8] partem militis.
Willelmus de Lubbehou,[9] dimidium militem.
Alexander de Neweby,[10] iiij[or 11] partes dimidii militis.
Herebertus de Merchintone,[12] iiij[tam 8] partem militis.
Petrus de Belingee, j militem.
Oliverus Angevinus, j militem.
Willelmus de Pantone, j militem.
Thomas filius Auberti, iiij[tam 8] partem militis.
Aliz de Molescrot, iiij[tam 8] partem militis.
Thomas filius Hervei, j militem.
Benedictus de Sculecote, viij[am 13] partem militis.
Bernardus [14] de Cotingeh[am], iiij[am 8] partem militis.
Leuredus, xiij[am 15] partem militis.

---

[1] *Gilebertus filius Hereberti*, L. N.
[2] *Muscham*, L. N.
[3] *Peritone*, L. N.
[4] *Verli*, L. N.
[5] *Chokerel*, L. N.
[6] *Everhinham*, L. N.
[7] *Poher*, L. N.
[8] *quartam*, L. N.
[9] *Lubbenhou*, L. N.
[10] *Niwebi*, L. N.
[11] *quatuor*, L. N.
[12] *Herebertus de Merkintone*, L. N.
[13] *octavam*, L. N.
[14] *Berardus*, L. N.
[15] *xiij*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Johannes de Melsa, viij^am^ partem militis. A.D. 1166.
Yvo,[1] iiij^am^ [2] partem militis.
Serlo de Pouel, iij^am^ [3] partem militis.

Post mortem vero Regis H[enrici] feffati [4] sunt :—
Petrus Pincerna, de feodo dimidii militis.
Petrus Camerarius, de xx^a^ [5] parte militis.
Galfridus de Burtone, de xij^a^ parte militis.
Gervasius de Bretone,[6] de iij^a^ parte militis.

Et quoniam, domine, ex hiis sunt quidam, a quibus plus servitii exigo,[7] quam ipsi modo faciant, alii vero detinent quædam, quæ ad mensam Archiepiscopi et dominium, et non ad ipsos pertinere dicuntur, rogo suppliciter, ne inscriptio ista mihi vel successoribus meis nocere possit, quominus jus Ecclesiæ recuperare possimus, vel retinere. Valeat dominus meus.

Et præter prædictos milites :—
Turstanus de Lechamtone, feodum dimidii militis.
Gilbertus de Miners,[8] iij^am^ [3] partem militis.
Werri de Marinis, iij^am^ [3] partem militis.
Willelmus de Scuras, dimidiam partem militis.
Willelmus Pallefrei,[9] j militem.
Willelmus de Bella Aqua et Ricardus de Crochetone,[10] iiij^tam^ [2] partem militis.

Fo. 118. CARTA EPISCOPI DUNELMENSIS.[11]

Illustri [12] Regi Anglorum, Duci Normanniæ et Aquitaniæ, Comiti Andegaviæ, domino suo carissimo, H[ugo] Dei gratia Dunholmensis [13] Episcopus, salutem et fidele servitium.

---

[1] *Ivo*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *tertiam*, L. N.
[4] *fefati*, L. N.
[5] *vicesima*, L. N.
[6] *Bretton*, L. N.
[7] *exhigo*, L. N.
[8] *Gilebertus de Minieres*, L. N.
[9] *Palefrei*, L. N.
[10] *Croketone*, L. N.
[11] *Carta domini H[ugonis] Dunhelmensis Episcopi*, L. N.
[12] *Henrico illustri*, L. N.
[13] *Dunhelmensis*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Præcepit nobis,[1] domine, vestra sublimitas, quod literis nostris sigillatis, extra sigillum pendentibus, vobis mandaremus, quot milites feffatos[2] haberemus de veteri feffamento[3] et de novo, scilicet, anno et die, quo rex H[enricus] fuit vivus et mortuus, et de post mortem ejus. Nos vero, juxta præceptum vestrum, vobis mandamus.

In Lincolnescira [tenent] de veteri feffamento[3] :—

Ricardus de Haia, feoda ij[4] militum.

Hugo Wac, feoda ij[4] militum.

Hugo filius Pincun,[5] vij militum.

Philippus de Kima, iij[6] militum.

Galfridus de Cadintone, ij[4] militum.

In Eboracsira[7] :—

Willelmus de Percy,[8] iiij milites.

Willelmus Fossord,[9] j militem.

Odardus de Cardun,[10] dimidium militem.

Gilbertus de Barduleby,[11] dimidium militem.

Filius Roberti de Boneville,[12] dimidium militem.

Gilbertus Ansard,[13] j militem ix[a][14] parte minus, quam Jordanus Hairun tenet.

Jordanus de Hameledone,[15] iiij[tam][16] partem j militis de veteri feffamento,[3] et tantundem[17] de novo.

Radulfus Nobilis, feodum j militis de novo ultra Thinam.[18]

---

[1] *nobis* repeated in MS.
[2] *fefatos*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *duorum*, L. N.
[5] *Pinconis filius*, L. N.
[6] *trium*, L. N.
[7] *Eboracscira*, L. N.
[8] *Perci*, L. N.
[9] *Fossard*, L. N.
[10] *Hodardus de Gardhum*, L. N.
[11] *Gilebertus de Bardulebi*, L. N.
[12] *Bonaville*, L. N.
[13] *Gilebertus Hansard*, L. N.
[14] *nona*, L. N.
[15] *Hameldone*, L. N.
[16] *quartam*, L. N.
[17] *tatundem* in MS.
[18] On an erasure in the MS.; *Tisam*, L. N., where *Ultra Tisam* is referred to the following paragraph.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

In dominico[1] beati Cuthberti tenent de veteri feffamento[2]:— A.D. 1166.

Rogerus de Coneres,[3] feodum iij militum.
Willelmus de Vescy,[4] iij militum.
Robertus de Mandeville,[5] v militum.
Filius Bertram de Bolemer, v militum.
Willelmus filius Osberti, iij militum.
Thomas filius Willelmi, ij militum.
Romanus de Heltone, iij militum.
Elyas[6] de Eschaulande, ij militum et ij^{as} partis unius.[7]
Rogerus de Aldri, feodum[8] ij militum.
Galfridus filius Ricardi, j militis et dimidii.
Adam de Mustiers, j militis.
Hugo filius Pincun,[9] j militis.
Willelmus de Fisburne, j militis.
Willelmus de Hoppedene,[10] j militis.
Rogerus de Heplingdene,[11] j militis.
Radulfus de Wircestria,[12] j militis.
Henricus de Papede,[13] j militis.
Stephanus de Bulemer, j militis.
Galfridus de Torpe,[14] dimidii militis.
Radulfus Haget, dimidii militis.
Filius Lucæ de[15] Kevelane, dimidii militis.[16]
Odo de Brembe, iiij^{tam}[17] partem j militis.
Filius Ilgeri Burdun, iij^{am}[18] partem j militis.

---

[1] *dominio*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] Apparently altered to *Cogneres* in MS., but the reading is doubtful.
[4] *Vesci*, L. N.
[5] *Mandavill*, L. N. This and the following entry are transposed in L. N.
[6] *Elias*, L. N.
[7] *partes* in MS.; *tertiæ partis j^{us}*, L. N.
[8] L. N. omits *feodum*.
[9] *Pinconis*, L. N.
[10] *Heppedene*, L. N.
[11] *Heppligdene*, L. N.
[12] *Wirecestria*, L. N.
[13] *Henricus Pappede*, L. N.
[14] *Torp*, L. N.
[15] *de* repeated in MS.
[16] *militem* omitted in L. N.
[17] *quartam*, L. N.
[18] *tertiam*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. In eadem terra Beati Cutberti[1] de novo tenent feffamento[2]:—

Galfridus filius Hunfridi,[3] feodum j militis.
Hugo Burellus, j militis.
Robertus de Cappella,[4] dimidii militis.
Gilbertus de Laleie,[5] dimidii [militis].
Gilbertus[6] Camerarius, v^am^[7] partem j militis; et ex alia parte, x^am^ partem j militis.[8]
Johannes Pincerna, iij^am^[9] partem j[10] militis.
Radulfus filius Roberti, iiij^am^[11] partem j[10] militis.

Super dominium vero nostrum, de quo similiter mandare præcepistis, nulla sunt feoda militum, nec ulla debemus. Nam de hiis omnibus,[12] quos supra diximus, servitium x[13] militum tantum vobis debemus. Valeat dominus meus.

Ricardus de Scialis[14] tenet Husseburne, de dono Willelmi de Stoteville, per servitium j militis, quam H[enricus] pater eidem dedit.[15]

CARTA ROGERI DE MUNBRAY.[16]

Domino suo carissimo H[enrico], Dei gratia Regi Anglorum, homines Rogeri de Monbray[17] salutem. Secundum præceptum vestrum mandamus vobis, quot

---

[1] *Cuthberti*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N. A new paragraph is begun with *De novo* in the MS.; *in eadem terra Beati Cuthberti* being wrongly referred to the preceding entry.
[3] *Ernulfi filius*, L. N.
[4] *Capella*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus de La Leia*, L. N.
[6] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[7] *quintam*, L. N.
[8] *x partem unius militis*, L. N.
[9] *tertiam*, L. N.
[10] *unius*, L. N.
[11] *quartam*, L. N.
[12] *omnibus etiam*, L. N.
[13] *decem*, L. N.
[14] *Scalis*, L. N. The *i* is a later interlineation in MS.
[15] This entry is a later addition in L. N.
[16] *Carta de feodis Rogeri de Moubrai*, L. N.
[17] *Moubrai*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

milites dominus noster Rogerus de Munbray[1] habet de antiquo feodo et novo. A.D. 1166.

Rogerus de Flamville[2] tenet feoda viij militum et dimidii.

Robertus de Stoteville,[3] viij militum.

Willelmus Tisun, feoda xv militum.

Willelmus Haket,[4] ij militum.

Walterus de Riparia, j militis.

Adam Luvel, j militis.

Walterus filius Asketin, j militis.

Rogerus de Sancto Martino, ij[5] militum.

Willelmus de Cainesburgo,[6] ij militum.

Walterus de Campville,[7] ix militum.

Thomas de Woppenb[er]i,[8] v militum.

Walterus de Wileng,[9] j militis.

Robertus de Criselee,[10] j militis.

Henricus de Hardene,[11] j militis.

Radulfus de Queningbune,[12] ij militum.

Hugo de Ranpane,[13] j militis.

Sanson Tachel, j militis.

Fo. 118 d. Simon filius Simonis, dimidii militis.

Willelmus de Reimes, j militis.

Elyas de Albaineio,[14] j militis.

Ricardus de Wiville, v militum.

Ricardus de Moreville, v militum.

Hugo Malherbe, j militis.

Robertus de Dayville,[15] iiij militum.

---

[1] *Molbrai*, L. N.

[2] *Flammaville*, L. N.

[3] *Stuteville*, L. N.

[4] *Haget*, L. N.

[5] *duorum*, L. N.

[6] *Gainesburgo*, L. N.

[7] *Camville*, L. N. The *p* is interlineated in the MS.

[8] *Wppenb[er]i*, L. N. The *o* is interlineated in the MS.

[9] *Wisega*, L. N.

[10] *Griselee*, L. N.

[11] *Ardene*, L. N.

[12] *Quenigburch*, L. N.

[13] *Rampane*, L. N.

[14] *Helias de Abbaneio*, L. N.

[15] *Dauville*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Turstanus de Muntfort,[1] iij milites et iij[2] partes militis.

Willelmus de Moles, j militem.

Rogerus de Condeio, dimidium militem.

Rogerus filius Perces,[3] j militem.

Rogerus filius Galfridi et Radulfus filius Aldelin, dimidium militem.

Rogerus Prince, iij[am 4] partem militis.

Robertus Dapifer, dimidium militem.

Hubertus filius Ricardi, iij[am 4] partem militis.

Ricardus de Trusselai,[5] iij[am 4] partem militis.

Ricardus Gramaticus,[6] iiij[am 7] partem j militis.

Willelmus de Bolemer,[8] j militem.

Tot habuit milites feodatos in tempore H[enrici] Regis, scilicet, quater viginti et viij,[9] scilicet,[10] lx de antiquo feodo. Et xx et viij[11] istorum feodavit Nigellus de Albeneio[12] de dominio suo.

Et de novo feodo[13]:—

Willelmus de Vescy,[14] ij[15] milites.

Robertus de Stoteville,[16] j militem.

Robertus de Daumville,[17] j militem.

Willelmus de Lancastria, ij milites.

Thomas de Coleville, j militem.

Albricus Comes, j militem.[18]

Robertus de Busci, j militem.[18]

Hamo Berler, j feodum militis.[19]

Rogerus de Carletone,[20] dimidium feodum.

---

[1] *Munfort*, L. N.
[2] *tres*, L. N.
[3] *Parces*, L. N.
[4] *tertiam*, L. N.
[5] *Crosseslai*, L. N.
[6] *Grammaticus*, L. N.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *Bolemer*, L. N.
[9] *quater xx et viij^to*, L. N.
[10] *videlicet*, L. N.
[11] *xx^ti octo*, L. N.
[12] *Albaneio*, L. N.
[13] *Et de novo feodo* not a new paragraph in L. N.
[14] *Vesci*, L. N.
[15] *duos*, L. N.
[16] *Stuteville*, L. N.
[17] *Danville*, L. N.
[18] *feodum*, L. N.
[19] *Hamon Beler, j feodum*, L. N.
[20] *Charletone*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus Grain de Or,[1] dimidium feodum. A.D. 1166.

Warinus filius Simonis, ij[2] partes j militis.[3]

Hii sunt de novo feodo Rogeri de Monbrai,[4] post mortem H[enrici] Regis, videlicet, xj feoda militum, et tres partes feodi j[5] militis.

CARTA STEPHANI FILII HERBERTI[6] CAMERARII.

Carissimo domino suo H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, Stephanus filius Herberti[6] Camerarii salutem.

Sciatis, quod teneo de vobis in capite feodum j militis, et inde feodatus[7] est Willelmus de Scuris de feodo j militis de veteri feffamento.[8]

Postea feffatus[9] inde fuit de dominio meo Willelmus de Berville de vj[a][10] parte militis, scilicet, de veteri feffamento.[8] De novo vero feffamento,[8] post mortem H[enrici] Regis, feffatus[7] est de dominio meo Thomas Clericus de Wichetona de xiij[a] parte militis.

Remanet autem in dominio meo j carucata[11] terræ, et vj masuræ super prædictum servitium militis quod vobis debeo.

CARTA HENRICI DE LASCY.[12]

H[enricus] de Lascy[12] debuit in servitio Regis de veteri feodo Pontis Fracti, lx milites feffatos,[13] de quibus Wido de Lanval habet xx[ti] milites, excepto j et dimidium, et hoc non remansit nisi in eo et suis.

Isti sunt milites, qui tenent de Widone de Lanval, scilicet:—

Foliot, iij milites.

---

[1] *Grain dorge*, L. N.
[2] *duas*, L. N.
[3] *feodi*, L. N.
[4] *Molbrai*, L. N.
[5] *unius*, L. N.
[6] *Hereberti*, L. N.
[7] *fefatus*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *feofatus*, L. N.
[10] *sexta*, L. N.
[11] *carrucata*, L. N.
[12] *Laci*, L. N.
[13] *fefatos*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Willelmus de Fristone, iij milites.
Simon de Lacelles, ij milites.
Ranulfus filius Costant[ini] et Ricardus de Dunham,[1] ij milites.
Robertus filius Ricardi, dimidium militem.
Helto de Rovecestria, iiij milites.
Oto de Tilly,[2] ij milites.
Chamus[3] de Clintone, j militem.
Simon de Scorchebof,[4] dimidium militem.
Henricus Wall[ensis], dimidium militem.

Isti sunt milites xl, qui remanent in manu Henrici de Lascy,[5] scilicet :—

Jordanus Foliot, ij milites.
Robertus Pictavensis, iij milites.[6]
Henricus Wal[ensis], iij milites.
Willelmus de Reinerville, iiij milites.
Oto de Tilly,[2] j militem.
Rogerus filius Alvredi, iij milites.
Herbertus[7] de Archis, ij milites.
Simon Scorchebof,[8] j militem.
Rogerus de Tilli, j militem.
Robertus Venator, dimidium militem.
Rogerus de Scirtorpe,[9] iiij[am][10] partem militis.
Helto de Rovecestria, j militem.
Adam de Wenreville,[11] j militem.
Willelmus de Prestone, j militem.
Willelmus de Feresham, j militem.
Gilbertus de Sinthale,[12] dimidium militem.
Henricus de Dai, et Radulfus frater ejus, et Petrus

---

[1] *Duneham*, L. N.
[2] *Otho de Tilli*, L. N.
[3] *Cahamus*, L. N.
[4] *Scorcheboef*, L. N.
[5] *Laci*, L. N.
[6] *iij milites* repeated in L. N.
[7] *Herebertus*, L. N.
[8] *Scorcheboef*, L. N.
[9] *Scinethorp*, L. N.
[10] *quartam*, L. N.
[11] Apparently altered to *Wemeville* in a later hand; *Wenereville*, L. N.
[12] *Snithale*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

de Toulestone tenent duo feoda militum inter eos æque partita. A.D. 1166.

Fo. 119. Radulfus de Insula, ij [1] milites.
Willelmus de Friestane, ij milites.
Simon de Lacellis, j militem.
Hunfridus [2] de Ruala, dimidium militem.
Robertus de Stapeltone, ij milites.
Willelmus filius Aldelin, j militem.
Hamelin, j militem.
Hamelin de Ria, j militem.
Heodo de Longvilliers,[3] j militem.
Ricardus Gramaticus, j militem.
Jelebertus Painel, j militem.

Et præter prænominatos milites, habet Henricus de Lascy [4] istos milites, quos ipse et antecessores sui feodaverunt, et cum dominio [5] suo serviunt, scilicet :—

Walterus de Sumerville, j militem.
Willelmus Scotus,[6] dimidium militem.
Sanson de Alretone,[7] dimidium militem.
Paganus filius Buchardi,[8] dimidium militem.
Adam de Prestone, j militem.
Willelmus Vavassur,[9] iij^{am} [10] partem militis.
Willelmus de Novaville,[11] viij milites, exceptis xx solidis, quos annuatim reddit Henricus de Lascy.[4]
Robertus filius Lewini,[12] v^{am} [13] partem dimidii militis.
Eborardus, frater ejus, dimidium militem.
Elyas filius Essulfi,[14] iiij^{am} [15] partem militis.

---

[1] *duos*, L. N.
[2] *Humfridus*, L. N.
[3] Apparently altered in the MS.; *Leguiliers*, L. N.
[4] *Laci*, L. N.
[5] *dominico*, L. N.
[6] *Scottus*, L. N.
[7] On an erasure in MS.
[8] *Burchardi*, L. N.
[9] *Vavasur*, L. N.
[10] *tertiam*, L. N.
[11] *Nova villa*, L. N.
[12] *Lefwini*, L. N.
[13] *quintam*, L. N.
[14] *Elias filius Assulf*, L. N.
[15] *quartam*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Osbertus Archidiaconus, dimidium militem.
Walterus Bardulf, iiij^{am} [1] partem militis.
Willelmus filius Geroldi, iij^{am} [2] partem militis.
Galfridus de Malquency,[3] v^{am} [4] partem militis.
Adam filius Petri, j militem.
Radulfus filius Nicholai,[5] j militem.
Ricardus de Tanga, iiij^{am} partem militis.[6]
Filius Petri de Isla, iiij^{am} [1] partem militis.
Robertus de Gant, j militem et dimidium.

Ista sunt feoda, quæ ceciderunt in manu H[enrici] de Lascy,[7] scilicet iiij^{or} [8] excepta iiij^{a} parte j feodi. Et manifestum est, quod Templarii tenent feodum j militis, et monachi de Ponte Fracto feodum j militis.[9]

CARTA WILLELMI DE PERCY.[10]

Henrico Regi serenissimo, domino suo carissimo, Willelmus de Percy [10] salutem et fidelia servitia.

Isti sunt milites qui feodati [11] sunt de meo feodo, tam de veteri quam de novo:—

Robertus Dapifer, de iij [12] militibus.
Robertus filius Picot, de iij [12] militibus.
Willelmus Le Vavasur, de ij [13] militibus.
Willelmus filius Hugonis, de j milite.
Willelmus de Novaville, de j milite.
Gilbertus [14] de Archis, de j milite.
Radulfus de Mondeville,[15] de j milite.

---

[1] *quartam*, L. N.
[2] *tertiam*, L. N.
[3] *Malquenci*, L. N.
[4] *quintam*, L. N.
[5] *Nicolai*, L. N.
[6] *quartam militis*, L. N.
[7] *Laci*, L. N.
[8] *quatuor*, L. N.
[9] *Ponte Fracto*, L. N. The following note appears in L. N. faintly written in plummet:—*Idem de j milite de . . . de feffamento Regis Johannis.*
[10] *Perci*, L. N.
[11] *infeodati*, L. N.
[12] *tribus*, L. N.
[13] *duobus*, L. N.
[14] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[15] *Mundaville*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Galfridus de Valon[iis], de j milite. A.D. 1166.
Thomas Dairel, de j milite.
Willelmus Arundel, de j milite.
Hugo Foliot, de j milite.
Robertus filius Roberti, de j milite.
Robertus de Hallai, de j milite.
Stephanus Camerarius, de ij militibus.
Ricardus de Weitone, de j milite.
Willelmus de Argentein,[1] de j milite.
Ilgerus filius Roeri, de j milite.
Willelmus de Humes,[2] de j milite.
Willelmus filius Ricardi et Walterus filius Radulfi, de j milite.
Durant[3] de Chaintone, et Ricardus filius Angodi,[4] et Galfridus filius Roberti, et Teobaldus[5] filius Pagani, et Gilbertus[6] de Archis, de j milite.
Adam filius Normanni et Henricus filius Ypoliti, de j milite.
Willelmus filius Roberti et Petrus filius Grente, de j milite.

Isti sunt milites de novo feodati, scilicet, post mortem Regis H[enrici] de dominio meo:—

Hugo de Poville, de dimidio milite.
Nigellus de Stoclelde,[7] de dimidio milite.
Ricardus filius Osberti, de dimidio milite.[8]
Gilbertus[9] filius Fulcheri, de dimidio milite.
Petrus de Mihausa, de tribus partibus j militis.[10]
Hugo filius Fulcheri, de iiij[a][11] parte militis.
Robertus Dapifer, de dimidio milite.

---

[1] *Argentoein*, L. N.
[2] *Humez*, L. N.
[3] *Durantus*, L. N.
[4] *Angod*, L. N.
[5] *Theobaldus*, L. N.
[6] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[7] *Stochelde*, L. N.
[8] This entry is repeated in L. N.
[9] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[10] L. N. omits *j*.
[11] *quarta*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Gilbertus[1] de Archis, de dimidio milite et de iiij[a][2] parte militis.

Nigellus de Plintone, de j milite.

Baldewinus filius Radulfi, de j milite, et de iiij[a][2] parte, et de x[a][3] parte.

Durant filius Joelin,[4] et Theobaldus[5] filius Oviet, Walterus filius Ricardi, Joilinus de Aichatune, Sweinus[6] Child, Willelmus, omnes isti de j[7] milite.

Robertus de Hallai, de dimidio milite.

Ragnerus[8] Flandrigena, et Petrus de Miausa, et Willelmus de Arundel, et Willelmus Martin, omnes isti de tertia parte militis.

Hæc est summa de novo feodatorum—viij milites, et tertia pars militis, et x[a] pars militis de dominio meo.

Fo. 119 d. CARTA ANFRIDI DE CHANCI.[9]

Notum sit, quod tenura Anfridi de Chanci[9] talis est, quod isti in tempore Henrici Regis feodati sunt,[10] scilicet:—

Galfridus de Gugetorp,[11] de j milite.

Thomas filius Roberti, de j milite.

Galfridus de Rillum, de j milite.

Thomas filius Wilnoth, Petrus filius Grent, Serlo de Joletorp,[12] isti tres tenent feodum j militis.

Ille prædictus Anfridus[13] tenet feodum j militis in dominio suo, nec magis in tempore Regis habuit, nec modo habet, nec de novo feffamento[14] aliquem habuit.[15]

---

[1] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[2] *quarta*, L. N.
[3] *decima*, L. N.
[4] *Durantus filius Joilin*, L. N.
[5] *Teobaldus*, L. N.
[6] *Swanus*, L. N.
[7] *uno*, L. N.
[8] *Raignerus*, L. N.
[9] *Amfridi de Canci*, L. N.
[10] *fuerunt*, L. N.
[11] *Guggetorp*, L. N.
[12] *Jolletorp*, L. N.
[13] *Amfridus*, L. N.
[14] *fefamento*, L. N.
[15] *h[abe]t*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

CARTA WILLELMI DE VESCY.[1] A.D. 1166.

Willelmus de Vescy[1] tenet feodum xx militum de Rege de veteri feffamento,[2] quod pater suus tenuit anno et die, quo[3] Rex H[enricus][4] fuit vivus et mortuus, et hii tenent illa feoda, scilicet:—

Hervicus[5] Coleman tenet j militem.
Walkelin de Normantone, j militem.
Robertus filius Guivmer, j militem.
Willelmus de Lascellis,[6] ij milites.
Roald[7] et Rogerus filius Alvredi, j militem.
Baldric et Rogerus Bacin,[8] j militem.
Willelmus Tisun, ij milites.
Ernaldus de Morwic,[9] j militem.
Adam Ribaut,[10] j militem.
Ricardus de Roc, j militem.
Johannes filius Odard,[11] j militem.
Walterus Bateil,[12] Radulfus filius Main,[13] j militem.
Simon filius Ernald[14] de Lucre, j militem.
Odonel[15] de Dunframville, ij milites.
Robertus Bundin, j militem.

Vicesimum feodum est Bodlei[16] et Spelestan, quod Rex tenet in manu sua, unde Willelmus prædictus habet Cartam H[enrici] Regis, avi nostri Regis.

Præterea, Yvo de Vescy[1] feodavit ij[17] milites et tertiam partem[18] de suo dominio, scilicet:—

Willelmum filium Huardi, de feodo j militis.

---

[1] *Vesci*, L. N.
[2] *fefamento*, L. N.
[3] *qua*, L. N.
[4] *Henricus Rex*, L. N.
[5] *Herevicus*, L. N.
[6] *Lacellis*, L. N.
[7] *Roalt*, L. N.
[8] *Racine*, L. N.
[9] *Morewic*, L. N.
[10] *Ribalt*, L. N.
[11] *Odardi*, L. N.
[12] *Bataile*, L. N.
[13] *Maini*, L. N.
[14] *Ernaldi*, L. N.
[15] *Odenel*, L. N.
[16] *Boddlei*, L. N.
[17] *duos*, L. N.
[18] *tertiam partem militis*, L. N

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Thomam de Watwode, de j milite.

Johannes Burdun, de iij[a] [1] parte j militis.

Eustachius [2] filius Johannis feodavit duos milites, scilicet :—

Willelmum Le Latimer, de j milite.

Mathæum de Leun,[3] de dimidio milite.

Ricardum Mautalent,[4] de dimidio milite.

Willelmus de Vescy [5] feodavit duos milites, scilicet :—

Willelmum de Turberville, de j milite.

Galfridum de Wall[ibus],[6] de dimidio milite.

Radulfum filium Rogeri, de dimidio milite.

Et Aiulf tenet vij [7] partem militis.

CARTA BERTRAM DE BULIMER, PER DAVID LARDINARIUM.[8]

Venerabili domino suo et illustri Regi Anglorum,[9] David Lardarius suus salutem et fidele servitium. Domine, litteris [10] istis vobis notifico, quot milites Bertram Bolemer [11] de vestro feodo habuit, scilicet :—

Aschetinus filius Gospatrici, feodum j militis tempore Regis H[enrici] avi vestri, et modo similiter. Idem prædictus B[ertram] tenuit Suttone per feodum j militis tempore avi vestri, et postea dedit prædictum feodum de Suttone Galfrido de Valon[iis] per idem [12] servitium, j militis.

---

[1] *tertia*, L. N.

[2] *Eustacius*, L. N.

[3] *Leuin*, L. N.

[4] *Maltalent*, L. N.

[5] *Vesci*, L. N.

[6] *Vallibus*, L. N.

[7] *septimam*, L. N.

[8] The rubric in L. N. runs : *Carta Henrici David Lardarii.* The scribe evidently used the writer's name in the rubric, and in so doing inserted the King's name which immediately precedes it.

[9] *Regi Anglorum Henricus*, L. N.

[10] *literis*, L. N.

[11] *de Bolem[er]*, L. N.

[12] *eundem*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Radulfus de Wiltone, feodum dimidii militis tempore avi vestri, et modo similiter. Et ego David Lardarius, quintam partem militis tempore avi vestri, et modo similiter. Willelmus Hakget,[1] vj^{tam} [2] partem militis tempore avi vestri, et modo similiter. Hugo de Nortone, x^{am} [3] partem militis tempore avi vestri, et modo similiter. A.D. 1166.

Mathæus de Punchardune,[4] v^{am} [5] partem militis de novo feffamento,[6] de suo autem dominio nullum debet servitium.

CARTA ROBERTI DE STUTEVILLE.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, ut domino suo carissimo, Robertus de Stuteville salutem et fidele servitium.

Domine carissime, sciatis, hos esse feodos[7] meorum militum, videlicet, de antiquo feodo :—

Robertus Murdac tenet feodum j militis.
Matildis filius Hugonis Comin,[8] j feodum.
Osmundus de Stuteville, ij[9] partes j feodi.
Ricardus Croere,[10] iij^{am} [11] partem j militis.[12]
Galfridus Fossard, j feodum.
Adam de Bolteby,[13] j feodum.
Petrus de Cordanville, j feodum.

Et ego ipse teneo ij feoda in manu mea de antiquo feodo. Et de novo feffamento,[6] Rogerus de Cnapetot, xj bovatas de dominio meo pro viij^{a} [14] parte j militis.

---

[1] *Haget*, L. N. The *k* is a later insertion in MS.
sextam, L. N.
[3] *decimam*, L. N.
[4] *Puntchardun*, L. N.
[5] *quintam*, L. N.
[6] *fefamento*, L. N.
[7] *Sic* in MS.
[8] *Camin*, L. N.
[9] *duas*, L. N.
[10] *Cruere*, L. N.
[11] *tertiam*, L. N.
[12] *feodi*, L. N.
[13] *Boltebi*, L. N.
[14] *octava*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Fo. 120.

CARTA WILLELMI PAGANELLI.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, domino suo carissimo, Willelmus Paganellus salutem et fidelia servitia.

Isti sunt milites, qui feodati sunt de feodo meo, scilicet, de veteri feffamento[1]:—

Robertus de Mellil,[2] de iij militibus et dimidio.
Mascy de Curcy,[3] de j milite et dimidio.
Fulco Paganellus, de j milite.
Robertus de Brideshale,[4] de j milite.
Ricardus de Luvetot,[5] de v militibus.
Jordanus Painel, de j milite.
Radulfus de Tirnisco et Hugo de Barville,[6] de j milite.
De dominio meo servio pro dimidio milite.
De dominio meo de Tugringe[7] et de Soka servio pro dimidio milite.

Sciatis, domine, quod non habeo aliquem militem de novo feffamento,[1] nisi Jordanum Paganellum de dimidio milite, et Willelmum de Widet[one], et Rogerum filium Petri, et Robertum de Alteriva, de dimidio milite.

CARTA DE FEODO DE SCIPTONE.[8]

De feodo de Sciptone, quod tenet Alexander filius Gerini, fuerunt hii milites feffati[9] in tempore Regis H[enrici].

Willelmus de Sanderville[10] tenet feoda iiij^{or} militum. Et quintum feodum habet Gervasius de Sanderville,[10] unde non potest habere servitium.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Melill*, L. N.
[3] *Masci de Curci*, L. N.
[4] *Briddesale*, L. N.
[5] *Lovetot*, L. N.
[6] *Bareville*, L. N.
[7] *Cugrig*, L. N.
[8] L. N. adds *quod tenet Alexander filius Gerini.*
[9] *feofati*, L. N.
[10] The *r* is a later interlineation in MS.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Johannes de Argenton,[1] ij [2] feoda militum. A.D. 1166.

Radulfus de Cailly,[3] ij [2] feoda militum.

Willelmus filius Clerenbaud,[4] j feodum militis et dimidium.

Willelmus Malus Leporarius, Stephanus de Bilemer,[5] j feodum.

Willelmus Vavassur,[6] dimidium militem.[7]

Hæc xij [8] feoda sunt de antiquo feffamento.[9]

De eodem feodo de Sciptone, quod tenet Alexander filius Gerini, hii milites, post obitum Regis H[enrici], infra Gwerram,[10] sunt de dominio meo feodati.[11]

Hæredes Adæ filii Swein,[12] tenent feodum j militis.

Reinerus Flemingus,[13] feodum j militis [14] et dimidii.

Hervius [15] de Keineville,[16] dimidium feodum militis.

Osbertus Archidiaconus tenet xj carucatas [17] terræ, unde xiiij carucatæ faciunt feodum militis.

Walterus filius Willelmi, x carucatas, unde xiiij faciunt feodum militis.

Petrus de Martun, xij carucatas, unde xiiij faciunt feodum militis.

Rogerus Tempestas, iij carucatas et ij bovatas, unde xiiij faciunt feodum militis.

Uctredus de Cunegestone, vj carucatas, unde xiiij faciunt feodum militis.

Simon de Munthalt, iij carucatas.

Rogerus de Favintone, iiij carucatas et dimidiam.

Waltheus filius Gamel, iij carucatas.

Ricardus filius Ricardi, iij [carucatas].

---

[1] *Argentoein*, L. N.
[2] *duo*, L. N.
[3] *Chailli*, L. N.
[4] *Clerenbald*, L. N.
[5] *Bulemer*, L. N.
[6] *Vavasur*, L. N.
[7] *feodum*, L. N.
[8] *duodecim*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *guerram*, L. N.
[11] *de dominio feoduti*, L. N.
[12] *Swani*, L. N.
[13] *Flemengus*, L. N.
[14] *j feodum militis*, L. N.
[15] *Hereveius*, L. N.
[16] *Reineville*, L. N.
[17] *carrucata* and cases *passim* in L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Helto filius Willelmi de Arches, iij carucatas.[1]

Rogerus Mire,[2] j carucatam.

Edwardus Camerarius, x bovatas terræ, unde xiiij carucatæ faciunt militem.

Ricardus de Broctone, j carucatam.

Galfridus Morin, j carucatam.

Walterus Arel, j carucatam terræ, unde xiiij carucatæ faciunt militem.[3]

Hugo, nepos Episcopi, dimidiam carucatam unde xiiij carucatæ faciunt militem.[4]

Radulfus[5] Paileue, dimidiam carucatam unde xiiij carucatæ faciunt militem.[6]

Hæc[7] viij feoda militum sunt de noviter feodatis de dominico.

CARTA[8] EVERARDI DE ROS.

De baronia, quam Everardus de Ros de domino Rege tenet, scilicet, quam Ranulfus de Glanville habet in custodia ex tempore H[enrici] Regis, tenet Petrus de Surdewalle feodum j militis.

Driu de Hairun, j militem.

Robertus de Barchetorpe, feodum dimidii militis.

Thomas Tuschet,[9] feodum dimidii militis.

Willelmus filius Bertram,[10] feodum iiij^{as} partis militis.

Willelmus de[11] Ninge, feodum dimidii militis.

Elyas[12] de Torp, iiij^{am}[13] partem militis.

Matildis filia Hugonis Camerarii,[14] iiij^{am}[13] partem militis.

---

[1] *carrucata* and cases *passim* in L. N.

[2] *Minun*, L. N.

[3] *feodum j militis*, L. N.

[4] *dimidiam carrucatam terræ, unde xiiij carrucatæ faciunt feodum militis*, L. N.

[5] *Ranulfus*, L. N.

[6] *dimidium militem unde xiiij faciunt feodum militis*, L. N.

[7] *Hii*, L. N.

[8] *Carta feodorum*, L. N.

[9] *Thuschet*, L. N.

[10] *Bertrami*, L. N.

[11] *Le*, L. N.

[12] *Helias*, L. N.

[13] *quartam*, L. N.

[14] *Camm*[*erarii*], L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Robertus de Spoustone, iij [1] partem militis. A.D. 1166.
Willelmus de Stainegrave,[2] vj[am] [3] partem militis.
Willelmus Brun, xij partem militis.
Eudo de Gartone, xij partem militis.
Walterus [4] de Gartone, feodum j militis.
Geroldus de Lepintone, xxiiij[am] partem militis.
Eustachius Bonefacius,[5] feodum j militis; feffatus [6] post tempus Regis Henrici.
Jordanus le Enveise, iij[am] [1] partem j militis.
Antonius de Alburwic, feodum dimidii militis.
Hugo filius Willelmi, iiij [7] partem j militis.
Ricardus de Stepungwelle,[8] in Calvesterne, iij[am] [7] partem j militis.

Fo. 120 d.

CARTA ROBERTI DE GANT.

Sciendum est, hoc esse feffamentum [9] Roberti de Gant, xij milites et dimidium; iiij [10] milites et dimidium de feodo Mathæi de Curcy.[11]
Feodum j militis tenet Robertus de Rudestane.[12]
Willelmus de Vescy,[13] feodum j militis.
Robertus de Cambort, feodum dimidii militis.
Walterus filius Asketil,[14] dimidium feodum militis.
Robertus de Stangrave,[15] dimidium militem.
Alanus de Capetone, dimidium militem.
Avicia mater Willelmi de Curcy,[11] tenet feoda ij militum.

---

[1] *tertiam*, L. N.
[2] *Stainesgrave*, L. N.
[3] *sextam*, L. N.
[4] *Gwalterus*, L. N.
[5] *Bonifacius*, L. N.
[6] *feofatus*, L. N.
[7] *quartam*, L. N.
[8] *Stepigwelle*, L. N.
[9] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[10] *Quatuor*, L. N., which begins a new paragraph here.
[11] *Curci*, L. N.
[12] *Rudeston*, L. N.
[13] *Vesci*, L. N.
[14] *Asketill*, L. N.
[15] *Staingrave*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Et de meo dominio egomet R[obertus] de Gant teneo ij milites et dimidium de veteri feffamento.[1]

Et de novo feffamento[1] hos habeo milites de me tenentes.

Willelmus de Coleville, feodum j militis.

R. de Langetone, dimidium feodum militis.

Willelmus de Vescy,[2] dimidium militem.

Willelmus de Baillol,[3] iiij^{am} [4] partem militis.

Hugo de Gant, iiij^{am} [4] partem j militis.

Hugo de Grainesby[5] feodum j militis de me tenet cum prædictis aliis militibus, et hoc de adquisitione Radulfi Painel.

CARTA RANULFI FILII WALTERI.

Reverentissimo domino suo, Regi[6] Anglorum, Ranulfus filius Walteri salutem.

Sciatis, quod antecessores mei tenuerunt de Rege H[enrico],[7] avo vestro, feoda militum meorum;[8] et ego modo, vestri gratia, de vobis teneo per servitium iij[9] militum et iij partis militis. Et ego facio servitium de dominio meo de ij[10] militibus et tribus partibus militis. Et Ernaldus de Mondeville[11] facit servitium j militis.

Comes de Albamare[12] tenet in hoc comitatu feoda x militum.

Adam de Brus tenet xv milites in hoc comitatu.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Vesci*, L. N.
[3] *Bailloill*, L. N.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *Grainsesbi*, L. N.
[6] *Henrico Regi*, L. N.
[7] *H[enrico] Rege*, L. N.
[8] *feoda eorum*, L. N.
[9] *trium*, L. N.
[10] *duobus*, L. N.
[11] *Mandeville*, L. N.
[12] *Alba Mara*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

Willelmus Trussebut tenet feoda x militum in hoc comitatu. A.D. 1166.

In feodo Comitis Conan[1] sunt l milites in hoc comitatu.

In feodo Roberti de Brus, v milites in hoc comitatu.

In feodo Jocellini[2] de Loveines, v milites et dimidium.

In honore de Tikeville[3] sunt lx milites et iij[4] partes j[5] militis in hoc comitatu.

Willelmus de Fulcheriis[6] tenet j militem.[7]

CARTA MILITUM HONORIS DE WARTRE.[8]

Henricus filius Paviæ tenet iiij[tam] partem militis de feodo de Wartre.[9]

Paganus tenet j feodum de eodem.

Adam de Dagun[10] tenet iiij[tam][11] partem de eodem.

Willelmus filius Anketil tenet vj[tam] partem de eodem.

Willelmus Silvanus et Guido[12] de Ver tenent j militem.

---

[1] *Conani*, L. N.
[2] *Jocelin*, L. N.
[3] *Sic* in MS.; *Tikehulle*, L. N.
[4] *tres*, L. N.
[5] *unius*, L. N.
[6] *Fulgeriis*, L. F.
[7] The above eight entries are in a later hand in L. N., but appear in the early hand of the MS. The capitals were left blank in L. N. for rubrication, which was never carried out.
[8] There is no rubric in L. N., where this and the nine following entries are a later insertion in the same hand as those immediately preceding.
[9] *Wardre*, L. N. The *d* is erased in MS.
[10] *Ada Dagun*, L. N.
[11] *quartam*, L. N.
[12] *Gwido*, L. N.

EBORACSCIRA—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Filia Huberti de Vaus,[1] j militem.
Filius Willelmi de Wiville, dimidium militem.
Radulfus de Bolebech,[2] iiij[tam][3] partem militis.

R. Constabularius Cestriæ tenet Snehe[4] per feodum j militis.

Ricardus de Sproxstune[5] tenet Sproxstune[5] per iiij[or] milites, quam prius tenuit per serjanteriam forestæ.

Fo. 121.

NORHUMBERLANDE.

CARTA WALTERI FILII WILLELMI.

Carissimo domino suo, Henrico Regi Anglorum, Walterus filius Willelmi, ejus baro de Norhumberlande, salutem.

Domine, sciatis, quod isti sunt milites mei feffati[6] de veteri feffamento,[7] scilicet, Willelmus de Niweham, et Bertram de Wodringatone,[8] et Gilbertus[9] de Hoggal de novo feffamento[7] de medietate j militis de meo dominio. Et Otuy[10] de Insula de novo feffamento[7] de tertia parte militis, et de meo dominio. Et sciatis, domine, quod feodum meum non debet vobis servitium nisi de tribus militibus. Valete.

CARTA G[ILBERTI] DE BOOILUN.[11]

Venerabili domino suo, H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, G[ilbertus] de Boolun salutem et fidele servitium.

Mando vobis de feodo, quod[12] pater meus tenuit de H[enrico] Rege Anglorum, avo vestro, et de vobis, et

---

[1] *Vallibus*, L. N.
[2] *Bolebec*, L. N.
[3] *quartam*, L. N.
[4] *Sneiche*, L. N.
[5] *Sprocstune*, L. N.
[6] *fefati*, L. N.
[7] *fefamento*, L. N.
[8] *Wodringtone*, L. N.
[9] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[10] *Otui*, L. N.
[11] The *i* is a later interlineation in MS.
[12] *feodis quæ*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

quod[1] ego modo, vestri gratia, teneo, scilicet, feffamen- A.D. 1166.
tum[2] trium militum, et facio servitium de meo dominio j militis. Et Willelmus filius Berner,[3] facit servitium secundi militis. Et Robertus de Bellesso[4] facit servitium tertii militis. Valete.

CARTA WALTERI DE BOLEBEC.

Hæc est agnitio de terra Walteri de Bolebec de Norhumberlande, scilicet, de veteri feodo iiij^{or} milites et dimidium, quos debet Regi: et Gilbertus[5] de Boilun[6] tenet ij milites et dimidium.

Hugo de Grandene,[7] j militem.

Willelmus filius Bovis, j militem.

Hoc est de veteri feffamento.[8]

De novo autem feffamento[8] tenet Oto de Insula j militem et dimidium

Reginaldus filius Wimundi, dimidium militem.

Johannes Morel, tertiam partem militis.

Gospatricius, tertiam partem.

Wibertus de Slaveleia, j militem.

De hoc prædicto feodo debet Regi v milites.

CARTA ROGERI BERTRAM.

Carissimo domino suo H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, Rogerus Bertram[9] salutem.

Domine, sciatis quod vj milites et dimidium habeo feffatos,[10] a tempore Regis H[enrici][11] avi vestri, per

---

[1] *quæ*, L. N.
[2] *fefamentum*, L. N.
[3] *Bernier*, L. N.
[4] *Belesso*, L. N.
[5] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[6] The *i* is interlineated in the MS.; *Bolu*[*n*], L. N.
[7] *Craudene*, L. N.
[8] *fefamento*, L. N.
[9] *Bartram*, L. N.
[10] *fefatos*, L. N.
[11] *Henrici Regis*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. avum meum et patrem meum, et nullum per me, quorum nomina hæc sunt:—

Radulfus de Sancto Petro tenet de me feodum duorum [1] militum.

Willelmus de Franglingtone,[2] j [3] militis.

Willelmus de Difflistone,[4] j militis.

Wihelardus de Trophil, j militis.

Johannes filius Simonis,[5] j militis.

Paganus de Halesdure,[6] dimidii militis.

Et sciatis, domine, quod feodum meum non debet vobis servitium nisi tantum de v militibus. Valete.

CARTA ERNULFI DE MORWIC.[7]

Regi Anglorum, domino suo, Ernulfus de Morwic,[7] suus fidelis, salutem.

Sciatis, domine, me tenere de vobis feodum j militis in Norhumberlande,[8] cujus medietatem tenet quidam, nomine David, de me et[9] de veteri feffamento,[10] scilicet, de tempore H[enrici] Regis[11] avi vestri.

CARTA HUGONIS DE ELINGTONA.[12]

Carissimo domino suo H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, Hugo de Elingtona[12] salutem.

Sciatis, domine, quod ego teneo dimidiam baroniam quæ fuit Willelmi de Granville,[13] quæ fuit partita inter sorores, quam, anno et die mortis avi vestri, Regis H[enrici], ipsius Willelmi prædecessor tenuit, scilicet, Nicholaus[14] de Granville,[13] pro servitio iij militum in dominio. Ex-

---

[1] *ij*, L. N.
[2] *Fraglintone*, L. N.
[3] *unius*, L. N.
[4] *Difflestone*, L. N.
[5] *Simeon*, L. N.
[6] *Hallesdure*, L. N.
[7] *Morewic*, L. N.
[8] *Northumberlande*, L. N.
[9] L. N. omits *et*.
[10] *fefamento*, L. N.
[11] *Regi* in MS.
[12] *Ellingtona*, L. N.
[13] *Grainville*, L. N.
[14] *Nicolaus*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

cepto quod de illo dominio feodaverunt [1] iiij^{tam} [2] partem militis, et inde habeo dimidium servitium, scilicet, viij^{am} [3] partem militis. Et de illa medietate baroniæ debeo facere servitium militis et dimidii. Et quando recepi illam terram, non inveni eam feffatam [4] aliquo milite, excepta prædicta viij^{a} parte.[5] Et excepto, quod ea, quæ fuit uxor Willelmi de Grainville, tenet j partem in dote, unde illa et prædicta pars militis octava faciunt mihi servitium dimidii militis. Sed ego de eadem terra dedi militibus meis, scilicet, Radulfo Baarde [6] et Roberto de Bulemer, cum duabus filiabus meis, quantum pertinet ad servitium dimidii militis. Et egomet facio servitium dimidii militis de terra mea retenta. Hæc tota terra mea facit servitium militis et dimidii.[7] A.D. 1166. Fo. 121 d.

CARTA STEPHANI DE BULEMER.

Illustrissimo Regi Anglorum H[enrico], domino suo venerabilissimo, Stephanus de Bulemer salutem et fidele servitium.

Sciatis, domine, quod habeo hos milites feffatos [8] de veteri feffamento,[9] scilicet, de tempore H[enrici] Regis, avi vestri:—

Liulfum filium Alwoldi, de feodo j militis.

Elyam [10] filium Alvredi, de feodo j militis.

Robertum de Maneriis et Hugonem de Dichende, de feodo j militis.

Johannem filium [11] Odardi et Simonem filium Ernulfi, de feodo j militis.

Robertum Murdac, de feodo j militis.

---

[1] *feodaverat*, L. N.
[2] *quartam*, L. N.
[3] *viiij^{am}* in MS.; *viij*, L. N.
[4] *feofatum*, L. N.
[5] *octava parte militis*, L. N.
[6] *Baard*, L. N.
[7] *See* p. 433, *Carta Radulfi de Gaugy*, with which this fee was linked.
[8] *fefatos*, L. N.
[9] *fefamento*, L. N.
[10] *Heliam*, L. N.
[11] *Johannes filius* in MSS.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Sciatis, domine, istos esse de veteri feffamento,[1] scilicet, de anno et die quo avus vester fuit vivus et mortuus.

Et de novo feffamento [1] hos habeo feffatos [2] q[uonia]m in Marchis [3] vestris maneo, et sæpissime probis hominibus indigeo :—

Thomam filium Rogeri, de feodo dimidii militis.

Thomam, filium meum, de feodo j militis ; et de hoc feodo Thomæ, filii mei, Radulfus filius Willelmi, tenet de eo dimidium feodum militis.

Robertum Poher, de iiij[a] [4] parte militis.

Et hæc sunt nomina militum de veteri et novo feffamento [1] feffatorum.[5] Valete, domine.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII SIWARDI.[6]

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum,[7] domino suo reverentissimo, Willelmus filius Siwardi salutem.

Præceptum vestrum, per totam Angliam divulgatum,[8] per vicecomitem vestrum Norhumberlande [9] ad me, sicut ad alios, pervenit, ut significaremus vobis feodum nostrum, et tenuram, quam de vobis tenemus. Idcirco,[10] præsenti scripto vobis notifico, me de vobis tenere quandam villam, Goseford nominatam, et aliam quandam dimidiam quæ Milletone [11] dicitur, pro feodo et servitio j militis, quod facio fideliter vobis, sicut antecessores mei fecerunt antecessoribus vestris, et neminem inde feodavi, sed in meo dominio teneo.

CARTA RADULFI DE WIRECESTRIA.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Radulfus de Wirecestria salutem.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *fefatos*, L. N.
[3] *Marchiis*, L. N.
[4] *quartam*, L. N.
[5] *fefatorum*, L. N.
[6] *Siward*, L. N.
[7] *Angliæ*, L. N.
[8] *devulgatum* in MS.
[9] *Northumberlandæ*, L. N.
[10] *Icirco*, L. N.
[11] *Miletone*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

Sciatis, quod teneo de vobis in capite de veteri feffamento[1] feodum j militis, unde debeo vobis facere servitium j militis. Et de eodem feodo Jordanus Hairun debet mihi facere iiij^am^ partem servitii de novo feffamento.[1] Et Paganus de Wircestria[2] aliam iiij^am^ partem servitii similiter de novo feffamento.[1] Et monachi de Tinomutha[4] vij partem, et Willelmus filius Adæ iij^am^[5] partem, unde desseisitus sum præcepto vestro. Et superplus jacet super dominium meum. A.D. 1166.

CARTA JOHANNIS FILII WDARDI.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, domino suo, Johannes filius Wdardi salutem.

Sciatis, quod de feodo iij[6] militum, quod de vobis teneo, feodavi de novo feffamento[7] militem et dimidium, scilicet :—

Willelmum filium Adæ, dimidium militem.

E[t] Albertum, feodum dimidii militis.

Ernulfum et Alardum,[8] feodum dimidii militis.

Et ego facio servitium militis et dimidii de meo dominio.

CARTA WILLELMI FILII ALVRICI.

Reverentissimo domino suo H[enrico] Regi Anglorum,[9] Willelmus filius Alvrici de Dovelestone salutem.

Sciatis, domine mi, quod ego tenui feodum meum de H[enrico] Rege, avo vestro, et vestri gratia modo de vobis teneo, scilicet, tertiam partem j militis. Valete.

---

[1] *fefamento*, L. N.
[2] *Wirecestria*, L. N.
[3] *quartam*, L. N.
[4] *Tinemutha*, L. N.
[5] *tertiam*, L. N.
[6] *trium*, L. N.
[7] *feodamento*, L. N.
[8] *Aelardum*, L. N.
[9] *Angliæ*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. CARTA GILBERTI[1] DE LANVAL.

Venerabili domino suo H[enrico] Regi Anglorum,[2] Gilbertus[3] de Lanval salutem.

Sciatis, domine mi, quod antecessores mei, tempore Regis H[enrici] avi vestri, tenuerunt feodum duorum militum, et ego modo, vestri gratia, illud teneo.

De eodem feodo quidam miles de me tenet iiij^am^ partem j militis. Valete.

CARTA ROGERI FILII RICARDI.

Ego Rogerus filius Ricardi teneo in capite de Rege Wrkwrtham[4] per servitium j[5] militis.

Fo. 122. CARTA RICARDI BERTRAM.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, domino suo carissimo, Ricardus Bertram salutem.

Sciatis, quod ego habeo feodum iij[6] militum de antiquo feffamento[7] quod ego ipse teneo. Et milites non tenent de me. Valete.

CARTA GODEFRIDI BAARD.[8]

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, Godefridus Baard salutem.[9] Sciatis, quod teneo de vobis iij[10] partem feodi j militis, unde homo vester et Henrici, filii vestri deveni; et illa tertia pars inter duas sorores partita est, et Rolandus Baard cum j[11] de sororibus alteram partem habet; et inter duas non habet[12] nisi iij^a^[13] pars feodi j militis.

---

[1] *Geleberti*, L. N.
[2] *Angliæ*, L. N.
[3] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[4] *Wurkewrtham*, L. N.
[5] *unius*, L. N.
[6] *trium*, L. N.
[7] *feofamento*, L. N.
[8] *Baiard*, L. N.
[9] L. N. omits *salutem*.
[10] *tertiam*, L. N.
[11] *una*, L. N.
[12] *Sic* in MS. Perhaps we should read *habetur*.
[13] *tertia*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

CARTA RADULFI DE GAUGY.[1] A.D. 1166.

Domino Regi Anglorum, Duci Normannorum et Aquitannorum, Comiti Andegavorum, Radulfus de Gaugi salutem.

Sciatis, quod tempore Regis, avi vestri, antecessores mei tenuerunt feodum suum per servitium iij[2] militum, et de hoc feodo feodaverunt quendam militem, nomine Golonem,[3] de veteri feffamento[4] de iij[a][5] parte militis. Deinde contigit, quod hoc feodum[6] divisum fuit inter duas sorores, unde ego fili[am] prænatæ sororis ante-natam habeo,[7] scilicet, feodum militis et dimidii in dominio, unde facio servitium totum[8] de dominio meo, præter viij[am] partem militis veteris feffamenti.[9] Et sciatis, quod de meo feodo nullum feodavi[10] de novo feffamento,[11] sed postnata soror, scilicet, uxor Hugonis de Ellintone, feodavit Radulfum Barard[12] de vj[a] parte militis, et Gilebertum de Hectone[13] de xiij parte militis.

CARTA ROBERTI CARO.

H[enrico] Regi Anglorum, domino suo, Robertus Caro salutem.

---

[1] *Gaugi*, L. N.
[2] *trium*, L. N.
[3] *Galonem*, L. N.
[4] *feodamento*, L. N.
[5] *quarta*, L. N.
[6] *feodum hoc*, L. N.
[7] *habeo ante*[*natam*], L. N. The reading is very obscure. Dugdale as usual shirks the difficulty altogether, merely stating that there were two sisters or co-heirs, and that Ralph married the elder. It is possible that the MS. from which the scribe copied is corrupt, and that the true reading should be *unde ego, filius prænatæ sororis, alteram partem habeo.*
[8] *totum servitium*, L. N.
[9] *fefamenti*, L. N.
[10] *feodavi nullum*, L. N.
[11] *fefamento*, L. N.
[12] *Báárd*, L. N.
[13] The *H* is interlined in the MS.; *Hettone*, L. N.

NORHUMBERLANDE—*cont.*

A.D. 1166. Ego Robertus Caro teneo v carucatas[1] terræ pro j feodo militis. Et de hoc feodo tenet Willelmus, frater meus, iij[am][2] partem illius feodi, faciendo inde michi servitium, a tempore Regis H[enrici]. Valete.

CARTA ROGERI MERLAI.

Hii sunt qui tenent de Rogero de Merlai de veteri feffamento[3]:—

Willelmus de Cliftone, feodum j militis.

Reginaldus,[4] feodum j militis.

De novo feffamento:—

Rogerus de Merlai, iiij[am][5] partem j militis.

Robertus filius Petri, iij[am][2] partem j militis.

Superplus iiij[6] militum, quos domino Regi debet, jacet super dominium meum.[7]

LANCASTRIA.[8]

CARTA GILBERTI FILII REINFRIDI.[9]

Gilbertus[10] filius Reinfridi, de j milite, de terra sua de Westmerlande et Kendale.

Idem tenet j carucatam terræ in Lesnes cum piscaria, et iij[11] carucatis terræ in Karlintone et Bescn[ap], et iiij carucatas terræ in Prestone,[12] et ij carucatas terræ in Bertone,[13] et j carucatam terræ in Heunecastria, et

---

[1] *carrucatas*, L. N.
[2] *tertiam*, L. N.
[3] *fefamento*, L. N.
[4] *Reiner*, L. N.
[5] *quartam*, L. N.
[6] *quatuor*, L. N.
[7] *suum*, L. N.
[8] *Lancaster*, L. N., where this return is a later insertion.
[9] There is no rubric in L. N.
[10] *Gilebertus*, L. N.
[11] *iiij*, L. N.
[12] *Prestune*, L. N
[13] *Bertune*, L. N.

LANCASTRIA—*cont.*

j carucatam terræ in Prestone, et iij carucatas terræ in Luctone, et j piscariam pertinentem ad easdem terras, per servitium j militis. A.D. 1166.

Thebald[1] Walter tenet Aumodernesse per servitium j militis.[2]

---

[1] *Thebbald*, L. N.

[2] L. N. follows here with *Feoda quæ debentur ad custodiam Castri Dovoræ*, in a still later hand, which are entered in another part of the MS.

LONDON: Printed by EYRE & SPOTTISWOODE,
Printers to the Queen's most Excellent Majesty.
For Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
[6366.—750.—12/91.]